Ref. Ares(2012)348588 - 26/03/2012



## EUROPEAN COMMISSION DIRECTORATE-GENERAL REGIONAL POLICY Audit The Director

Brussels, REGIO.J2/12/14/maz D(2012) 330876

Subject:

ERDF and Cohesion Fund - Review of the work of the audit authority

pursuant to Article 62 of Council regulation (EC) No 1083/2006

EPM - To obtain assurance on the functioning of systems through review of the work of the audit authorities for the period 2007-2013

Module 3 - mission carried out in the period 1 - 5 March 2010

Ref.:

Mission n°: 2010/BG/REGIO/J2/812 (to be used in all correspondence)

Ref.:

Your letter n° 3-985/02.03.2012 (Ref. Ares (2012) 270532 -

07/03/2012)

## Your Excellency

In our letter of 1 September 2011 (Ares (2011) 929594) the national authorities were requested to provide clarification in relation to one open finding (Finding 1 of the audit report) concerning the procedure followed in cases where a project proposal is rejected at the technical / financial stage of the evaluation and the applicant wants to submit a complaint.

In your letter of 2 March 2012 the following clarification was communicated by the national authorities:

His Excellency Mr Boyko Kotzev
Ambassador Extraordinary an Plenipotentiary Permanent Representative
Permanent Representation of Bulgaria to the EU
Square Marie-Louise/Maria Louizasquare 49
1000 Bruxelles/Brussel

Commission européenne, B-1049 Bruxelles / Europese Commissie, B-1049 Bruxsel - Belgium. Telephone: (32-2) 299 11 11. Office: Commission européenne: direct line (32-2) 200 Fax: (32-2) 200 Fax

E-mail: e

G:\13-AUDIT MISSIONS 2007-13 PROGR PERIOD\EPM Audit Authorities\BG\2010BGREGIOJ2812\follow up\BG Followup 1-5 March 2010 Mission\_Analysis 2nd reply\_EN.doc

The possibility to appeal the decision of the contracting authority in relation to a selection procedure is stipulated in Decree 121 of the Council of Ministers from 2007. It is limited to the rejection of the project proposal by the evaluation committee at the stage of assessment of the administrative compliance and eligibility of the applicant. The analysis made during the drafting of the decree showed that the examination of appeals in relation the technical and financial stage would involve the review of all documentation submitted by the appealing applicant for the technical and financial evaluation stage, including the documentation of the other applicants. The objective of this review is to assess the objectivity, correctness and substantiated evaluation of the evaluation committee. Performing this activity within the Contracting Authority would require significant human and time resources and would not allow the completion of the evaluation process within the statutory period. Nonetheless, the decisions of the contracting authority for providing grants to applicants are also subject to judicial review as an individual administrative act and, as such, under Section Three of Administrative Procedure Code of the Republic of Bulgaria may be challenged in court regarding their legality. In this sense there is a legal opportunity for applicants to defend their interests in relation to rejection on the basis of defects / deficiencies in the stage of technical and financial evaluation of the evaluation process.'

## **Commission position**

The clarification provided by the national authorities in relation to Finding 1 is accepted and therefore Finding 1 is **closed**. By closing this finding the Commission also closes this audit.

Yours faithfully



Copies:

Evecutive Director

Executive Director
Audit of EU Funds Executive Agency
2, Lege Street
BG – 1040 Sofia

Mr Seyler, Directorate General Regional Policy, I Mr Popens, Directorate General Regional Policy, I2 Mr Popens, Directorate General Regional Policy, DDG Mr Directorate General Employment, H3 Mr Jenn, Directorate General Maritime Affairs, F1 Mr Cipriani, European Court of Auditors