Final

Compliance, E-Government and Health Expert Subgroup meeting
31 January 2019
Premises of the European Data Protection Board, Brussels

Items
1. Welcome - Adoption of the Agenda
The draft agenda was approved.

2. Art. 40 and 41 GDPR guidelines (adoption)
Rapporteur presented the revised version of the Guidelines.

The members of the expert subgroup then discussed the following issues, presenting, where necessary, their comments:
As a conclusion, the members of the expert subgroup agreed to submit the codes of conduct guidelines for adoption at the February Plenary.

3. Opinion on Standard Contractual clauses (28.2 GDPR)

4. Approval of certification criteria (European Data Protection Seal)

The lead rapporteur presented the workflow. It indicated it will have two phases. Phase one is to collect current certification schemes SAs have in the pipeline. Then work on the methodology for the Board to issue the opinions on draft decisions by the SAs. Same process as the DPIA list.
1. Use of cameras by paramedics

One of the expert subgroup members asked for the groups’ experiences on the use of cameras by paramedics in the course of their functions. One member of the expert subgroup explained that it has a general law forbidding surveillance at work. Can use videocameras for other purposes, such as security, but if it could indirectly monitor the activity of the employees, it is necessary to adopt some guarantees, like an approval from the unions. Have some cases of companies installing these systems for security purposes. Indicated willingness to share these provisions. Another member of the expert subgroup made references to its published guidelines on Google’s glasses. The members of the expert subgroup agreed to share information on this subject.

3. Election knowledge

One of the members of the expert subgroup suggested an exchange of knowledge between members regarding elections. Preventive measures currently being taken in each country. One member indicated that it will share information about that and another one made reference to its national situation.

4. DPIA assessment

Members discussed the methodologies to assess DPIAs in different DPAs.

5. Next meetings and varia
To-do/Next steps

- Rapporteur to revise CoC guidelines and prepare infonote to submit to Plenary in February;
- Approval of certification criteria: clarify whether mandate is necessary when internal guidelines and/or foreseen in the workplan.
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