

MORDUE Simon (ELARG)

From: MORDUE Simon (CAB-FULE)
Sent: Tuesday 12 November 2013 14:35
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Note meeting with DG EMPL

Dear [REDACTED]

I apologise but for this, you will really have to turn directly to EMPL. I am simply do not have access to all the details of the direct follow-up given to the information you shared with EMPL in your meeting with them and the information/details which I passed on to them following your emails with me. I have done my utmost to ensure they are aware of the concerns you have but there are limits in terms of my role and function here as to what involvement I can continue to have.

The best is probably to speak directly with the head of unit [REDACTED]

I would wish to signal however that I had not read the information you had sent me on 11 June as a request for there to be some formal action by EMPL to restore what you perceived as a breach of privacy.

Again if this is a matter where you feel there is an issue to address, I would request you to follow-up directly with EMPL.

I hope this advice proves helpful.

Simon

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 12:51 PM
To: MORDUE Simon (CAB-FULE)
Subject: Re: Note meeting with DG EMPL

Thanks Simon,

But I would need to know for sure what administrative follow up EMPL gave.

Both on the breach of privacy and [REDACTED]

On 12 November 2013 12:37, <Simon.MORDUE@ec.europa.eu> wrote:

I can confirm that the information you have provided, be it to Catherine or be it to myself, at different points in time has been passed on to DG EMPL who I believe have also [REDACTED]

Simon

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2013 12:27 PM
To: MORDUE Simon (CAB-FULE)

Subject: Re: Note meeting with DG EMPL

Simon,

I would like to know what follow up was given to our discussion of 11 June, and my related mail, concerning the breach of privacy rules by DG EMPL as well as their limited reporting of the meeting I had with them (at your request).

Also I would like to know if the information I sent on 28 May concerning [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] as you told me that you had suggested DG EMPL to do.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

<http://againstchildtrafficking.org>

ACT fully depends on private funding.
We are entirely grateful for donations so that we can continue and expand our work

Please follow us on Twitter@ACT_ACT

On 11 June 2013 17:23,

[@gmail.com](#)> wrote:

Simon,

Again, as discussed and as requested - see attached.

This note was not copied to me, which I think should have been done - if I am considered a civil servant.

I also think that my name should have been blackened, when giving out this document.

Furthermore, this note gives a very limited version of what was discussed. Indeed, it was DG EMPL that hammered constantly on 'trafficking'. However, I explained the whole background, including [REDACTED]

Also during this meeting, I was shown no documents, like for example the reaction of the Romanian authorities.

[REDACTED]

MORDUE Simon (ELARG)

From: [REDACTED]@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday 11 June 2013 17:24
To: MORDUE Simon (CAB-FULE)
Subject: Note meeting with DG EMPL
Attachments: NOTE EMPL [REDACTED].pdf

Simon,

Again, as discussed and as requested - see attached.

This note was not copied to me, which I think should have been done - if I am considered a civil servant.

I also think that my name should have been blackened, when giving out this document.

Furthermore, this note gives a very limited version of what was discussed. Indeed, it was DG EMPL that hammered constantly on 'trafficking'. However, I explained the whole background, including [REDACTED].

Also during this meeting, I was shown no documents, like for example the reaction of the Romanian authorities.



COMMISSION EUROPÉENNE
DG Emploi, affaires sociales et inclusion

Économie sociale de marché dans les États membres II : FSE
Roumanie, Bulgarie, Malte

Bruxelles,
EMPL/F5/ [redacted] Ares(2013)

ARES 23. 01. 2013

N° 84302

NOTE POUR LE DOSSIER

Objet: Rencontre avec [redacted] au sujet de [redacted]

Le 22 Janvier 2013, trois représentants de la DG EMPL/F5 ont rencontré

Les informations fournies par [redacted] ont fait référence aux [redacted]
[redacted] pendant la période de préadhésion [redacted]
et semblent être crédibles. Pourtant, elle [redacted]
[redacted]

[redacted] suppose que [redacted]
[redacted]

Dans ces conditions, il ne m'est pas possible de prendre une mesure financière négative à l'égard du projet en question.

Je préconise de faire un audit approfondi sur les activités et les résultats du projet où [redacted]. Les modalités devront être discutées avec les services concernés de la Commission et de la Roumanie.

En l'absence d'intérêt financier autre que ce projet, il n'est pas possible [redacted]. Toutefois, il est toujours possible de demander [redacted]
[redacted], ce qui sort des compétences de la DG Emploi.

