
From: [REDACTED] (CLIMA)
Sent: 16 February 2015 11:59
To: [REDACTED]@eib.org); [REDACTED]@eib.org)
Cc: [REDACTED]@eib.org); [REDACTED] (MOVE); [REDACTED] (CLIMA); [REDACTED] (CLIMA)
Subject: FW: CCCS

Dear EIB-colleagues,

Would you have a short suggestion and support on the issues mentioned below? Following our telephone conversation of last Thursday, could you confirm your interest in the project, as well as your willingness to come to a technical meeting with FPI and DG CLIMA to Brussels in the next days?

We have received reconfirmation from the Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology end of last week that they are still much interested in the EU-China CCS/NZEC cooperation.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] (FPI)
Sent: Monday, February 16, 2015 11:49 AM
To: [REDACTED] (CLIMA)
Cc: [REDACTED] (FPI); [REDACTED] (EEAS-BEIJING); [REDACTED] (CLIMA)
Subject: CCCS

Dear [REDACTED],

Thanks a lot for keeping me posted. I just come out of a meeting where I have discussed the project with my [REDACTED] (in cc), and we have the following concerns/questions that would need further input on your side;

- Given the fact that the feasibility study includes a very important element of geological survey, do you have an idea of the share between pure expertise and this geological survey? This has a potential impact on the type of contract (service/works)
- The concept note as well as all the documents available (including the final report of July 2013) do not give a clear idea of the expected deliverables at the end of the feasibility study; could you elaborate further on this? Is there any source where these elements have been elaborated? We would not like to give 5 MEUR without having more clarity on this...and this remains particularly vague in the CN, even in its revised version.

If the EIB comes to Brussels as you have evoked last week, please keep us informed, we would be interested to meet them with you.

Best regards

[REDACTED]

PS; I copy [REDACTED], our colleague in charge in Beijing, as well as [REDACTED] who, understandably, has been the person most involved in the long run (the turn over in DEVCO and other services has been impressive on that file!) and who seems to master best this file thanks to this longstanding follow up.