

Brussels, 04 April 2017

WK 4005/2017 INIT

**LIMITE** 

EF ECOFIN SURE

## **WORKING PAPER**

This is a paper intended for a specific community of recipients. Handling and further distribution are under the sole responsibility of community members.

### **NOTE**

From:	Presidency
To:	Working Party on Financial Services (Securitisation)
Subject:	Presidency Flash Note - 4th Trilogue Securitisation



## PRESIDENCY FLASH NOTE

On 28 March 2017, the fourth political trilogue on the Regulation on Simple, Transparent and Standardised securitisation (STS) and the Regulation amending the Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) took place in the European Parliament (EP).

#### Validation of technical work

Technical work on CRR was agreed with the exception for a number of lines where either the EP or the Council wanted to discuss further in the technical group (see Annex). Technical work on STS was not validated given that several MS submitted comments late and these could not be assessed in time for validation at the political trilogue.

#### **CRR** specific issues

#### Determination of KIRB and KSA (CRR Article 255): CRR lines 278-283

The EP agreed to the Council text on lines 278-283, including the two specifications proposed by the Commission, i.e. lines 280 and 281 should read:

- (b) use of different risk factors and proxy data, as necessary, on the underlying pool to estimate PD and LGD; and
- (c) due diligence requirements to monitor the actions and policies of receivables sellers or other originators.

These specifications were acceptable also for the Council.

#### Determination of tranche maturity (MT) (CRR Article 257): CRR lines 304 and 306

All the trilogue participants agreed to reintroduce the text of the Commission proposal on line 304, and to have the Council text in line 306 (which was also in the Commission proposal).

#### Conditions to use the SEC-IRBA (CRR Article 258): CRR lines 310-311

The Council agreed to the EP text on lines 310 and 311 since the EP text was aligned closer to Basel.

# Scope and operational requirements for the Internal Assessment Approach (IAA) (CRR Article 265): CRR lines 497, 498 and 501

The participants agreed to the EP text on line 497 and to the Council text on lines 498 and 501.

#### Maximum capital requirements (CRR Article 268): CRR lines 548-550

The EP agreed to the Council text on lines 548-550.



# Securitisation 4<sup>th</sup> Political Trilogue on 28 March 2017 FROM: Presidency

# Senior positions in SME securitisations (CRR Article 270(e)): CRR line 567

The Council and the EP re-confirmed again the reference to promotional entity which reference is present in both texts in line 567. Participants agreed in principle to the text on line 567 as proposed by the Commission under sub-para (e). The EP wanted to reflect more on the reference to cash assimilated instruments, which was put in square brackets, i.e.

- (e) the third party to which the credit risk is transferred is one or more of the following:
  - (i) the central government or the central bank of a Member State, a multilateral development bank, an international organisation or a promotional entity, provided that the exposures to the guarantor or counter-guarantor qualify for a 0% risk weight under Chapter 2 of Part 3;
  - (ii) an institutional investor as defined in Art. 2(12) of [STS Regulation] provided that the guarantee or counter-guarantee is fully collateralised by cash on deposit with, [or cash assimilated instruments held by], the originator institution.

#### **STS specific issues**

#### Parties to the securitisation market (STS Article 2a EP): STS lines 88-95

In response to the compromise proposal for Article 2a in the Commission's non-paper, the STS Rapporteur signalled that it still strongly preferred strict regulation of investors in securitisation, in order to have an overview of the market as complete as possible and to avoid market instability. The rapporteur therefore rejected the Commission's suggestion of a suitability test for retail investors, and instead proposed to limit eligible investors to professional clients as defined in MiFID II. The Presidency remarked that a restriction to 'professional clients' would be an acceptable solution, provided that it is confirmed that this would not exclude sophisticated investors/high net worth individuals (which would generally qualify as 'elective' professional clients under MiFID). The Chair put forward another option, namely the introduction of a quantitative threshold (like for ELTIFs). All parties agreed to the principle of limiting the participation of retail investors to exceptional cases, and will reflect on the abovementioned options.

All parties agreed to the compromise for Article 5a on credit underwriting standards as proposed by the Commission. As regards the proposal for Article 12a(3) on sponsor supervision, while there was some openness in the EP to the Presidency's and Commission's arguments against specifics stress tests for securitisation, the rapporteur insisted on this point and proposed to provide a non-paper with the EP's views on micro- and macro-prudential supervisory framework, including a proposal for Article 12a.

#### Due diligence (STS Article 3): STS lines 115 and 131

The EP agreed to the Council text on line 115 and deletion of line 131.



# Securitisation 4<sup>th</sup> Political Trilogue on 28 March 2017 FROM: Presidency



# Ban on re-securitisation (STS Article 5r EP and CRR Article 269): STS lines 371-373 and CRR lines 553-560

The Presidency conveyed the Council's rejection of a complete ban on re-securitisation with a grandfathering clause. The Commission agreed that a ban is not crucially needed, but at the same time did not think it would have a very disruptive effect in the EU market. EP reiterated its strong preference for a complete ban. Presidency offered to propose a compromise wording that would address concerns related to ABCPs, private transactions, restructurings and any other transactions that might inadvertently be affected by the ban; EP re-affirmed their reservation towards a carve-out solution even if this would be extremely limited and that introducing this ban is a very important point for the EP.

#### Homogeneity criteria (STS Articles 8(4), 8(9b) and 12(2)): STS lines 415-419

Based on the Commission's compromise proposal, EP and Council agreed that regulatory technical standards would be a better tool than guidelines, and that EBA would be best placed to draft those. Commission stressed that the level 1-text should leave enough room for EBA to develop the RTSs. The technical group was mandated to work on this basis.

#### Third-country regime (STS Articles 6 and 22f): STS lines 386 and 709-717

Commission and Presidency, as well as the Chair, agreed that this is neither the right place or time for the introduction of an equivalence regime. In response to the Presidency's suggestion that the introduction of some flexibility as to the location of the securitisation parties could be a way forward, the rapporteur insisted on keeping the first sentence as proposed by both colegislators in line 386 ("the originator, sponsor and SSPE involved in a securitisation considered STS shall be established in the Union"). The Rapporteur showed openness to allowing third country investors in the EU securitisation market. No further conclusion was reached on this issue.

#### Other issues

Technical work on the issues that have not been listed as political is ongoing; in addition, the technical group was mandated to start working on the follow-up of the political trilogue as per above.. Delegations will be kept up-to-date on the outcome of the work and revised technical tables will be circulated for comments in the coming days.

#### **Further trilogues**

The next trilogue has been scheduled for 12 April, with a further trilogue on 16 May. Additional trilogues might be scheduled if need be.

The Presidency will hold a Working Party meeting on 25 April to prepare for the May trilogue.



## Date: 27 March 2017 - overview table for 4th trilogue on 28 March 2017

<u>Only CRR lines</u> will be for validation at the trilogue, as the Presidency is still receiving some comments from MS.

Ctatus	Line numbers in CTC tables	Line numbers in CDD table
Status		Line numbers in CRR table
Lines for validation	505 to 514, 517, 520, 522 to 524  [To be validated after all MS' comments have been collected]	82 89-91 125
Lines remaining open due to EP comments	440-444	60, 63, 65, 67, 70, 82
Lines remaining open due to MS comments	66, 74, 81, 114, 116, 120, 397, 401, 402, 405, 423, 435, 444, 488, 490, 501, 518, 525-526  [To be reviewed after all MS' comments have been collected]	85, 86, 110-112, 114, 121,
Lines remaining open due to outstanding technical work or to (connection to) political issues	<ul><li>54</li><li>61</li><li>65</li></ul>	24 26

I	,	,
	73	57
	80	59 to 61
	82 to 87	84
	96 to 104	
	110 to 113	120
	121	171
	123 and 124	278-283
	127	
	129 and 130	304, 305, 306
	374 to 377	310, 311
	386	355
	391 to 394	
	411, 414 (0 419, 425-426-	365
		381
	467, 485, 488, 494, 498, 504, 519, 521, 525 to 527a	
Lines already validated on 7 March 2017	50 to 53	9
Emes an eady variables on 7 March 2017	55 to 60	10a
	62 to 64	21 to 22a
	67 to 72	33
	75 to 79	36
	105 to 109	41 to 46
	117 to 119	48 to 56
	122	58
	125 and 126	76
	378 to 385	80
	387 to 390	92
	395	98
	396	103
	398-400	108
	404	
	404	116 138
		143 to 146
		150
		153 to 156
		165
		169 and 170
		196
		224