











BTO report of meeting of the ISG on cloning (28/08/2012)

Present:

 (SECGEN),  (DG AGRI),  (DG TRADE)
 and  (DG SANCO)

Subject: Examination of GHK draft revised interim report (16.08.2012)

Main comments from other DGs:

- All participants in favour of keeping the approach foreseen by the terms of reference of the study: assessment of each individual measure of the 4 approaches (suspension, traceability, traceability and labelling, pre-market approval).
- 
- 
- 
- The findings and data collected during GHK interviews should be integrated in the report and be used to elaborate and assess possible measures: 

- Economic impacts and cost estimates are missing at this stage (intended for final report only).

Conclusions

- 

- GHK report should integrate data and findings collected by GHK and elaborate measures and scenario to be assessed; therefore the report needs to be largely revised.
- The timeline for the draft final report (end of August) and of final report (end of September) is not compatible with the amount of work necessary to provide a valuable report (to provide more time to GHK).
- The Steering Group advises to give more time to the consultant to improve the report in order to ensure that appropriate information is made available to write a good Impact Assessment. In the absence of such information, delays are likely to occur at a later stage.
- Next meeting of the IASG on Wednesday 5/09 planned to examine i) the draft final report sent by GHK, ii) the data collected by GHK on traceability aspects [REDACTED]

