
From: DIGIT NOREPLYARES
Sent: 26 November 2015 16:31
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED] (FPI-BANGKOK); [REDACTED] (CLIMA)
Subject: Ares(2015)5388964 - RE: Ares(2015)5028274 - China CCS: recommendation paper

[Ares\(2015\)5388964 - RE: Ares\(2015\)5028274 - China CCS: recommendation paper](#)

Sent by [REDACTED] (FPI) [REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu>. All responses have to be sent to this email address.

Envoyé par [REDACTED] (FPI) [REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu> . Toutes les réponses doivent être effectuées à cette adresse électronique.

Dear [REDACTED],

We've reviewed the revised recommendation paper which we feel addresses most of the comments that were provided to the first draft. In terms of moving forward, we would be grateful to receive the following deliverables:

- Final recommendation paper which brings together the two previous submissions. In addition, the final paper should better justify the recommendation for the EC to fund the Huaneng project which is reflected at the end of the summary paper. In the beginning of the paper, you pronounce a recommendation for Sinopec. Also, we would like to see in the report how the Huaneng project could be reduced/improved/concretized in terms of costs.
- Short contribution report. As per the Terms of Reference, this report should provide preliminary key technical elements to be included in a future project document. You could structure these elements per project phase (inception, implementation, final) if relevant. Your assumption in the report should be that the EC will implement a project through an external implementing partner such as the ADB or other.
- Final report. The Final report is a consolidation of all the previous reports, including the mission report.


We would be grateful to receive the final recommendation paper and short contribution report by 8 December. The final report should be received before 15 January.

Happy to clarify any specific aspect if need be.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]





From: EC ARES NOREPLY [<mailto:DIGIT-NOREPLYARES@ec.europa.eu>]

Sent: 12 November 2015 12:05

To: [REDACTED] [@iea-coal.org](mailto:[REDACTED]@iea-coal.org) [REDACTED] [@iea-coal.org](mailto:[REDACTED]@iea-coal.org)

Cc: [REDACTED] [.fsnet.co.uk](mailto:[REDACTED].fsnet.co.uk); [REDACTED] [.fsnet.co.uk](mailto:[REDACTED].fsnet.co.uk)

[REDACTED] [.fsnet.co.uk](mailto:[REDACTED].fsnet.co.uk); [REDACTED] (FPI) [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] [@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu); [REDACTED] (CLIMA) [REDACTED] [@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)

Subject: Ares(2015)5028274 - China CCS: recommendation paper

[Ares\(2015\)5028274 - China CCS: recommendation paper](#)

Sent by [REDACTED] (FPI) [REDACTED] [@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu). All responses have to be sent to this email address.

Envoyé par [REDACTED] (FPI) [REDACTED] [@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu). Toutes les réponses doivent être effectuées à cette adresse électronique.

Dear [REDACTED]

Please find attached some TC-comments on your recommendation paper.

It would be useful to have additional clarification on perspective funding for the Sinopec project (i.e. is it established that their pilot will go ahead irrespective of external support?), as well as clearer recommendation for either Sinopec or Huaneng.

We would also need to see to what extent each of the two projects could be scaled down and whether realistic perspectives of Chinese co-financing exist.

We would be grateful to receive a revised version of the report by end of next week.

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]