Brussels, 17.6.2019 C(2019) 4538 final

Ms Josefina Marti Calle Juan Bravo 62 28006 Madrid Spain

## DECISION OF THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 4 OF THE IMPLEMENTING RULES TO REGULATION (EC) NO 1049/2001<sup>1</sup>

Subject: Your confirmatory applications for access to documents under Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 – GESTDEM 2019/2053

Dear Ms Marti,

I refer to your email of 26 April 2019, registered on 26 April 2019, in which you submit a confirmatory application in accordance with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents<sup>2</sup> (hereafter 'Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001').

On 2 April 2019, you submitted an initial application for access to documents under Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 to the Directorate-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union, in which you requested access to:

- 'a complete list of all meetings held by any member of your team/staff with churches, religious associations or communities, as well as with philosophical and non-confessional organisations, from 1 January 2014 onwards, specifying the status of the organization (church, religious association or community, philosophical association or non-confessional association);
- All documents, including e-mails, minutes, reports or other documents received or drawn up before, during or after the meetings, and any other briefing papers related to these meetings.'

This application was registered under reference number GESTDEM 2019/2053.

Official Journal L 345 of 29.12.2001, p. 94.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Official Journal L 145 of 31.5.2001, p. 43.

By e-mails of 8 April and 26 April 2019, the Directorate-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union sent you two clarification requests and asked you to provide more detailed information that could facilitate the search for the requested documents, based on Article 6(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001. Nonetheless, no clarification was received from you and you submitted a confirmatory application to the Secretariat-General.

Against this background, the European Commission has carried out a renewed, thorough search for meetings and documents that would fall within the scope of your confirmatory application as described above.

Following this renewed search, I confirm that the European Commission does not hold any documents that would correspond to the description given in your application.

Indeed, as specified in Article 2(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, the right of access as defined in that regulation applies only to existing documents in the possession of the institution. I would like to refer in this respect to the judgment of the Court of Justice in Case C-127/13 P (*Strack v European Commission*), according to which '[n]either Article 11 of Regulation 1049/2001 nor the obligation of assistance in Article 6(2) thereof, can oblige an institution to create a document for which it has been asked to grant access but which does not exist'.<sup>3</sup>

The above-mentioned conclusion has been confirmed in Case C-491/15 P (*Typke v European Commission*), where the Court of Justice held that 'the right of access to documents of the institutions applies only to existing documents in the possession of the institution concerned and [...] Regulation No 1049/2001 may not be relied upon to oblige an institution to create a document which does not exist. It follows that [...] an application for access that would require the Commission to create a new document, even if that document were based on information already appearing in existing documents held by it, falls outside the framework of Regulation No 1049/2001'.

Furthermore, the General Court held in Case T-468/16 (*Verein Deutsche Sprache v European Commission*) that there exists a presumption of lawfulness attached to the declaration by the institution asserting that documents do not exist.<sup>5</sup> This presumption continues to apply, unless the applicant can rebut it by relevant and consistent evidence.<sup>6</sup> The Court of Justice, ruling on an appeal in Case C-440/18 P, has recently confirmed these conclusions.<sup>7</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Judgment of the Court of Justice of 2 October 2014, *Strack v European Commission*, C-127/13 P, EU:C:2014:2250, paragraph 46.

Judgment of the Court of Justice of 11 January 2017, *Typke v European Commission*, C-491/15 P, EU:C:2017:5, paragraph 31.

Judgment of the General Court of 23 April 2018, *Verein Deutsche Sprache v European Commission*, T-468/16, EU:T:2018:207, paragraphs 35-36.

<sup>6</sup> Ibid.

Order of the Court of Justice of 30 January 2019, *Verein Deutsche Sprache v Commission*, C-440/18 P, EU:C:2019:77, paragraph 14.

Given that the European Commission does not hold any documents corresponding to the description given in your application, it is not in a position to fulfil your request.

Finally, I draw your attention to the means of redress available against this decision. You may either bring proceedings before the General Court or file a complaint with the European Ombudsman under the conditions specified respectively in Articles 263 and 228 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union.

Yours sincerely,

CERTIFIED COPY For the Secretary-General,

Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU
Director of the Registry
EUROPEAN COMMISSION

For the Commission Martin SELMAYR Secretary-General