Dear [Personal data]

As requested in your e-mail below, please find more detailed minutes of the meeting in the following.

**In short the main points** of the farmers representatives were:

- Boosting production to ensure global food security is essential.
- The F2F strategy needs to be revised and farmers should be subjected to fewer conditions.
- The high input costs are a problem if it is unclear at what prices the crops can be sold in a few months’ time.
- Uncertainty about fertiliser prices makes it difficult to plan cropping for 2023.
- National issues (wolves and drought in Spain, refugees in Poland).
- General discontent with the Commission and DG AGRI (in their insistence on the Green Deal and limited financial support).

And the main points in the reply of our Commissioner were:

- We are prepared to support Ukraine.
- We know production costs are high, esp. because of fertiliser, and we have a support mechanism.
- We are also monitoring the situation regarding food security.
- We made exceptions to address short-term challenges, but we cannot ignore long-term considerations.
- Some parts of the F2F strategy have no impact on food security or even increase efficiency.
- The Green Deal will be implemented via national strategic plans and in a fair way.
- The financial framework for the CAP is the result of a a decision by the 27 MSs and can only be changed by them.

**Detailed minutes:**

[Personal data]: The EU realised how important food security is and how important it is to protect supply chains. Using fallow land goes in the right direction. Farmers are suffering because of price hikes of all inputs. Farmers also worry about the next CAP; national plans and measures on GAEC (esp. for crop rotation) are of utmost concern. The Commission has to show flexibility and adapt so
that farmers can persevere; the F2F strategy should be adapted as it dates from before COVID and the war.

Commissioner: I would like to outline the Commission’s position on crisis management, state aid and the CAP process... [Read-out of the briefing, with some omissions to shorten the speech.]

**Personal data**: Farmers need certainty regarding the strategic plans; we need decisions to be able to start work now (e.g. we need to know if we have crop diversification or rotation) and we need extraordinary measures. In Spain there is also a problem with large carnivores (i.e. wolves). We need to be able to hunt carnivores, but without a reform of the directive, we cannot do so; then we need to take matters in our own hands.

**Personal data**: The decision on fallow lands is good and hopefully helps producing more grain. Regarding the package of 500 million, 350 million of that is farmers’ money that will not be re-distributed later. We are also concerned about fertiliser for 2023 where we extraordinary price increases; bio-gas will not replace the needed gas. Currently the high prices are driving farmers out of production. This raises questions around the timing and speed of F2F – what is critical at the moment is food security. We also have to have a look again at retailers and their pricing.

Question sent in writing: Will an IA be made on the suspension of import duties?

**Personal data**: F2F contained good approaches, but the situation changed. We have a drop in food production and increasing prices. The Commission must re-consider its F2F and Biodiversity strategies. We have a responsibility regarding the rest of the world to produce more food in Europe.

**Personal data**: Regarding fertilisers, this is a very important issue and we hope on more support. PL took in a great number of refugees, but the EU does not seem to want to support us. We have 2 m refugees, we want to continue our solidarity, but it is scandalous what is happening. We opened our borders and agreed with SANTE to send products from Ukraine via PL to third countries. And the only thing is import duties are addressed.

**Personal data**: For increased resilience we must ensure the food supply chain operates optimally. Farmers will need another package next year when fertiliser prices are still high. How will the Commission ensure food production in future? We go into the food crisis and at same time international fertiliser companies record all-time profits. What are you going to do to stop this robbery? Dirty structures must be destroyed.

Representative from IT: Farmers are deeply concerned. We are called to produce more cereals and contribute to reduce the cost of feed for our livestock production. But our inputs are very expensive (seeds, gas, diesel). We are not sure whether we will be able to recover the money we are spending. EU farmers need more help. It is not enough to say that we have to produce more. Today cereals are produced at very high costs. We need guarantees for the prices of wheat in June and of maize in September.

**Personal data**: We condemn Putin’s regime and support solidarity with Ukraine. Certain rules regarding green payments have to be relaxed. Food has to be secured for EU
citizens. In times of war this becomes even more important, i.e. additional measures are needed. In Spain there is also drought, so we would like to ask the Commission to release funds from rural development FEDER so that they can help farmers going through the current situation.

We are preparing for 2023 and we need to make decisions regarding our cropping plans. In this context access to energy is important; we cannot risk interruptions to our cropping cycles. It is important to be able to rely on processing, too. The energy policies are not in line with our needs. Can we count on the Commission to remedy this?

Commissioner:

- It is my understanding that you have a representative of Ukraine with you. I want to express our complete solidarity. This week I visited the Baltic states where I was also able to speak with the Ukrainian agricultural minister, how we could help. This Russian aggression has profoundly affected Ukrainian production where losses will be considerable. Ukrainian farmers continue to work, despite putting their lives on the line. There are many problems and yet Ukraine is still present on international markets. Ukraine is in possession of feed that they could export, but port facilities were destroyed and the railway transport situation is challenging. We are prepared to support them.

- Regarding the suspension of import duties, the Commission has decided to grant this for one year, for food.

- We know that production costs are increasing. We know that this crisis and the increase in fertiliser prices is huge, so we have to take measures. We have to put in place a support mechanism for a war context. Two countries already set up a support system, PL and ES. We are waiting for requests from other countries. This support is necessary but it is in the hands of the MSs.

- Regarding crisis management measures, the crisis reserve is not sufficient but it will be supplemented by direct payments.

- Regarding the CAP budget, MSs decided on the budget as it currently stands.

- Regarding support measures, we are taking a very close look at the F2F strategy and food security measures and we continuously monitor the impact of the strategies on food security and the agri-food sector. The decision concerning fallow land is a result of our monitoring as excluding 40 m hectares would have an impact on food security. In the long-term it would be beneficial to sustainability, but in this exceptional context farmers have to have the possibility to use these areas.

- Other parts of the F2F strategy have no impact on food security (e.g. animal welfare), so they do not need to be addressed or changed. Or if we do not have e.g. precision farming in the strategic plans, they will have to be adapted. (There is also the possibility in the second pillar to have investments into technologies.) This would allow us to use less fertilisers and be more productive. These types of measures are not detrimental to production; they increase efficiency. We must not abandon the organic sector. This is something that consumers want, but it is also in the interest of farmers.

- The Green Deal will be realised because it is enshrined in the national strategic plans, which will be approved and validated on the basis of the binding elements of the legislation. Of course we have environmental measures, but these national plans affect pesticide limits for the use of the products and we need to adjust differences between MSs; some MSs have higher than average use of pesticides, while in others it is below. So we should not put the same reduction requirement on each country because this is not fair.

- In the Commission Communication on food security, the Commission underlined the