From: [Email Address] (TRADE)
Sent: 2 May 2017 11:17
To: [Email Address] (TRADE)
Subject: W: Tax discrimination in the Japanese tobacco market
Attachments: 8072013 BAT_Japan_Excise_Tax>Note.docx; Japan translation of Article 11 of the Tobacco Tax Law.docx; MOF's website for Tobacco Excise (Eng Translation).pptx; Manufactured Tobacco List Price Law_ENG_20130722_Rev4.docx; Official Gazzete_ENG_20130722.docx

Importance: igh

From: [Email Address]@bat.com
Sent: Monday, July 29, 2013 8:53 AM
To: [Email Address] (TRADE)
Cc: [Email Address]@bat.com
Subject: Fw: Tax discrimination in the Japanese tobacco market
Importance: High

Dear [Name],

British American Tobacco Japan were able to find a copy of the [Official Gazette from April 1983]. Please note below for your file a translation of:

1) Manufactured Tobacco List Price Law
2) the associated "list" of the 3rd Grade Products published in the Official Gazette in April 1983 by Japan Tobacco Corporation.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards

----- Forwarded by [Email Address]/London/GB/BAT on 29/07/2013 07:47 -----

From: [Email Address]/London/GB/BAT
To: [Email Address]@ec.europa.eu
Cc: [Email Address]@KSLAW.com>
/London/GB/BAT@BAT
Date: 19/07/2013 08:56
Subject: Tax discrimination in the Japanese tobacco market

Dear [Name],

As discussed during last week's meeting, we want to bring to your attention a problem of tax discrimination in the Japanese tobacco market.

As you can see from the attached translation of the relevant provisions of Japanese law, Japan's Tobacco Tax Law (TTL) provides for a significantly reduced excise tax rate on cigarettes
previously classified as Grade 3 or “Third Grade” products (see TTL, Supplementary Provisions, Art. 2). This reduced tax rate, which applies only to certain of Japan Tobacco’s (JT) products, closes an entire market segment from import competition and unduly discriminates against imported like products. The attached slides taken from the Japanese government’s website demonstrate the clear discrimination for these listed products.

By way of background, I recall that under the monopoly system, a “Grade”-based system was adopted for cigarettes, with 56.5% of the actual tax rate being levied on Grade 1 products, 55.5% on Grade 2 products and 44.5% on Grade 3 products. At the end of the monopoly in 1985, there was a move to establish a consolidated tax rate; however, for those brands which were classified as Grade 3 products at that time, the tax rate was frozen in order to avoid burdening these Grade 3 products with a significant tax increase. Raising the tax rate on the Grade 3 products by a considerable margin was considered to be difficult given that such brands were favoured primarily by elderly people. Since this reduced tax rate is a measure that is applied only to six specific brands that were sold during the monopoly era, it is not available to the products of any manufacturer other than JT, i.e., foreign manufacturers (including our company) which have entered the market since the monopoly was abolished. These very low-priced JT products were originally expected to disappear from the market. However, they have shown the most dramatic rate of growth among all market segments, stealing market share from imported products. They currently have a more than 4% market share and are increasingly popular as a result of their price position.

As further explained in the attached short legal analysis, Japan’s discriminatory excise tax for domestic Third Grade brands violates Japan's obligations under GATT Article III:2, first and second sentence as imported products are taxed in excess of “like” domestic products and are in any case subject to dissimilar taxation imposed “so as to afford protection” to domestic “directly competitive or substitutable” Third Grade products. All of the favourably treated Third Grade products are domestic, and can only be domestic given the closed nature of the 1985 list, while all of the imported brands are subject to the higher taxes. An entire market segment of very low priced cigarettes, created as a result of the two-tier excise tax system, remains entirely closed to imported products.

As noted during our meeting, harmonising the tax treatment for this segment would increase government revenues by 660 million USD. Furthermore, exports of cigarettes from the EU to Japan are significant both in volume and in value and there is great growth potential if the last vestiges of the monopoly period, such as this favourable tax treatment for former Third Grade products, can be rescinded.

We look forward to discussing this matter further with you and would be pleased to provide you with any additional factual or legal information you may require in order to be able to successfully raise this matter with the government of Japan.

Kind regards,

[Article 4.1(b)]

Finally, also attached is a translation of the Minister of Finance web page on tobacco taxation. As you will note, the Minister of Finance explicitly lists the former 3rd Grade Products in Note 5.