



EUROPEAN UNION - BASHKIMI EVROPIAN

DELEGATION IN ALBANIA
DELEGACIONI NË SHQIPËRI

EVALUATION REPORT

PUBLICATION REF: EuropeAid/129600/C/SER/AL

Design and Tender Documents for Sewerage Systems for Vlora and Ksamil and Works Supervision for Vlora, Ksamil, Kavaja, Lezha/Shengjin

Maximum budget: EUR 1,800,000.00

Observers
Evaluation
- Technical evaluation
- Financial evaluation
Conclusion
Signatures

Annexes:

- (1) Tender opening report
- (2) Administrative compliance grid
- (3) Evaluation grids completed by the individual evaluators
- (4) Summary of average scores, technical and financial score and conclusion
- (5) Attendance records

1. Timetable

	DATE	TIME	VENUE
Meeting 1	06 July 2010	10:00 am local time	EU Delegation, Tirana
Meeting 2	07 July 2010	10:00 am local time	EU Delegation, Tirana
Meeting 3	08 July 2010	10:00 am local time	EU Delegation, Tirana
Meeting 4	09 July 2010	10:00 am local time	EU Delegation, Tirana

2. Observers

Not applicable.

3. Evaluation

Administrative Compliance

The Evaluation Committee used the Administrative compliance grid included in the tender dossier to assess the compliance of each of the tenders with the administrative requirements of the tender dossier.

Evaluation report/ 129600/C/SER/AL

The completed Administrative compliance grid is attached. On the basis of this, the Evaluation Committee decided that all tenders were administratively compliant and should be considered further.

Technical Evaluation

All voting members of the Evaluation Committee used the evaluation grid included in the tender dossier to assess the technical offers of the tenders which had been established as being administratively compliant in the Tender opening report. The completed evaluation grids are attached to this report, together with a summary of the evaluators' comments on the strengths and weaknesses of the technical offers.

The evaluators discussed their comments on the technical offers.

The technical offer proposed by tenderer no 1, **Nicholas O'Dwyer Ltd. [IE]**, does not meet the minimum requirements set in the tender dossier. In particular:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The technical offer proposed by tenderer no 2, **NIRAS A/S [DK]** in consortium with **Ramboll Danmark A/S [DK]** and **Vizion Project [Kosovo]**, does not meet the minimum requirements set in the tender dossier. In particular:

[REDACTED]

The technical offer proposed by tenderer no 3, **Fichtner Water & Transportation GmbH [DE]** in consortium with **Albanian Environmental Consulting shpk (AEC) [AL]**, does not meet the minimum requirements set in the tender dossier. In particular:

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

The technical offer proposed by tenderer no 7, **Jennings O'Donovan & Partners, Consulting Engineers [IE]** in consortium with **J.B. Barry & Partners Ltd [GB]**, does not meet the minimum requirements set in the tender dossier. In particular:

[REDACTED]

This constitutes a reason for rejection of the offers no 1, 2, 3 and 7 in accordance with Section 3.3.10.3 sixth paragraph of "Practical Guide to contract procedures for EC external actions" (PRAG).

[REDACTED]

Evaluation report/ 129600/C/SER/AL

The final average scores of the administratively compliant tenders and the technical scores of the tenders that were subject to the technical evaluation were as follows:

Tender envelope number	Tenderer Name	Final average score	Technical score (score/eliminated)
4	CES Consulting Engineers Salzgitter GmbH [DE] in consortium with Dorsch Consult Wasser und Umwelt GmbH [DE] and IncoWest Ingenieure + Architekten [DE]	86.33	86.62
5	SAFEGE [FR] in consortium with IRD [IT]	99.67	100.00
6	Grontmij Carl Bro A/S [DK] in consortium with - FCG Finnish Consulting Group [FI] - Plan-Consult Sh.p.k. [AL] - HUK-Projekt [AL]	80.00	80.27
8	Louis Berger [FR]	80.33	80.60

Only those tenders with final average scores of at least 80 points qualify for the financial evaluation.

Financial Evaluation

The envelopes containing the financial offer of the technically compliant tenderers were opened and all copies were initialled by the Chairperson. The Evaluation Committee checked that the financial offers satisfied the formal requirements of the tender dossier.

The Financial offer submitted by tenderer [REDACTED] did not include the Estimated number of working days and the Fee rate of the Non Key experts. The Evaluation Committee agreed to proceed with the evaluation and ask for clarifications should this offer be recommended for the award of the contract.

The Evaluation Committee checked the financial offers for arithmetic errors and that the provision for actual expenditure included in the tender dossier was correctly included in the budget breakdowns. No errors were detected.

For the financial offers, the contract value was compared to the maximum budget available for the contract.

The Evaluation Committee compared the total fees in the remaining financial offer to calculate their financial score:

Tender envelope number	Tenderer name	Total fees €	Financial score
4	CES Consulting Engineers Salzgitter GmbH [DE] in consortium with Dorsch Consult Wasser und Umwelt GmbH [DE] and IncoWest Ingenieure + Architekten [DE]	€ 1,641,300.00 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	84.74 9 [REDACTED]
5	SAFEGE [FR] in consortium with IRD [IT]	€ 1,680,250.00 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	92.55

Tender envelope number	Tenderer name	Total fees €	Financial score
6	Grontmij Carl Bro A/S [DK] in consortium with - FCG Finnish Consulting Group [FI] - Plan-Consult Sh.p.k. [AL] - HUK-Projekt [AL]	€ 1,628,634.00 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	95.48
8	Louis Berger [FR]	€ 1,555,000.00 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]	100

4. Conclusion

The composite evaluation of the technically compliant tender was as follows:

Tender envelope number	Tenderer name	Overall score (Technical score x 0.80 + Financial score x 0.20)	Final ranking
4	CES Consulting Engineers Salzgitter GmbH [DE] in consortium with Dorsch Consult Wasser und Umwelt GmbH [DE] and IncoWest Ingenieure + Architekten [DE]	88.25	2
5	SAFEGE [FR] in consortium with IRD [IT]	98.51	1
6	Grontmij Carl Bro A/S [DK] in consortium with - FCG Finnish Consulting Group [FI] - Plan-Consult Sh.p.k. [AL] - HUK-Projekt [AL]	83.31	4
8	Louis Berger [FR]	84.48	3

The Evaluation Committee has ensured that there is no detection of the recommended tenderer or members in their consortium in the Early Warning System (W1-W5).

Consequently, the Evaluation Committee recommends that **SAFEGE [FR]** in consortium with IRD [IT] be awarded the contract with a contract value of **1,737,250.00 EUR** as follows:


Phase 1 - Design

€ [REDACTED]

Phase 2 - Supervision

[REDACTED],

5. Signatures

	Name	Signature
Chairperson		
Secretary		
Evaluators		



Approved by the Contracting Authority:

Name & Signature:

Date:



Helmuth Lohan
Head of Delegation

16 JUL 2010

