## (ENER)

From: < @tap-ag.com>

**Sent:** 14 June 2017 22:11

To: ENER); (ENER)

Cc: ; (ENER)

Subject: PCI - SGC\_01 group - PS CBA

Dear and

Thank you for your cooperation and support in our process for completing the PS-CBA data and submitting it in due time on the 16<sup>th</sup> of June. Following our call, the other promoters part of the Southern Gas Corridor agreed with the approach proposed by TAP on submitting the Capex and Opex data. More specifically, due to confidentiality concerns, each project promoter is currently gathering its information and it will send it individually to you this evening or tomorrow morning at the latest. We would highly appreciate if you would circulate the aggregated numbers back to us on Friday morning at the latest so that we can easily make our final submission on Friday afternoon.

Furthermore, as you have probably already noted, we would kindly ask you to pay attention to the *Economic template* files you would receive and, when preparing the aggregated version of the file, please delete the formula for the aggregated numbers in the tables listed in the b.1 section (project group). If the formula is left

1

in, each promoter can identify the other promoters' confidential data (this would come against the whole purpose of this exercise).

With regard to the projects considered in Group SGC\_01, we would like to highlight that the status of the South Caucasus Pipeline Future Expansion (*SCPFX*), Trans Anatolian Natural Gas Pipeline Project (*TANAP*) and TAP projects have reached a more advanced stage of development than the status of the Trans-Caspian pipeline. SCPFX, TANAP and TAP consider that Trans-Caspian Pipeline's different stage of development impacts negatively

- the accuracy of numbers that the Trans-Caspian Pipeline may submit;
- the compatibility of Trans-Caspian Pipeline's numbers with the numbers provided by SCPFX, TANAP and TAP; and
- the overall assessment of the Southern Gas Corridor as a PCI candidate.

This is not TAP's unilateral decision, but it has been agreed with SCPFX and TANAP. Therefore, we kindly ask the Commission to prepare two scenarios on the aggregated numbers, i.e. with and without the Trans-Caspian Pipeline. We envisage that this promoter would send its data separately and on its own. The aggregated submission on Friday would be submitted only on behalf of SCPFX, TANAP and TAP.

Thank you for your understanding, we appreciate the Commission's help on this. Please let us know whether you have any comments and/or questions.

Kind regards,

on behalf of TAP, SCPFX and TANAP

# Commercial and External Affairs Department

# Trans Adriatic Pipeline AG

Phone:

Mobile:

F:

www.tap-ag.com



> Thank you and kind regards,

> Simina

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Attachments:	15 June 2017 12:55 ENER); . Re: PCI - SGC 01 group - Pimage001.png	(ENER); PS CBA - TAP - Con	ı@tap-ag.com> (ENER) ∙ fidential	
Dear				
I understand that there have been so kind and confirm that you have	some IT issues because of received my email below (	of the size of some sent last night) witl	files with other PC h two spreadsheet	Cl groups. Would you be ts enclosed?
Many thanks, appreciate it.				
Kind regards,				
Sent from my iPhone				
> On 14 Jun 2017, at 22:58, -> > Dear, >		<u>10</u>	<u>@tap-ag.com</u> > wro	ote:
> Following our email just circulate individualised data. As discussed > completed the Fiche and Econo >   >   >   * in the Economic template we re> project 4. As will note, these fix were pre-inserted in section b.1 (prot the aggregated numbers for the section b.1)	during our call, we have mic template worksheets orksheet, TAP figures have gures are also showing in sproject group). Therefore, the	being with numbers for been inserted in section b.1 (project	for the time being. ection b.2 (each p	Please note that: roject forming the group of the formulas which
> > > * the number listed for CAPEX SGC_01 group.	〈 in the Fiche is TAP's num	ber, and it is not p	rovided as an over	rall number for the
> * for ease of reference and for by ENTSO-G and we are circulating	simplifying the work on the ng now only the worksheet	e Commission's sid s that required inpu	de, we have simpli ut from the promot	fied the worksheets sen ers.
> We would like to stress that car- circulated back, i.e. without the fo individualised numbers are highly >	rmulas so that confidentiali confidential and should no	ty is preserved. As it be shared with th	mentioned over the other promoters	ne phone, TAP's
> Once you have received the inp circulate the aggregated numbers questions, please do not hesitate >	. We highly appreciate you	r cooperation and	help in this proces	s. Should you have any

```
> Commercial and External Affairs Department
> Commercial and External Affairs Department
> Trans Adriatic Pipeline AG
> Phone: -
> Mobile:
> F: ''
> www.tap-ag.com>tmp://www.tap-ag.com/>
> [cid:image001.png@01D0E4B9.1A76A450]
> <SGC_01_00187_EconomicTemplate_TAP.xlsm>
> <image001.png>
> <SGC_01_00187_The Fiche_TAP.xlsm>
```

# (ENER)

From:

Dtap-ag.com>

Sent:

16 June 2017 14:05

To:

Cc:

ı (ENER):

ENER);

Subject:

RE: PCI - SGC 01 group - PS CBA

Dear

Thank you for keeping us posted on the status of data submission.

Regarding DESFA, please note that DESFA was not listed as contact in the contact table sent by ENTSO-G to me. I went back to ENTSO-G and ask for explanations and they have indeed accepted that a mistake has been done on their end and provided me the relevant contact detail today. I have liased with Mr. Florentin (in cc), and it appears that DESFA has not received the relevant email related to the SGC 01 group from ENTSO-G on the 31st of May. DESFA is now aware that it should be providing data for its two projects listed in this group. Considering these circumstances, we respectfully ask the Commission to grant us one or two extra working days so that DESFA can submit its data, and thus complete the aggregation exercise on your end and the final submission on our end. Please let us know whether this is possible.

As mentioned earlier in our discussions, we would like to reiterate that OPEX and CAPEX data of these projects are highly confidential and should not be exchanged between the members of this group or disclosed in this group. This is the rationale why we have kindly requested the Commission to aggregate the information on our behalf and this is why each promoter has been submitting its own data to the Commission's team.

Thank you for understanding and for your support in this process.

Kind regards,

----Original Message----

Sent: Friday, 16 June 2017 12:52

To: Cc:

ntap-ag.com>

ag.com>;

@ec.europa.eu:

<u>)tap-ag.com</u>>

Subject: RE: PCI - SGC UT group TO CO. TAP - Confidential

Dear :

I now have received cost data from TAP, SCPF(X), TANAP and TCP. I am still missing information on the DESFA projects. From your contacts with DESFA, do you know when and if they will be sending their input?

Best regards

Policy Coordinator

European Commission DG Energy Unit B1 Networks & Regional Initiatives

## <u>auropa.eu</u>

(ENER)

From:			wtap-ag.com/	
Sent:	16 June 2017 15:56			
To:	ENEF	R)		
Cc:		(ENER); I	ENER);	
	;	/@socar.az;	· · · v@tanap.com	;
	- ;;		anscaspian.com;	
		nidstream.az;	@socar.az;	
C 1	_	p - PS CBA - CAPEX AN	•	
Subject:	RE. PCI - 3GC 01 grou	p - P3 CBA - CAPEX AN	DOFLA	
Dear Milosz,				
Thank you for the quick response	and patience.			
I was wondering whether you mai	naged to also aggregat	te the CAPEX for 2017	from the Fiche (top right ha	and corner of
the worksheet), not only in the Ec	onomic template? Base	ed on the guidance pro	vided by ENTSO-G, our ur	derstanding is
that the methodology used for cal	culating the CAPEX for	r 2017 in the Fiche is sl	ightly different from the CA	PEX figures in
the Economic template. This is the	e rationale why we hav	∕e submitted data via tw	o spreadsheets.	
Thank you,				
Original Message				
		1		
From: '	•	<u>vec.europa.eu</u> ]		
Sent: Friday, 16 June 2017 15:34		ton og com>: /	@desfa.gr;	
To:			<u>@desia.gr,</u> @tan <u>ap.com;</u>	
< <u>@tap-ag.com</u> >;		@w-stream-transc	and the second second	
< @socarmidstrea  @socarmidstream.a		socar.az	aspian.com,	
Cc: (	<u></u>	@ec.europa.eu		
Subject: RE: PCI - SGC 01 group	) - PS CBA - CAPEX A			
Dear Project Promoters				
	uran for the group SCC	01 which include your	nrojects	
Please find attached the cost figure Thank you for having provided you	res for the group SGC	_u   willcil iliciade your vrv promptly (particular t	hanks to DESEAL) Thank	vou to Ms Suciu
for coordinating this data collection		ary promptry (particular t	Harms to BEST 7t.). Thatm	you to me ouclu
for coordinating this data conectic	л.			
We (and NRAs) are looking forward	ard the receiving the co	emplete set of documen	ts for your project group (n	ow
incorporating the aggregated cos	t figures)	•		
3 30 0	,			
Best regards				
Best regards				
Best regards				
- 1				
Policy Coordinator				
- 1				

Rue de Mot 24 B-1049 Brussels/Belgium

#### <u>₃c.europa.eu</u>

This message is intended for the use of the addressee only and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return of this e-mail. This communication does not constitute any formal commitment on behalf of the Commission.

Ce courrier électronique est destiné exclusivement aux personnes ou institutions dont le nom figure ci-dessus et peut contenir des informations protégées par le secret professionnel, dont la divulgation est strictement prohibée. Si vous n'êtes pas destinataire, nous vous avisons que sa lecture, sa reproduction ou sa distribution sont strictement interdites. Nous vous prions en conséquence de nous aviser immédiatement par retour de ce courrier. Cette communication ne constitue en aucun cas un engagement formel de la part de la Commission

# ENER)

From:

TNER)

Sent:

15 June 2017 16:00

To:

Cc:

(ENER); F

a'; ' (ENER) 5 7

Subject:

RE: PCI - SGC\_01 group - PS CBA

Attachments:

2017 06 15 template for costs TCP.xlsx

Dear

Thank you for your message.

I have received so far input from TAP and TANAP.

Nothing from the remaining projects in your group so far – please, as agreed, coordinate with other promoters to ensure that I have all the cost data to add up.

This includes DESFA's projects and Trans-Caspian pipeline which are part of your project group. Grouping of projects was discussed and agreed months ago hence this is not something we should be re-opening or questioning now, I hope.

Attached a worksheet which may be useful to send to the promoter of Trans-Caspian project. It is derived from an economic fiche of ENTSOG hence, once completed, it should be easily added to the respective costs of other promoters.

1

# Best regards

TRA-N-339 - Trans-

Caspian

TRA-N-1138 - South Caucasus Pipeline Future Expansion - SCPFX

TRA-F-221 - TANAP - Trans Anatolian Natural Gas Pipeline Project

TRA-F-051 - Trans Adriatic

**Pipeline** 

TRA-N-941 - Metering and Regulating station at Nea Messimvria

TRA-N-971 - Compressor station at Nea

Messimvria

Policy Coordinator



**European Commission** 

DG Energy

Unit B1 Networks & Regional Initiatives

## Rue de Mot 24 B-1049 Brussels/Belgium

## <u>Dec.europa.eu</u>

This message is intended for the use of the addressee only and may contain information that is privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that any dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by return of this e-mail. This communication does not constitute any formal commitment on behalf of the Commission.

Ce courrier électronique est destiné exclusivement aux personnes ou institutions dont le nom figure ci-dessus et peut contenir des informations protégées par le secret professionnel, dont la divulgation est strictement prohibée. Si vous n'êtes pas destinataire, nous vous avisons que sa lecture, sa reproduction ou sa distribution sont strictement interdites. Nous vous prions en conséquence de nous aviser immédiatement par retour de ce courrier. Cette communication ne constitue en aucun cas un engagement formel de la part de la Commission

From: \tap-ag.com | tap-ag.com | Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2017 10:11 PM

**To:** ER); ENER)

Cc:

Subject: PCI - SGC\_01 group - PS CBA

Dear n,

ENER)

Thank you for your cooperation and support in our process for completing the PS-CBA data and submitting it in due time on the 16<sup>th</sup> of June. Following our call, the other promoters part of the Southern Gas Corridor agreed with the approach proposed by TAP on submitting the Capex and Opex data. More specifically, due to confidentiality concerns, each project promoter is currently gathering its information and it will send it individually to you this evening or tomorrow morning at the latest. We

3

would highly appreciate if you would circulate the aggregated numbers back to us on Friday morning at the latest so that we can easily make our final submission on Friday afternoon.

Furthermore, as you have probably already noted, we would kindly ask you to pay attention to the *Economic template* files you would receive and, when preparing the aggregated version of the file, please delete the formula for the aggregated numbers in the tables listed in the b.1 section (project group). If the formula is left in, each promoter can identify the other promoters' confidential data (this would come against the whole purpose of this exercise).

With regard to the projects considered in Group SGC\_01, we would like to highlight that the status of the South Caucasus Pipeline Future Expansion (*SCPFX*), Trans Anatolian Natural Gas Pipeline Project (*TANAP*) and TAP projects have reached a more advanced stage of development than the status of the Trans-Caspian pipeline. SCPFX, TANAP and TAP consider that Trans-Caspian Pipeline's different stage of development impacts negatively

- the accuracy of numbers that the Trans-Caspian Pipeline may submit;
- the compatibility of Trans-Caspian Pipeline's numbers with the numbers provided by SCPFX, TANAP and TAP; and
- the overall assessment of the Southern Gas Corridor as a PCI candidate.

This is not TAP's unilateral decision, but it has been agreed with SCPFX and TANAP. Therefore, we kindly ask the Commission to prepare two scenarios on the aggregated numbers, i.e. with and without the Trans-Caspian Pipeline. We envisage that this promoter would send its data separately and on its own. The aggregated submission on Friday would be submitted only on behalf of SCPFX, TANAP and TAP.

Thank you for your understanding, we appreciate the Commission's help on this. Please let us know whether you have any comments and/or questions.

Kind regards, on behalf of TAP, SCPFX and TANAP

Commercial and External Affairs Department

Trans Adriatic Pipeline AG

Phone: +

Mobile: )
F: +

www.tap-ag.com



5

