Dear Ms. Da Silva,

We refer to your letter dated 07/03/2019 in which you make a request for access to documents, registered on 08/03/2019 under the above mentioned reference number.

You requested a list of lobby meetings between DG HOME and Google or its intermediaries since November 2014, the respective minutes and preparatory documents of these meetings, and all the correspondence exchange between DG HOME and Google since November 2014.

We refer to the definition of a “lobby meeting” for defining the scope of your request, by reference to the definition given in Article 2 of the Commission decision on the publication of information on meetings held between Directors-General of the Commission and organisations or self-employed individuals (2014/838/EU, Euratom) and the Commission decision on the publication of information on meetings held between Members of the Commission and organisations or self-employed individuals (2014/839/EU, Euratom).

As a result, we identified the following meetings:

– Meeting on the 18th of November 2014: Meeting with Google’s representative and DG HOME’ Director General Matthias Ruete
Meeting on the **25th April 2018**: Meeting with Google’s representative and James Morrison, Head of Cabinet of Commissioner King and Julie Ruff, Member of Cabinet for Commissioner King

Meeting on the **19th July 2018**: Meeting with Google’s representative and Commissioner King

Meeting on the **15 February 2019**: Meeting with Google’s representative and Commissioner King in the margins of the Munich Security Conference

For the above-mentioned meetings covered by your request, we regret to inform you that the Commission does not hold any documents related to those meetings, since no briefings, minutes or any other documents were drafted.

As specified in Article 2(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, the right of access as defined in that regulation applies only to existing documents in the possession of the institution. Given that no such documents, corresponding to the description given in your application, are held by the Commission, the Commission is not in a position to fulfil your request.

However, we identified the following documents as relevant for your request:

- **Document 1**: GOOGLE - 08/05/2018 + 14/05/2018- Invitation to Global Internet Forum to Counter Terrorism (GIFCT) 08/06/2018 at the Google PartnerPlex in Mountain View, CA *(Ares(2018)2448252)*

- **Document 2**: Georgios Rossides (member of cabinet) reply to Google sharing their letter to UK Home Secretary dated on 7 April 2017 *(Ares(2019)3360525)*.

We enclose a copy of the documents requested. You may reuse the documents requested free of charge for non-commercial and commercial purposes provided that the source is acknowledged, that you do not distort the original meaning or message of the document/documents. Please note that the Commission does not assume liability stemming from the reuse.

Pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, access to a document has to be refused if its disclosure would undermine the protection of privacy and the integrity of the individual, in particular in accordance with European Union legislation regarding the protection of personal data.

The applicable legislation in this field is Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (‘Regulation 2018/1725’).

The documents 1,2 to which you have requested access contain personal data in particular names of Google staff members who are not in a management position.

Indeed, Article 3(1) of Regulation 2018/1725 provides that personal data ‘means any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person […].’ The Court of

---

Justice has specified that any information, which by reason of its content, purpose or effect, is linked to a particular person is to be considered as personal data. Please note in this respect that the names, signatures, functions, telephone numbers and/or initials pertaining to staff members of an institution are to be considered personal data.

In its judgment in Case C-28/08 P (Bavarian Lager), the Court of Justice ruled that when a request is made for access to documents containing personal data, the Data Protection Regulation becomes fully applicable.

Pursuant to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, ‘personal data shall only be transmitted to recipients established in the Union other than Union institutions and bodies if [t]he recipient establishes that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest and the controller, where there is any reason to assume that the data subject’s legitimate interests might be prejudiced, establishes that it is proportionate to transmit the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests’. Only if these conditions are fulfilled and the processing constitutes lawful processing in accordance with the requirements of Article 5 of Regulation 2018/1725, can the transmission of personal data occur.

According to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, the European Commission has to examine the further conditions for a lawful processing of personal data only if the first condition is fulfilled, namely if the recipient has established that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. It is only in this case that the European Commission has to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject’s legitimate interests might be prejudiced and, in the affirmative, establish the proportionality of the transmission of the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests.

In your request, you do not put forward any arguments to establish the necessity to have the data in question transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. Therefore, the European Commission does not have to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject’s legitimate interests might be prejudiced.

Notwithstanding the above, please note that there are reasons to assume that the legitimate interests of the data subjects concerned would be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data reflected in the documents, as there is a real and non-hypothetical risk that such public disclosure would harm their privacy and subject them to unsolicited external contacts.

---


5 Whereas this judgment specifically related to Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data, the principles set out therein are also applicable under the new data protection regime established by Regulation 2018/1725.
Consequently, I conclude that, pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, access cannot be granted to the personal data in question; as the need to obtain access thereto for a purpose in the public interest has not been substantiated and there is no reason to think that the legitimate interests of the individual concerned would not be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data.

In case you would disagree with the assessment that the redacted data are personal data which can only be disclosed if such disclosure is legitimate under the applicable rules on the protection of personal data, you are entitled, in accordance with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, to submit a confirmatory application requesting the Commission to review this position.

Such a confirmatory application should be addressed within 15 working days upon receipt of this letter to the Secretariat-General of the Commission at the following address:

European Commission
Secretariat-General
Transparency, Document Management & Access to Documents (SG.C.1) BERL 7/076
B-1049 Bruxelles
or by email to: sg-acc-doc@ec.europa.eu

Yours faithfully,

Paraskevi MICHOU
(e-signed)

Enclosure: 2