



EUROPEAN COMMISSION
DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR JUSTICE AND CONSUMERS

Directorate D: Equality and Union citizenship
Unit D3: Union citizenship rights and Free movement
Head of Unit

Brussels,
JUST/D3/SK/mb(2019)s3590276

Alexander Fanta
Schönhauser Allee 6-7
10119 Berlin Germany

*By registered letter with
acknowledgment of receipt*

Advance copy by email: ask+request-6863-addd8880@asktheeu.org

Subject: Access to documents request GESTDEM No 2019/2607

Dear Mr Fanta,

We refer to your e-mail dated 30/04/2019 in which you make a request for access to documents, registered on 03/05/2019 under the above mentioned reference number.

You request access to

- Correspondence, meeting minutes and all other documents relating to two meetings by the Cabinet of Commissioner Vera Jourova with Facebook Ireland on March 26 and April 2, 2019.

Regarding your request, I can inform you that one document corresponds to this request:

Ares(2019)3176340: FLASH: meeting CAB Jourova and FB on changes being made to FB's newsfeed 2/4/19

Having examined the documents requested under the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 regarding public access to documents, I have concluded that they may be partially disclosed.

With respect to the documents produced by the Commission, please note that you may reuse the documents requested free of charge for non-commercial and commercial purposes provided that the source is acknowledged, that you do not distort the original meaning or message of the documents. Please note that the Commission does not assume liability stemming from the reuse.

With respect to the documents originating with the complainant, please note that they are disclosed for information only and cannot be re-used without the agreement of the complainant, who holds a copyright on it. It does not reflect the position of the Commission and cannot be quoted as such.

Some parts of the documents have been blanked out as their disclosure is prevented by exception to the right of access laid down in Article 4 of this Regulation.

The redacted parts of the documents contain personal data, in particular names, functions and contact details of Commission staff and those of the complainant.

Pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, access to a document has to be refused if its disclosure would undermine the protection of privacy and the integrity of the individual, in particular in accordance with European Union legislation regarding the protection of personal data.

Regulation (EU) No 2018/1725 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC ('Regulation 2018/1725') is the applicable legislation in this field.

The documents to which you request access contain personal data, in particular names, functions and contact details of Commission staff and those of the complainant.

Indeed, Article 3(1) of Regulation 2018/1725 provides that personal data 'means any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person [...]'. The Court of Justice has specified that any information, which because of its content, purpose or effect, is linked to a particular person is to be considered as personal data.¹

Please note in this respect that the names, signatures, functions, telephone numbers and/or initials pertaining to staff members of the Commission are to be considered personal data.² In its judgment in case C-28/08 P (Bavarian Lager)³, the Court of Justice ruled that when a request is made for access to documents containing personal data, the Data Protection Regulation becomes fully applicable.⁴

Pursuant to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, 'personal data shall only be transmitted to recipients established in the Union other than Union institutions and bodies if '[t]he recipient establishes that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest and the controller, where there is any reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced, establishes that it is proportionate to transmit the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests'.

¹ Judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 20 December 2017 in Case C-434/16, Peter Nowak v Data Protection Commissioner, request for a preliminary ruling, paragraphs 33-35, ECLI:EU:C:2017:994.

² Judgment of the General Court of 19 September 2018 in case T-39/17, Port de Brest v Commission, paragraphs 43-44, ECLI:EU:T:2018:560.

³ Judgment of 29 June 2010 in Case C-28/08 P, European Commission v The Bavarian Lager Co. Ltd, EU:C:2010:378, paragraph 59.

⁴ Whereas this judgment specifically related to Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data, the principles set out therein are also applicable under the new data protection regime established by Regulation 2018/1725.

Only if these conditions are fulfilled and the processing constitutes lawful processing in accordance with the requirements of Article 5 of Regulation 2018/1725, can the transmission of personal data occur.

According to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, the Commission has to examine the further conditions for a lawful processing of personal data only if the first condition is fulfilled, namely if the recipient has established that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. It is only in this case that the Commission has to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced and, in the affirmative, establish the proportionality of the transmission of the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests.

In your request, you do not put forward any arguments to establish the necessity to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. Therefore, the Commission does not have to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced.

Notwithstanding the above, please note that there are reasons to assume that the legitimate interests of the data subjects concerned would be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data reflected in the documents, as there is a real and non-hypothetical risk that such public disclosure would harm their privacy and subject them to unsolicited external contacts.

Consequently, I conclude that, pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, access cannot be granted to the personal data, as the need to obtain access thereto for a purpose in the public interest has not been substantiated and there is no reason to think that the legitimate interests of the individuals concerned would not be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data concerned.

In case you would disagree with the assessment that the redacted data are personal data which can only be disclosed if such disclosure is legitimate under the applicable rules on the protection of personal data, you are entitled, in accordance with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, to submit a confirmatory application requesting the Commission to review this position.

Such a confirmatory application should be addressed within 15 working days upon receipt of this letter to the Secretariat-General of the Commission at the following address:

European Commission Secretariat-General Unit C.1. 'Transparency, Document Management and Access to Documents' BERL 7/076 B-1049 Bruxelles

or by email to: sg-acc-doc@ec.europa.eu.

Yours sincerely,

,

Marie-Hélène BOULANGER

