According to the article 21(1) of the TCO Regulation “Member States shall collect from their competent authorities and the hosting service providers under their jurisdiction and send to the Commission by 31 March of every year information about the actions they have taken in accordance with this Regulation in the previous calendar year”

Please find below the information from Finland under art.21(1). The data refers to year 2023.

(a) the number of removal orders issued and the number of items of terrorist content which have been removed or access to which has been disabled and the speed of the removal or disabling:

ANSWER:

the number of removal orders issued in Finland in 2023: 0

the number of items of terrorist content which have been removed or access to which has been disabled and the speed of the removal or disabling in 2023: 0

(b) the specific measures taken pursuant to Article 5, including the number of items of terrorist content which have been removed or access to which has been disabled and the speed of the removal or disabling;

ANSWER: specific measures taken pursuant to Article 5 in Finland: 0

the number of items of terrorist content which have been removed or access to which has been disabled and the speed of the removal or disabling: 0

(c) the number of access requests issued by competent authorities regarding content preserved by hosting service providers pursuant to Article 6;

ANSWER: the number of access requests issued in Finland 2023: 0

(d) the number of complaint procedures initiated and actions taken by the hosting service providers pursuant to Article 10;

ANSWER: number of complaint procedures initiated and actions taken by the hosting service providers pursuant to Article 10 in Finland: 0

(e) the number of administrative or judicial review proceedings initiated and decisions taken by the competent authority in accordance with national law.

ANSWER: the number of administrative or judicial review proceedings initiated in Finland in 2023: 0
Article 23 (Member States’ obligation to provide the Commission with information necessary for the drafting of the evaluation report)

- Have you taken any enforcement measures (sanctions) against HSPs for infringing the Regulation? Against which HSPs? For which reason/infringement of the Regulation?

  ANSWER: No.

- How many removal orders did you decide to scrutinise pursuant to Article 4? For which reason? What did you base your decision to scrutinise on?

  ANSWER: 0. We did not receive any removal orders targeted to Finnish HSPs.

- On referrals
  - How many were issued?
    ANSWER: 1
  - Which HSPs were targeted?
    ANSWER: Odysee
  - How many of these referrals were acted upon by HSPs?
    ANSWER: 1
  - If these referrals were not acted upon, did you follow up with removal orders? In how many cases?

    ANSWER: Odysee is a USA based hosting service provider that we approached with a referral concerning one channel that was disseminating right-wing extremist material. First Odysee replied that the channel was not breaking any of their Terms of Service. After a thorough explanation on our part about why the material was against their ToS the service provider decided to moderate the channel’s visibility by hiding it from European IP addresses.

    - Have you noticed any increase in responsiveness to referrals compared to the situation prior to the TCO? If so, why do you think HSPs are more responsive to referrals now?

      ANSWER: No.

- How many imminent threat to life notifications have you received under Article 14(5)?

  ANSWER: 7

  From which HSPs?

  ANSWER: Amazon (Twitch), Google (YouTube), Discord.

  What was the follow-up to these notifications?

  ANSWER: The notifications were forwarded to the competent local police for further action.