

☒ PUBLIC

☐ CONFIDENTIAL

Type of action

I confirm that the title of the Ombudsman in the correspondence respects [these rules](#). ☐

- ☐ Request Clarifications
 - ☐ Opinion Request
 - ☐ Opinion + Inspection Request
 - ☐ Inspection Request
 - ☒ Observations Request
 - ☐ Report on inspection of files
 - ☐ Further Inquiry
 - ☐ Friendly solution
 - ☐ Draft recommendation
 - ☐ Deferment of deadline
 - ☐ Decision
 - ☐ Further Correspondence
- In case no FC summary, insert *Entrée* number(s) use "from ... to" if multiple references.**
- ☐ 14.3 Article ECGAB
 - ☐ Reply to request for access to documents
 - ☐ Reply to request for review
 - ☐ Reply to service complaint
 - ☐ EDPS Consultation
 - ☐ Other please specify :

Details of correspondence

- ☒ Letter to the complainant
- ☒ Enclosures : please specify [OLAF's detailed opinion](#)
- ☐ CC : please specify name & address

- ☐ Letter to the Institution
 - ☐ Enclosures : please specify
 - ☐ CC : please specify name & address
 - ☐ Direct transmission to Commissioner's cabinet
- Please specify Cabinet Contact Person :

- ☐ Information letter to third parties
- ☐ Enclosures : please specify
- ☐ CC : please specify name & address

Other comments/information :

MALLEA JIMENEZ Juan Manuel

From: PAPADIAS Lambros
Sent: 17 February 2014 22:06
To: MARTINEZ NAVARRO Martin
Subject: RE: New document - Opinion: 1183-2012-MMN-E2014-188058

Yes
Thanks

From: MARTINEZ NAVARRO Martin
Sent: Monday 17 February 2014 21:30
To: PAPADIAS Lambros
Subject: FW: New document - Opinion: 1183-2012-MMN-E2014-188058

Good news... OLAF has done exactly what we told them. Not sure whether the complainant will be satisfied, but objectively speaking OLAF has followed the draft recommendation.

Shall I go ahead and forward this to the complainant?