

(CAB-VON DER LEYEN)

From: [REDACTED] (CAB-VON DER LEYEN)
Sent: lundi 19 avril 2021 12:20
To: [REDACTED]@wwf.eu'
Cc: [REDACTED] (CAB-VON DER LEYEN)
Subject: RE: WWF - Follow up

Dear [REDACTED]
Kurt would like to thank you for your e-mail, of which I herewith acknowledge receipt.
We have shared it with our colleagues involved.
Have a lovely day !
Kind regards,
[REDACTED]



European Commission

Cabinet of President Ursula von der Leyen
200 Rue de la Loi
Berlaymont (BERL) [REDACTED]
1049 Brussels/Belgium

[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu
<http://ec.europa.eu/research>

We would like to highlight that for reasons of public transparency, Commissioners and their Cabinets only meet organisations or self-employed individuals that are registered in the EU's Transparency Register (see here: <http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister>). I would invite you to register if you have not yet done so, and send us your registration number if you wish to request a meeting.

DISCLAIMER

"The views expressed are purely those of the writer and may not in any circumstances be regarded as stating an official position of the European Commission."

From: [REDACTED]@wwf.eu>
Sent: Friday, April 16, 2021 9:46 AM
To: [REDACTED] (CAB-VON DER LEYEN) <[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu>
Cc: [REDACTED]@wwf.eu>; [REDACTED] (CAB-VON DER LEYEN) <[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu>
Subject: WWF - Follow up

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you again for the open discussion yesterday.

You will find below additional details on some of the key content points Tycho and I raised yesterday, including links to recent reports and briefings.

I hope this is helpful, we look forward to continuing the dialogue on these files in the coming weeks and months.

Have a nice day,
Ester

Taxonomy

- Given the high-profile nature of the taxonomy, a greenwashed DA would deal a huge blow to the credibility of the European Commission and Green Deal. **We believe three sectors are the worst in the leaked proposals; fossil gas, forestry and bioenergy. Where possible, we would call for their removal from the proposal to give more time and consideration.**
- We are especially worried about the forestry criteria and wrote a [letter on 9 April with our assessment](#), alongside a large list of other signatories. In case forestry criteria are kept in, we are calling to for significant changes in comparison to the leaked proposals. **The 25ha loophole would cover 2/3 of forest owners as green without doing anything in addition, and must thus be decreased. The additionality criteria should be maintained and the climate benefit analysis should happen over a 20 year timeline instead of 30** (as the latter puts us beyond 2050). We are happy to provide further input if necessary.

[REDACTED]

- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]

[Redacted]

- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]
- [Redacted]



--

 | **WWF European Policy Office**

123 Rue du Commerce, 1000 Brussels, Belgium

Email:  [@wwf.eu](mailto: @wwf.eu) |  | www.wwf.eu