

From: [REDACTED] (CAB-BIENKOWSKA)
Sent: 20 June 2016 08:26
To: CAB BIENKOWSKA ARCHIVES
Subject: FW:
Attachments: Tiering options-3.docx; Amendment 3.docx; Amendment 2 - Vers 2.docx; Amendment 1 - Vers4.docx

Pour l'enregistrement, merci
A.

From: [REDACTED] [[mailto:\[REDACTED\]@achema.com](mailto:[REDACTED]@achema.com)]
Sent: Monday, June 20, 2016 7:29 AM
To: HUSAK Tomasz (CAB-BIENKOWSKA)
Subject: FW:

Dear Mr. Husak,

Thank you and Commissioner for the opportunity to present threats for fertiliser industry under ETS issue. It is important to state that all industry can not be treated the same. Therefore there has to be a system that compensates for unavoidable emissions. Similarly when the correction factor is applied it hits us 3 times more than other industry there then must be a system which spreads the correction across industry at different levels.

The fair and consistent approach is to split CL list in to parts according to sensitivity – tiering. The draft attached.

The unavoidable emission consists more than 50 % in ammonia production. The rate of affordable annual emission reduction is small. We propose that could be used linear annual reduction factor of 0,2% for free allowances allocation. The proposals for amendments attached.

Best Regards

[REDACTED]

Technical Direktor