



Council of the European Union
General Secretariat

Brussels, 31 January 2022

WK 1379/2022 INIT

LIMITE

PECHE

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

NOTE

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Delegations
N° prev. doc.:	WK 841/22
Subject:	Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, and amending Council Regulations (EC) No 768/2005, (EC) No 1967/2006, (EC) No 1005/2008, and Regulation (EU) No 2016/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards fisheries control - Sequence 6 (National control programme, surveillance and inspections) - Comments by Denmark

Delegations will find attached written comments by the Danish delegation on the above-mentioned document.

Comments from the Danish delegation to

4-column document regarding

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Council Regulation (EC) No 1224/2009, and amending Council Regulations (EC) No 768/2005, (EC) No 1967/2006, (EC) No 1005/2008, and Regulation (EU) No 2016/1139 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards fisheries control 2018/0193(COD)

Doc: WK 841/2022 INIT

General comments

Denmark appreciates having received the above-mentioned document for comments.

As regards rows where the European Parliament and the Commission supports the General Approach of the Council, Denmark supports these, and as regards rows, where the Presidency has not indicated a possible compromise, Denmark maintains its position stated in previous written comments. Concerning rows where the Presidency has been given flexibility to explore possible new wordings, outcome of such an exercise should be presented in the Working Party for discussion and possible agreement.

Our main concern is related to row 770 (see below).

Detailed comments

Ad row 136

Denmark still expects that the international commitments of the European Union are transposed to Union legislation. On this premise, Denmark can accept the Presidency compromise.

Ad row 492d

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 492e

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 492f

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 492g

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 499c

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 701a

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 701b

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 703

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 706

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 708

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad rows 714 and 714d

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 716

Denmark maintains its position concerning these provisions as previously put forward orally or in writing. However, Denmark does not oppose that the Presidency explores the possible compromises but reserves its position to the result of this exercise.

Ad row 718

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 722a

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 722c

See comments to row 716.

Ad row 729

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad rows 732 and 737

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 734

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 736

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 737a

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 738

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 739b

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 739c

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 742

Denmark supports the General Approach of the Council. Denmark would be concerned if the line of the European Parliament were to be followed.

Ad row 744

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 745

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 747

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 747a

See comments to row 716.

Ad row 750

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 765

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency. Denmark has serious reservations about the proposal of the European Parliament and therefore expresses a reservation on moving in that direction.

Ad row 770

Denmark cannot support this proposal. On the territory of a Member State, Union inspectors may participate in inspections, which the inspectors of the Member State are in charge of with prior agreement between the concerned competent authorities. Denmark expresses a reservation on the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 773a

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad row 776

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency.

Ad rows 954 and 955

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency but will express its final opinion once a concrete proposal is presented.

Ad row 956

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency, but despite the length of the reporting period, it is important that the reporting is done per calendar year within the reporting period.

Ad row 956a-e

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency but will express its final opinion once a concrete proposal is presented.

Ad row 956f

Denmark can support the line suggested by the Presidency but will express its final opinion once a concrete proposal is presented and will depend on the solutions for the rows 956a-e.

Ad row 957

See comment to row 716.

Row 150a

Denmark welcomes that it has been clarified that only catching vessels are to be included in the calculations concerning capacity ceilings. This is an important point.

Row 153

Considering that “catching vessels” is a subset of “fishing vessels”, Denmark now thinks that it would be most stringent to align the definition of these vessels with that of “fishing vessels” meaning that it should be “equipped for” alone instead of “equipped or used for”.

Row 153i

Denmark can support the proposal of the Commission.

Article 44

Denmark can support the proposal of the Presidency.