3

From: SAASTAMOINEN Salla (JLS)

Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2009 5:14 PM

To: (JLS); BRUN Alain (JLS)

Cc: (JLS); (JLS)

Subject: RE: Adoption - UIA -revised text

Alain,

Here are replies to the questions and information on the state of play:

1) the precise number and list of ONG we are supporting: 10 participating and 3 speakers as follows:

Non-governmental invitees (NGOs and academia) - 10 confirmed (+ 3 NGOs as speakers, in total 13)

- 1) Adoption UK (proposed by CoE)
- 2) EFA, Enfance et Familles d'adoption (proposed by CoE)
- 3) BAAF, British Association for Adoption and Fostering (proposed by CoE)
- 4) Euroadopt (CoE and COM, cooperation in relation to study)
- 5) ChildONEurope (CoE and COM, cooperation in relation to study)
- 6) Nordic Adoption Council (COM, cooperation in relation to study)
- 7) ADOC, the Adoption Triangle Research Centre at the Leiden University (COM, cooperation)
- 8) Coordinamento Coppie Adottive Bulgaria (COM, cooperation in time of ex-VP Frattini)
- 9) Amici dei Bambini (COM, cooperation in time of ex-VP Frattini)
- 10) COORDINAMENTO NAZIONALE "AMICI DELL'ADOZIONE (COM, cooperation in time of ex-VP Frattini)

In addition, 3 above-mentioned NGOs reimbursed as speakers (in that case, no other, separate representative was invited):

- 11) International Social Service (Coe and COM, cooperation in relation to study)
- 12) Espace adoption (CoE and COM, cooperation in relation to study)
- 13) Terres des Hommes (CoE and COM, cooperation in relation to study)
- 2) The list of ong we are not financing and which are registered:

## NON-REIMBURSED PARTICIPATING ENTITIES (NGOs, academia, int. organisations) - 1 or

more representatives confirmed

- 1) AGAINST CHILD TRAFFICKING
- 2) ATTRAVERSO IL MONDO PER UN SORRISO
- 3) ASESORÍA DE ADOPCIONES (ADA)- ADOPTIONSBERATUNG e.V
- 4) ASSOCIATION "BIRUINTA" (VICTORY)
- 5) CIAI Centro Italiano Aiuti all'Infanzia
- 6) INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION OF CIVIL STATUS /COMMISSION INTERNATIONAL DE L'ETAT CIVIL
- 7) DEFENSEUR DES ENFANTS
- 8) FONDAZIONE PATRIZIA NIDOLI
- 9) FOR THE CHILDREN S.O.S.
- 10) INTERNATIONAL ADOPTION ASSOCIATION
- 11) MASIANDAY PEACE FOUNDATION
- 12) SAVE THE CHILDREN FINLAND
- 13) UNITED ADOPTEES INTERNATIONAL
- 14) Hogeschool of Maastricht and the London Metropolitan University
- 15) University of Bath
- 16) University of Amsterdam
- 17) Moscow State University (Law Faculty)
- 18) Texas Wesleyan University (School of Law)

## 3) If it would be possible to support them as well, the cost of it and how: did we receive request for support?

I would find it difficult to finance all the rest of participants, at least 18 more. This means that again we would need to make a selection which additional NGOs/academia are chosen. We have made a specific contract with Tipik with 200.000 euros, which can cover only the planned 70-80 reimbursed participants. The limit of 200.000 euros was in the permission of A.5 to use the Framework Contract. It was also the basic amount mentioned in the AWP2009 for joint conferences. If we would/could invest more money, we would need to amend all these decisions.

We received so far 2 requests or inquiries for support and then 3rd request through C.Day:

- Roelie Post, NL, "againstchildtrafficking.org" (Have registered without reimbursement)
- Rupert Wolfe Murray (journalist, RO/UK)
- United Adoptees International (Have registered without reimbursement)

## 4) r guidance on how to answer the questions received very recently from not supported ong, requesting information on which ong we are supporting.

As discussed, we would be for disclosing the reimbursed organisations, after having informed them and after having discussed with the CoE. I have found out that the transparency has been the best LTT e.g. with questions on who participates to the expert groups etc.

## 5) If the dilemma pro/con Al is pertinent in light of the expected discussions?

I consider that this is not a pertinent question for the conference. We will not discuss, whether the international adoptions are good or bad, but the questions, if there is an internation adoption, how it should be best arranged from the point of view of the child. In addition, the first day of the conference focuses totally on the national legislation on adoption, not on international adoptions.

Here is again the general picture of funding/non-funding particants. The current list of participants is attached; there are almost 150 persons coming, N.B. several organisations send several participants on their own cost.