

Brussels, 30 November 2018 (OR. en)

15057/18

Interinstitutional File: 2016/0280(COD)

LIMITE

AUDIO 115 COMPET 837 CULT 158 EDUC 452 PI 174 RECH 514 TELECOM 444 CODEC 2190 DIGIT 243

NOTE

From:	Presidency
To:	Permanent Representatives Committee
Subject:	Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on Copyright in the Digital Single Market
	- Update of negotiating mandate

I. INTRODUCTION

On 25 May 2018, Coreper granted the Presidency a mandate (9134/18) to start negotiations with the European Parliament (EP) on the above mentioned proposal with a view to reaching a first reading agreement. The EP adopted its negotiating mandate on 12 September 2018 (11520/18).

Trilogue negotiations started on 2 October 2018. Meanwhile two more trilogues took place on 25 October and 26 November 2018, and a number of technical meetings were held. The 4th trilogue is scheduled to take place on 3 December.

In the negotiations held so far, good progress was achieved on the chapter on exceptions and limitations, and on the chapter of out of commerce works. The remuneration chapter has been discussed in quite some detail, and exploratory talks were held on the controversial provisions of Article 11 and Article 13.

15057/18 BM/rcg 1 ECOMP 3.B. **LIMITE EN** The 5th trilogue is scheduled for 13 December 2018. In preparation for that 5th trilogue, which is intended to be the final trilogue, the Presidency seeks a partial update of the negotiating mandate as suggested in part II of this note.

II. PRESIDENCY SUGGESTIONS ON SPECIFIC ISSUES

(a) Exceptions and limitations

In the chapter on exceptions and limitations, Article 3a (mandatory or optional nature) is one open issue. The decisions on the question will be left to the final overall compromise.

The only two further open provisions in this chapter are the EP provisions on public domain (Article 5(1a)), and on "exception hopping" (Article 6(1) EP text).

The Presidency will continue to oppose these provisions.

(i) Public domain Article 5(1a), row 151

However, given the importance of public domain for the EP, the Presidency asks Member States for flexibility for negotiating a text along the following lines (text provided by the Services of the Commission as technical assistance to both co-legislators):

"Article 5(1a): Member States shall provide that, when the term of protection of a work of visual art has expired, any material resulting from an act of reproduction of that work shall not be subject to copyright or related rights, unless the material resulting from that act of reproduction is original in the sense that it is the author's own intellectual creation.

Recital (new) The expiry of the term of protection of a work entails the entry of that work into the public domain and the expiry of the rights that Union copyright law provides to that work. In the field of visual arts, the circulation of faithful reproductions of works in the public domain contributes to the access to and promotion of culture (or access to cultural heritage). In the digital environment, the protection of these reproductions through copyright or related rights is inconsistent with the expiry of the copyright protection of works. In addition, differences between the national copyright laws governing the protection of these reproductions give rise to legal uncertainty and affect the cross-border dissemination of works of visual arts in the public domain. Therefore, it should be clarified that certain reproductions of works of visual arts in the public domain should not be protected by copyright or related rights. This should not prevent cultural heritage institutions from selling reproductions, such as postcards."

15057/18 BM/rcg 2 ECOMP 3.B. **LIMITE EN**

(ii) "Exception hopping" (Article 6(1) EP text), row 154

As regards "exception hopping" the Presidency suggests working in the direction of a clarification in the recitals along the lines of the jurisprudence.

(b) Out of commerce works and Extended collective licencing

In the out of commerce chapter, the only issues still open, are the "fall back exception" (Article 7(1a) and related provisions), and Article 9a (Extended collective licencing). On these two matters, the Presidency will continue negotiations on the basis of the feedback given by Coreper on 23 November 2018.

(c) Confirmation of provisionally agreed parts of the text

With a view to avoiding overloading Coreper at a later point, the Presidency invites Coreper to confirm those parts of the text on which compromises have already been provisionally agreed, see the rows indicated in "GREEN" in the fourth column of the table set out in the ANNEX to this note.

III. CONCLUSION

The Permanent Representatives' Committee is thus invited to

- approve the Presidency approach on (i) Public domain, and (ii) exception hopping,
 and
- confirm the provisionally agreed GREEN parts of the 4-column table set out in the Annex.

15057/18 BM/rcg
ECOMP 3.B. **LIMITE**, **FN**

Proposal for a directive of the European Parliament and of the Council

on copyright in the Digital Single Market

COM (2016) 593 final - 2016/0280 (COD)

PART 1: CITATIONS AND RECITALS

Cell in green: The text can be deemed as already agreed

Cell in yellow: The issue needs further discussion at technical level

Cell in red: The issue needs further discussion in depth at the trilogue meetings

Note:

Differences between the EP's position and the Commission's proposal are highlighted in Bold/Italics. Deletions are marked with strikethrough.

Differences between the Council's position and the Commission's proposal are highlighted in **Bold/Underlined**.

Deletions are marked with strikethrough.

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
1.	Proposal for a	Proposal for a	Proposal for a	
	DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	
	on copyright in the Digital Single Market	on copyright in the Digital Single Market	on copyright in the Digital Single Market	
	(Text with EEA relevance)	(Text with EEA relevance)	(Text with EEA relevance)	
2.	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	
3.	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article Articles 53(1), 62 and 114 thereof	
4.	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
5.	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	
6.	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,	
7.	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ² ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ² ,	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ² ,	
8.	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	
9.	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:	
10.	(1) The Treaty provides for the establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights	(1) The Treaty provides for the establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights	(1) The Treaty provides for the establishment of an internal market and the institution of a system ensuring that competition in the internal market is not distorted. Harmonisation of the laws of the Member States on copyright and related rights	

OJ C , , p. . OJ C , , p. .

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.	should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.	should contribute further to the achievement of those objectives.	
11.	(2) The directives which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights provide for a high level of protection for rightholders and create a framework wherein the exploitation of works and other protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment. The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting cultural diversity while at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union requires the Union to take	(2) The directives which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights contribute to the functioning of the internal market, provide for a high level of protection for rightholders, facilitate the clearance of rights and create a framework wherein the exploitation of works and other protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the a truly integrated internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment, with a view to avoiding fragmentation of the internal market. The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting cultural diversity while	(2) The directives Directives which have been adopted in the area of copyright and related rights provide for a high level of protection for rightholders and create a framework wherein the exploitation of works and other protected subject-matter can take place. This harmonised legal framework contributes to the good functioning of the internal market; it stimulates innovation, creativity, investment and production of new content, also in the digital environment. The protection provided by this legal framework also contributes to the Union's objective of respecting and promoting cultural diversity while at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union requires the Union to take	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	cultural aspects into account in its action.	at the same time bringing the European common cultural heritage to the fore. Article 167(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union requires the Union to take cultural aspects into account in its action.	cultural aspects into account in its action.	
12.	(3) Rapid technological developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited. New business models and new actors continue to emerge. The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including cross-border uses, of works and other subject-matter in the digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Commission entitled 'Towards a modern, more European	(3) Rapid technological developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited, and relevant legislation needs to be future proof so as not to restrict technological development. New business models and new actors continue to emerge. The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including cross-border uses, of works and other subject-matter in	(3) Rapid technological developments continue to transform the way works and other subject-matter are created, produced, distributed and exploited. New business models and new actors continue to emerge. The objectives and the principles laid down by the Union copyright framework remain sound. However, legal uncertainty remains, for both rightholders and users, as regards certain uses, including cross-border uses, of works and other subject-matter in the digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Commission entitled 'Towards a modern, more European	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	copyright framework'3, in some areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework. This Directive provides for rules to adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and cross-border environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. In order to achieve a well-functioning marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, on the use of works and other subject-matter by online service providers storing and giving access to user uploaded content and on the transparency of authors' and performers' contracts.	the digital environment. As set out in the Communication of the Commission entitled 'Towards a modern, more European copyright framework' ³ , in some areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework. This Directive provides for rules to adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and cross-border environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. In order to achieve a well-functioning and fair marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, on the exercise and enforcement of the use of works and other subject-	copyright framework' ³ , in some areas it is necessary to adapt and supplement the current Union copyright framework-keeping a high level of protection of copyright and related rights. This Directive provides for rules to adapt certain exceptions and limitations to digital and cross-border environments, as well as measures to facilitate certain licensing practices as regards the dissemination of out-of-commerce works and the online availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms with a view to ensuring wider access to content. In order to achieve a well-functioning marketplace for copyright, there should also be rules on rights in publications, on the use of works and other subject-matter by online service providers storing and giving access to user uploaded content and on the transparency	

³ COM(2015) 626 final.

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		matter by on online service providers storing and giving access to user uploaded content providers' platforms and on the transparency of authors' and performers' contracts and of the accounting linked with the exploitation of protected works in accordance with those contracts.	of authors' and performers' contracts.	
13.	(4) This Directive is based upon, and complements, the rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁴ , Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁶ , Directive 2006/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁷ , Directive 2009/24/EC	(4) This Directive is based upon, and complements, the rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁴ , Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁵ , Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁶ , Directive 2006/115/EC of the	(4) This Directive is based upon, and complements, the rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council4 ⁴ , Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council5, Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council6, Directive 2006/115/EC	

_

Directive 96/9/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 March 1996 on the legal protection of databases (OJ L 77, 27.3.1996, p. 20–28).

Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market (OJ L 178, 17.07.2000, p. 1–16).

Directive 2001/29/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 May 2001 on the harmonisation of certain aspects of copyright and related rights in the information society (OJ L 167, 22.6.2001, p. 10–19).

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁸ , Directive 2012/28/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁹ and Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁰ .	European Parliament and of the Council ⁷ , Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁸ , Directive 2012/28/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁹ and Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁰ .	of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁷ , Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁸ , Directive 2012/28/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ⁹ and Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁰ .	
14.	(5) In the fields of research, education and preservation of cultural heritage, digital technologies permit new types of uses that are not clearly covered by the current Union rules on exceptions and limitations. In addition, the optional nature of exceptions and limitations	(5) In the fields of research, <i>innovation</i> , education and preservation of cultural heritage, digital technologies permit new types of uses that are not clearly covered by the current Union rules on exceptions and limitations. In addition, the optional nature of exceptions and	(5) In the fields of research, education and preservation of cultural heritage, digital technologies permit new types of uses that are not clearly covered by the current Union rules on exceptions and limitations. In addition, the optional nature of exceptions and limitations	

_

Directive 2006/115/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 December 2006 on rental right and lending right and on certain rights related to copyright in the field of intellectual property (OJ L 376, 27.12.2006, p. 28–35).

Directive 2009/24/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 April 2009 on the legal protection of computer programs (OJ L 111, 5.5.2009, p. 16–22).

Directive 2012/28/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on certain permitted uses of orphan works (OJ L 299, 27.10.2012, p. 5–12).

Directive 2014/26/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 February 2014 on collective management of copyright and related rights and multi-territorial licensing of rights in musical works for online use in the internal market (OJ L 84, 20.3.2014, p. 72–98).

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	provided for in Directives	limitations provided for in	provided for in Directives	
	2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC and	Directives 2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC	2001/29/EC, 96/9/EC and	
	2009/24/EC in these fields may	and 2009/24/EC in these fields	2009/24/EC in these fields may	
	negatively impact the functioning	may negatively impact the	negatively impact the functioning	
	of the internal market. This is	functioning of the internal market.	of the internal market. This is	
	particularly relevant as regards	This is particularly relevant as	particularly relevant as regards	
	cross-border uses, which are	regards cross-border uses, which	cross-border uses, which are	
	becoming increasingly important	are becoming increasingly	becoming increasingly important	
	in the digital environment.	important in the digital	in the digital environment.	
	Therefore, the existing exceptions	environment. Therefore, the	Therefore, the existing exceptions	
	and limitations in Union law that	existing exceptions and	and limitations in Union law that	
	are relevant for scientific	limitations in Union law that are	are relevant for scientific	
	research, teaching and	relevant for <i>innovation</i> , scientific	research, teaching and	
	preservation of cultural heritage	research, teaching and	preservation of cultural heritage	
	should be reassessed in the light	preservation of cultural heritage	should be reassessed in the light	
	of those new uses. Mandatory	should be reassessed in the light	of those new uses. Mandatory	
	exceptions or limitations for uses	of those new uses. Mandatory	exceptions or limitations for uses	
	of text and data mining	exceptions or limitations for uses	of text and data mining	
	technologies in the field of	of text and data mining	technologies in the field of	
	scientific research, illustration for	technologies in the field of	scientific research, illustration for	
	teaching in the digital	innovation and scientific	teaching in the digital	
	environment and for preservation	research, illustration for teaching	environment and for preservation	
	of cultural heritage should be	in the digital environment and for	of cultural heritage should be	
	introduced. For uses not covered	preservation of cultural heritage	introduced. For uses not covered	
	by the exceptions or the limitation	should be introduced. For uses	by the exceptions or the limitation	
	provided for in this Directive, the	not covered by the exceptions or	provided for in this Directive,	
	exceptions and limitations	the limitation provided for in this	the The exceptions and limitations	
	existing in Union law should	Directive, the exceptions and	existing in Union law should	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	continue to apply. Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted.	limitations existing in Union law should continue to apply. Therefore, existing well-functioning exceptions in those fields should be allowed to continue to be available in Member States, as long as they do not restrict the scope of the exceptions or limitations provided for in this Directive. Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted.	continue to apply, including to text and data mining, education and preservation activities, as long as they do not limit the scope of the mandatory exceptions laid down in this Directive and on condition that their application does not adversely affect nor circumvent the mandatory rules set out in this Directive. Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC should be adapted.	
15.	(6) The exceptions and the limitation set out in this Directive seek to achieve a fair balance between the rights and interests of authors and other rightholders on the one hand, and of users on the other. They can be applied only in certain special cases which do not conflict with the normal exploitation of the works or other subject-matter and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the rightholders.	(6) The exceptions and the limitation limitations set out in this Directive seek to achieve a fair balance between the rights and interests of authors and other rightholders on the one hand, and of users on the other. They can be applied only in certain special cases which do not conflict with the normal exploitation of the works or other subject-matter and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the rightholders.	(6) The exceptions and the limitation set outprovided for in this Directive seek to achieve a fair balance between the rights and interests of authors and other rightholders on the one hand, and of users on the other. They can be applied only in certain special cases which do not conflict with the normal exploitation of the works or other subject-matter and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the rightholders.	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
16.	(7) The protection of technological measures established in Directive 2001/29/EC remains essential to ensure the protection and the effective exercise of the rights granted to authors and to other rightholders under Union law. This protection should be maintained while ensuring that the use of technological measures does not prevent the enjoyment of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive, which are particularly relevant in the online environment. Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to provide the beneficiaries of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive with the means to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of voluntary measures, Member States should take	(7) The protection of technological measures established in Directive 2001/29/EC remains essential to ensure the protection and the effective exercise of the rights granted to authors and to other rightholders under Union law. This protection should be maintained while ensuring that the use of technological measures does not prevent the enjoyment of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive, which are particularly relevant in the online environment. Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to provide the beneficiaries of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive with the means to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of voluntary measures, Member States should take	(7) The protection of technological measures established in Directive 2001/29/EC remains essential to ensure the protection and the effective exercise of the rights granted to authors and to other rightholders under Union law. This protection should be maintained while ensuring that the use of technological measures does not prevent the enjoyment of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive, which are particularly relevant in the online environment. Rightholders should have the opportunity to ensure this through voluntary measures. They should remain free to choose the format and the modalities to provide appropriate means of enabling the beneficiaries of the exceptions and the limitation established in this Directive with the means to benefit from them provided that such means are appropriate. In the absence of	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC.	appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC.	voluntary measures, Member States should take appropriate measures in accordance with the first subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC, including where works and other subject-matter are made available through on-demand services.	
17.	(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds, images or data, generally known as text and data mining. Those technologies allow researchers to process large amounts of information to gain new knowledge and discover new trends. Whilst text and data mining technologies are prevalent across the digital economy, there is widespread acknowledgment that text and data mining can in particular benefit the research community and in so doing	(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds, images or data, generally known as text and data mining. Those technologies allow researchers to process Text and data mining allows the reading and analysis of large amounts of digitally stored information to gain new knowledge and discover new trends. Whilst text and data mining technologies are prevalent across the digital economy, there is widespread acknowledgment that text and data mining can in	(8) New technologies enable the automated computational analysis of information in digital form, such as text, sounds, images or data, generally known as text and data mining. Those technologies allow researchers to process large amounts of information with a view to gaingaining new knowledge and discoverdiscovering new trends. Whilst text and data mining technologies are prevalent across the digital economy, there is widespread acknowledgment that text and data mining can in particular benefit the research	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	in the Union, research organisations such as universities and research institutes are confronted with legal uncertainty as to the extent to which they can perform text and data mining of content. In certain instances, text and data mining may involve acts protected by copyright and/or by the <i>sui generis</i> database right, notably the reproduction of works or other subject-matter and/or the extraction of contents from a database. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders. Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation would be required.	community and in so doing encourage innovation. However, in the Union, research organisations such as universities and research institutes are confronted with legal uncertainty as to the extent to which they can perform text and data mining of content. In certain instances, text and data mining may involve acts protected by copyright and/or by the <i>sui generis</i> database right, notably the reproduction of works or other subject-matter and/or the extraction of contents from a database. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders. Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation would be required.	encouragesupport innovation. However, in the Union, These technologies benefit research organisations such as universities and-well as cultural heritage institutions, which may also carry out research institutesin the context of their main activities. However, in the Union, such organisations and institutions are confronted with legal uncertainty as to the extent to which they can perform text and data mining of content. In certain instances, text and data mining may involve acts protected by copyright and/or by the sui generis database right, notably the reproduction of works or other subject-matter and/or the extraction of contents from a database. Where there is no exception or limitation which applies, an authorisation to undertake such acts would be required from rightholders.	
			[Last phrase of recital (8) of the	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			COM proposal was moved to new recital (8a) Council's text -see following row 18]	
18.			(8a) Text and data mining may also be carried out in relation to mere facts or data which are not protected by copyright and in such instances no authorisation would be is—required under copyright law. There may also be instances of text and data mining which do not involve acts of reproduction or where the reproductions made fall under the The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception for temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29/EC, which should continue to apply to text and data mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies beyond the scope of that exception. [First phrase of new recital (8a)	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			was taken from recital (8) (last phrase), second phrase of new recital (8a) was taken from recital (10) (second phrase)]	
19.		(8a) For text and data mining to occur, it is in most cases necessary first to access information and then to reproduce it. It is generally only after that information is normalised that it can be processed through text and data mining. Once there is lawful access to information, it is when that information is being normalised that a copyright-protected use takes place, since this leads to a reproduction by changing the format of the information or by extracting it from a database into a format that can be subjected to text and data mining. The copyright-relevant processes in the use of text and data mining technology is, consequently, not the text and data mining process itself which		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		consists of a reading and analysis of digitally stored, normalised information, but the process of accessing and the process by which information is normalised to enable its automated computational analysis, insofar as this process involves extraction from a database or reproductions. The exceptions for text and data mining purposes provided for in this Directive should be understood as referring to such copyright-relevant processes necessary to enable text and data mining. Where existing copyright law has been inapplicable to uses of text and data mining, such uses should remain unaffected by this Directive.		
20.	(9) Union law already provides certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data	(9) Union law already provides certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data	(9) Union law already provides for certain exceptions and limitations covering uses for scientific research purposes which may apply to acts of text and data	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.	mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.	mining. However, those exceptions and limitations are optional and not fully adapted to the use of technologies in scientific research. Moreover, where researchers have lawful access to content, for example through subscriptions to publications or open access licences, the terms of the licences may exclude text and data mining. As research is increasingly carried out with the assistance of digital technology, there is a risk that the Union's competitive position as a research area will suffer unless steps are taken to address the legal uncertainty for text and data mining.	
21.	(10) This legal uncertainty should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction and also to the right to prevent extraction	(10) This legal uncertainty should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception <i>for research organisations</i> to the right of reproduction and also to	(10) This legal uncertainty should be addressed by providing for a mandatory exception to the exclusive right of reproduction and also to the right to prevent	

from a database. The no	ew the right to p			
exception should be wing prejudice to the existing mandatory exception of temporary acts of reprolated down in Article 5(Directive 2001/29, which continue to apply to text mining techniques which involve the making of the going beyond the scope exception. Research or should also benefit from exception when they expublic-private partners!	exception should the prejudice to the should the and data child on the ganisations on the gage into hips. exception should temporary action and data continue to a mining techn involve the maganisations on the exception. Respond to the exception with text and data provided that research do a undertaking influence up organisation the event that	ould be without the existing exception on ets of reproduction Article 5(1) of 01/29, which should pply to text and data iques which do not making of copies d the scope of that esearch organisations benefit from the men they engage into the partnerships. The establishments and etage institutions that intific research the covered by the mining exception, t the results of the mot benefit an exercising a decisive	extraction from a database. The new exception should be without prejudice to the existing mandatory exception on temporary acts of reproduction laid down in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29, which should continue to apply to text and data mining techniques which do not involve the making of copies going beyond the scope of that exception. In line with the existing European research policy, which encourages universities and research institutes to develop collaborations with the private sector, Research research organisations should also benefit from the exception when they engage into their research activities are carried out in the framework of public-private partnerships. While research organisations and cultural heritage institutions should remain the beneficiaries of the exception, they should be able	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		public-private partnership, the undertaking participating in the public-private partnership should also have lawful access to the works and other subject matter. The reproductions and extractions made for text and data mining purposes should be stored in a secure manner and in a way that ensures that the copies are only used for the purpose of scientific research.	to rely on their private partners for carrying out text and data mining, including by using their technological tools. [The second phrase of recital (10) of the COM proposal was moved to new recital (8a) - see row 18]	
22.	(11) Research organisations across the Union encompass a wide variety of entities the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to do so together with the provision of educational services. Due to the diversity of such entities, it is important to have a common understanding of the beneficiaries of the exception. Despite different legal forms and structures, research organisations across Member States generally have in common that they act either on a	(11) Research organisations across the Union encompass a wide variety of entities the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to do so together with the provision of educational services. Due to the diversity of such entities, it is important to have a common understanding of the beneficiaries of the exception. Despite different legal forms and structures, research organisations across Member States generally have in common that they act either on a	(11) Research organisations across the Union encompass a wide variety of entities the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research or to do so together with the provision of educational services. The term "scientific research" within the meaning of this Directive covers both the natural sciences and the human sciences. Due to the diversity of such entities, it is important to have a common understanding of the beneficiaries of the exception.research	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	not for profit basis or in the context of a public-interest mission recognised by the State. Such a public-interest mission may, for example, be reflected through public funding or through provisions in national laws or public contracts. At the same time, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results of the research, should not be considered research organisations for the purposes of this Directive.	not for profit basis or in the context of a public-interest mission recognised by the State. Such a public-interest mission may, for example, be reflected through public funding or through provisions in national laws or public contracts. At the same time, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results of the research, should not be considered research organisations for the purposes of this Directive.	example cover entities such as research institutes, hospitals carrying out research, universities, including university libraries, or other higher education institutions. Despite different legal forms and structures, research organisations across the Member States generally have in common that they act either on a not for profit basis or in the context of a public-interest mission recognised by the State. Such a public-interest mission may, for example, be reflected through public funding or through provisions in national laws or public contracts. At the same time Conversely, organisations upon which commercial undertakings have a decisive influence allowing them to exercise control because of structural situations such as their quality of shareholders or members, which may result in preferential access to the results	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			of the research, should not be considered research organisations for the purposes of this Directive.	
23.			(11a) Cultural heritage institutions should be understood as covering publicly accessible libraries, museums and archives regardless of the type of works and other subject matter which they hold in their permanent collections, as well as film or audio heritage institutions. They should include, among others, national libraries and national archives. They should also include educational establishments and public sector broadcasting organisations, as far as their archives and publicly accessible libraries are concerned.	
24.			(11b) Research organisations and cultural heritage institutions, including the persons attached thereto, should be covered by the text	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			and data mining exception regarding content to which they have lawful access. Lawful access should be understood as covering access to content based on open access policy or through contractual arrangements between rightholders and research organisations or cultural heritage institutions, such as subscriptions, or through other lawful means. For instance, in cases of subscriptions taken by research organisations or cultural heritage institutions, the persons attached thereto covered by these subscriptions would be deemed to have lawful access. Lawful access also covers access to content that is freely available online.	
25.			(11c) Research organisations and cultural heritage institutions may in certain cases, for example for subsequent verification of	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			scientific research results, need to retain the copies made under	
			the exception for the purposes	
			of carrying out text and data	
			mining. In such cases, the	
			copies should be stored in a	
			secure environment and not be	
			retained for longer than	
			necessary for the scientific	
			research activities. Member States may determine, at	
			national level and after	
			discussions with relevant	
			stakeholders, further concrete	
			modalities for retaining the	
			copies, including the possibility	
			to appoint trusted bodies for	
			the purpose of storing such	
			copies. In order not to unduly	
			restrict the application of the	
			exception, these modalities should be proportionate and	
			limited to what is needed for	
			retaining the copies in a safe	
			manner and preventing	
			unauthorised uses. Uses for the	
			purpose of scientific research	
			other than text and data	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			mining, such as scientific peer review and joint research, should remain covered, where applicable, by the exception or limitation provided for in Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC.	
26.	(12) In view of a potentially high number of access requests to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures where there is risk that the security and integrity of the system or databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted would be jeopardised. Those measures should not exceed what is necessary to pursue the objective of ensuring the security and integrity of the system and should not undermine the effective application of the exception.	(12) In view of a potentially high number of access requests to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures where there is risk that the security and integrity of the system or databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted would be jeopardised. Those measures should not exceed what is necessary to pursue the objective of ensuring the security and integrity of the system and should not undermine the effective application of the exception.	(12) In view of a potentially high number of access requests to and downloads of their works or other subject-matter, rightholders should be allowed to apply measures wherewhen there is a risk that the security and integrity of the systemtheir systems or databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted wouldcould be jeopardised. ThoseSuch measures could for example be used to ensure that only persons having lawful access to their data can access it, including through IP address validation or user authentication. These measures should not exceed what is necessary to pursue the objective	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			of ensuring the security and integrity of the systemhowever remain proportionate to the risks involved and should not undermine the effective application of the exception prevent or make excessively difficult text and data mining carried out by researchers.	
27.	(13) There is no need to provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exception introduced by this Directive given that in view of the nature and scope of the exception the harm should be minimal.	(13) There is no need to provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exception introduced by this Directive given that in view of the nature and scope of the exception the harm should be minimal.	of the nature and scope of the exception, which is limited to entities carrying out scientific research any potential harm to rightholders created through this exception should be minimal. Therefore, Member States should not provide for compensation for rightholders as regards uses under the text and data mining exception introduced by this Directive given that in view of the nature and scope of the exception the harm should be minimal.	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
28.			(13a) In addition to their significance in the context of	(13a) In addition to their significance in the context of
			scientific research, text and	scientific research, text and data
			data mining techniques are	mining techniques are widely
			widely used both by private and	used both by private and public
			public entities to analyse large	entities to analyse large amounts
			amounts of data in different	of data in different areas of life
			areas of life and for various	and for various purposes,
			purposes, including for	including for government
			business decisions and the	services, complex business decisions and the development of
			development of new	new applications or technologies.
			applications or technologies.	Rightholders should remain able
			Rightholders should remain	to license the uses of their works
			able to license the uses of their	and other subject-matter falling
			works and other subject-matter	outside the scope of the
			falling outside the scope of the	mandatory exception provided for
			mandatory exception provided	in this Directive and the existing
			for in this Directive and the	exceptions and limitations
			existing exceptions and	provided for in Directive
			limitations provided for in	2001/29/EC. At the same time,
			Directive 2001/29/EC. At the same time, consideration should	consideration should be given to the fact that users of text and data
			be given to the fact that users of	mining techniques may be faced
			text and data mining techniques	with legal uncertainty as to
			may be faced with legal	whether temporary reproductions
			uncertainty as to whether	and extractions which are a part
			temporary reproductions and	of the process of made for the

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			extractions which are a part of	purposes of text and data mining
			the process of text and data	may be carried out on publicly
			mining may be carried out on	available and lawfully accessed
			publicly available and lawfully	works and other subject-matter, in
			accessed works and other	particular when the reproductions
			subject-matter, in particular	or extractions made for the
			when the reproductions or	purposes of the technical process
			extractions made for the purposes of the technical	may not fulfil all the conditions of the existing exception for
			process may not fulfil all the	temporary acts of reproduction in
			conditions of the existing	Article 5(1) of Directive
			exception for temporary acts of	2001/29/EC.
			reproduction in Article 5(1) of	2001/29/20.
			Directive 2001/29/EC. In order	In order to provide for more legal
			to provide for more legal	certainty in such cases and to
			certainty in such cases, this	encourage innovation also in the
			Directive should enable the	private sector, this Directive
			Member States to provide	should [enable the Member States
			under certain conditions for an	to] provide under certain
			exception or limitation for	conditions for an exception or
			temporary reproductions and	limitation for
			extractions of works and other	temporary reproductions and
			subject-matter, insofar as these	extractions of works and other
			form a part of the text and data	subject-matter, insofar as these
			mining process and the copies	form a part of the for the purposes
			made are not kept beyond that	of text and data mining process
			process. This optional exception	and allow the copies made are not
			or limitation should only apply	to be kept beyond that process as

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			when the work or other	long as necessary for the text and
			subject-matter is accessed	data mining purposes. This
			lawfully by the beneficiary,	optional exception or limitation
			including when it has been	should only apply when the work
			made available to the public	or other subject-matter is
			online, and insofar as the	accessed lawfully by the
			rightholders have not reserved	beneficiary, including when it has
			the right to make reproductions	been made available to the public
			and extractions for text and	online, and insofar as the
			data mining, for example by	rightholders have not reserved the
			agreement, unilateral	rights to make reproductions and extractions for text and data
			declaration, including through the use of machine readable	mining for example by
			metadata or by the use of	agreement, unilateral declaration,
			technical means. Rightholders	including through the use of
			should be able to apply	machine readable metadata or by
			measures to ensure that their	the use of technical means. in an
			reservations in this regard are	appropriate manner. In the
			respected. This optional	case of content that has been
			exception or limitation should	made publicly available online,
			leave intact the mandatory	it should only be considered
			exception for text and data	appropriate to reserve the
			mining for research purposes	rights by the use of machine
			laid down in this Directive.	readable metadata. In other
				cases, it may be appropriate to
				reserve the rights by other
				means, such as this may be
				expressed by contractual

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				agreements or unilateral declaration, as appropriate. Rightholders should be able to apply measures to ensure that their reservations in this regard are respected. This optional exception or limitation should leave intact the mandatory exception for text and data mining for research purposes laid down in this Directive, as well as the existing exception for temporary acts of reproduction in Article 5(1) of Directive 2001/29/EC. [wording to be adapted once decided whether Article 3a is optional or mandatory]
29.		(13a) To encourage innovation also in the private sector, Member States should be able to provide for an exception going further than the mandatory exception, provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		not been expressly reserved by their rightholders including by machine readable means.		
30.	(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and making available to the public for the sole purpose of, among others, illustration for teaching. In addition, Articles 6(2)(b) and 9(b) of Directive 96/9/EC permit the use of a database and the extraction or reutilization of a substantial part of its contents for the purpose of illustration for teaching. The scope of those exceptions or limitations as they apply to digital uses is unclear. In addition, there is a lack of clarity as to whether those exceptions or limitations would apply where teaching is provided online and thereby at a distance. Moreover, the existing framework does not provide for a	(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and making available to the public for the sole purpose of, among others, illustration for teaching. In addition, Articles 6(2)(b) and 9(b) of Directive 96/9/EC permit the use of a database and the extraction or reutilization of a substantial part of its contents for the purpose of illustration for teaching. The scope of those exceptions or limitations as they apply to digital uses is unclear. In addition, there is a lack of clarity as to whether those exceptions or limitations would apply where teaching is provided online and thereby at a distance. Moreover, the existing framework does not provide for a	(14) Article 5(3)(a) of Directive 2001/29/EC allows Member States to introduce an exception or limitation to the rights of reproduction, communication to the public and -making available to the public of works and other subject matter in such a way that members of the public may access them from a place and a time individually chosen by them ('making available to the public'), for the sole purpose of, among others, illustration for teaching. In addition, Articles 6(2)(b) and 9(b) of Directive 96/9/EC permit the use of a database and the extraction or reutilization-of a substantial part of its contents for the purpose of illustration for teaching. The scope of those exceptions or limitations as they apply to digital uses is unclear. In addition, there	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	cross-border effect. This situation may hamper the development of digitally-supported teaching activities and distance learning. Therefore, the introduction of a new mandatory exception or limitation is necessary to ensure that educational establishments benefit from full legal certainty when using works or other subject-matter in digital teaching activities, including online and across borders.	cross-border effect. This situation may hamper the development of digitally-supported teaching activities and distance learning. Therefore, the introduction of a new mandatory exception or limitation is necessary to ensure that educational establishments benefit from full legal certainty when using works or other subject-matter in digital teaching activities, including online and across borders.	is a lack of clarity as to whether those exceptions or limitations would apply where teaching is provided online and thereby at a distance. Moreover, the existing legal framework does not provide for a cross-border effect. This situation may hamper the development of digitally-supported teaching activities and distance learning. Therefore, the introduction of a new mandatory exception or limitation is necessary to ensure that educational establishments benefit from full legal certainty when using works or other subject-matter in digital teaching activities, including online and across borders.	
31.	(15) While distance learning and cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to	(15) While distance learning and cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to	(15) While distance learning and cross-border education programmes are mostly developed at higher education level, digital tools and resources are increasingly used at all education levels, in particular to	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments in primary, secondary, vocational and higher education to the extent they pursue their educational activity for a non-commercial purpose. The organisational structure and the means of funding of an educational establishment are not the decisive factors to determine the non-commercial nature of the activity.	improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments in primary, secondary, vocational and higher education to the extent they pursue their educational activity for a non-commercial purpose. The organisational structure and the means of funding of an educational establishment are not the decisive factors to determine the non-commercial nature of the activity. Where cultural heritage institutions pursue an educational objective and are involved in teaching activities, it should be possible for Member States to consider those institutions as an educational establishment under this exception in so far as their teaching activities are concerned.	improve and enrich the learning experience. The exception or limitation provided for in this Directive should therefore benefit all educational establishments inrecognised by a Member State, including primary, secondary, vocational and higher education. It should apply only to the extent they pursue their educational activity for a that the uses are justified by the noncommercial purpose- of the particular teaching activity. The organisational structure and the means of funding of an educational establishment areshould not be the decisive factors to determine the noncommercial nature of the activity.	
32.	(16) The exception or limitation	(16) The exception or limitation	(16) The exception or limitation	GREEN

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Row COM(2016)593 should cover digital uses of works and other subject-matter such as the use of parts or extracts of works to support, enrich or complement the teaching, including the related learning activities. The use of the works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations, and be limited to what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception or limitation should cover both uses through digital means in the classroom and online uses through the educational establishment's secure electronic network, the access to which should be protected, notably by authentication procedures. The exception or limitation should be understood as covering the specific accessibility needs of

EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018

should cover digital uses of works and other subject-matter such as the use of parts or extracts of works to support, enrich or complement the teaching, including the related learning activities. The exception or limitation of use should be granted as long as the work or other subject-matter used indicates the source, including the authors' name, unless that turns out to be impossible for reasons of practicability. The use of the works or other subjectmatter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations, and be limited to what is necessary for the purpose of such activities. The exception or limitation should cover both uses through digital means in the classroom where the teaching activity is physically provided,

COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18

for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching provided for in this Directive should eover be understood as covering digital uses of works and other subject-matter such as the use of parts or extracts of works to support, enrich or complement the teaching, including the related learning activities.

[...]*

In most cases, the concept of illustration would therefore imply uses of parts or extracts of works only, which should not substitute the purchase of materials primarily intended for educational markets. When implementing the exception or limitation, Member States should remain free to specify, for the different categories of works or other subject-matter and in a balanced manner, the proportion of a work or other subject-matter that may be

POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

(16) The exception or limitation for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching provided for in this Directive should eover be understood as covering digital uses of works and other subject-matter such as the use of parts or extracts of works to support, enrich or complement the teaching, including the related learning activities.

[...]*

In most cases, the concept of illustration would therefore imply uses of parts or extracts of works only, which should not substitute the purchase of materials primarily intended for educational markets. When implementing the exception or limitation, Member States should remain free to specify, for the different categories of works or other subject-matter and in a balanced manner, the

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching.	including where it takes place outside the premises of the educational establishment, for example in libraries or cultural heritage institutions, as long as the use is made under the responsibility of the educational establishment, and online uses through the educational establishment's secure electronic network environment, the access to which should be protected, notably by authentication procedures. The exception or limitation should be understood as covering the specific accessibility needs of persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching.	used for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching. The Uses allowed under the exception or limitation should be understood to cover the specific accessibility needs of persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching. *[The second and third phrase of recital (16) of the COM proposal were moved to new recital (16a) Council's text - see row 33]	proportion of a work or other subject-matter that may be used for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching. The Uses allowed under the exception or limitation should be understood to cover the specific accessibility needs of persons with a disability in the context of illustration for teaching. *[The second and third phrase of recital (16) of the COM proposal were moved to new recital (16a) Council's text - see row 33] [provisionally agreed at technical meeting tbc at trilogue]
33.			(16a) The use of the works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of educational establishments, including during examinations or	GREEN (16a) The use of the works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation should be only in the context of teaching and learning activities carried out under the responsibility of

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			teaching activities taking place	educational establishments,
			outside the premises of	including during examinations or
			educational establishments, for	teaching activities taking place
			example in a museum, library	outside the premises of
			or another cultural heritage	educational establishments, for
			institution , and be limited to	example in a museum, library
			what is necessary for the purpose	or another cultural heritage
			of such activities. The exception	institution , and be limited to
			or limitation should cover both	what is necessary for the purpose
			uses through digital means of	of such activities. The exception
			works and other subject matter	or limitation should cover both
			made in the classroom and online	uses through digital means of
			uses or in other venues through	works and other subject matter
			digital means, for example	made in the classroom and online
			electronic whiteboards or	uses or in other venues through
			digital devices which may be	digital means, for example
			connected to the Internet, as	electronic whiteboards or
			well as uses made at a distance	digital devices which may be
			through the educational establishment's secure electronic	connected to the Internet, as
				well as uses made at a distance through the educational
			networks, such as online courses or access to teaching material	establishment's secure electronic
			complementing a given course.	networks environments, such as
			Secure electronic networks	online courses or access to
			should be understood as digital	teaching material
			teaching and learning	complementing a given course.
			environments the access to	Secure electronic networks
			which should be protected is	environments should be
			winch should be protected is	environments should be

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			limited to the educational establishment's teaching staff and to the pupils or students enrolled in a study programme, notably through appropriate authentication procedures, including password based authentication. [Phrases of new recital (16a) were taken from recital (16) (second and third phrase) of the COM proposal – see row 32]	understood as digital teaching and learning environments the access to which should be protected is limited to the educational establishment's teaching staff and to the pupils or students enrolled in a study programme, notably through appropriate authentication procedures, including password based authentication. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
34.		(16a) A secure electronic environment should be understood as a digital teaching and learning environment, access to which is limited through an appropriate authentication procedure to the educational establishment's teaching staff and to the pupils or students enrolled in a study		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		programme.		
35.	(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in order to facilitate educational uses of works and other subject-matter. Such arrangements have usually been developed taking account of the needs of educational establishments and different levels of education. Whereas it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception or limitation in relation to digital uses and cross-border teaching activities, the modalities of implementation may differ from a Member State to another, to the extent they do not hamper the effective application of the exception or limitation or cross-border uses. This should allow Member States to build on the	(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in order to facilitate educational uses of works and other subject-matter. Such arrangements have usually been developed taking account of the needs of educational establishments and different levels of education. Whereas it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception or limitation in relation to digital uses and cross-border teaching activities, the modalities of implementation may differ from a Member State to another, to the extent they do not hamper the effective application of the exception or limitation or cross-border uses. This should allow Member States to build on the	(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in order to facilitate educational uses of works and other subjectmatter. Such arrangements have usually been developed taking account of the needs of educational establishments and different levels of education. Whereas it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception or limitation in relation to digital uses and cross-border teaching activities, the modalities of implementation may differ from a Member State to another, to the extent they do not hamper the effective application of the exception or limitation or cross-border uses. Member States should for	(17) Different arrangements, based on the implementation of the exception provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC or on licensing agreements covering further uses, are in place in a number of Member States in order to facilitate educational uses of works and other subjectmatter. Such arrangements have usually been developed taking account of the needs of educational establishments and different levels of education. Whereas it is essential to harmonise the scope of the new mandatory exception or limitation in relation to digital uses and cross-border teaching activities, the modalities of implementation may differ from a Member State to another, to the extent they do not hamper the effective application of the exception or

COMMISSION PROPOSAL Row COM(2016)593 existing arrangements concluded at national level. In particular, Member States could decide to subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or partially, to the availability of adequate licences, covering at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. This mechanism would, for example, allow giving precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market. In order to avoid that such mechanism results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments. Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes.

EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018

existing arrangements concluded at national level. In particular, Member States could decide to subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or partially, to the availability of adequate licences, covering. Such licences can take the form of collective licensing agreements, extended collective licensing agreements and licences that are negotiated collectively such as "blanket licences", in order to avoid educational establishments having to negotiate individually with rightholders. Such licenses should be affordable and cover at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. This mechanism would, for example, allow giving precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market, or for teaching in educational establishments or sheet music. In order to avoid that such mechanism results in legal

COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18

example remain free to require that the use of works and other subject matter should respect moral rights of authors and **performers.** This should allow Member States to build on the existing arrangements concluded at national level. In particular, Member States could decide to subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or partially, to the availability of adequate licences, covering at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. **This**Member States could notably use this mechanism would, for example, allow givingto give precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market or for sheet **music**. In order to avoid that such mechanism results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should

POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION

limitation or cross-border uses This should allow Member States build on the existing concluded arrangements national level. In particular, Member States could decide to subject the application of the exception or limitation, fully or partially, to the availability of adequate licences covering at least the same uses as those allowed under the exception. Member States should ensure that where licenses cover only partially the uses allowed under the exception, all the other uses remain subject to the exception. **Member States** could example use this mechanism to give precedence to licences for materials which are primarily intended for the educational market or for sheet music.

In order to avoid that the possibility to subject the application of the exception to the availability of licences

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that <i>such</i> licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes. <i>Member States should be able to provide for systems to ensure that there is fair compensation for rightholders for uses under those exceptions or limitations. Member States should be encouraged to use systems that do not create an administrative burden, such as systems that provide for one-off payments. [See Council's recital (17a) - row 36]</i>	take concrete-measures to ensure that rightholders make the licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes. Such measures may include the development of licensing schemes tailored to the needs of educational establishments and the development of information tools aimed at ensuring the visibility of the existing licensing schemes.	results in legal uncertainty or administrative burden for educational establishments, Member States adopting this approach should take concrete measures to ensure that right holders make the licensing schemes allowing digital uses of works or other subject-matter for the purpose of illustration for teaching are easily available and that educational establishments are aware of the existence of such licensing schemes. Such licensing schemes measures may include the development of licensing schemes tailored to should meet the needs of educational establishments. and the development of Information tools aiming at ensuring the visibility of the existing licensing schemes could also be developed. Such schemes could, for example, be based on collective licensing or on extended

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				collective licensing in order to avoid educational establishments having to negotiate individually with rightholders. In order to guarantee legal certainty, Member States should specify under which conditions an educational establishment may use protected works or other subject-matter under that exception and, conversely, when it should act under a licensing scheme. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
36.			(17a) Member States should remain free to provide that rightholders receive fair compensation for the digital uses of their works or other subject-matter under the exception or limitation for illustration for teaching	GREEN (17a)Member States should remain free to provide that rightholders receive fair compensation for the digital uses of their works or other subjectmatter under the exception or limitation for illustration for

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			provided for in this Directive. For the purposes of determining the possible level of fair compensation, due account should be taken, inter alia, of Member States' educational objectives and of the harm to rightholders.	teaching provided for in this Directive. For the purposes of determining the possible level of fair compensation, due account should be taken, inter alia, of Member States' educational objectives and of the harm to rightholders. Member States deciding to provide for fair compensation should encourage the use of systems, which do not create administrative burden for educational establishments. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
37.		(17 a) In order to guarantee legal certainty when a Member State decides to subject the application of the exception to the availability of adequate licences, it is necessary to specify under which conditions an educational establishment may use protected works or other		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		subject-matter under that exception and, conversely, when it should act under a licensing scheme.		
38.	(18) An act of preservation may require a reproduction of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution and consequently the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital technologies offer new ways to preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they also create new challenges. In view of these new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow those acts of preservation.	(18) An act of preservation of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution may require a reproduction of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution and consequently require the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital technologies offer new ways to preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they also create new challenges. In view of these new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow those acts of preservation by such	(18) An act of preservation may require a reproduction of a work or other subject-matter in the collection of a cultural heritage institution may require a reproduction and consequently the authorisation of the relevant rightholders. Cultural heritage institutions are engaged in the preservation of their collections for future generations. Digital technologies offer new ways to preserve the heritage contained in those collections but they also create new challenges. In view of these new challenges, it is necessary to adapt the current legal framework by providing a mandatory exception to the right of reproduction in order to allow those acts of preservation.	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		institutions.		
39.	(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of preservation by cultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation and the sharing of means of preservation by cultural heritage institutions in the internal market, leading to an inefficient use of resources.	(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of reproduction for preservation by eultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation, and the sharing of means of preservation by eultural heritage institutions in the internal market, and the establishment of cross-border preservation networks in the internal market organisations that are engaged in preservation, leading to an inefficient use of resources. This can have a negative impact on the preservation of cultural heritage.	(19) Different approaches in the Member States for acts of preservation by cultural heritage institutions hamper cross-border cooperation and the sharing of means of preservation by eultural heritagesuch institutions in the internal market, leading to an inefficient use of resources.	
40.	(20) Member States should therefore be required to provide for an exception to permit cultural heritage institutions to reproduce works and other subject-matter permanently in their collections for preservation purposes, for example to address technological	(20) Member States should therefore be required to provide for an exception to permit cultural heritage institutions to reproduce works and other subject-matter permanently in their collections for preservation purposes, for example to address technological	(20) Member States should therefore be required to provide for an exception to permit cultural heritage institutions to reproduce works and other subject-matter permanently in their collections for preservation purposes, for example to address	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	obsolescence or the degradation of original supports. Such an exception should allow for the making of copies by the appropriate preservation tool, means or technology, in the required number and at any point in the life of a work or other subject-matter to the extent required in order to produce a copy for preservation purposes only.	obsolescence or the degradation of original supports or to insure works. Such an exception should allow for the making of copies by the appropriate preservation tool, means or technology, in any format or medium, in the required number, at any point in the life of a work or other subjectmatter and to the extent required in order to produce a copy for preservation purposes only. The archives of research organisations or public-service broadcasting organisations should be considered cultural heritage institutions and therefore beneficiaries of this exception. Member States should, for the purpose of this exception, be able to maintain provisions to treat publicly accessible galleries as museums.	technological obsolescence or the degradation of original supports. Such an exception should allow for the making of copies by the appropriate preservation tool, means or technology, in the required number and at any point in the life of a work or other subject-matter to the extent required in order to produce a copy for preservation purposes only. Acts of reproduction undertaken by cultural heritage institutions for purposes other than the preservation of works and other subject-matter in their permanent collections should remain subject to the authorisation of rightholders, unless permitted by other exceptions or limitations provided for by Union law.	
41.			(20a) Cultural heritage institutions do not necessarily have the technical means or expertise to undertake the acts	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			required to preserve their collections themselves, particularly in the digital environment, and may therefore have recourse to the assistance of other cultural institutions and other third parties for that purpose. Under this exception, cultural heritage institutions should therefore be allowed to rely on third parties acting on their behalf and under their responsibility, including those that are based in other Member States, for the making of copies.	
42.	(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies are owned or permanently held by the cultural heritage institution, for example as a result of a transfer of ownership or licence agreements.	(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies of such works or other subject matter are owned or permanently held by the cultural heritage institution, those organisations, for example as a result of a	(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies are owned or permanently held by the cultural heritage institutionsuch institutions, for example as a result of a transfer of ownership or licence	(21) For the purposes of this Directive, works and other subject-matter should be considered to be permanently in the collection of a cultural heritage institution when copies of such works or other subjectmatter are owned or permanently held by such institutions, for example as a result of a transfer of ownership or licence

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		transfer of ownership ot, licence agreements, a legal deposit or a long-term loan. Works or other subject matter that cultural heritage institutions access temporarily via a third-party server are not considered as being permanently in their collections.	agreements or permanent custody arrangements.	agreements, legal deposit obligations or permanent custody arrangements. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
43.		(21a) Technological developments have given rise to information society services enabling their users to upload content and make it available in diverse forms and for various purposes, including to illustrate an idea, criticism, parody or pastiche. Such content may include short extracts of preexisting protected works or other subject-matter that such users might have altered, combined or otherwise transformed.		
44.		(21b) Despite some overlap with existing exceptions or limitations, such as the ones for		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		quotation and parody, not all content that is uploaded or made available by a user that reasonably includes extracts of protected works or other subject-matter is covered by Article 5 of Directive 2001/29/EC. A situation of this type creates legal uncertainty for both users and rightholders. It is therefore necessary to provide a new specific exception to permit the legitimate uses of extracts of pre-existing protected works or other subject-matter in content that is uploaded or made available by users. Where content generated or made available by a user involves the short and proportionate use of a quotation or of an extract of a protected work or other subject-matter for a legitimate purpose, such use should be protected by the exception provided for in this Directive. This exception should only be applied in certain special cases which do not conflict with		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		normal exploitation of the work or other subject-matter concerned and do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of the rightholder. For the purpose of assessing such prejudice, it is essential that the degree of originality of the content concerned, the length/extent of the quotation or extract used, the professional nature of the content concerned or the degree of economic harm be examined, where relevant, while not precluding the legitimate enjoyment of the exception. This exception should be without prejudice to the moral rights of the authors of the work or other subject-matter.		
45.		(21c) Information society service providers that fall within the scope of Article 13 of this Directive should not be able to invoke for their benefit the exception for the use of extracts		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		from pre-existing works provided for in this Directive, for the use of quotations or extracts from protected works or other subject-matter in content that is uploaded or made available by users on those information society services, to reduce the scope of their obligations under Article 13 of this Directive.		
46.	institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be very difficult. This can be due, for example, to the age of the works or other subject-matter, their limited commercial value or the fact that they were never intended for	(22) Cultural heritage institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be very difficult. This can be due, for example, to the age of the works or other subject-matter, their limited commercial value or the fact that they were never intended for commercial use <i>or</i>	(22) Cultural heritage institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of out- of-commerce works or other subject—matter- that are considered out of commerce for the purposes of this Directive. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works, together with the amount of works involved in mass digitisation projects, mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be	institutions should benefit from a clear framework for the digitisation and dissemination, including across borders, of works or other subject-matter that are considered out of commerce for the purposes of this Directive. However, the particular characteristics of the collections of out-of-commerce works, together with the amount of works and other subject-matter involved in mass digitisation projects, mean that obtaining the prior consent of the individual rightholders may be very

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	commercial use. It is therefore necessary to provide for measures to facilitate the licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works that are in the collections of cultural heritage institutions and thereby to allow the conclusion of agreements with cross-border effect in the internal market.	have never been in commerce. It is therefore necessary to provide for measures to facilitate the licensing of rights in use of out-of-commerce works that are in the collections of cultural heritage institutions and thereby to allow the conclusion of agreements with cross-border effect in the internal market.	very difficult. This can be due, for example, to the age of the works or other subject-matter, their limited commercial value or the fact that they were never intended for commercial use. It is therefore necessary to provide for measures to facilitate the collective licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions and thereby to allow the conclusion of agreements with cross-border effect in the internal market.	difficult. This can be due, for example, to the age of the works or other subject-matter, their limited commercial value or the fact that they were never intended for commercial use or that they have never been exploited commercially. It is therefore necessary to provide for measures to facilitate certain uses of the collective_licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions. , and thereby to allow the conclusion of agreements with cross-border effect in the internal market. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
47.		(22a) Several Member States have already adopted extended collective licencing regimes, legal mandates or legal presumptions facilitating the licencing of out-of-commerce		(22a) Legal mechanisms should therefore exist in all Member States allowing for licences issued by relevant and sufficiently representative collective management

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		works. However considering the variety of works and other		organisations to cultural heritage institutions, for certain
		subject-matter in the collections		uses of out-of-commerce works
		of cultural heritage institutions		and other subject matter, to
		and the variance between		also apply to the rights of
		collective management practices		rightholders that have not
		across Member States and		mandated a_representative
		sectors of cultural production,		collective management
		such measures may not provide a		organisation in that regard. It
		solution in all cases, for		should be legally possible for
		example, because there is no		those licences to cover all
		practice of collective		territories of the Union.
		management for a certain type of		(221-) A d4-d-11
		work or other subject matter. In		(22b) An adapted legal
		such particular instances, it is		framework applicable to
		therefore necessary to allow		collective licensing may not provide a solution for all the
		cultural heritage institutions to		cases where cultural heritage
		make out-of-commerce works held in their permanent		institutions encounter
		collection available online under		difficulties in obtaining all the
		an exception to copyright and		necessary authorisations of
		related rights. While it is		right holders for the use of out-
		essential to harmonise the scope		of-commerce works and other
		of the new mandatory exception		subject-matter, for example,
		in order to allow cross-border		because there is no practice of
		uses of out-of-commerce works,		collective management for a
		Member States should		certain type of works or other
		nevertheless be allowed to use or		subject-matter or because the

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		continue to use extended collective licencing arrangements concluded with cultural heritage institutions at national level for categories of works that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions The lack of agreement on the conditions of the licence should not be interpreted as a lack of availability of licensing-based solutions. Any uses under this exception should be subject to the same opt-out and publicity requirements as uses authorised by a licensing mechanism. In order to ensure that the exception only applies when certain conditions are fulfilled and to provide legal certainty, Member States should determine, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage organisations, and at appropriate intervals of time, for which sectors and		relevant collective management organisation is not broadly representative for the category of the right holders and of the rights concerned. In such particular instances, it should be possible for cultural heritage institutions to make out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter that are permanently in their collection available online in all territories of the Union under a harmonised exception or limitation to copyright and related rights. It is important that uses under that exception or limitation only take place when certain conditions, notably as regards the availability of licensing solutions, are fulfilled. The lack of agreement on the conditions of the licence should not be interpreted as a lack of availability of licensing-based solutions.

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		which types of works appropriate licence-based solutions are not available, in which case the exception should apply.		[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
48.	(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism allowing for licences for out-of-commerce works to extend to the rights of rightholders that are not represented by the collective management organisation, in accordance to their legal traditions, practices or circumstances. Such mechanisms can include extended collective licensing and presumptions of representation.	(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism allowing for licences for out-of-commerce works to extend to the rights of rightholders that are not represented by the <i>relevant</i> collective management organisation, in accordance to <i>with</i> their legal traditions, practices or circumstances. Such mechanisms can include extended collective licensing and presumptions of representation.	(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of mechanism, such as extended collective licensing or presumption of representation, allowing for licences for out-of-commerce works to extend to the rights of rightholders that arehave not represented by themandated a representative collective management organisation, in accordance towith their legal traditions, practices or circumstances. Such mechanisms can include extended collective licensing and presumptions of representation Member States should also have flexibility in determining the requirements for collective management organisations to be sufficiently	(23) Member States should, within the framework provided for in this Directive, have flexibility in choosing the specific type of licensing mechanism, such as extended collective licensing or presumptions of representation, that they put in place for the use of out-of-commerce works and other subject matter by cultural heritage institutions, in accordance with their legal traditions, practices or circumstances. Member States should also have flexibility in determining the requirements for collective management organisations to be sufficiently representative, as long as this is based on a significant number of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			representative, as long as this is based on a significant number of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subjectmatter who have given a mandate allowing the licensing of the relevant type of use. Member States should be free to establish specific rules applicable to cases where more than one collective management organisation is representative for the relevant works or other subject matter, requiring for example joint licences or an agreement between the relevant organisations.	who have given a mandate allowing the licensing of the relevant type of use. Member States should be free to establish specific rules applicable to cases where more than one collective management organisation is representative for the relevant works or other subject matter, requiring for example joint licences or an agreement between the relevant organisations. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
49.	(24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual	(24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important and should be encouraged by the Member States. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate	(24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual	(24) For the purpose of those licensing mechanisms, a rigorous and well-functioning collective management system is important. That system includes in particular rules of good governance, transparency and reporting, as well as the regular, diligent and accurate distribution and payment of amounts due to individual

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms to their works or other subject-matter. Conditions attached to those mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions.	distribution and payment of amounts due to individual rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of such <i>licensing</i> mechanisms <i>or of such exceptions</i> to their works or other subject-matter. Conditions attached to those mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions.	rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms in relation to all their works or other subjectmatter or to all licences, or in relation to particular works or other subjectmatter or to particular licences, at any time before or under the duration of the licence. Conditions attached to those mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions. It is important that when a rightholder excludes the application of such mechanisms to one or more of their works or other subject-matter, the informed collective management organisation does not continue to issue licences covering the relevant uses and any ongoing uses are terminated within a reasonable	rightholders, as provided for by Directive 2014/26/EU. (24a) Additional appropriate safeguards should be available for all rightholders, who should be given the opportunity to exclude the application of thesuch licensing mechanisms and the exception or limitation introduced by this Directive for the use of out-of-commerce works in relation to all their works or other subject-matter or in relation to all licences or all uses under the exception or limitation, or in relation to particular works or other subject-matter or in relation to particular licences or uses under the exception or limitation, at any time before or under the duration of the licence or the uses under the exception or limitation. Conditions attached to those licensing mechanisms should not affect their practical relevance for cultural heritage institutions. It is

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			period. Such exclusion by the rightholder should not affect their claim to remuneration for the actual use of the work or other subject-matter.	important that when a rightholder excludes the application of such mechanisms or of such exception or limitation to one or more of their works or other subjectmatter, the informed collective management organisation does not continue to issue licences covering the relevant uses and any ongoing uses are terminated within a reasonable period, and, in the case they take place under a collective licence, that the informed collective management organisation does not continue to issue licences covering the relevant uses. Such exclusion by the rightholders should not affect their claims to remuneration for the actual use of the work or other subject-matter under the licence. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
50.			(24a) This Directive does not affect the possibility for Member States to determine the allocation of legal responsibility for the compliance of the licensing and the use of out-of-commerce works with the conditions set out in this Directive and for the compliance of the parties with the terms of those licenses.	(24a) This Directive does not affect the possibility for Member States to determine the allocation of legal responsibility for the compliance of the licensing and the use of out-of-commerce works with the conditions set out in this Directive and for the compliance of the parties with the terms of those licenses. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
51.	(25) Considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions, it is important that the licensing mechanisms introduced by this Directive are available and can be used in practice for different types of works and other subject-matter, including photographs, sound	(25) Considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions, it is important that the licensing mechanisms introduced by this Directive are available and can be used in practice for different types of works and other subject-matter, including photographs, sound	(25) Considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions, it is important that the licensing mechanisms introduced by this Directive are available and can be used in practice for different types of works and other subject-matter, including photographs, software ,	(25) Considering the variety of works and other subject-matter in the collections of cultural heritage institutions, it is important that the licensing mechanisms and the exception or limitation introduced by this Directive are available and can be used in practice for different types of works and other subject-matter,

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	recordings and audiovisual works. In order to reflect the specificities of different categories of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established by Member States for the practical application of those licensing mechanisms. It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, users and collective management organisations when doing so.	recordings and audiovisual works. In order to reflect the specificities of different categories of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, the solutions on the use of out-of-commerce works introduced by this Directive, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established by Member States for the practical application of those licensing mechanisms. It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, users cultural heritage institutions and collective management organisations when doing so.	phonograms, sound recordings and audiovisual works—and unique works of art, irrespective of whether they have ever been commercially available. Never-in-commerce works may include posters, leaflets, trench journals or amateur audiovisual works, but also unpublished works or other subject-matter, without prejudice to other applicable legal constraints, such as national rules on moral rights. When a work is available in any of its different versions, such as subsequent editions of literary works and alternate cuts of cinematographic works, or in any of its different manifestations, such as digital and printed formats of the same work, this work or other subject-matter should not be considered out of -commerce. Conversely, the commercial availability of adaptations, including other language	including photographs, software, phonograms, audiovisual works and unique works of art, irrespective of whether they have ever been commercially available. Never-in-commerce works may include posters, leaflets, trench journals or amateur audiovisual works, but also unpublished works or other subject-matter, without prejudice to other applicable legal constraints, such as national rules on moral rights. When a work is available in any of its different versions, such as subsequent editions of literary works and alternate cuts of cinematographic works, or in any of its different manifestations, such as digital and printed formats of the same work, this work or other subject-matter should not be considered out of commerce. Conversely, the commercial availability of adaptations, including other language versions or audiovisual adaptations of a literary work,

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			versions or audiovisual adaptations of a literary work, should not preclude the determination of the out-of- commerce status of a work in a given language. In order to reflect the specificities of different eategories-types of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established by Member States-for the practical application of those licensing mechanisms, such as a time period which needs to have been elapsed since the first commercial availability of the work. It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, users and collective management organisations when doing so.	should not preclude the determination of the out-of-commerce status of a work in a given language. In order to reflect the specificities of different types of works and other subject-matter as regards modes of publication and distribution and to facilitate the usability of those mechanisms, specific requirements and procedures may have to be established for the practical application of those licensing mechanisms, such as a time period which needs to have been elapsed since the first commercial availability of the work. It is appropriate that Member States consult rightholders, cultural heritage institutionsusers and collective management organisations when doing so. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
52.			(25a) When determining	(25a) When determining whether
			whether works and other	works and other subject-matter
			subject-matter are out of	are out of commerce, a reasonable
			commerce, a reasonable effort	effort should be required to assess
			should be required to assess	their availability to the public in
			their availability to the public	the customary channels of
			in the customary channels of	commerce, taking into account
			commerce, taking into account	the characteristics of the
			the characteristics of the	particular work or set of works.
			particular work or set of works.	Member States should be free to
			Member States should be free	determine the allocation of
			to determine the allocation of	responsibilities for making the
			responsibilities for making the	reasonable effort. The reasonable
			reasonable effort. The	effort should not have to be
			reasonable effort should not	repeated over time but it should
			have to be repeated over time but it should also take account	also take account of any easily accessible evidence of upcoming
			of any easily accessible evidence	availability of works in the
			of upcoming availability of	customary channels of commerce.
			works in the customary	A work-by-work assessment
			channels of commerce. A work-	should only be required when this
			by-work assessment should	is considered reasonable in view
			only be required when this is	of the availability of relevant
			considered reasonable in view	information, the likelihood of
			of the availability of relevant	commercial availability and the
			information, the likelihood of	expected transaction cost. The
			commercial availability and the	verification of availability should
			expected transaction cost. The	normally take place in the

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			verification of availability should normally take place in the Member State where the cultural heritage institution is established, unless verification across borders is considered reasonable, for example when there is easily available information that a literary work was first published in a given language version in another Member State. In many cases the out-of- commerce status of a set of works could be determined through a proportionate mechanism, such as sampling. The limited availability of a work, such as its availability in second-hand shops, or the theoretical possibility to obtain a licence to a work should not be considered as availability to the public in the customary channels of commerce.	Member State where the cultural heritage institution is established, unless verification across borders is considered reasonable, for example when there is easily available information that a literary work was first published in a given language version in another Member State. In many cases the out-of-commerce status of a set of works could be determined through a proportionate mechanism, such as sampling. The limited availability of a work, such as its availability in second-hand shops, or the theoretical possibility to obtain a licence to a work should not be considered as availability to the public in the customary channels of commerce. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
53.	(26) For reasons of international comity, the licensing mechanisms	(26) For reasons of international comity, the licensing mechanisms	(26) For reasons of international comity, the licensing mechanisms	(26) For reasons of international comity, the licensing mechanism

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	for the digitisation and dissemination of out-of-commerce works provided for in this Directive should not apply to works or other subject-matter that are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works the producer of which has his headquarters or habitual residence in a third country. Those mechanisms should also not apply to works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except when they are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in the territory of a Member State or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works of which the producer's headquarters or habitual residence is in a Member State.	and the exception for the digitisation and dissemination of out-of-commerce works provided for in this Directive should not apply to works or other subject-matter that are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works the producer of which has his headquarters or habitual residence in a third country. Those mechanisms should also not apply to works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except when they are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in the territory of a Member State or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works of which the producer's headquarters or habitual residence is in a Member State.	for the digitisation and dissemination of out-of-commerce works provided for in this Directive should not apply to works or other subject-matter that are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works the producer of which has his headquarters or habitual residence in a third country. Those mechanisms should also not apply to works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except when they are first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in the territory of a Member State or, in the case of cinematographic or audiovisual works, to works of which the producer's headquarters or habitual residence is in a Member Statesets of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter when there is	and the exception or limitation provided for in this Directive for the digitisation and dissemination of out-of-commerce works provided for in this Directive should not apply to sets of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter when there is available evidence to presume that they predominantly consist of works or other subject-matter of third countries, unless the concerned collective management organisation is sufficiently representative for that third country, for example via a representation agreement. This assessment can be based on the evidence available following the reasonable effort to determine the out-of-commerce status of the works, without the need to search for further evidence. A work-bywork assessment of the origin of the out-of-commerce works should only be required insofar as it is also required for the
			available evidence to presume	reasonable effort to determine

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			that they predominantly consist of works or other subject- matter of third countries, unless the concerned collective management organisation is sufficiently representative for that third country, for example via a representation agreement. This assessment can be based on the evidence available following the reasonable effort to determine the out-of-commerce status of the works, without the need to search for further evidence. A work-by-work assessment of the origin of the out-of-commerce works should only be required insofar as it is also required for the reasonable effort to determine their commercial availability.	their commercial availability. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
54.	(27) As mass digitisation projects can entail significant investments by cultural heritage institutions, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not	(27) As mass digitisation projects can entail significant investments by cultural heritage institutions, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not prevent them	(27) As mass The contracting cultural heritage institutions and collective management organisations should remain free to agree on the territorial scope of the licence, the licence	(27) The contracting cultural heritage institutions and collective management organisations should remain free to agree on the territorial scope of the licence, <i>including the</i>

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	prevent them from generating reasonable revenues in order to cover the costs of the licence and the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence.	from generating reasonable revenues in order to cover covering the costs of the licence and the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence.	fee and the allowed uses. Uses covered by such licence should not be for profit making purpose, including when copies are distributed by the cultural heritage institution, such as in the case of promotional material about an exhibition. At the same time, as the digitisation projects of the collections of cultural heritage institutions can entail significant investments by cultural heritage institutions, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not prevent them cultural heritage institutions reasonable revenues in order to cover for the purposes of covering the costs of the licence and the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence.	States, the licence fee and the allowed uses. Uses covered by such licence should not be for profit making purpose, including when copies are distributed by the cultural heritage institution, such as in the case of promotional material about an exhibition. At the same time, as the digitisation of the collections of cultural heritage_institutions can entail significant investments, any licences granted under the mechanisms provided for in this Directive should not prevent cultural heritage institutions from generating reasonable revenues for the exclusive purposes of covering the costs of the licence and the costs of digitising and disseminating the works and other subject-matter covered by the licence.

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				confirmed]
55.	(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all rightholders to exclude the application of licences to their works or other subject-matter should be adequately publicised. This is particularly important when uses take place across borders in the internal market. It is therefore appropriate to make provision for the creation of a single publicly accessible online portal for the Union to make such information available to the public for a reasonable period of time before the cross-border use takes place. Under Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the	(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms <i>or of the exception</i> provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all rightholders to exclude the application of licences <i>or of the exception</i> to their works or other subject-matter should be adequately publicised. This is particularly important when uses take place across borders in the internal market. It is therefore appropriate to make provision for the creation of a single publicly accessible online portal for the Union to make such information available to the public for a reasonable period of time before the cross-border use takes place. Under	(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all rightholders to exclude the application of licences to their works or other subject-matter should be adequately publicised-both before a licence is granted and during the operation of the licence as appropriate. This is particularly important when uses take place across borders in the internal market. It is therefore appropriate to make provision for the creation of a single publicly accessible online portal for the Union to make such information available to the public for a reasonable period of time before	(28) Information regarding the future and ongoing use of out-of-commerce works and other subject-matter by cultural heritage institutions on the basis of the licensing mechanisms provided for in this Directive and the arrangements in place for all rightholders to exclude the application of licences or of the exception or limitation to their works or other subject-matter should be adequately publicised both before a licence is granted and during the operation of the licencethe use under a licence or the exception or limitation, as appropriate. This is particularly important when uses take place across borders in the internal market. It is therefore appropriate to make provision for the creation of a single publicly accessible online portal for the Union to

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	European Parliament and of the Council ¹¹ , the European Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary measures, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available.	Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹¹ , the European Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary measures, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such information available.	This portal should facilitate the possibility for rightholders-to exclude the application of licences to their works or other subject-matter. Under Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹¹ , the European Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary measuresmeans, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office to establish and manage the European portal making such	make such information available to the public for a reasonable period of time before the use takes place. This portal should facilitate the possibility for rightholders-to exclude the application of licences to their works or other subject-matter. Under Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹¹ , the European Union Intellectual Property Office is entrusted with certain tasks and activities, financed by making use of its own budgetary means, aiming at facilitating and supporting the activities of national authorities, the private sector and Union institutions in the fight against, including the prevention of, infringement of intellectual property rights. It is therefore appropriate to rely on that Office

Regulation (EU) No 386/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 April 2012 on entrusting the Office for Harmonization in the Internal Market (Trade Marks and Designs) with tasks related to the enforcement of intellectual property rights, including the assembling of public and private-sector representatives as a European Observatory on Infringements of Intellectual Property Rights (OJ L 129, 16.5.2012, p. 1–6).

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			information available. In addition to making the information available through the portal, further appropriate publicity measures may need to be taken on a case-by-case basis in order to increase the awareness of affected rightholders, for example through the use of additional channels of communication to reach a wider public. The necessity, the nature and the geographic scope of the additional publicity measures should depend on the characteristics of the relevant out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter, the terms of the licences and the existing practices in Member States. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually.	to establish and manage the European portal making such information available. In addition to making the information available through the portal, further appropriate publicity measures may need to be taken on a case-by-case basis in order to increase the awareness of affected rightholders, for example through the use of additional channels of communication to reach a wider public. The necessity, the nature and the geographic scope of the additional publicity measures should depend on the characteristics of the relevant out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter, the terms of the licences or the type of use under the exception or limitation, and the existing practices in Member States. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually.

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				(-28a) In order to ensure that the licensing mechanisms established by this Directive for out-of-commerce works are relevant and function properly, that rightholders are adequately protected, that licences are properly publicised and that legal clarity is ensured with regard to the representativeness of collective management organisations and the categorisation of works, Member States should foster sector-specific stakeholder dialogue. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
56.			(28a) The measures provided for in this Directive to facilitate the collective licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions should be without prejudice to	(28a) The measures provided for in this Directive to facilitate the collective licensing of rights in out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter that are permanently in the collections of cultural heritage institutions should be without prejudice to the

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			the use of such works or other subject-matter under exceptions or limitations provided for in Union law or under other licences with an extended effect, where such licensing is not based on the out-of-commerce status of the covered works or other subject matter. These measures should also be without prejudice to national mechanisms for the use of out of commerce works based on licences between collective management organisation and users other than cultural heritage institutions.	use of such works or other subject-matter under exceptions or limitations provided for in Union law or under other licences with an extended effect, where such licensing is not based on the out-of-commerce status of the covered works or other subject matter. These measures should also be without prejudice to national mechanisms for the use of out of commerce works based on licences between collective management organisation and users other than cultural heritage institutions. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
57.			(28b) Mechanisms of collective licensing with an extended effect allow a collective management organisation to offer licences as a collective licensing body on behalf of	(28b) Mechanisms of collective licensing with an extended effect allow a collective management organisation to offer licences as a collective licensing body on behalf of rightholders irrespective

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			rightholders irrespective of	of whether they have authorised
			whether they have authorised	the organisation to do so. Systems
			the organisation to do so.	built on such mechanisms, such
			Systems built on such	as extended collective licensing,
			mechanisms, such as extended	legal mandates or presumptions
			collective licensing, legal	of representation, are a well-
			mandates or presumptions of	established practice in several
			representation, are a well-	Member States and may be used
			established practice in several	in different areas. A functioning
			Member States and may be	copyright framework that works
			used in different areas. A	for all parties requires the
			functioning copyright	availability of these
			framework that works for all	proportionate, legal mechanisms
			parties requires the availability	for the licensing of works.
			of these proportionate, legal	Member States should therefore
			mechanisms for the licensing of	be able to rely on solutions,
			works. Member States should	allowing relevant licensing
			therefore be able to rely on	organisations, which are owned
			solutions, allowing relevant	or controlled by their rightholder
			licensing organisations, which	members (or entities representing
			are owned or controlled by	rightholders) or organised on a
			their rightholder members (or entities representing	not for profit basis, to offer licences covering potentially
			rightholders) or organised on a	large volumes of works or other
			not for profit basis, to offer	subject-matter for certain types of
			licences covering potentially	use, and distribute the revenue
			large volumes of works or other	received to rightholders.
			subject-matter for certain types	received to righthorders.
			subject-matter for certain types	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			of use, and distribute the revenue received to rightholders.	[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
58.			(28c) In the case of some uses, together with the usually large amount of works involved, the transaction cost of individual rights clearance with every concerned rightholder is prohibitively high and without effective collective licensing mechanisms all the required transactions in these areas to enable the use of these works or other subject matter are unlikely to take place. Extended collective licensing and similar mechanisms have made it possible to conclude agreements in areas affected by this market failure where traditional collective licensing does not provide an exhaustive solution for covering all works and other subject-matter to be used. These mechanisms serve as a	(28c) In the case of some uses, together with the usually large amount of works involved, the transaction cost of individual rights clearance with every concerned rightholder is prohibitively high and without effective collective licensing mechanisms all the required transactions in these areas to enable the use of these works or other subject matter are unlikely to take place. Extended collective licensing and similar mechanisms have made it possible to conclude agreements in areas affected by this market failure where traditional collective licensing does not provide an exhaustive solution for covering all works and other subject-matter to be used. These mechanisms serve as a complement to collective

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			complement to collective management based on individual mandates, by providing full legal certainty to users. At the same time, they provide a further opportunity to right holders to benefit from the legitimate use of their works.	management based on individual mandates, by providing full legal certainty to users. At the same time, they provide a further opportunity to right holders to benefit from the legitimate use of their works. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
59.			(28d) Given the increasing importance of the ability to offer flexible licensing solutions in the digital age, and the increasing use of such schemes in Member States, it is beneficial to further clarify in Union law the status of licensing mechanisms allowing collective management organisations to conclude licences, on a voluntary basis, irrespective of whether all rightholders have authorised the organisation to do so. Member States should have the ability to maintain and	(28d) Given the increasing importance of the ability to offer flexible licensing solutions in the digital age, and the increasing use of such schemes in Member States, it is beneficial to further clarify in Union law the status of licensing mechanisms allowing collective management organisations to conclude licences, on a voluntary basis, irrespective of whether all rightholders have authorised the organisation to do so. Member States should have the ability to maintain and introduce such schemes in accordance with their

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			introduce such schemes in	legal traditions, practices or
			accordance with their legal	circumstances, subject to the
			traditions, practices or	safeguards provided for in this
			circumstances, subject to the	Directive and in full respect of
			safeguards provided for in this	Union law and their international
			Directive and in full respect of	obligations related to copyright.
			Union law and their	These schemes would only have
			international obligations	effect in the territory of the
			related to copyright. These	Member State concerned, unless
			schemes would only have effect	otherwise provided for in Union
			in the territory of the Member	law. Member States should have
			State concerned, unless	flexibility in choosing the specific
			otherwise provided for in Union	type of mechanism allowing
			law. Member States should	licences for works or other
			have flexibility in choosing the	subject-matter to extend to the
			specific type of mechanism	rights of rightholders that have
			allowing licences for works or	not authorised the organisation
			other subject-matter to extend	that concludes the agreement, as
			to the rights of rightholders	long as it guarantees sufficient
			that have not authorised the	protection of the non-member rightholders. Such mechanisms
			organisation that concludes the agreement, as long as it	may include extended collective
			guarantees sufficient protection	licensing, legal mandate and
			of the non-member	presumptions of representation.
			rightholders. Such mechanisms	The provisions of this Directive
			may include extended collective	concerning collective licensing
			licensing, legal mandate and	should not affect existing
			presumptions of representation.	possibilities of Member States to

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			The provisions of this Directive concerning collective licensing should not affect existing possibilities of Member States to apply mandatory collective management or other collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect, such as the one	apply mandatory collective management or other collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect, such as the one included in Article 3 of Directive 93/83/EEC.
			included in Article 3 of Directive 93/83/EEC.	[tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
60.			(28e) It is important that such mechanisms are only applied in well-defined areas of uses, where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an individual basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction, i.e. a licence that covers all the involved rightholders unlikely to occur due to the nature of the use or of the types of works concerned. It is equally important that the licensed use neither affects adversely the	(28e) It is important that such mechanisms are only applied in well-defined areas of uses, where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an individual basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction, i.e. a licence that covers all the involved rightholders unlikely to occur due to the nature of the use or of the types of works concerned. It is equally important that the licensed use neither affects adversely the economic value of the relevant rights nor

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			economic value of the relevant rights nor deprives rightholders of significant commercial benefits. Moreover, Member States should ensure that appropriate safeguards are in place to protect the legitimate interests of rightholders that are not represented by the organisation offering the licence.	deprives rightholders of significant commercial benefits. Moreover, Member States should ensure that appropriate safeguards are in place to protect the legitimate interests of rightholders that are not represented by the organisation offering the licence. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
61.			(28f) Specifically, to justify the extended effect of the mechanisms, the organisation should be, on the basis of authorisations from rightholders, sufficiently representative of the types of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence. To ensure legal certainty and confidence in the mechanisms Member States may determine the allocation of legal responsibility for uses authorised by the	(28f) Specifically, to justify the extended effect of the mechanisms, the organisation should be, on the basis of authorisations from rightholders, sufficiently representative of the types of works or other subjectmatter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence. To ensure legal certainty and confidence in the mechanisms Member States may determine the allocation of legal responsibility for uses authorised by the licence agreement. Equal treatment

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			licence agreement. Equal	should be guaranteed to all
			treatment should be guaranteed	rightholders whose works are
			to all rightholders whose works	exploited under the licence as
			are exploited under the licence	regards, notably, access to
			as regards, notably, access to	information on the licensing and
			information on the licensing	the distribution of remuneration.
			and the distribution of	Publicity measures should be
			remuneration. Publicity	effective throughout the duration
			measures should be effective	of the licence without the need to
			throughout the duration of the	inform each rightholder
			licence without the need to	individually. In order to ensure
			inform each rightholder	that rightholders can easily retain
			individually. In order to ensure	control of their works, and
			that rightholders can easily	prevent any uses of their works
			retain control of their works,	that would be prejudicial to their
			and prevent any uses of their	interests, rightholders must be
			works that would be prejudicial	given an effective opportunity to
			to their interests, rightholders	exclude the application of such mechanisms to their works or
			must be given an effective	other subject-matter for all uses
			opportunity to exclude the application of such mechanisms	and works or other subject-
			to their works or other subject-	matter, or for specific uses and
			matter for all uses and works or	works or other subject-matter. In
			other subject-matter, or for	such cases, any ongoing uses
			specific uses and works or other	should be terminated within a
			subject-matter. In such cases,	reasonable period. Member States
			any ongoing uses should be	may also decide that additional
			terminated within a reasonable	measures are appropriate to

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			period. Member States may also decide that additional measures are appropriate to protect rightholders.	protect rightholders. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
62.			(28g) Member States should ensure that the purpose and scope of any licence granted as a result of these mechanisms, as well as the possible users, should always be carefully and clearly defined in national legislation or, if the underlying legislation is a general provision, in the licensing practices applied as a result of such general provisions, or in the licences granted. The ability to operate a licence under these mechanisms should also be limited to organisations which are either owned or controlled by their right holder members or which operate on a not for profit basis, regulated by national law implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.	(28g) Member States should ensure that the purpose and scope of any licence granted as a result of these mechanisms, as well as the possible users, should always be carefully and clearly defined in national legislation or, if the underlying legislation is a general provision, in the licensing practices applied as a result of such general provisions, or in the licences granted. The ability to operate a licence under these mechanisms should also be limited to organisations which are either owned or controlled by their right holder members or which operate on a not for profit basis, regulated by national law implementing Directive 2014/26/EU. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				confirmed]
63.			(28h) Given the different traditions and experiences with extended collective licensing across Member States and their applicability to rightholders irrespective of their nationality or their Member State of residence, it is important to ensure transparency and dialogue at Union level about the practical functioning of these mechanisms, including as regards the effectiveness of safeguards for rightholders, their usability and the potential need to lay down rules to give such schemes cross-border effect within the internal market. To ensure transparency, information about the use of such mechanisms under this Directive should be regularly published by the Commission. Member States that have introduced such mechanisms	(28h) Given the different traditions and experiences with extended collective licensing across Member States and their applicability to rightholders irrespective of their nationality or their Member State of residence, it is important to ensure transparency and dialogue at Union level about the practical functioning of these mechanisms, including as regards the effectiveness of safeguards for rightholders, their usability and the potential need to lay down rules to give such schemes cross-border effect within the internal market. To ensure transparency, information about the use of such mechanisms under this Directive should be regularly published by the Commission. Member States that have introduced such mechanisms should therefore inform the Commission about relevant national legislation and

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			should therefore inform the Commission about relevant national legislation and its application in practice, including scopes and types of licensing introduced on the basis of general legislation, the scale of licensing and the collective management organisations involved. Such information should be discussed with Member States in the contact committee referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/29 On/EC. The Commission should publish a report by 31 December 2020 on the use of such mechanisms in the Union and their impact on licensing and rightholders.	its application in practice, including scopes and types of licensing introduced on the basis of general legislation, the scale of licensing and the collective management organisations involved. Such information should be discussed with Member States in the contact committee referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/29/EC. The Commission should publish a report by 31 December 2020 on the use of such mechanisms in the Union and their impact on licensing and rightholders. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
64.		(28a) In order to ensure that the licensing mechanisms established for out-of-commerce works are relevant and function properly, that rightholders are adequately protected under those		[EP proposal covered as recital - 28a in line 55] [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		mechanisms, that licences are properly publicised and that legal clarity is ensured with regard to the representativeness of collective management organisations and the categorisation of works, Member States should foster sectorspecific stakeholder dialogue.		
65.	(29) On-demand services have the potential to play a decisive role in the dissemination of European works across the European Union. However, agreements on the online exploitation of such works may face difficulties related to the licensing of rights. Such issues may, for instance, appear when the holder of the rights for a given territory is not interested in the online exploitation of the work or where there are issues linked to the windows of exploitation.	(29) On-demand services have the potential to play a decisive role in the dissemination of European works across the European Union. However, agreements on the online exploitation of such works may face difficulties related to the licensing of rights. Such issues may, for instance, appear when the holder of the rights for a given territory is not interested in the online exploitation of the work or where there are issues linked to the windows of exploitation.	(29) Video-on-demand services have the potential to play a decisive role in the dissemination of European audiovisual works across the-European Union. However, agreements the availability of those works, in particular European works, on video-on-demand services remains limited. Agreements on the online exploitation of such works may be difficult to conclude due to issues face difficulties related to the licensing of rights. Such issues may, for instance, appear when the holder of the rights for a given territory is not interested in the has low	(29) Video-on-demand services have the potential to play a decisive role in the dissemination of audiovisual works across the European Union. However, the availability of those works, in particular European works, on video-on-demand services remains limited. Agreements on the online exploitation of such works may be difficult to conclude due to issues related to the licensing of rights. Such issues may, for instance, appear when the holder of the rights for a given territory has low economic incentive to exploit a work online and does not license or holds back

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			economic incentive to exploit a work online exploitation of the work or where there are issues and does not license or holds back the online rights, which can lead to the unavailability of audiovisual works on video-on- demand services. Other issues may be linked to the windows of exploitation.	the online rights, which can lead to the unavailability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand services. Other issues may be linked to the windows of exploitation. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
66.	(30) To facilitate the licensing of rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand platforms, this Directive requires Member States to set up a negotiation mechanism allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body. The body should meet with the parties and help with the negotiations by providing professional and external advice. Against that background, Member States should decide on the conditions of the functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration	(30) To facilitate the licensing of rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand platforms, this Directive requires Member States to should set up a negotiation mechanism, managed by an existing or newly established national body, allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body. The participation in this negotiation mechanism and the subsequent conclusion of agreements should be voluntary. Where a negotiation involves parties from different Member States, those parties	(30) To facilitate the licensing of rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand platformsservices, this Directive requires Member States to set upprovide for a negotiation mechanism allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body. The body or of one or more mediators. For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive. Member States may designate one or more	(30) To facilitate the licensing of rights in audiovisual works to video-on-demand services, this Directive requires Member States to provide for a negotiation mechanism allowing parties willing to conclude an agreement to rely on the assistance of an impartial body or of one or more mediators. For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive. Member States may designate one or more competent bodies or mediators. The body or the

Row COMMISSION PROPOS COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
of the assistance to negotiati and the bearing of the costs. Member States should ensur administrative and financial burdens remain proportionat guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation forum.	e that competent Member State, should they decide to rely on the negotiation mechanism. The body should meet with the parties	competent bodies or mediators. The body or the mediators should meet with the parties and help with the negotiations by providing professional and external advice. The body or the mediators could meet with the parties to facilitate the start of negotiations or in the course of the negotiations to facilitate the conclusion of an agreement. The use of and the participation in the negotiation mechanism should remain voluntary and should not affect the parties' contractual freedom. Against that background, Member States should be free to decide on the conditions of the concrete functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration of the assistance to negotiations and the bearing of the costs. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial burdens remain proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation	mediators should meet with the parties and help with the negotiations by providing professional, impartial and external advice. Where a negotiation involves parties from different Member States, those parties should agree beforehand on the competent Member State, should they decide to rely on the negotiation mechanism. The body or the mediators could meet with the parties to facilitate the start of negotiations or in the course of the negotiations or in the course of the negotiations to facilitate the conclusion of an agreement. The participation in this negotiation mechanism and the subsequent conclusion of agreements should be voluntary and should not affect the parties' contractual freedom. Against that background, Member States should be free to decide on the concrete functioning of the negotiation mechanism, including the timing and duration of the

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			forummechanism.	assistance to negotiations and the bearing of the costs. Member States should ensure that administrative and financial burdens remain proportionate to guarantee the efficiency of the negotiation mechanism. [tentatively agreed at TM; to be confirmed]
67.		(30a) The preservation of the Union's heritage is of the utmost importance and should be strengthened for the benefit of future generations. This should be achieved notably through the protection of published heritage. To this end, a Union legal deposit should be created in order to ensure that publications concerning the Union, such as Union law, Union history and integration, Union policy and Union democracy, institutional and parliamentary affairs, and politics, and, thereby, the Union's intellectual record and		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		future published heritage, are collected systematically. Not only should such heritage be preserved through the creation of a Union archive for publications dealing with Union-related matters, but it should also be made available to Union citizens and future generations. The European Parliament Library, as the Library of the only Union institution directly representing Union citizens, should be designated as the Union depository library. In order not to create an excessive burden on publishers, printers and importers, only electronic publications, such as e-books, e-journals and e-magazines should be deposited in the European Parliament Library, which should make available for readers publications covered by the Union legal deposit at the European Parliament Library for the purpose of research or study and under the control of		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		the European Parliament Library. Such publications should not be made available online externally.		
68.	(31) A free and pluralist press is essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. In the transition from print to digital, publishers of press publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications and recouping their investments. In the absence of recognition of publishers of press publications as rightholders, licensing and enforcement in the digital environment is often complex and inefficient.	(31) A free and pluralist press is essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. The increasing imbalance between powerful platforms and press publishers, which can also be news agencies, has already led to a remarkable regression of the media landscape on a regional level. In the transition from print to digital, publishers and news agencies of press publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications and recouping their investments. In the absence of press publications as rightholders, licensing and	(31) A free and pluralist press is essential to ensure quality journalism and citizens' access to information. It provides a fundamental contribution to public debate and the proper functioning of a democratic society. In the transition from print to digital, publishers The wide availability of press publications online has given rise to the emergence of new online services, such as news aggregators or media monitoring services, for which the reuse of press publications constitutes an important part of their business models and a source of revenues. Publishers of press publications are facing problems in licensing the online use of their publications and recouping to the providers of	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		enforcement in the digital environment is often complex and inefficient.	these kind of services, making it more difficult for them to recoup their investments. In the absence of recognition of publishers of press publications as rightholders, licensing and enforcement of rights in press publications regarding online uses by information society service providers in the digital environment isare often complex and inefficient.	
69.	(32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry. It is therefore necessary to provide at Union level a harmonised legal protection for press publications in respect of digital uses. Such protection should be effectively guaranteed through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright	(32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry and thereby to guarantee the availability of reliable information. It is therefore necessary for Member States to provide at Union level a harmonised-legal protection for press publications in respect of the Union for digital uses. Such	(32) The organisational and financial contribution of publishers in producing press publications needs to be recognised and further encouraged to ensure the sustainability of the publishing industry. It is therefore necessary to provide at Union level a harmonised legalle-gal protection for press publications in respect of digital online uses by information society service providers, leaving unaffected current copyright rules in	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publications in respect of digital uses.	protection should be effectively guaranteed through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publications in respect of digital uses in order to obtain fair and proportionate remuneration for such uses. Private uses should be excluded from this reference. In addition, the listing in a search engine should not be considered as fair and proportionate remuneration.	Union law applicable to uses of press publications by other users, including individual users. Such protection should be effectively guaranteed through the introduction, in Union law, of rights related to copyright for the reproduction and making available to the public of press publications in respect of digital usespublished by publishers established in a Member State in respect of online uses by information society service providers within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council. The legal protection for press publications provided for by this directive should only benefit publishers established in a Member State in the meaning of the Treaty of the functioning of the European	

Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 September 2015 laying down a procedure for the provision of information in the field of technical regulations and of rules on Information Society services (OJ L 241, 17.9.2015, p. 1–15).

15057/18 BM/rcg 90
ANNEX I ECOMP 3.B. **LIMITE EN**

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			Union, i.e. when they have their registered office, central administration or principal place of business within the Union.	
70.	(33) For the purposes of this Directive, it is necessary to define the concept of press publication in a way that embraces only journalistic publications, published by a service provider, periodically or regularly updated in any media, for the purpose of informing or entertaining. Such publications would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest and news websites. Periodical publications which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. This protection does not extend to acts of hyperlinking which do not	(33) For the purposes of this Directive, it is necessary to define the concept of press publication in a way that embraces only journalistic publications, published by a service provider, periodically or regularly updated in any media, for the purpose of informing or entertaining. Such publications would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of general or special interest and news websites. Periodical publications which are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. This protection does not extend to acts of hyperlinking which do not	(33) For the purposes of this Directive, it is necessary to define the concept of press publication in a way that embraces only journalistic publications, published by a service provider, periodically or regularly updated in any media, for the purpose of informing or entertaining.in any media, including on paper, in the context of an economic activity which constitutes a provision of services under Union law. The press publications to be covered are those whose purpose is to inform the general public and which are periodically or regularly updated. Such publications would include, for instance, daily newspapers, weekly or monthly magazines of	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	constitute communication to the public.	eonstitute communication to the public. The protection shall also not extend to factual information which is reported in journalistic articles from a press publication and will therefore not prevent anyone from reporting such factual information.	general or special interest and news websites. Press publications contain mostly literary works but increasingly include other types of works and subject-matter, notably photographs and videos. Periodical publications wich are published for scientific or academic purposes, such as scientific journals, should not be covered by the protection granted to press publications under this Directive. This protection does not extend to acts of hyperlinking which do not constitute communication to the public.	
71.	(34) The rights granted to the publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as digital uses are concerned. They should also be subject to the same provisions on	(34) The rights granted to the publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as digital uses are concerned. They Member States should also be able to subject	(34) The rights granted to the publishers of press publications under this Directive should have the same scope as the rights of reproduction and making available to the public provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC, insofar as digitalonline uses are concerned by information society service providers are	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	exceptions and limitations as those applicable to the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.	those rights to the same provisions on exceptions and limitations as those applicable to the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.	concerned. They should not extend to acts of hyperlinking when they do not constitute communication to the public. They should also be subject to the same provisions on exceptions and limitations as those applicable to the rights provided for in Directive 2001/29/EC ₂ including the exception on quotation for purposes such as criticism or review laid down in Article 5(3)(d) of that Directive.	
72.			(34a) Uses of press publications by information society service providers can consist of the use of entire publications or articles but also of parts of press publications. Such uses of parts of press publications have also gained economic relevance. At the same time, where such parts are insubstantial, the use thereof by information society service providers may not undermine the investments made by publishers of press	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			publications in the production of content. Furthermore, insubstantial parts of press publications are not usually the expression of the intellectual creation of their authors, in accordance with the case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union. Therefore, it is appropriate to provide that the use of insubstantial parts of press publications should not fall within the scope of the rights provided for in this Directive. To determine the insubstantial nature of parts of press publications for the purposes of this Directive, Member States may take into account whether these parts are the expression of the intellectual creation of their authors or whether these parts are limited to individual words or very short excerpts, without independent economic significance, or both criteria.	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
73.	(35) The protection granted to publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the works and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side, and authors and other rightholders, on the other side.	(35) The protection granted to publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the works and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side, and authors and other rightholders, on the other side. Notwithstanding the fact that authors of the works incorporated in a press publication receive an appropriate reward for the use of	(35) The protection granted to publishers of press publications under this Directive should not affect the rights of the authors and other rightholders in the works and other subject-matter incorporated therein, including as regards the extent to which authors and other rightholders can exploit their works or other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated. Therefore, publishers of press publications should not be able to invoke the protection granted to them against authors and other rightholders- or against other authorised users of the same works and other subject-matter. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements concluded between the publishers of press publications, on the one side, and authors and other rightholders, on the other side.	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		their works on the basis of the terms for licensing of their work to the press publisher, authors whose work is incorporated in a press publication should be entitled to an appropriate share of the new additional revenues press publishers receive for certain types of secondary use of their press publications by information society service providers in respect of the rights provided for in Article 11(1) of this Directive. The amount of the compensation attributed to the authors should take into account the specific industry licensing standards regarding works incorporated in a press publication which are accepted as appropriate in the respective Member State; and the compensation attributed to authors should not affect the licensing terms agreed between the author and the press publisher for the use of the author's article by the press		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		publisher.		
74.	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications, often operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements. In this context, publishers make an investment with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations such as the ones for private copying and reprography. In a number of Member States compensation for uses under those exceptions is shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, Member States should be allowed to determine that, when an author has transferred or licensed his rights to a publisher or otherwise	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications, operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements with authors. In this context, publishers make an investment and acquire rights, in some fields including rights to claim a share of compensation within joint collective management organisations of authors and publishers, with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be therefore also find themselves being deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations such as the ones for private copying and reprography. In a large number of Member States compensation for uses under those exceptions is	(36) Publishers, including those of press publications, books or scientific publications, often operate on the basis of the transfer of authors' rights by means of contractual agreements or statutory provisions. In this context, publishers make an investment with a view to the exploitation of the works contained in their publications and may in some instances be deprived of revenues where such works are used under exceptions or limitations, such as the ones for private copying and reprography, including the corresponding existing national schemes for reprography in the Member States, or under public lending schemes. In a number of Member States the compensation or remuneration for such uses under those exceptions is shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	contributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused by an exception or limitation, publishers are entitled to claim a share of such compensation, whereas the burden on the publisher to substantiate his claim should not exceed what is required under the system in place.	shared between authors and publishers. In order to take account of this situation and to improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, Member States should be allowed to determine that, when an author has transferred or licensed his rights to a publisher or otherwise contributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused by an exception or limitation, publishers are entitled to claim a share of such compensation, whereas the burden on the publisher to substantiate his claim should not exceed what is required under the system in place. provide an equivalent compensation-sharing system if such a system was in operation in that Member State before 12 November 2015. The share between authors and	situation and improve legal certainty for all concerned parties, Member States should be allowed but not obliged to determine that, when an author has transferred or licensed his rights to a publisher or a collective management organisation that jointly represents authors and publishers or otherwise contributes with his works to a publication and there are systems in place to compensate for the harm caused by an exception or limitation, publishers are entitled to elaim a share of such compensation, whereas. The same possibility should exist for remuneration for public lending, while Member States should remain free to decide not to provide publishers with such remuneration. Member States should remain free to determine the burden on the publisher to	
		publishers of such compensation could be set in the internal distribution rules of the	substantiate his claim should not exceed what is required under for the system compensation or	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		collective management organisation acting jointly on behalf of authors and publishers, or set by Members States in law or regulation, in accordance with the equivalent system that was in operation in that Member State before 12 November 2015. This provision is without prejudice to the arrangements in the Member States concerning public lending rights, the management of rights not based on exceptions or limitations to copyright, such as extended collective licensing schemes, or concerning remuneration rights on the basis of national law.	remuneration and to lay down the conditions as to the sharing of this compensation or remuneration between authors and publishers in placeaccordance with their national systems.	
75.		(36 a) Cultural and creative industries (CCIs) play a key role in reindustrialising Europe, are a driver for growth and are in a strategic position to trigger innovative spill-overs in other industrial sectors. Furthermore CCIs are a driving force for innovation and development of		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		ICT in Europe. Cultural and creative industries in Europe provide more than 12 million full-time jobs, which amounts to 7,5 % of the Union's work force, creating approximately EUR 509 billion in value added to GDP (5,3 % of the EU's total GVA). The protection of copyright and related rights are at the core of the CCI's revenue.		
76.	(37) Over the last years, the functioning of the online content marketplace has gained in complexity. Online services providing access to copyright protected content uploaded by their users without the involvement of right holders have flourished and have become main sources of access to content online. This affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which conditions, their work and other subject-matter are used as well as their possibilities to get an	(37) Over the last years, the functioning of the online content marketplace market has gained in complexity. Online services providing access to copyright protected content uploaded by their users without the involvement of right holders have flourished and have become main sources of access to copyright protected content online. Online services are means of providing wider access to cultural and creative works and offer great opportunities for cultural and creative industries to develop	(37) Over the last years, the functioning of the online content marketplace has gained in complexity. Online content sharing services providing access to a large amount of copyright—protected content uploaded by their users without the involvement of right holders have flourished developed and have become main sources of access to content online. This Legal uncertainty exists as to whether such services engage in copyright relevant acts and need to obtain authorisations	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	appropriate remuneration for it.	new business models. However, although they allow for diversity and ease of access to content, they also generate challenges when copyright protected content is uploaded without prior authorisation from rightholders. This affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which conditions, their work and other subject-matter are used as well as their possibilities to get an appropriate remuneration for it, since some user uploaded content services do not enter into licensing agreements on the basis that they claim to be covered by the "safe-harbour" exemption set out in Directive 2000/31/EC.	from rightholders for the content uploaded by their users who do not hold the relevant rights in the uploaded content, without prejudice to the application of exceptions and limitations provided for in Union Law. This situation affects rightholders' possibilities to determine whether, and under which conditions, their work and other subject-matter are content is used as well as their possibilities to get an appropriate remuneration for it. It is therefore important to foster the development of the licensing market between rightholders and online content sharing service providers. These licensing agreements should be fair and keep a reasonable balance for both parties. Rightholders should receive an appropriate reward for the use of their works or other subject matter.	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
77.		(37a) Certain information society services, as part of their normal use, are designed to give access to the public to copyright protected content or other subject-matter uploaded by their users. The definition of an online content sharing service provider under this Directive shall cover information society service providers one of the main purposes of which is to store and give access to the public or to stream significant amounts of copyright protected content uploaded / made available by its users, and that optimise content, and promote for profit making purposes, including amongst others displaying, tagging, curating, sequencing, the uploaded works or other subjectmatter, irrespective of the means used therefor, and therefore act in an active way. As a consequence, they cannot benefit from the liability exemption provided for in Article 14 of	(37a) The definition of an online content sharing service provider under this Directive targets only online services which play an important role on the online content market by competing with other online content services, such as online audio and video streaming services, for the same audiences. The services covered by this intervention are those the main or one of the main purposes of which is to provide access to a large amount of copyright-protected content uploaded by their users with the purpose of obtaining profit therefrom, either directly or indirectly, by organising it and promoting it in order to attract more audiences. Organising and promoting content involves for example indexing the content, presenting it in a certain manner and categorising it, as well as using targeted promotion on it. The	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		Directive 2000/31/EC. The definition of online content sharing service providers under this Directive does not cover microenterprises and small sized enterprises within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC and service providers that act in a noncommercial purpose capacity such as online encyclopaedia, and providers of online services where the content is uploaded with the authorisation of all right holders concerned, such as educational or scientific repositories. Providers of cloud services for individual use which do not provide direct access to the public, open source software developing platforms, and online market places whose main activity is online retail of physical goods, should not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive.	definition does not include services whose main purpose is not to provide access to copyright protected content with the purpose of obtaining profit from this activity. These include, for instance, electronic communication services within the meaning of Regulation 2015/2120/EU, including internet access providers, as well as providers of cloud services which allow users, to upload content for their own use, such as cyberlockers, or online marketplaces whose main activity is online retail and not giving access to copyright protected content. Nor does this definition cover websites which store and provide access to content for non-for-profit purposes, such as online encyclopaedias, scientific or educational repositories or open source software developing platforms which do not store and give access to	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			content for profit making purposes. In order to ensure the high level of copyright protection and to avoid the possible application of the liability exemption mechanism provided for in this Directive, this Directive should not apply to services the main purpose of which is to engage in or to facilitate copyright piracy.	
78.			(37b) The assessment of whether an online content sharing service provider stores and gives access to a large amount of copyright-protected content needs to be made on a case-by-case basis and take account of a combination of elements, such as the audience of the service and the number of files of copyright-protected content uploaded by the users of the services.	
79.	(38) Where information society service providers store and	(38) Where information society Online content sharing	(38) This Directive clarifies under which conditions the	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	provide access to the public to copyright protected works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users, thereby going beyond the mere provision of physical facilities and performing an act of communication to the public, they are obliged to conclude licensing agreements with rightholders, unless they are eligible for the liability exemption provided in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹³ .	service providers store and provide access to the public to copyright protected works or other subject matter uploaded by their users, thereby going beyond the mere provision of physical facilities and performing perform an act of communication to the public, they are obliged to and therefore are responsible for their content and should therefore conclude fair and appropriate licensing agreements with rightholders, unless they are eligible for. Where licensing agreements are concluded, they should also cover, to the same extent and scope, the liability exemption provided in of users when they are acting in a noncommercial capacity. In accordance with Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 11(2a) the responsibility	Where information society online content sharing service providers store and provide access—to copyright protected works or other subject- matter uploaded by their users, thereby going beyond the mere provision of physical facilities and performing are engaging in an act of communication to the public or making available to the public within the meaning of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC they are obliged to conclude licensing agreements with rightholders, unless they are eligible for the liability exemption provided in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council. It does not change the concept of communication to the public or of making available to the public under Union law nor	

Directive 2000/31/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2000 on certain legal aspects of information society services, in particular electronic commerce, in the Internal Market (OJ L 178, 17.7.2000, p. 1–16).

_

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		of online content sharing providers pursuant to Article 13 does not extend to acts of hyperlinking in respect of press publications. The dialogue between stakeholders is essential in the digital world. They should define best practices to ensure the functioning of licensing agreements and cooperation between online content sharing service providers and rightholders. Those best practices should take into account the extent of the copyright infringing content on the service.	does it affect the possible application of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC to other services using copyright-protected content.	
80.	In respect of Article 14, it is necessary to verify whether the service provider plays an active role, including by optimising the presentation of the uploaded works or subject-matter or promoting them, irrespective of the nature of the means used therefor.	Deleted	Deleted, partly moved to recital (37a) Council's text – see row 77	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
81.	In order to ensure the functioning of any licensing agreement, information society service providers storing and providing access to the public to large amounts of copyright protected works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users should take appropriate and proportionate measures to ensure protection of works or other subject-matter, such as implementing effective technologies. This obligation should also apply when the information society service providers are eligible for the liability exemption provided in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC.	Deleted	Deleted, partly moved to recital (38c) Council's text – see row 84	
82.			(38a) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital 38(b)] When online content sharing service providers communicate to the public, they should not benefit from the limited liability	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			provided for in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC for the purposes of copyright relevant acts. This should not affect the possibility for the same online content sharing providers to benefit from such exemption of liability for other purposes than copyright when they are providing their services and host content at the request of their users in accordance with Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC.	
83.			(38b) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (38c)] Taking into account the fact that online content sharing service providers give access to content which is not uploaded by them but by their users, it is appropriate to provide that, for cases where no authorisation has been obtained by the services and, for the purpose of this Directive, they should not	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	COM(2016)593		be liable for unauthorised acts in specific, well-defined circumstances, when they demonstrate that they have acted in a diligent manner with the objective to prevent such unauthorised acts, without prejudice to remedies under national law for cases other than liability for copyright infringements and to the possibility for national courts or administrative authorities of issuing injunctions. In particular, they should not be liable if some unauthorised content is available on their services despite their best efforts to prevent its availability	SOLUTION
			by applying effective and proportionate measures based on the information provided by rightholders. In addition, for the online content sharing service providers not to be liable, they should also in any case, upon notification by rightholders of specific	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			unauthorised works or other subject-matter, act expeditiously to remove or disable access to these and make their best efforts to prevent their future availability.	
84.			(38c) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (38ca)]	
			Appropriate collaboration carried out in good faith between online content sharing service providers and rightholders is essential for the	
			effective application of the measures by the online content sharing service providers. These service providers should be transparent towards	
			rightholders with regard to the deployed measures. As different measures may be used by the online content sharing service	
			providers, they should provide rightholders with appropriate	

COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		information on the type of measures used and the way	
		success rate of the measures.	
		Such information should be	
		business secrets of service	
		providers. Service providers	
		contractual arrangements,	
		which may contain more	
		-	
		<u> </u>	
		COMMISSION PROPOSAL P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593 P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018 information on the type of measures used and the way they operate, including for example information on the success rate of the measures. Such information should be sufficiently specific to provide enough transparency for rightholders and allow cooperation to ensure effective functioning of the measures, without prejudice to the business secrets of service providers. Service providers should however not be required to provide rightholders with detailed and individualised information for each work and other subject matter identified. This is without prejudice to contractual arrangements,

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			should provide the service providers with necessary and relevant data for the application of the measures to their specific unauthorised works or other subject matter taking also into account the size of rightholders and the type of their works and other subject matter. As long as no data for the application of the measures or no notification concerning removal or disabling access to specific unauthorised works or other subject matter has been provided by rightholders and, as a result, online content sharing service providers cannot take the measures or expeditious action as set out in this Directive, these service providers should not be liable for unauthorised acts of communication to the public or of making available to the public.	
85.			(38d) Where online content	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			sharing service providers obtain authorisations, including via licensing agreements, for the use on the service of content uploaded by the users of the services, these authorisations should also cover the copyright relevant acts in respect of uploads by the users but only in cases where the users act in	
			their private capacity and for non-commercial purposes, such as sharing their content without any profit making purpose. (38e) The measures taken by the online content sharing service providers to prevent the	
			availability of unauthorised works or other subject-matter should be effective but remain proportionate, in particular with regard to the size of the online content sharing service provider. While this Directive is expected to foster the development of effective technologies on the market, the	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			availability of the measures may differ according to the type of content for which the measures are applied. Having	
			regard to the technological developments in line with industry best practices, those measures should consequently	
			ensure a level of efficiency appropriate to the amount and the type of works or other subject matter uploaded by the	
			users of the services. For the purposes of assessing the proportionality of measures to be taken by the online content	
			sharing service providers, the state of the art of existing technologies for the different types of content as well as the	
			size of the services should be taken into account notably whether they are small and micro enterprises. Different	
			measures may be appropriate and proportionate per type of content and it is therefore not excluded that in some cases	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		A8-0245/2018	unauthorised content may only be avoided upon notification of rightholders. The measures should be proportionate in order to avoid imposing disproportionately complicated or costly obligations on certain online content sharing service providers, taking into account notably their small size. In particular, small and micro enterprises as defined in Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, should be expected to be subject to less burdensome obligations than larger service providers. Therefore, taking into account the state of the art and the availability of technologies and their costs, in specific cases it may not be proportionate to expect small and micro enterprises to apply preventive measures and that therefore in such cases these	
			enterprises should only be expected to expeditiously	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			remove specific unauthorised works and other subject matter upon notification by rightholders.	
86.	(39) Collaboration between information society service providers storing and providing access to the public to large amounts of copyright protected works or other subject-matter uploaded by their users and rightholders is essential for the functioning of technologies, such as content recognition technologies. In such cases, rightholders should provide the necessary data to allow the services to identify their content and the services should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies, to allow the assessment of their appropriateness. The services should in particular provide	(39) Collaboration between information society Member States should provide that where right holders do not wish to conclude licensing agreements, online content sharing service providers storing and providing access to the public to large amounts of copyright and right holders should cooperate in good faith in order to ensure that unauthorised protected works or other subject matter uploaded by, are not available on their users and rightholders is essential for the functioning of technologies, such as content recognition technologies. In such cases, rightholders should provide the necessary data to allow the services. Cooperation between	(39) Moved up to recital (38c)[which was recital (38ca) in ST 9134/18]	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	rightholders with information on the type of technologies used, the way they are operated and their success rate for the recognition of rightholders' content. Those technologies should also allow rightholders to get information from the information society service providers on the use of their content covered by an agreement.	online to identify their content and the services should be transparent towards rightholders with regard to the deployed technologies, to allow the assessment of their appropriateness. The services should in particular provide rightholders with information on the type of technologies used, the way they are operated and their success rate for the recognition of rightholders' content. Those technologies should also allow rightholders to get information from the information society service providers on the use of their content and right holders should not lead to preventing the availability of non-infringing works or other protected subject matter, including those covered by an agreement exception or limitation to copyright.		
87.		(39a) Members States should	(39a) [Renumbered - in ST	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		ensure that online content	9134/18 recital (39b)]	
		sharing service providers		
		referred to in paragraph 1 put in	The measures taken by the	
		place effective and expeditious	online content sharing service	
		complaints and redress	providers should be without	
		mechanisms that are available to	prejudice to the application of	
		users in case the cooperation	exceptions and limitations to	
		referred to in paragraph 2a leads	copyright, including in	
		to unjustified removals of their	particular those which	
		content. Any complaint filed	guarantee the freedom of	
		under such mechanisms should	expression of users. For that	
		be processed without undue	purpose the service providers	
		delay. Right holders should	should put in place mechanisms	
		reasonably justify their decisions	allowing users to complain	
		to avoid arbitrary dismissal of	about the blocking or removal	
		complaints. Moreover, in	of uploaded content that could	
		accordance with Directive	benefit from an exception or	
		95/46/EC, Directive 2002/58/EC	limitation to copyright. Replies	
		and the General Data Protection	to the users' complaints should	
		Regulation, the cooperation	be provided in a timely manner.	
		should not lead to any	To make these mechanisms function, cooperation from	
		identification of individual users	rightholders is needed, in	
		nor the processing of their	particular with regard to the	
		personal data. Member States	assessment of the complaints	
		should also ensure that users	submitted and justifications for	
		have access to an independent	the removal of users' content.	
		body for the resolution of	Member States should remain	
		disputes as well as to a court or	<u>Member States should remain</u>	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		another relevant judicial authority to assert the use of an exception or limitation to copyright rules.	free to put in place independent authorities for assessing the complaints submitted by users and making decisions on their validity. The redress mechanism should be without prejudice to the right of the parties to take action before a court.	
88.		(39b) As soon as possible after the entry into force of this Directive, the Commission and the Member States should organise dialogues between stakeholders to harmonise and to define best practices. They should issue guidance to ensure the functioning of licensing agreements and on cooperation between online content sharing service providers and right holders for the use of their works or other subject matter within the meaning of this Directive. When defining best practices, special account should be taken of fundamental rights, the use of	(39b) [Renumbered - in ST 9134/18 recital (39c)] In order to foster best practices with regard to the measures to be taken by online content sharing service providers to avoid liability for unauthorised copyright acts, stakeholder dialogues should be encouraged by the Member States and the Commission. In order to give more clarity to the parties some guidance should also be provided by the Commission on the implementation of the measures including as to which measures could be considered	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		exceptions and limitations. Special focus should also be given to ensuring that the burden on SMEs remains appropriate and that automated blocking of content is avoided.	to be proportionate for different types of content. For the purposes of the guidance the Commission should consult relevant stakeholders, including user organisations and technology providers, and take into account the developments on the market.	
89.		(39c) Member States should ensure that an intermediate mechanism exists enabling service providers and rightholders to find an amicable solution to any dispute arising from the terms of their cooperation agreements. To that end, Member States should appoint an impartial body with all the relevant competence and experience necessary to assist the parties in the resolution of their dispute.		
90.		(39d) As a principle, rightholders should always receive fair and appropriate		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		remuneration. Authors and performers who have concluded contracts with intermediaries, such as labels and producers, should receive fair and appropriate remuneration from them, either through individual agreements and/or collective bargaining agreements, collective management agreements or rules having a similar effect, for example joint remuneration rules. This remuneration should be mentioned explicitly in the contracts according to each mode of exploitation, including online exploitation. Members States should look into the specificities of each sector and should be allowed to provide that remuneration is deemed fair and appropriate if it is determined in accordance with the collective bargaining or joint remuneration agreement.		
91.	(40) Certain rightholders such as	(40) Certain rightholders such as	(40) Certain rightholders such as	(40) Certain rightholders such as

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders grant a licence or a transfer of rights in return for remuneration. As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in title is important for the transparency and balance in the	authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders grant a licence or a transfer of rights in return for remuneration. As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often face a lack of transparency. Therefore, the sharing of adequate comprehensive and relevant information by their contractual counterparts or their successors in title is important for the	authors_Authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders natural persons grant a licence or a transfer of rights for the purposes of exploitation in return for remuneration. This need does not arise when the contractual counterpart acts as end user of the work and does not exploit the work or performance itself, which could among others be the case in some employment contracts. Additionally, this need does not arise when the exploitation has ceased, or when the author or performer has granted licence to the general public without remuneration.	authors-Authors and performers need information to assess the economic value of their rights which are harmonised under Union law. This is especially the case where such rightholders natural persons grant a licence or a transfer of rights for the purposes of exploitation in return for remuneration. This need does not arise when the contractual counterpart acts as end of the work and does not exploit the work or performance itself, which could among others be the case in some employment contracts. Additionally, this need does not arise when the exploitation has ceased, or when the author or performer has granted licence to the general public without remuneration.
	system that governs the remuneration of authors and performers.	transparency and balance in the system that governs the remuneration of authors and performers. <i>The information that authors and performers are</i>	[Last two phrases of recital (40) of the COM proposal were moved to new recital (40a) of Council's text - see following row 92]	[to be discussed further]

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		entitled to expect should be proportionate and cover all modes of exploitation, direct and indirect revenue generated, including revenues from merchandising, and the remuneration due. The information on the exploitation should also include information about the identity of any sublicensee or sub-transferee. The transparency obligation should nevertheless apply only where copyright relevant rights are concerned. [See Council's recital (40a) -row 92]		
92.			(40a) As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often	(40a) As authors and performers tend to be in a weaker contractual position when they grant licences or transfer their rights, they need information to assess the continued economic value of their rights, compared to the remuneration received for their licence or transfer, but they often

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			face a lack of transparency.	face a lack of transparency.
			Therefore, the sharing of	Therefore, the sharing of
			adequate information by their	adequate and accurate
			contractual counterparts or their	information by their contractual
			successors in title is important for	counterparts or their successors in
			the transparency and balance in the system that governs the	title is important for the transparency and balance in the
			remuneration of authors and	system that governs the
			performers. The information	remuneration of authors and
			should be: timely to allow	performers. The information
			access to recent data; adequate	should be: timely up-to-date to
			to include information relevant	allow access to recent data;
			to the exploitation of the work	adequate to include information
			or performance in a manner	relevant to the exploitation of
			that is comprehensible to the	the work or performance_ in a
			author or performer; and	manner that is comprehensible
			sufficient to assess the economic	to the author or performer; and
			value of the rights in question.	comprehensive to cover all
			As long as exploitation is	sources of revenues relevant to
			ongoing, contractual	the case, including, where
			counterparts of authors and	applicable, merchandising
			performers should provide information available to them	<u>revenues</u> sufficient to assess the
				economic value of the rights in
			on all modes of exploitation and on all relevant revenues with a	question. As long as exploitation is ongoing,
			regularity which is appropriate	contractual counterparts of
			in the relevant sector, but at	authors and performers should
			least annually. The processing	provide information available

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			of personal data, such as contact details and information on remuneration, that are necessary to keep authors and performers informed on the exploitation of their works and performances should be carried out by those who need to comply with the transparency obligation on the basis of Article 6(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).	to them on all modes of exploitation and on all relevant revenues worldwide with a regularity which is appropriate in the relevant sector, but at least annually. The information should be provided in a manner that is comprehensible to the author or performer and it should allow the effective assessment of the economic value of the rights in question. The transparency obligation should nevertheless apply only where copyright relevant rights are concerned. The processing of personal data, such as contact details and information on remuneration, that are necessary to keep authors and performers informed on the exploitation of their works and performances should be carried out by those who need to comply with the transparency obligation on the basis of Article 6(1)(c) of Regulation (EU) 2016/679 on the protection

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and the free movement of such data (General Data Protection Regulation).
				[to be discussed further]
93.			(40b) In order to ensure that exploitation-related information is duly provided to authors and performers also in cases where the rights have been sublicensed by the first contractor to other parties who exploit the rights, this Directive entitles authors and performers, in cases where the contractual partner has provided the information available to them, but the received information is not sufficient to assess the economic value of their rights, to request additional relevant information on the exploitation of the rights.	(40b) In order to ensure that exploitation-related information is duly provided to authors and performers also in cases where the rights have been sublicensed by the first contractor to other parties who exploit the rights, this Directive entitles authors and performers, in cases where the first contractual counterpart partner-has provided the information available to them, but the received information is not sufficient to assess the economic value of their rights, to request additional relevant information on the exploitation

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			This can be ensured either directly or through the contractual counterparts of authors and performers. Member States should have the option, in compliance with Union law, to provide for further measures through national provisions to ensure transparency for authors and performers.	of the rights. This can be ensured either directly from sub-licensees or through the contractual counterparts of authors and performers. Authors and performers and their contractual counterparts may agree to keep the shared information confidential, but authors and performers should always have the possibility to use the shared information for exercising their rights under in this Directive. Member States should have the option, in compliance with Union law, to provide for further measures through national provisions to ensure transparency for authors and performers. [to be discussed further]
94.	(41) When implementing transparency obligations, the specificities of different content	(41) When implementing transparency obligations, the specificities of different content	(41) When implementing transparency obligations, Member States should take into	(41) When implementing transparency obligations, Member States should take into

sectors and of the rights of the authors and performers in each sector should be considered. Member States should consult all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine sector specific requirements. Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders regarding transparency. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations as those are already subject to transparency obligations as those are already subject to transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU. sectors and of the rights of the authors and performers in each sector should be considered. Member States should consult all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine sector-should be considered. Member States should consult, the audiovisual sector and the publishing sector and all relevant stakeholders as that should help determined to current reporting practices to the transparency. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations as those are already subject to transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU. sector should be considered. Member States should consult all relevant stakeholders as that should help determines collective as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders as that should help determined to current reporting practices to the transparency. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations as those are already subject to transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU. sector should be considered. Member States should econsult, the audiovisual sector and all relevant stakeholders as that-should hel	Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
requirements provided for in this Directive. To enable the this Directive. To enable the		authors and performers in each sector should be considered. Member States should consult all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine sector-specific requirements. Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders regarding transparency. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations as those are already subject to transparency obligations under	authors and performers in each sector should be considered. Member States should consult all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine sector-specific requirements. Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders regarding transparency. To enable the adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations as those are already subject to transparency obligations under	different content sectors and, such as those of the rights of the authors and performers in each music sector should be considered. Member States should consult, the audiovisual sector and the publishing sector and all relevant stakeholders as that should help determine be involved when determining such sector-specific requirements. Where relevant, the significance of the contribution of authors and performers to the overall work or performance should also be considered. Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders regarding transparency-which should ensure authors and performers the same or higher level of transparency as the minimum requirements provided for in	different content sectors-and, such as those of the rights of the authors and performers in eachmusic sector-should be considered. Member States should consult, the audiovisual sector and the publishing sector and all relevant stakeholders as that-should help determinebe involved when determining such sector-specific requirements. Where relevant, the significance of the contribution of authors and performers to the overall work or performance should also be considered. Collective bargaining should be considered as an option to reach an agreement between the relevant stakeholders regarding transparency- which should ensure authors and performers the same or higher level of transparency as the minimum requirements provided for in

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations and independent management entities or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU as those are already subject to transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU.	adaptation of current reporting practices to the transparency obligations, a transitional period should be provided for. The transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded with collective management organisations and independent management entities or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU as those are already subject to transparency obligations under Directive 2014/26/EU. The transparency obligations do not need to apply to agreements concluded between rightholders and collective management organisations, independent management entities or other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU as those organisations or entities are already subject to transparency obligations under Article 18 of Directive 2014/26/EU. Article 18

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				of Directive 2014/26/EU applies to organisations which manage copyright or related rights on behalf of more than one rightholder for the collective benefit of those rightholders. This situation differs from the one of individually negotiated agreements concluded between rightholders and their contractual partners who act in their own interest and are subject to the transparency obligation provided for in this Directive. [to be discussed further]
95.	(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in title.	(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in title.	(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in	(42) Certain contracts for the exploitation of rights harmonised at Union level are of long duration, offering few possibilities for authors and performers to renegotiate them with their contractual counterparts or their successors in

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	Therefore, without prejudice to	Therefore, without prejudice to	title-when the economic value	title- when the economic value
	the law applicable to contracts in	the law applicable to contracts in	of the rights turns out to be	of the rights turns out to be
	Member States, there should be a	Member States, there should be a	significantly higher than	significantly higher than
	remuneration adjustment	remuneration adjustment	<u>initially estimated.</u> Therefore,	initially estimated. Therefore,
	mechanism for cases where the	mechanism for cases where the	without prejudice to the law	without prejudice to the law
	remuneration originally agreed	remuneration originally agreed	applicable to contracts in Member	applicable to contracts in Member
	under a licence or a transfer of	under a licence or a transfer of	States, there should be a	States, there should be a
	rights is disproportionately low	rights is disproportionately low	remuneration adjustment	remuneration adjustment
	compared to the relevant revenues	compared to the relevant <i>direct</i>	mechanism should be provided	mechanism should be provided
	and the benefits derived from the	and indirect revenues and the	for cases where the remuneration	for cases where the remuneration
	exploitation of the work or the	benefits derived from the	originally agreed under a licence	originally agreed under a licence
	fixation of the performance,	exploitation of the work or the	or a transfer of rights isclearly	or a transfer of rights isclearly
	including in light of the	fixation of the performance,	becomes disproportionately low	becomes disproportionately low
	transparency ensured by this	including in light of the	compared to the relevant	compared to the relevant revenues
	Directive. The assessment of the	transparency ensured by this	revenues and the benefits derived	and the benefits derived from the
	situation should take account of	Directive. The assessment of the	from the <u>subsequent</u> exploitation	subsequent exploitation of the
	the specific circumstances of each	situation should take account of	of the work or the fixation of the	work or the fixation of the
	case as well as of the specificities	the specific circumstances of each	performance, including in light of	performance, including in light of
	and practices of the different	case, as well as of the specificities	by the transparency ensured by	by the transparency ensured by
	content sectors. Where the parties	and practices of the different	this Directive.contractual	this Directive.contractual
	do not agree on the adjustment of	content sectors as well as of the	counterpart of the author or	counterpart of the author or
	the remuneration, the author or	nature and the contribution to	performer. The assessment of	performer. <u>The revenues which</u>
	performer should be entitled to	the work of the author or	the situation should take account	should be taken into account for
	bring a claim before a court or	performer. Such a contract	of the specific circumstances of	the assessment of the
	other competent authority.	adjustment request could also be	each case, including the	disproportion are all revenues
		made by the organisation	contribution of the author or	relevant to the case, including,
		representing the author or	performer, as well as of the	where applicable, merchandising
		performer on his or her behalf,	specificities and <u>remuneration</u>	<u>revenues.</u> The assessment of the

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		unless the request would be detrimental to the interests of the author or performer. Where the parties do not agree on the adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer or a representative organisation appointed by them should on request by the author or performer be entitled to bring a claim before a court or other competent authority.	practices of the different content sectors, and whether the contract is based on a collective bargaining agreement. Where the parties do not agree on the adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer should be entitled to bring a claim before a court or other competent authority. This mechanism should not apply to contracts concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/UE.	situation should take account of the specific circumstances of each case, including the contribution of the author or performer, as well as of the specificities and remuneration practices of the different content sectors, and whether the contract is based on a collective bargaining agreement. Duly mandated professional defence bodies of authors and performers should have the possibility to provide assistance in requesting the adjustment of the contracts on behalf of one or more authors, also taking into account the interests of other authors or performers when relevant. These organisations should protect the identity of the represented authors and performers in the proceedings for as long as this is possible. Where the parties do not agree on the adjustment of the remuneration, the author or performer should be entitled to bring a claim before a court or

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	PX TA_PROV/7701X1033//		POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				other competent authority. This mechanism should not apply to contracts concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/UE. [to be discussed further]
96.	(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims related to obligations of transparency and the contract adjustment mechanism.	(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims related to obligations of transparency and the contract adjustment mechanism. Representative organisations of authors and performers, including collective management organisations and trade unions, should be able to	(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims by authors and performers or their representatives on their behalf related to obligations of transparency and the contract adjustment mechanism. For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or	(43) Authors and performers are often reluctant to enforce their rights against their contractual partners before a court or tribunal. Member States should therefore provide for an alternative dispute resolution procedure that addresses claims by authors and performers or their representatives on their behalf related to obligations of transparency and the contract adjustment mechanism. For that purpose, Member States may either create a new body or

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		initiate such procedures at the request of authors and performers. Details about who initiated the procedure should remain undisclosed.	mechanism or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive-irrespective of whether these are industry-led or public, including when incorporated in the national judiciary system. Member States should have flexibility in deciding how the costs of the dispute resolution procedure should be allocated. This alternative dispute resolution procedure should be without prejudice to the right of parties to assert and defend their rights by bringing an action before a court.	mechanism or rely on an existing one that fulfils the conditions established by this Directive-irrespective of whether these are industry-led or public, including when incorporated in the national judiciary system. Member States should have flexibility in deciding how the costs of the dispute resolution procedure should be allocated. This alternative dispute resolution procedure should be without prejudice to the right of parties to assert and defend their rights by bringing an action before a court. Professional defence bodies of authors and performers should be able to initiate such procedures at the request of authors and performers. Details about who initiated the procedure should remain undisclosed.

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				[to be discussed further]
97.		(43a) When authors and performers license or transfer their rights, they expect their work or performance to be exploited. However, it happens that works or performances that have been licensed or transferred are not exploited at all. When these rights have been transferred on an exclusive basis, authors and performers cannot turn to another partner to exploit their work. In such a case, and after a reasonable period of time has lapsed, authors and performers should have a right of revocation allowing them to transfer or license their right to another person. Revocation should also be possible when the transferee or licensee has not complied with his or her reporting/transparency obligation provided for in Article 14 of this Directive. The		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		revocation should only be considered after all the steps of alternative dispute resolution have been completed, particularly with regard to reporting. As exploitation of works can vary depending on the sectors, specific provisions could be taken at national level in order to take into account the specificities of the sectors, such as the audiovisual sector, or of the works and the anticipated exploitation periods, notably providing for time limits for the right of revocation. In order to prevent abuses and take into account that a certain amount of time is needed before a work is actually exploited, authors and performers should be able to exercise the right of revocation only after a certain period of time following the conclusion of the license or of the transfer agreement. National law should regulate the exercise of the right of revocation in the case of		

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		works involving a plurality of authors or performers, taking into account the relative importance of the individual contributions.		
98.		(43b) To support the effective application across Member States of the relevant provisions of this Directive, the Commission should, in cooperation with Member States, encourage the exchange of best practices and promote dialogue at Union level.		
99.			(43a) The obligations laid down in Articles 14 and 15 of this Directive should be of a mandatory nature and parties should not be able to derogate from these contractual provisions, whether included in the contracts between authors, performers and their contractual counterparts or in agreements between those counterparts and third parties such as non-disclosure	(43a) The obligations laid down in Articles 14, 15 and 16 of this Directive should be of a mandatory nature and parties should not be able to derogate from these contractual provisions, whether included in the contracts between authors, performers and their contractual counterparts or in agreements between those counterparts and third parties such as non-disclosure

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION	
			agreements. As a consequence, the rules set out in Article 3(4) of the Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council 4 should apply to the effect that where all other elements relevant to the situation at the time of the choice are located in one or more Member States, the parties' choice of applicable law other than that of a Member State shall not prejudice the application of Articles 14 and 15, as implemented in the Member State of the forum.	agreements. As a consequence, the rules set out in Article 3(4) of the Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁴ should apply to the effect that where all other elements relevant to the situation at the time of the choice are located in one or more Member States, the parties' choice of applicable law other than that of a Member State shall not prejudice the application of Articles 14, 15 and 16, as implemented in the Member State of the forum.	
100.	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of	(44) The objectives of this Directive, namely the modernisation of certain aspects of the Union copyright framework to take account of technological developments and new channels of distribution of		

Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 June 2008 on the law applicable to contractual obligations (Rome I) (OJ L 177, 4.7.2008, p. 6–16).

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.	protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.	protected content in the internal market, cannot be sufficiently achieved by Member States but can rather, by reason of their scale, effects and cross-border dimension, be better achieved at Union level. Therefore, the Union may adopt measures in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Directive does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.	
101.	(45) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Accordingly, this Directive should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(45) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Accordingly, this Directive should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(45) This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Accordingly, this Directive should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
102.	(46) Any processing of personal data under this Directive should respect fundamental rights, including the right to respect for private and family life and the right to protection of personal data under Articles 7 and 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and must be in compliance with Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 15 and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council 16.	(46) Any processing of personal data under this Directive should respect fundamental rights, including the right to respect for private and family life and the right to protection of personal data under Articles 7 and 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and must be in compliance with Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council The provisions of the General Data Protection Regulation, including the "right to be forgotten" should be	(46) Any processing of personal data under this Directive should respect fundamental rights, including the right to respect for private and family life and the right to protection of personal data under Articles 7 and 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and must be in compliance with Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council.	

¹

Directive 95/46/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data (OJ L 281, 23.11.1995, p. 31–50). This Directive is repealed with effect from 25 May 2018 and shall be replaced by Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1–88).

Directive 2002/58/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 July 2002 concerning the processing of personal data and the protection of privacy in the electronic communications sector (Directive on privacy and electronic communications) (OJ L 201, 31.7.2002, p. 37–47), called, as amended by Directives 2006/24/EC and 2009/136/EC, the "e-Privacy Directive".

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		respected.		
103.		(46 a) It is important to stress the importance of anonymity, when handling personal data for commercial purposes. Additionally, the "by default" not sharing option with regards to personal data while using online platform interfaces should be promoted.		
104.	O4. (47) In accordance with the Joint Political Declaration of 28 September 2011 of Member States and the Commission on explanatory documents ¹⁷ , Member States have undertaken to accompany, in justified cases, the notification of their transposition measures with one or more documents explaining the relationship between the components of a directive and the corresponding parts of national transposition instruments. With		(47) In accordance with the Joint Political Declaration of 28 September 2011 of Member States and the Commission on explanatory documents ¹⁷ , Member States have undertaken to accompany, in justified cases, the notification of their transposition measures with one or more documents explaining the relationship between the components of a directive and the corresponding parts of national transposition instruments. With	

OJ C 369, 17.12.2011, p. 14.

Row	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT P8_TA-PROV(2018)0337 A8-0245/2018	COUNCIL TEXT 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	regard to this Directive, the legislator considers the transmission of such documents to be justified,	regard to this Directive, the legislator considers the transmission of such documents to be justified,	regard to this Directive, the legislator considers the transmission of such documents to be justified,	

Proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on copyright in the Digital Single Market

COM (2016) 593 final - 2016/0280 (COD)

PART 2: ARTICLES

Cell in green: The text can be deemed as already agreed

Cell in yellow: The issue needs further discussion at technical level

Cell in red: The issue needs further discussion in depth at the trilogue meetings

Note:

Differences between the EP's position and the Commission's proposal are highlighted in bold/italic. Deletions are marked with strikethrough.

Differences between the Council's position and the Commission's proposal are highlighted in bold/underlined.

Deletions are marked with strikethrough.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
105.		TITLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS	TITLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS	TITLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS	TITLE I GENERAL PROVISIONS
106.	Art.1, title	Article 1 Subject matter and scope			
107.	Art. 1, para 1	1. This Directive lays down rules which aim at further harmonising the Union law applicable to copyright and related rights in the framework of the internal market, taking into account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter.	1. This Directive lays down rules which aim at further harmonising the Union law applicable to copyright and related rights in the framework of the internal market, taking into account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter.	1. This Directive lays down rules which aim at further harmonising the Union law applicable to copyright and related rights in the framework of the internal market, taking into account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter.	1. This Directive lays down rules which aim at further harmonising the Union law applicable to copyright and related rights in the framework of the internal market, taking into account in particular digital and cross-border uses of protected content. It also lays down rules on exceptions and limitations, on the facilitation of licences as well as rules aiming at ensuring a well-functioning marketplace for the exploitation of works and other subject-matter. [identical text]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
108.	Art. 1, para 2	2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 6, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 6, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2000/31/EC, 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 617, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2000/31/EC, 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.	2. Except in the cases referred to in Article 617, this Directive shall leave intact and shall in no way affect existing rules laid down in the Directives currently in force in this area, in particular Directives 96/9/EC, 2000/31/EC, 2001/29/EC, 2006/115/EC, 2009/24/EC, 2012/28/EU and 2014/26/EU.
109.	Art. 2, title	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions	Article 2 Definitions
110.	Art. 2, introductory part	For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:	For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:	For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:	GREEN For the purposes of this Directive, the following definitions shall apply:
111.	Art. 2, para 1, introductory part	(1) 'research organisation' means a university, a research institute or any other organisation the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific research	(1) 'research organisation' means a university, including its libraries, a research institute or any other organisation the primary goal of which is to	(1) 'research organisation' means a university, a research institute or any other organisationan entity, the primary goal of which is to conduct scientific	(1) 'research organisation' means a university, <i>including its libraries</i> , a research institute or any other <i>entity</i> the primary goal of which is to

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		or to conduct scientific research and provide educational services:	conduct scientific research or to conduct scientific research and provide educational services:	research or to conduct scientific research and provide educational services: involving also the conduct of scientific research:	conduct scientific research or to carry out educational activities involving also the conduct of scientific research: [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
112.	Art. 2, para 1, point (a)	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific research; or	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific research; or	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific research; or	(a) on a non-for-profit basis or by reinvesting all the profits in its scientific research; or [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
113.	Art. 2, para 1, point (b)	(b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised by a Member State;	(b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised by a Member State;	(b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised by a Member State;	GREEN (b) pursuant to a public interest mission recognised by a Member State; [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
114.	Art. 2, para 1, closing phrase	in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot	in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot	in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot	GREEN in such a way that the access to the results generated by the

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a decisive influence upon such organisation;	be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a <i>significant</i> influence upon such organisation;	be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a decisive influence upon such organisation;	scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a significant decisive influence upon such organisation; [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
115.	Art. 2, para 2	(2) 'text and data mining' means any automated analytical technique aiming to analyse text and data in digital form in order to generate information such as patterns, trends and correlations;	(2) 'text and data mining' means any automated analytical technique which analyses works and other subject matter in digital form in order to generate information, including, but not limited to, patterns, trends and correlations.	(2) 'text and data mining' means any automated analytical technique aiming to analyse text and data in digital form in order to generate information such as patterns, trends and correlations;	(2) 'text and data mining' means any automated analytical technique aiming to analyse text and data in digital form in order to generate information, including, but not limited to, patterns, trends and correlations; [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
116.	Art. 2, para 3	(3) 'cultural heritage institution' means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution;	(3) 'cultural heritage institution' means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution;	(3) 'cultural heritage institution' means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio heritage institution;	GREEN (3) 'cultural heritage institution' means a publicly accessible library or museum, an archive or a film or audio

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
117.	Art. 2, para 4	(4) 'press publication' means a fixation of a collection of literary works of a journalistic nature, which may also comprise other works or subjectmatter and constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly-updated publication under a	(4) 'press publication' means a fixation by publishers or news agencies of a collection of literary works of a journalistic nature, which may also comprise other works or subject-matter and constitutes an individual item within a periodical or	(4) 'press publication' means a fixation of a collection composed mainly of literary works of a journalistic nature, which-: [remaining part of this pargraph was split up in points (a) to (d) - see following rows 118-121]	heritage institution; [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
		single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine, having the purpose of providing information related to news or other topics and published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider.	regularly-updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine, having the purpose of providing information related to news or other topics and published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider. Periodicals which are published for scientific or		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			academic purposes, such as scientific journals, shall not be covered by this definition;		
118.	Art. 2, para 4, point (a)			(a) may also comprise include other works or subject-matter and i [See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]	
119.	Art. 2, para 4, point (b)			(b)constitutes an individual item within a periodical or regularly-updated publication under a single title, such as a newspaper or a general or special interest magazine;: [See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]	
120.	Art. 2, para 4, point (c)			(c) has having the purpose of providing the general public with information	

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				related to news or other topics; and [See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]	
121.	Art. 2, para 4, point (d)			(d) is published in any media under the initiative, editorial responsibility and control of a service provider.; [See Article 2(4) of COM proposal and of EP text (row 117)]	
122.	Art. 2, para 4a, introductory part		(4a) 'out of commerce work' means:		
123.	Art. 2, para 4a, point (a)		(a) an entire work or other subject matter in any version or manifestation that is no longer available to the public in a Member State through customary channels of commerce;		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
124.	Art. 2, para 4a, point (b)		(b) a work or other subject matter that has never been in commerce in a Member State, unless, from the circumstances of that case, it is apparent that its author objected to making it available to the public;		
125.	Art. 2, para 4b (EP)/para 5 (Council)		(4b) 'online content sharing service provider' means a provider of an information society service one of the main purposes of which is to store and give access to the public to a significant amount of copyright protected works or other protected subjectmatter uploaded by its users, which the service optimises and promotes for profit making purposes. Microenterprises and small-sized enterprises within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation	(5) 'online content sharing service provider' means a provider of an information society service whose main or one of the main purposes is to store and give the public access to a large amount of works or other subject-matter uploaded by its users which it organises and promotes for profit- making purposes. Providers of services such as non-for-profit online encyclopaedias, non-for- profit educational and scientific repositories, non-	

Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		2003/361/EC and services acting in a non-commercial purpose capacity such as online encyclopaedia, and providers of online services where the content is uploaded with the authorisation of all right holders concerned, such as educational or scientific repositories, shall not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive. Providers of cloud services for individual use which do not provide direct access to the public, open source software developing platforms, and online market places whose main activity is online retail of physical goods, should not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive;	for-profit open source software developing platforms, as well as internet access service providers, online marketplaces and providers of cloud services which allow users, including businesses for their internal purposes, to upload content for their own use shall not be considered online content sharing service providers within the meaning of this Directive;	

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
126.	Art. 2, para 4c (EP)/para 6 (Council)		(4c) 'information society service' means a service within the meaning of point (b) of Article 1(1) of Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council ^{1a} ; 1a Directive (EU) 2015/1535 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 September 2015 laying down a procedure for the provision of information in the field of technical regulations and of rules on Information Society services (OJ L 241, 17.9.2015, p. 1).	(6) 'information society service' means a service within the meaning of Article 1(1)(b) of Directive (EU) 2015/1535.	
127.	Art. 2, para 4d		(4d) 'automated image referencing service' means any online service which reproduces or makes available to the public for indexing and referencing purposes graphic or art		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			works or photographic works collected by automated means via a third-party online service.		
128.		TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS- BORDER ENVIRONMENT	TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS- BORDER ENVIRONMENT	TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS- BORDER ENVIRONMENT	TITLE II MEASURES TO ADAPT EXCEPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS TO THE DIGITAL AND CROSS- BORDER ENVIRONMENT
129.	Art. 3, title	Article 3 Text and data mining	Article 3 Text and data mining	Article 3 Text and data mining for the purposes of scientific research	Article 3 Text and data mining for the purposes of scientific research [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
130.	Art. 3, para 1	1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for	1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for	1Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for	GREEN 1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of

Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	reproductions and extractions made by research organisations in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access for the purposes of scientific research.	reproductions and extractions made by research organisations in order to earry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access research organisations have lawful access and made in order to carry out text and data mining for the purposes of scientific research by such organisations. Member States shall provide for educational establishments and cultural heritage institutions conducting scientific research within the meaning of point (1)(a) or (1)(b) of Article 2, in such a way that the access to the results generated by the scientific research cannot be enjoyed on a preferential basis by an undertaking exercising a decisive influence upon such	reproductions and extractions made by research organisations and cultural heritage institutions in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access, for the purposes of scientific research.	this Directive for reproductions and extractions made by research organisations and cultural heritage institutions in order to carry out text and data mining of works or other subject-matter to which they have lawful access, for the purposes of scientific research. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			organisations, to also be able to benefit from the exception provided for in this Article.		
131.	Art. 3, para 1a		Ia. Reproductions and extractions made for text and data mining purposes shall be stored in a secure manner, for example by trusted bodies appointed for this purpose.	1a.Copies of works or other subject-matter made in compliance with paragraph 1 shall be stored with an appropriate level of security and not be retained for longer than necessary for achieving the purposes of scientific research.	Ia. Copies of works or other subject-matter made in compliance with paragraph 1 shall be stored with an appropriate level of security and may be retained for the purposes of scientific research, including for the verification of research results. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
132.	Art. 3, para 2	2. Any contractual provision contrary to the exception provided for in paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable.	2. Any contractual provision contrary to the exception provided for in paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable. [See Council's Article 6(1) (row 155)]	2. [Moved to Article 6(1)]	GREEN [Moved to Article 6(1)] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
133.	Art. 3, para 3	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective.	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective.	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective.	3. Rightholders shall be allowed to apply measures to ensure the security and integrity of the networks and databases where the works or other subject-matter are hosted. Such measures shall not go beyond what is necessary to achieve that objective. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
134.	Art. 3, para 4	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and research organisations to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 3.	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and research organisations to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 3-may continue to provide text and data mining exceptions in accordance with point (a) of Article 5(3) of Directive 2001/29/EC.	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders-and, research organisations and cultural heritage institutions to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of the obligation and measures referred to respectively in paragraphs 1a and 3.	4. Member States shall encourage rightholders and, research organisations and cultural heritage institutions to define commonly-agreed best practices concerning the application of the obligation and measures referred to respectively in paragraphs 1a and 3.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26 November] [the relation with existing exceptions under InfoSoc Directive under Article 17a (see row 306)]
135.	Art. 3a, title		Article 3a Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining	Article 3a Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining	Article 3a Optional exception or limitation for text and data mining
136.	Art. 3a, para 1		1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of this Directive, Member States may provide for an exception or a limitation to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for reproductions and extractions of lawfully accessible works and other	1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of this Directive Member States may provide for an exception or a limitation to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for temporary reproductions and extractions of lawfully	1. Without prejudice to Article 3 of this Directive, Member States may/shall provide for an exception or a limitation to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) and (b) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive for temporary reproductions and extractions of lawfully

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			subject-matter that form a part of the process of text and data mining, provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders, including by machine readable means.	accessible works and other subject-matter that form a part of the process of text and data mining.	accessible works and other subject-matter that form a part of the process for the purposes of text and data mining. [COM suggestion to turn the optional exception into a mandatory one by replacing "may provide" by "shall provide" to be discussed further at political level. Apart from 'may' or 'shall', text of Article 3a provisionally agreed at trilogue 26/11/2018 as set out in rows 136, 137, 138 and 139]
137.	Art. 3a, para 2 (EP)		2. Reproductions and extractions made pursuant to paragraph 1 shall not be used for purposes other than text and data mining.		GREEN 2. Reproductions and extractions made pursuant to paragraph 1 may be retained as long as necessary for the purposes of text and data mining.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 / 26/11/2018]
138.	Art. 3a, para 2 (Council)			2. The exception or limitation provided for in paragraph 1 shall apply provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders including by technical means. [See para. 1 of EP text (row 136)]	2. The exception or limitation provided for in paragraph 1 shall apply provided that the use of works and other subject matter referred to therein has not been expressly reserved by their rightholders in an appropriate manner, such as machine readable means for the content made publicly available online. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018 in conjunction with wording of recital (13a) (row 28)]
139.	Art. 3a, para 3		3. Member States may continue to provide text and data mining exceptions in		GREEN 3. This Article shall not

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			accordance with point (a) of Article 5 (3) of Directive 2001/29/EC.		affect the application of Article 3 of this Directive. [This phrase replaces the 'Without prejudice'-clarification previously contained in paragraph 1. [provisionaly agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018] Agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 to introduce the relation with existing exceptions under InfoSoc Directive under Article 17a (see row 306)]
140.	Art. 4, title	Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities	Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities	Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities	Article 4 Use of works and other subject-matter in digital and cross-border teaching activities
141.	Art. 4, para 1	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a)	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a)	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles-5(a).	1. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow for the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that the use:	and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow for the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that the use:	(b), (d) and (e) and Article 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) and (b) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow for the digital use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, provided that thesuch use:	3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a), (b), (d) and (e) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a), and (b) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive in order to allow the digital use of works and other subjectmatter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching, to the extent justified by the noncommercial purpose to be achieved, provided that such use: [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; reference to Directive 2009/24/EC agreed provided that it is clarified in a recital that distribution of software allowed under the exception is limited to digital transmission of software]
142.	Art. 4, para 1, point (a)	(a) takes place on the premises of an educational establishment or through a secure electronic network	(a) takes place on the premises of an educational establishment, or in any other venue in which the	(a) takes place on under the premises responsibility of an educational establishment, on its	GREEN (a) takes place under the responsibility of an

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		accessible only by the educational establishment's pupils or students and teaching staff;	teaching activity takes place under the responsibility of the educational establishment, or through a secure electronic network environment accessible only by the educational establishment's pupils or students and teaching staff;	premises or other venues, or through a secure electronic network accessible only by the educational establishment's pupils or students and teaching staff; and	educational establishment, on its premises or other venues, or through a secure electronic environment accessible only by the educational establishment's pupils or students and teaching staff; and [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018 together with text on recital 16a, row 33]
143.	Art. 4, para 1, point (b)	(b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name, unless this turns out to be impossible.	(b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name, unless this turns out to be impossible <i>for reasons of practicability</i> .	(b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name, unless this turns out to be impossible.	GREEN (b) is accompanied by the indication of the source, including the author's name, unless this turns out to be impossible. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018]
144.	Art. 4, para 2, sub-para 1	2. Member States may provide that the exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1 does not apply	2. Member States may provide that the exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1 does not apply	2. Notwithstanding Article 6(1), Member States may provide that the exception adopted pursuant	GREEN 2. Notwithstanding Article 6(1), Member States may

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		generally or as regards specific types of works or other subject-matter, to the extent that adequate licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 are easily available in the market.	generally or as regards specific types of works or other subject-matter, such as material which is primarily intended for the educational market or sheet music, to the extent that adequate licences-licencing agreements authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 and tailored to the needs and specificities of educational establishments are easily available in the market.	to paragraph 1 does not apply generally or as regards specific <u>uses or</u> types of works or other subjectmatter, to the extent that adequate licences <u>covering</u> the needs of educational establishments and authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 are easily available in the market.	provide that the exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1 does not apply generally or as regards specific uses or types of works or other subject-matter, such as material which is primarily intended for the educational market or sheet music, to the extent that suitable licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 covering the needs and specificities of educational establishments are easily available in the market. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 / 26/11/2018 together with text on recitals (16) and (17), rows 32 and 35 tbc by trilogue]
145.	Art. 4, para 2, sub-para 2	Member States availing themselves of the provision of the first subparagraph shall take the necessary measures to ensure appropriate availability and	Member States availing themselves of the provision of the first subparagraph shall take the necessary measures to ensure appropriate availability and	Member States availing themselves of the provision of the first subparagraph shall take the necessary measures to ensure appropriate availability and	GREEN Member States availing themselves of the provision of the first subparagraph shall take the necessary measures to

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		visibility of the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 for educational establishments.	visibility of the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 for educational establishments.	visibility ofthat rightholders make the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 available and visible for educational establishments.	ensure appropriate availability and visibility of the licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1 for educational establishments. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018 together with text on recital (17) and (17a), rows 35 and 36]
146.	Art. 4, para 3	3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic networks undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established.	3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic networks environments undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established.	3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic networks undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established.	3. The use of works and other subject-matter for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching through secure electronic <i>environments</i> undertaken in compliance with the provisions of national law adopted pursuant to this Article shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the educational establishment is established. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 / 26/11/2018 together with text

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					on recital 16a, row 33]
147.	Art. 4, para 4	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-matter pursuant to paragraph 1.	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-matter pursuant to paragraph 1.	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by theto rightholders due to the use of their works or other subject-matter pursuant to paragraph 1.	4. Member States may provide for fair compensation for the harm incurred by the to rightholders due to the use of their works or other subjectmatter pursuant to paragraph 1. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 25/10/2018 / 26/11/2018 together with text on recital (17a), row 36]
148.	Art. 4, para 4a		4a. Without prejudice to paragraph 2, any contractual provision contrary to the exception or limitation adopted pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be unenforceable. Member States shall ensure that rightholders have the right to grant royalty-free licences authorising the acts described in paragraph 1, generally or as regards		[provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018 to deal with contractual override under Article 6(1)] [Issue of royalty-free licences to be further discussed in the context of the remuneration chapter and recital (40) of the Council]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			specific types of works or other subject-matter that they may choose.		
			[See Council's Article 6(1) (row 155)]		
149.	Art. 5, title	Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage	Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage	Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage	Article 5 Preservation of cultural heritage
150.	Art. 5, [para 1 (EP)]	Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions, to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for the sole purpose of the preservation of such works or other subject-	1. Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for the sole purpose purposes of preservation of such works or other subject-	Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions, to make copies of any works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for the sole purpose of the preservation of such works or other	Member States shall provide for an exception to the rights provided for in Article 2 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a) and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1)(a) of Directive 2009/24/EC and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions to make copies of any works or other subjectmatter that are permanently in their collections, in any format or medium, for <i>purposes</i> of preservation of such works or other subject-matter and to the

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation.	matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation.	subject-matter and to the extent necessary for such preservation.	extent necessary for such preservation. [provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018]
151.	Art. 5, para 1a		1a. Member States shall ensure that any material resulting from an act of reproduction of material in the public domain shall not be subject to copyright or related rights, provided that such reproduction is a faithful reproduction for purposes of preservation of the original material.		[To be further discussed at political level; COM to provide drafting suggestion for this EP issue, to be further discussed with Council; this suggestion should cover only non-original reproduction of works for which the term of protection has elapsed; not using the terms "public domain", "faithful reproduction"; the recitals to clarify that this does not affect other rights, such as property rights]
152.	Art. 5, para 1b		1b. Any contractual provision contrary to the exception provided for in paragraph 1 shall be		GREEN [provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018 to deal with contractual override

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			unenforceable. [See Council's Article 6(1) (row 155)]		under Article 6(1)]
153.	Art. 6, title	Article 6 Common provisions	Article 6 Common provisions	Article 6 Common provisions	Article 6 Common provisions
154.	Art. 6, para 1 (EP)		1. Accessing content covered by an exception provided for in this Directive shall not confer on users any entitlement to use it pursuant to another exception.		[To be discussed further at political level; COM to provide a technical note clarifying situation according to jurisprudence, not restricting the notion of "lawful access"]
155.	Art. 6, para 1 (Council)			1. Any contractual provision contrary to the exceptions provided for in Articles 3, 4(1) and 5 shall be unenforceable. [See Article 3(2) of the COM proposal and Parliament's Articles 3(2) and 4(4a) and 5(1b)]	1. Any contractual provision contrary to the exceptions provided for in <i>Articles 3, 4 and 5</i> shall be unenforceable. [provisionally agreed at trilogue 25/10/2018]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
156.	Art. 6, para 2	Article 5(5) and the first, third and fifth subparagraphs of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions and the limitation provided for under this Title.	2. Article 5(5) and the first, third, <i>fourth</i> and fifth subparagraphs of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions and the limitation provided for under this Title.	2. Article 5(5) and theof Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions and the limitation provided for under this Title. The first, third and fifth subparagraphs of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions Articles 3, 4(1) and the limitation provided for under 5 of this Title Directive.	2. Article 5(5) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to the exceptions and the limitation provided for under this Title. The first, third and fifth subparagraphs of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC shall apply to Articles 3, 4 and 5 of this Directive. [EP agrees to drop reference to the fourth subparagraph of Article 6(4) of Directive 2001/29/EC; EP: second sentence to be left open until discussions on Article 7 finalised]
157.		TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT	TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT	TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT	TITLE III MEASURES TO IMPROVE LICENSING PRACTICES AND ENSURE WIDER ACCESS TO CONTENT
158.		CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works	CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works	CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works	CHAPTER 1 Out-of-commerce works

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
159.	Art. 7, title	Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions	Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions	Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions	Article 7 Use of out-of-commerce works by cultural heritage institutions
160.	Art. 7, para 1, introductory part	1. Member States shall provide that when a collective management organisation, on behalf of its members, concludes a non-exclusive licence for non-commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution for the digitisation, distribution, communication to the public or making available of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, such a non-exclusive licence may be extended or presumed to apply to rightholders of the same category as those covered by the licence who are not represented by the collective management	1. Member States shall provide that when a collective management organisation, on behalf of its members, concludes a non-exclusive licence for non-commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution for the digitisation, distribution, communication to the public or making available of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, such a non-exclusive licence may be extended or presumed to apply to rightholders of the same category as those covered by the licence who are not represented by the collective management	1. Member States shall provide that when a collective management organisation, on behalf of in accordance with its members, concludes mandates, may conclude a non-exclusive licence for non-commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution for the digitisation reproduction, distribution, communication to the public or making available to the public of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, such a non-exclusive licence may be extended or presumed to apply to rightholders of the same category as those	1. Member States shall provide that a collective management organisation, in accordance with its mandates, may conclude a non-exclusive licence for non-commercial purposes with a cultural heritage institution for the reproduction, distribution, communication to the public or making available to the public of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter permanently in the collection of the institution, irrespective of whether all rightholders covered by the licence have mandated the collective management organisation, provided that:

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		organisation, provided that:	organisation, provided that:	irrespective of whether all rightholders covered by the licence who are not represented by have mandated the collective management organisation, provided that:	[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
161.	Art. 7, para 1, point (a)	(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, broadly representative of rightholders in the category of works or other subjectmatter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence;	(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, broadly representative of rightholders in the category of works or other subjectmatter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence;	(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, broadlysufficiently representative of rightholders in the categoryrelevant type of works or other subjectmatter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence;	(a) the collective management organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, sufficiently representative of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence; [provisionaly agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; to be further discussed whether the meaning of "sufficiently representative" should be further clarified in the recitals]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
162.	Art. 7, para 1, point (b)	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence.
163.	Art. 7, para 1, point (c)	(c) all rightholders may at any time object to their works or other subjectmatter being deemed to be out of commerce and exclude the application of the licence to their works or other subject-matter.	(c) all rightholders may at any time object to their works or other subjectmatter being deemed to be out of commerce and exclude the application of the licence to their works or other subject-matter.	(c) all rightholders may at any time object toexclude the possibility for collective management organisations to license their works or other subject-matter being deemed to be out of commerce and in accordance with this Article, either in general or in specific cases, or exclude the application of theany licence granted in accordance with this Article to their works or other subject-matter.	GREEN [This point is moved to new paragraph 1b below – row 167] [provisionaly agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
164.	Art. 7, para 1a		1a. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a)		1a. Member States shall provide for an exception or limitation to the rights provided for in Articles 2 and 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC, Articles 5(a), (b), (d) and (e)

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC, and Article 11(1) of this Directive, permitting cultural heritage institutions to make copies available online of out-of-commerce works that are located permanently in their collections for not-for-profit purposes, provided that:		and 7(1) of Directive 96/9/EC, Article 4(1) of Directive 2009/24/EC, and Article 11(1) of this Directive, in order to allow cultural heritage institutions to make available out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter that are permanently in their collections for non- commercial purposes, provided that: [to be discussed further]
165.	Art. 7, para 1a, point (a)		(a) the name of the author or any other identifiable rightholder is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible;		(a) [the author or any other identifiable rightholder was informed individually at least six months before the use, unless this turns out to be impossible, and] the name of the author or any other identifiable rightholder is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible; [Council to get back to Member States on the deletion]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					of the part in strikethrough]
166.	Art. 7, para 1a, point (b)		(b) all rightholders may at any time object to their works or other subjectmatter being deemed to be out of commerce and exclude the application of the exception to their works or other subject-matter.		(b) such works or other subject-matter are made available on dedicated non-commercial portals websites [which are accessible on the premises of cultural heritage institutions]. [Text of EP covered in new paragraph 1b below – row 167; Council to get back to Member States on the deletion of the part in strikethrough]
167.	Art. 7, para 1b		1b. Member States shall provide that the exception adopted pursuant to paragraph 1a does not apply in sectors or for types of works where appropriate licensing-based solutions, including but not limited to solutions provided for in paragraph 1, are available. Member States shall, in consultation with authors, other rightholders,		1b. Member States shall provide that the exception or limitation referred to in the previous paragraph only applies to types of works or other subject-matter for which no collective management organisation exists that fulfils the conditions referred to in point (a) of paragraph 1.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, determine the availability of extended collective licensing-based solutions for specific sectors or types of works.		1c. Member States shall provide that all rightholders may at any time, easily and effectively, exclude their works or other subject-matter from the licensing mechanism referred to in paragraph 1 or from uses under the exception or limitation referred to in paragraph 1a, either in general or in specific cases, including after the conclusion of a licence or the beginning of the use concerned. [provisionaly agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
168.	Art. 7, para 2, sub-para 1	2. A work or other subject-matter shall be deemed to be out of commerce when the whole work or other subject-matter, in all its translations, versions and manifestations, is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce and cannot be	2. A work or other subject-matter shall be deemed to be out of commerce when the whole work or other subject-matter, in all its translations, versions and manifestations, is not available to the public through customary channels of commerce and cannot be	2. A work or other subject-matter shall be deemed to be out-of-commerce when it can be presumed in good faith that the whole work or other subject-matter, in all its translations, versions and manifestations, is not available to the public	GREEN 2. A work or other subjectmatter shall be deemed to be out-of-commerce when it can be presumed in good faith that the whole work or other subject-matter is not available to the public through customary channels of

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		reasonably expected to become so.	reasonably expected to become so. Member States may provide a cut-off date in relation to determining whether a work previously commercialised is deemed to be out of commerce. [See definition of out-of-commerce work in Parliament's Article 2(4a) (rows 122-126)]	through customary channels of commerce and cannot be reasonably expected to become so. after a reasonable effort is made to determine such availability.	commerce after a reasonable effort is made to determine such availability. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
169.	Art. 7, para 2, sub-para 2	Member States shall, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, ensure that the requirements used to determine whether works and other subject-matter can be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1 do not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable and do not preclude the possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a	Member States shall, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, ensure that the requirements used to determine whether works and other subject-matter can be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1 or used in accordance with paragraph 1a do not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable and do not preclude the possibility to	Member States shall, in consultation with rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions, ensure that the may provide for specific requirements used to determine whether works and other subject-matter can be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1-do. Such requirements shall not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable, and doshall not preclude the	Member States may provide for specific requirements, <u>such</u> as a cut-off date, to determine whether works and other subject-matter can be licensed in accordance with paragraph 1 or used under the exception or limitation referred to in paragraph 1a. Such requirements shall not extend beyond what is necessary and reasonable, and shall not preclude the possibility to determine the

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		collection as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter in the collection are out of commerce.	determine the out-of- commerce status of a collection as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter in the collection are out of commerce.	possibility to determine the out-of-commerce status of a collection as set of works or other subject-matter as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter in the collection-are out-of-commerce.	out-of-commerce status of a set of works or other subjectmatter as a whole, when it is reasonable to presume that all works or other subject-matter are out-of-commerce. [provisionaly agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
170.	Art. 7, para 3, introductory part	3. Member States shall provide that appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding:	3. Member States shall provide that appropriate publicity measures are taken regarding:	3. [Moved to new Article 8a(2)]	3. [Moved to new Article 8a(2)]
171.	Art. 7, para 3, point (a)	(a) the deeming of works or other subject-matter as out of commerce;	(a) the deeming of works or other subject-matter as out of commerce;		
172.	Art. 7, para 3, point (b)	(b) the licence, and in particular its application to unrepresented rightholders;	(b) the any licence, and in particular its application to unrepresented rightholders;		
173.	Art. 7, para 3, point (c)	(c) the possibility of rightholders to object, referred to in point (c) of paragraph 1;	(c) the possibility of rightholders to object, referred to in point (c) of paragraph 1 and point (b) of paragraph 1a;		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
174.	Art. 7, para 3, closing phrase	including during a reasonable period of time before the works or other subject-matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available.	including during a reasonable period of time at least six months before the works or other subjectmatter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available.		
175.	Art. 7, para 4, introductory part / Art. 7, para 4 (Council)	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where:	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where:	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where: the cultural heritage institution is established.	4. Member States shall ensure that the licences referred to in paragraph 1 are sought from a collective management organisation that is representative for the Member State where the cultural heritage institution is established. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; to be discussed further whether the meaning of "representative" should be further clarified in the recitals]
176.	Art. 7,			5. This Article shall not	GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	para 5, introductory part (Council)			apply to sets of out-of- commerce if, following the reasonable effort to determine commercial availability, there is evidence that such sets predominantly consist of:	5. This Article shall not apply to sets of out-of-commerce works if, on the basis of the reasonable effort referred to in paragraph 2, there is evidence that such sets predominantly consist of: [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
177.	Art. 7, para 4, point (a) (EP)/ Art. 7, para 5, point (a) (Council)	(a) the works or phonograms were first published or, in the absence of publication, where they were first broadcast, except for cinematographic and audiovisual works;	(a) the works or phonograms were first published or, in the absence of publication, where they were first broadcast, except for cinematographic and audiovisual works;	(a) the works or other subject-matter phonograms were first published or, in the absence of publication, where they were first broadcast in a third country, except for cinematographic andor audiovisual works;	(a) works or other subject-matter first published or, in the absence of publication, first broadcast in a third country, except for cinematographic or audiovisual works; [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
178.	Art. 7, para 4, point (b) (EP)/ Art. 7, para 5, point (b)	(b) the producers of the works have their headquarters or habitual residence, for cinematographic and	(b) the producers of the works have their headquarters or habitual residence, for cinematographic and	(b) cinematographic or audiovisual works, the producers of the works which have their headquarters or habitual residence, for	(b) cinematographic or audiovisual works, the producers of which have their headquarters or habitual

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	(Council)	audiovisual works; or	audiovisual works; or	cinematographic and audiovisual works in a third country; or	residence in a third country; or [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
179.	Art. 7, para 4, point (c) (EP) /Art. 7, para 5, point (c) (Council)	(c) the cultural heritage institution is established, when a Member State or a third country could not be determined, after reasonable efforts, according to points (a) and (b).	(c) the cultural heritage institution is established, when a Member State or a third country could not be determined, after reasonable efforts, according to points (a) and (b).	c) the cultural heritage institution is established, works or other subjectmatter of third country nationals when a Member State or a third country could not be determined, after a reasonable effortseffort, according to points (a) and (b)-):	c) works or other subject- matter of third country nationals when a Member State or a third country could not be determined, after a reasonable effort, according to points (a) and (b); [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
180.	Art. 7, para 5 (EP)/ Art. 7, para 5, closing phrase (Council)	5. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not apply to the works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except where points (a) and (b) of paragraph 4 apply.	5. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not apply to the works or other subject-matter of third country nationals except where points (a) and (b) of paragraph 4 apply.	5. Paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 shall not apply tounless the works or other subject- mattercollective management organisation is sufficiently representative of rightholders of that third country nationals except	unless the collective management organisation is sufficiently representative of rightholders of that third country in the meaning of point (a) of paragraph 1.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				where points in the meaning of point (a) and (b) of paragraph 4 apply 1.	[provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
181.	Art. 8, title	Article 8 Cross-border uses	Article 8 Cross-border uses	Article 8 Cross-border uses	Article 8 Cross-border uses
182.	Art. 8, para 1	1. Works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7 may be used by the cultural heritage institution in accordance with the terms of the licence in all Member States.	1. Works Out-of- commerce works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in accordance with-Article 7 may be used by the cultural heritage institution in accordance with the terms of the licence that Article in all Member States.	1. Works or other subject-matter covered by aA licence granted in accordance with Article 7 may be used allow the use of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter by the cultural heritage institution in accordance with the terms of the licence in allany Member States State.	1. A licence granted in accordance with Article 7 may allow the use of out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter by the cultural heritage institution in any Member State. 2. The uses of works and other subject-matter under the exception or limitation referred to in Article 7(1a) shall be deemed to occur solely in the Member State where the cultural heritage institution undertaking that use is established. [paragraph 1 provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; paragraph 2

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					depending on Article 7(1a)]
183.	Art. 8, para 2	2. Member States shall ensure that information that allows the identification of the works or other subjectmatter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7 and information about the possibility of rightholders to object referred to in Article 7(1)(c) are made publicly accessible in a single online portal for at least six months before the works or other subjectmatter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available in Member States other than the one where the licence is granted, and for the whole duration of the licence.	2. Member States shall ensure that information that allows the identification of the works or other subjectmatter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7 and information about the possibility of rightholders to object referred to in point (c) of Article 7(1) and point (b) of Article 7(1a) are made publicly permanently, easily and effectively accessible in a public single online portal for at least six months before the works or other subjectmatter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available in Member States other than the one where the licence is granted, or in the cases covered by Article 7(1a), where the cultural heritage institution is established and for the whole duration of the	2. [Moved to new Article 8a(1)]	CREEN 2. [Moved to new Article 8a(1)] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			licence.		
184.	Art. 8, para 3	3. The portal referred to in paragraph 2 shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012.	3. The portal referred to in paragraph 2 shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012.	3. [Moved to new Article 8a(1) second subparagraph]	GREEN 3. [Moved to new Article 8a(1) second subparagraph] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
185.	Art. 8a, title			Article 8a Publicity measures	GREEN Article 8a Publicity measures [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
186.	Art. 8a, para 1, sub-para 1			1. Member States shall ensure that information that allowsfor the purposes of the identification of the out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in	GREEN 1. Member States shall ensure that information from cultural heritage institutions, collective management organisations or relevant

Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			accordance with Article 7 andas well as information about the possibilitypossibilities of rightholders to object referred to in Article 7(1)(c) are), and, as soon as it is available, information on the parties to the licence, the covered territories and the allowed uses is made publicly accessible in a single online portal forfrom at least six months before the works or other subject- matter are digitised, distributed, communicated to the public or made available in Member States other than the one where the licence is granted, and for the whole duration ofto the public in accordance with the licence. [Article 8(2) of the COM proposal, amended]	public authorities for the purposes of the identification of the out-of-commerce works or other subject-matter covered by a licence granted in accordance with Article 7(1) or used under the exception or limitation referred to in Article 7(1a) as well as information about the possibilities of rightholders referred to in Article 7(1c), and, as soon as it is available and where relevant, information on the parties to the licence, the covered territories and the allowed uses is made permanently, easily and effectively accessible in a public single online portal from at least six months before the works or other subjectmatter are distributed, communicated to the public or made available to the public in accordance with the licence or under the exception or limitation.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					[Article 8(2) of the COM proposal, amended] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018, including clarification in recital (27) that the licence may cover one, several or all Member States; part in yellow depending on Article 7(1a)]
187.	Art. 8a, para 1, sub-para 2			3.—The portal referred to in paragraph 2 shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012. [Article 8(3) of the COM proposal, amended]	The portal shall be established and managed by the European Union Intellectual Property Office in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 386/2012. [Article 8(3) of the COM proposal, amended] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
188.	Art. 8a, para 2			2. Member States shall provide that, if necessary for the general awareness of rightholders, further	GREEN 2. Member States shall provide that, if necessary for

Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			appropriate publicity	the general awareness of
			measures are taken	rightholders, further
			regarding: (a) the deeming	appropriate publicity measures
			of works or other subject-	are taken regarding the
			matter as out of commerce;	possibility for collective
			(b) the licence, and in	management organisations to
			particular its application to	license works or other subject-
			unrepresented rightholders;	matter in accordance with
			the possibility for collective	Article 7, the licences granted,
			management organisations	the uses under the exception
			to license works or other	or limitation referred to in
			subject-matter in	Article 7(1a) and the
			accordance with Article 7,	possibilities of rightholders
			the licences granted and (c)	referred to in Article 7(1c) .
			the possibilities to object of	m 11:: 1 · · ·
			rightholders referred to in	The additional appropriate
			point (c) of paragraph 1	publicity measures shall be
			Article $7(1)(c) \div \frac{1}{2}$	taken in the Member State
			T 1 1: 1 :	where the licence is sought in
			Including during a	accordance to Article 7(1) or,
			reasonable period of time	for uses under the exception
			before the works or other	or limitation referred to in
			subject-matter are digitised,	Article 7(1a), where the
			distributed, communicated to	cultural heritage institution
			the public or made available.	is established. If there is
			The additional appropriate	evidence, such as the origin of
			publicity measures shall be	the works or other subject-
				matter, to suggest that the
			taken in the Member State	awareness of rightholders

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				where the licence is sought. If there is evidence, such as the origin of the works or other subject-matter, to suggest that the awareness of rightholders could be more efficiently raised in other Member States or third countries, such publicity measures shall also cover those Member States and third countries. [Article 7(3) of the COM proposal, amended]	could be more efficiently raised in other Member States or third countries, such publicity measures shall also cover those Member States and third countries. [Article 7(3) of the COM proposal, amended] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018; part in yellow depending on Article 7(1a)]
189.	Art. 9, title	Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue	Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue	Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue	Article 9 Stakeholder dialogue
190.	Art 9	Member States shall ensure a regular dialogue between representative users' and rightholders' organisations, and any other relevant	Member States shall ensure a regular dialogue between representative users' and rightholders' organisations, and any other relevant	Member States shall ensure consult rightholders, collective management organisations and cultural heritage institutions in	GREEN Member States shall_consult rightholders, collective management organisations and

Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	stakeholder organisations, to, on a sector-specific basis, foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in Article 7(1), ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter, notably as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2).	stakeholder organisations, to, on a sector-specific basis, foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in Article 7(1) and the exception referred to in Article 7(1a), ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter, notably as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2).	each sector before establishing specific requirements pursuant to Article 7(2), and encourage a regular dialogue between representative users' and rightholders' organisations, including collective management organisations, and any other relevant stakeholder organisations, to, on a sector-specific basis, to foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in Article 7(1), and to ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter, notably as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2).	cultural heritage institutions in each sector before establishing specific requirements pursuant to Article 7(2), and encourage a regular dialogue between representative users' and rightholders' organisations, including collective management organisations, and any other relevant stakeholder organisations, on a sector-specific basis, to foster the relevance and usability of the licensing mechanisms referred to in Article 7(1) and to ensure the effectiveness of the safeguards for rightholders referred to in this Chapter. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
191.				CHAPTER 1a	CHAPTER 1a
				Measures to facilitate collective licensing	Measures to facilitate collective licensing
192.	Art. 9a, title			<u>Article 9a</u>	<u>Article 9a</u>
				Collective licensing with an extended effect	Collective licensing with an extended effect
					[Article 9a in principle provisionally agreed; final agreement depending on final wording with possible technical clarifications from COM]
193.	Art. 9a, para 1			1. Member States may provide, as far as the use within their national territory is concerned and subject to safeguards provided for in this Article, that when a collective management organisation, in accordance with its mandates from rightholders, enters into a	1. Member States may provide, as far as the use within their national territory is concerned and subject to safeguards provided for in this Article, that when a collective management organisation, in accordance with its mandates from rightholders, enters into a licensing agreement for the exploitation of works or other subject-matter such an

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				licensing agreement for the exploitation of works or other subject-matter such an agreement may be extended to apply to the rights of rightholders who have not authorised the organisation to represent them by way of assignment, licence or any other contractual arrangement; or, with respect to such an agreement, the organisation has a legal mandate or is presumed to represent rightholders who have not authorised the organisation accordingly.	agreement may be extended to apply to the rights of rightholders who have not authorised the organisation to represent them by way of assignment, licence or any other contractual arrangement; or, with respect to such an agreement, the organisation has a legal mandate or is presumed to represent rightholders who have not authorised the organisation accordingly. [see comment in row 192]
194.	Art. 9a, para 2			2. Member States shall ensure that the licensing mechanism referred to in paragraph 1 is only applied within well-defined areas of use where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an	2. Member States shall ensure that the licensing mechanism referred to in paragraph 1 is only applied within well-defined areas of use where obtaining authorisations from rightholders on an individual

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				individual basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction unlikely due to the nature of the use or of the types of works or other subject-matter concerned and that such mechanism safeguards the legitimate interests of rightholders.	basis is typically onerous and impractical to a degree that makes the required licensing transaction unlikely due to the nature of the use or of the types of works or other subject-matter concerned and that such mechanism safeguards the legitimate interests of rightholders. [see comment in row 192]
195.	Art. 9a, para 3			3. The safeguards referred to in paragraph 1 must ensure that:	3. The safeguards referred to in paragraph 1 must ensure that: [see comment in row 192]
196.	Art. 9a, para 3, point (a)			(a) the organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, sufficiently representative of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the	(a) the organisation is, on the basis of mandates from rightholders, sufficiently representative of rightholders in the relevant type of works or other subject-matter and of the rights which are the subject of the licence for the relevant

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				subject of the licence for the relevant Member State;	Member State; [see comment in row 192]
197.	Art. 9a, para 3, point (b)			(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence;	(b) equal treatment is guaranteed to all rightholders in relation to the terms of the licence; [see comment in row 192]
198.	Art. 9a, para 3, point (c)			(c) rightholders who have not authorised the organisation operating the licence may at any time easily and effectively exclude their works or other subject-matter from the licensing mechanism established in accordance with this Article;	(c) rightholders who have not authorised the organisation operating the licence may at any time easily and effectively exclude their works or other subject-matter from the licensing mechanism established in accordance with this Article; [see comment in row 192]
199.	Art. 9a, para 3, point (d)			(d) appropriate publicity measures are taken to raise the awareness of rightholders regarding the possibility for organisations to license	(d) appropriate publicity measures are taken to raise the awareness of rightholders regarding the possibility for organisations to license works or other subject-matter and the

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				works or other subject- matter and the licensing taking place in accordance with this Article, and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in point (c) starting from a reasonable period before the works or other subject-matter are used under the licence. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually.	licensing taking place in accordance with this Article, and the possibilities of rightholders referred to in point (c) starting from a reasonable period before the works or other subject-matter are used under the licence. Publicity measures should be effective without the need to inform each rightholder individually. [see comment in row 192]
200.	Art. 9a, para 4			4. The rules provided for in this Article are without prejudice to the application of collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect in conformity with other provisions of Union law, including those which allow exceptions or limitations, and shall not apply to mandatory	4. The rules provided for in this Article are without prejudice to the application of collective licensing mechanisms with an extended effect in conformity with other provisions of Union law, including those which allow exceptions or limitations, and shall not apply to mandatory collective management of

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				collective management of rights.	rights. [see comment in row 192]
201.	Art. 9a, para 5			5. Where the law of a Member State provides for a licensing mechanism in accordance with this Article, the Member State concerned shall inform the Commission about the scope of that law, purposes and types of licences that may be introduced under that law as well as contact details for organisations issuing licences in accordance with the mechanism in paragraph 1. The Commission shall publish this information.	5. Where the law of a Member State provides for a licensing mechanism in accordance with this Article, the Member State concerned shall inform the Commission about the scope of that law, purposes and types of licences that may be introduced under that law as well as contact details for organisations issuing licences in accordance with the mechanism in paragraph 1. The Commission shall publish this information. [see comment in row 192]
202.	Art. 9a, para 6			6. Based on the information received pursuant to paragraph 5 and on the discussions in the contact committee	6. Based on the information received pursuant to paragraph 5 and on the discussions in the contact committee referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				referred to in Article 12(3) of Directive 2001/29/EC, the Commission shall, by 31 December 2020, submit to the European Parliament and to the Council a report on the use of such mechanisms referred to in paragraph 1 in the EU and their impact on licensing and rightholders. The Commission's report shall be accompanied, if appropriate, by a legislative proposal, including as regards publicity measures, and, where applicable, assist in the establishment of the requirements referred to in the second subparagraph of Article 7(2):the cross- border effect of such national schemes.	2001/29/EC, the Commission shall, by 10 April 2021, submit to the European Parliament and to the Council a report on the use of such mechanisms referred to in paragraph 1 in the EU and their impact on licensing and rightholders. The Commission's report shall be accompanied, if appropriate, by a legislative proposal, including as regards the cross-border effect of such national schemes. [see comment in row 192]
203.		CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of audiovisual works on video-	CHAPTER 2 Access to and availability of

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		on-demand platforms	on-demand platforms	on-demand platforms	audiovisual works on video- on-demand platforms
204.	Art. 10, title	Article 10 Negotiation mechanism	Article 10 Negotiation mechanism	Article 10 Negotiation mechanism	Article 10 Negotiation mechanism
205.	Art. 10, subpara 1	Member States shall ensure that where parties wishing to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms face difficulties relating to the licensing of rights, they may rely on the assistance of an impartial body with relevant experience. That body shall provide assistance with negotiation and help reach agreements.	Member States shall ensure that where parties wishing to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms face difficulties relating to the licensing of audiovisual rights, they may rely on the assistance of an impartial body with relevant experience. That body The impartial body created or designated by the Member State for the purpose of this Article shall provide assistance to the parties with negotiation and help them to reach agreement.	Member States shall ensure that where-parties wishingfacing difficulties related to the licensing of rights when seeking to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms face difficulties relating to the licensing of rights, theyservices, may rely on the assistance of an impartial body with relevant experience. Thator of mediators. The body or mediators shall provide assistance to the parties with negotiation and help them reach agreements, including, where appropriate, by submitting proposals to the	Member States shall ensure that parties facing difficulties related to the licensing of rights when seeking to conclude an agreement for the purpose of making available audiovisual works on video-on-demand services, may rely on the assistance of an impartial body or of mediators. The impartial body created or designated by the Member State for the purpose of this Article or mediators shall provide assistance to the parties with their negotiations and help them reach agreements, including, where appropriate, by submitting proposals to the

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				parties.	parties. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
206.	Art. 10, subpara 2	No later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)] Member States shall notify to the Commission the body referred to in paragraph 1.	No later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)] Member States shall notify to inform the Commission of the body referred to in paragraph 1. they create or designate pursuant to the first paragraph.	No later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)] Member States shall notify to the Commission the body or mediators referred to in paragraph 1 no later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)]. In cases where Member States have chosen to rely on mediation, the notification to the Commission shall at least include, when available, the source where relevant information on the entrusted mediators can be found.	Member States shall notify to the Commission the body or mediators referred to in paragraph 1 no later than [date mentioned in Article 21(1)]. In cases where Member States have chosen to rely on mediation, the notification to the Commission shall at least include, when available, the source where relevant information on the entrusted mediators can be found. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
207.	Art. 10, sub-		To encourage the		GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	para 3		availability of audiovisual works on video-on-demand platforms, Member States shall foster dialogue between representative organisations of authors, producers, video-on-demand platforms and other relevant stakeholders.		[provisionally agreed at Trilogue on 26/11/2018 to insert part of the EP mandate of this row into a recital (not in Article) along the following lines: Member States should encourage dialogue between representative organisations, without it being an obligation for Member States]
208.			CHAPTER 2a Access to Union publications		Deleted [the Presidency's understanding of the outcome of the trilogue on 26/11/2018 is that the EP acepted to remove Article 10a from the Directive]
209.	Art. 10a, title		Article 10 a Union Legal Deposit		Deleted [see comment in row 208]
210.	Art. 10a, para 1		1. Any electronic publication dealing with Union-related matters such as Union law, Union history and integration, Union		Deleted [see comment in row 208]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			policy and Union democracy, institutional and parliamentary affairs, and politics, that is made available to the public in the Union shall be subject to a Union Legal Deposit.		
211.	Art. 10a, para 2		2. The European Parliament Library shall be entitled to delivery, free of charge, of one copy of every publication referred to in paragraph 1.		Deleted [see comment in row 208]
212.	Art. 10a, para 3		3. The obligation set out in paragraph 1 shall apply to publishers, printers and importers of publications for the works they publish, print or import in the Union.		Deleted [see comment in row 208]
213.	Art. 10a, para		4. From the day of the delivery to the European Parliament Library, the publications referred to in paragraph 1 shall become part of the European		Deleted [see comment in row 208]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			Parliament Library permanent collection. They shall be made available to users at the European Parliament Library's premises exclusively for the purpose of research or study by accredited researchers and under the control of the European Parliament Library.		
214.	Art. 10a, para 5		5. The Commission shall adopt acts to specify the modalities relating to the delivery to the European Parliament Library of publications referred to in paragraph 1.		Deleted [see comment in row 208]
215.		TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT	TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT	TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT	TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL-FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT
216.		CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications	CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications	CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications	CHAPTER 1 Rights in publications

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
217.	Art. 11, title	Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning digital uses	Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning digital uses	Article 11 Protection of press publications concerning digitalonline	[To be further discussed at political level; Preparatory work to be done at technical level]
218.	Art. 11, para 1	1. Member States shall provide publishers of press publications with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC for the digital use of their press publications.	1. Member States shall provide publishers of press publications with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC so that they may obtain fair and proportionate remuneration for the digital use of their press publications by information society service providers.	1. Member States shall provide publishers of press publications established in a Member State with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC for the digitalonline use of their press publications by information society service providers.	
219.	Art. 11, para 1, sub-para 2			The rights referred to in the first subparagraph shall not apply in respect of uses of insubstantial parts of a press publication. Member States shall be free to determine the insubstantial nature of	

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				parts of press publications taking into account whether these parts are the expression of the intellectual creation of their authors, or whether these parts are individual words or very short excerpts, or both criteria.	
220.	Art. 11, para 1a		1a. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall not prevent legitimate private and non-commercial use of press publications by individual users.		
221.	Art. 11, para 2	2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. Such rights may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in	2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. Such rights may not be invoked against those authors and other rightholders and, in	2. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall leave intact and shall in no way affect any rights provided for in Union law to authors and other rightholders, in respect of the works and other subject-matter incorporated in a press publication. Such The rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked against those	

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.	particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.	authors and other rightholders and, in particular, may not deprive them of their right to exploit their works and other subject-matter independently from the press publication in which they are incorporated.	
222.	Art. 11, para 2, sub-para 2			When a work or other subject-matter is incorporated in a press publication on the basis of a non-exclusive licence, the rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use by other authorised users. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 may not be invoked to prohibit the use of works or other subject-matter whose protection has expired.	
223.	Art. 11, para		2a. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall not		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	2a		extend to mere hyperlinks which are accompanied by individual words.		
224.	Art. 11, para 3	3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directive 2012/28/EU shall apply <i>mutatis mutandis</i> in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directive 2012/28/EU shall apply mutatis mutandis in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	3. Articles 5 to 8 of Directive 2001/29/EC and Directive 2012/28/EU shall apply <i>mutatis mutandis</i> in respect of the rights referred to in paragraph 1.	
225.	Art. 11, para 4	4. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall expire 20 years after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date of publication.	4. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall expire 20 5 years after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date of publication. The right referred to in paragraph 1 shall not apply with retroactive effect.	4. The rights referred to in paragraph 1 shall expire 20 years 1 year after the publication of the press publication. This term shall be calculated from the first day of January of the year following the date o publication.	
226.	Art. 11, para 4a		4a. Member States shall ensure that authors receive an appropriate share of the additional revenues press publishers receive for the		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			use of a press publication by information society service providers		
227.	Art. 11, para 5			5. Paragraph 1 shall not apply to press publications first published before [entry into force of the Directive].	
228.	Art, 12, title	Article 12 Claims to fair compensation	Article 12 Claims to fair compensation	Article 12 Claims to fair compensation	Article 12 Claims to fair compensation
229.	Art. 12, sub- para 1 (EP)/ Art. 12, introductory part (Council)]	Member States may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to claim a share of the compensation for the uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or licensed right.	Member States with compensation-sharing systems between authors and publishers for exceptions and limitations may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to claim a share of the compensation for the uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or licensed	Member States may provide that where an author has transferred or licensed a right to a publisher, such a transfer or a licence constitutes a sufficient legal basis for the publisher to elaimbe entitled to a share of: [remaining part of this paragraph of the COM proposal was moved to new point (a) (see row 230)]	[discussed at Trilogue 26/ 11/2018; COM to provide recital language to address EP's concerns regarding "cut off date"] [to be further discussed at political level]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			right, provided that an equivalent compensation-sharing system was in operation in that Member State before 12 November 2015.		
230.	Art. 12, point (a)			(a) the compensation for the uses of the work made under an exception or limitation to the transferred or licensed right=; and [See Parliament's subparagraph 1 of Article 12 (row 229)]	
231.	Art. 12, point (b)			(b) the remuneration for public lending provided for in Article 6(1) of Directive 2006/115/EC.	
232.	Art. 12, subpara 2		The first paragraph shall be without prejudice to the arrangements in Member States concerning public lending rights, the management of rights not based on exceptions or		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			limitations to copyright, such as extended collective licensing schemes, or concerning remuneration rights on the basis of national law.		
233.			CHAPTER 1a Protection of sport event organizers		Ithe Presidency's understanding of the outcome of the trilogue on 26/11/2018 is that the EP acepted to remove Article 12a from the Directive]
234.	Art. 12a, title		Article 12a Protection of sport event organizers		Deleted [see comments in row 233]
235.	Art. 12a		Member States shall provide sport event organizers with the rights provided for in Article 2 and Article 3 (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC and Article 7 of Directive 2006/115/EC.		Deleted [see comments in row 233]
236.		CHAPTER 2	CHAPTER 2	CHAPTER 2	CHAPTER 2

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		Certain uses of protected content by online services	Certain uses of protected content by online services	Certain uses of protected content by online services	Certain uses of protected content by online services
237.	Art. 13, title	Article 13 Use of protected content by information society service providers storing and giving access to large amounts of works and other subjectmatter uploaded by their users	Article 13 Use of protected content by information society online content sharing service providers storing and giving access to large amounts of works and other subjectmatter uploaded by their users	Article 13 Use of protected content by information society online content sharing service providers storing	[To be further discussed at political level; Preparatory work started at technical level]
238.	Art. 13, para 1	1. Information society service providers that store and provide to the public access to large amounts of works or other subjectmatter uploaded by their users shall, in cooperation with rightholders, take measures to ensure the functioning of agreements concluded with rightholders for the use of their works or other subject-matter or to prevent the availability on their services of works or other subject-matter	1. Without prejudice to Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive 2001/29/EC, online content sharing Information society service providers that store and provide perform an act of communication to the public access to large amounts of works or other subject- matter uploaded by their users. They shall in cooperation with rightholders, take measures to ensure the functioning of agreements concluded with	1. Member States shall provide that an online content sharing service provider performs an act of communication to the public or an act of making available to the public when it gives the public access to copyright protected works or other protected subject matter uploaded by its users. An online content sharing service provider shall obtain an authorisation	

identified by rightholders through the cooperation with the service providers. Those measures, such as the use of effective content recognition technologies, shall be appropriate and proportionate. The service providers with adequate information on the functioning and the deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject-matter. Interval to the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject-matter. Interval to providers for the use of their works or other subject-matter is provided to provide the service providers through the ecoperation with the service providers. Those measures, such as the use of effective entert recognition technologies, shall be deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject-matter. Interval to prevent the development of the service providers through the service provider shall provide that when an any advantage and provided that when an any advantage and providers shall provide that when an any advantage and the deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject-matter. Iteensing agreements with right the service of effective enter the service providers through the service providers for the subject-matter uploaded by matter, including through the application of measures referred to in paragraph 4. This subject-matter iteensing agreements with right the provide that when an any advantage and the deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject-matter iteensing agreements with right the service of the works and other subject matter iteensing	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
notaers. authorisation has been obtained, including via a licensing agreement, by an		through the cooperation with the service providers. Those measures, such as the use of effective content recognition technologies, shall be appropriate and proportionate. The service providers shall provide rightholders with adequate information on the functioning and the deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject-	their works or other subject- matter or to prevent the availability on their services of works or other subject- matter identified by rightholders through the cooperation with the service providers. Those measures, such as the use of effective content recognition technologies, shall be therefore conclude fair and appropriate and proportionate. The service providers shall provide rightholders with adequate information on the functioning and the deployment of the measures, as well as, when relevant, adequate reporting on the recognition and use of the works and other subject- matter. licensing	referred to in Article 3(1) and giving access to large amounts of(2) of Directive 2001/29/EC in order to communicate or make available to the public works or other subject matter. Where no such authorisation has been obtained, the service provider shall prevent the availability on its service of those works and other subject-matter uploaded by matter, including through the application of measures referred to in paragraph 4. This subparagraph shall apply without prejudice to exceptions and limitations provided for in Union law. Member States shall provide that when an authorisation has been obtained, including via a	

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				online content sharing service provider, this authorisation shall also cover acts of uploading by the users of the service falling within Article 3 of Directive 2001/29/EC when they are not acting on a commercial basis. [Last two sentences of COM proposal were moved to Council's paragraphs 5 and 6 respectively]	
239.	Art. 13, para 2 (EP)		2. Member States shall ensure that the Licensing agreements which are concluded by online content sharing service providers with right holders for the acts of communication referred to in paragraph 1 put in place complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case of disputes over the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 1., shall cover		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			the liability for works uploaded by the users of such online content sharing services in line with the terms and conditions set out in the licensing agreement, provided that such users do not act for commercial purposes. [See Council's paragraph 1,		
240.	Art. 13, para 2a (EP)		2a. Member States shall provide that where right holders do not wish to conclude licensing agreements, online content sharing service providers and right holders shall cooperate in good faith in order to ensure that unauthorised protected works or other subject matter are not available on their services. Cooperation between online content service providers and right holders shall not lead to		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			preventing the availability of non-infringing works or other protected subject matter, including those covered by an exception or limitation to copyright.		
241.	Art. 13, para 2 / para 2b (EP)	2. Member States shall ensure that the service providers referred to in paragraph 1 put in place complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case of disputes over the application of the measures referred to in paragraph 1.	2b. Members States shall ensure that online content sharing service providers referred to in paragraph 1 put in place effective and expeditious complaints and redress mechanisms that are available to users in case the cooperation referred to in paragraph 2a leads to unjustified removals of their content. Any complaint filed under such mechanisms shall be processed without undue delay and be subject to human review. Right holders shall reasonably justify their decisions to avoid arbitrary dismissal of complaints. Moreover, in accordance with Directive	[Paragraph 2 of the COM proposal was moved to new paragraph 7 of Council's text]	

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			95/46/EC, Directive 2002/58/EC and the General Data Protection Regulation, the cooperation shall not lead to any identification of individual users nor the processing of their personal data. Member States shall also ensure that users have access to an independent body for the resolution of disputes as well as to a court or another relevant judicial authority to assert the use of an exception or limitation to copyright rules. [See Council's Article 13(7) (row 252)]		
242.	Art. 13, para 3 (EP)	3. Member States shall facilitate, where appropriate, the cooperation between the information society service providers and rightholders through stakeholder dialogues to define best practices, such as	3. As of [date of entry into force of this directive], the Commission and the Member States shall facilitate where appropriate, the cooperation organise dialogues between the information society service	[See new paragraph 8 of Council's text]	[to be further discussed]

Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	appropriate and proportionate content recognition technologies, taking into account, among others, the nature of the services, the availability of the technologies and their effectiveness in light of technological developments.	providers and rightholders through stakeholder dialogues stakeholders to harmonise and to define best practices, such as appropriate and proportionate content recognition technologies, taking into account, among others, the nature of the services, the availability of the technologies and their effectiveness in light of technological developments. and issue guidance to ensure the functioning of licensing agreements and on cooperation between online content sharing service providers and right holders for the use of their works or other subject matter within the meaning of this Directive. When defining best practices, special account shall be taken of fundamental rights, the use of exceptions		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			and limitations as well as ensuring that the burden on SMEs remains appropriate and that automated blocking of content is avoided.		
243.	Art. 13, para 3 (Council)			3. When an online content sharing service provider performs an act of communication to the public or an act of making available to the public, it shall not be eligible for the exemption of liability provided for in Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC for unauthorised acts of communication to the public and making available to the public, without prejudice to the possible application of Article 14 of Directive 2000/31/EC to those services for purposes other than copyright relevant acts.	[to be further discussed at political level]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
244.	Art. 13, para 4, introductory part			4. In the absence of the authorisation referred to in the second subparagraph of paragraph 1, Member States shall provide that an online content sharing service provider shall not be liable for acts of communication to the public or making available to the public within the meaning of this Article when:	[to be further discussed at political level]
245.	Art. 13, para 4, point (a)			(a) it demonstrates that it has made best efforts to prevent the availability of specific works or other subject matter by implementing effective and proportionate measures, in accordance with paragraph 5, to prevent the availability on its services of the specific works or other subject	[to be further discussed at political level]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				matter identified by rightholders and for which the rightholders have provided the service with relevant and necessary information for the application of these measures; and	
246.	Art. 13, para 4, point (b)			(b) upon notification by rightholders of works or other subject matter, it has acted expeditiously to remove or disable access to these works or other subject matter and it demonstrates that it has made its best efforts to prevent their users future availability through the measures referred to in point (a).	[to be further discussed at political level]
247.	Art. 13, para 5, introductory part			5. The measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4 shall be effective and proportionate, taking into account, among other	[to be further discussed at political level]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				factors:	
248.	Art. 13, para 5, point (a)			(a) the nature and size of the services, in particular whether they are provided by a microenterprise or a small-sized enterprise within the meaning of Title I of the Annex to Commission Recommendation 2003/361/EC, and their audience;	[to be further discussed at political level]
249.	Art. 13, para 5, point (b)			(b) the amount and the type of works or other subject matter uploaded by the users of the services;	[to be further discussed at political level]
250.	Art. 13, para 5, point (c)			(c) the availability and costs of the measures as well as their effectiveness in light of technological developments in line with the industry best practice referred to in paragraph 8.	[to be further discussed at political level]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
251.	Art. 13, para 6			6. Member States shall ensure that online content sharing service providers and rightholders cooperate with each other in a diligent manner to ensure the effective functioning of the measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4 over time. Online content sharing service providers shall provide rightholders, at their request, with adequate information on the deployment and functioning of these measures to allow the assessment of their effectiveness, in particular information on the type of measures used and, where licensing agreements are concluded between service providers and rightholders, information on the use of content covered by the agreements.	[to be further discussed at political level]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
252.	Art. 13, para 7			7Member States shall ensure that the measures referred to in paragraph 4 are implemented by the online content sharing service provider without prejudice to the possibility for their users to benefit from exceptions or limitations to copyright. For that purpose, the service providers referred to in paragraph 1 shall put in place a complaints and redress mechanisms that are is available to users of the service in case of disputes over the application of the measures reffered to in paragraph 1 to their content. Complaints submitted under this mechanism shall be processed by the online content sharing service provider in cooperation with relevant rightholders within a reasonable period	[to be further discussed at political level]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				of time. Rightholders shall duly justify the reasons for their requests to remove or block access to their specific works or other subject matter. Member States shall endeavour to put in place independent bodies to assess complaints related to the application of the measures. [Paragraph 2 of the COM proposal, amended]	
253.	Art. 13, para 8			8. The Commission and the Member States shall encourage stakeholder dialogues to define best practices for the measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4. Member States shall also endeavour to establish mechanisms to facilitate the assessment of the effectiveness and proportionality of these measures and provide the Commission regularly with	[to be further discussed at political level]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				information on those mechanisms. The Commission shall, in consultation with online content sharing service providers, rightholders and other relevant stakeholders and taking into account the results of the stakeholder dialogues and the national mechanisms, issue guidance on the application of the measures referred to in point (a) of paragraph 4. [Paragraph 3 of the COM proposal, reworded]	
254.	Art. 13a, title		Article 13a		
255.	Art. 13a, subpara 1		Member States shall provide that disputes between successors in title and information society services regarding the application of Article 13(1) may be subject to an alternative dispute		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			resolution system.		
256.	Art. 13a, subpara 2		Member States shall establish or designate an impartial body with the necessary expertise, with the aim of helping the parties to settle their disputes under this system.		
257.	Art. 13a, subpara 3		The Member States shall inform the Commission of the establishment of this body no later than (date mentioned in Article 21(1)).		
258.	Art. 13b, title		Article 13b Use of protected content by information society services providing automated image referencing		
259.	Art. 13b		Member States shall ensure that information society service providers that automatically reproduce or refer to significant amounts of copyright-protected		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			visual works and make them available to the public for the purpose of indexing and referencing conclude fair and balanced licensing agreements with any requesting rightholders in order to ensure their fair remuneration. Such remuneration may be managed by the collective management organisation of the rightholders concerned.		
260.				TITLE IV MEASURES TO ACHIEVE A WELL- FUNCTIONING MARKETPLACE FOR COPYRIGHT	
261.		CHAPTER 3 Fair remuneration in contracts of authors and performers	CHAPTER 3 Fair remuneration in contracts of authors and performers	CHAPTER 3 Fair remuneration in exploitation contracts of authors and performers	[to be discussed at political level]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
262.	Art14, title		Article -14 Principle of fair and proportionate remuneration		[Article -14 to be further discussed at political level]
263.	Art14, para 1		1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive fair and proportionate remuneration for the exploitation of their works and other subject matter, including for their online exploitation. This may be achieved in each sector through a combination of agreements, including collective bargaining agreements, and statutory remuneration mechanisms.		
264.	Art14, para 2		2. Paragraph 1 shall not apply where an author or performer grants a non-exclusive usage right for the benefit of all users free of charge.		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
265.	Art14, para 3		3. Member States shall take account of the specificities of each sector in encouraging the proportionate remuneration for rights granted by authors and performers.		
266.	Art14, para 4		4. Contracts shall specify the remuneration applicable to each mode of exploitation.		
267.	Art. 14, title	Article 14 Transparency obligation	Article 14 Transparency obligation	Article 14 Transparency obligation	Article 14 Transparency obligation
268.	Art. 14, para 1	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis and taking into account the specificities of each sector, timely, adequate and sufficient information on the exploitation of their works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights, notably as	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis, not less than once a year, and taking into account the specificities of each sector and the relative importance of each individual contribution, timely adequate and sufficient, accurate, relevant and comprehensive	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis, at least once a year, and taking into account the specificities of each sector, timely, adequate and sufficient information on the exploitation of their works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers receive on a regular basis, at least once a year, and taking into account the specificities of each sector [and the relative importance of each individual contribution], timely, [adequate and sufficient/ up to date, relevant and comprehensive information on the exploitation of their works

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		regards modes of exploitation, revenues generated and remuneration due.	information on the exploitation of their works and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights, notably as regards modes of exploitation, direct and indirect revenues generated, and remuneration due.	their rights or their successors in title, notably as regards modes of exploitation, revenues generated and remuneration due.	and performances from those to whom they have licensed or transferred their rights or their successors in title, notably as regards modes of exploitation, direct and indirect all(*) revenues generated and remuneration due. [(*) subject to explicit reference to 'merchandising' as example of a possible form of covered revenues in the recitals (see recital (40a), row 92, and recital (42), row 95] [yellow part depending agreement on Article 14(3)]
269.	Art. 14, para 1a		1a. Member States shall ensure that where the licensee or transferee of rights of authors and performers subsequently licenses those rights to another party, such party shall share all information referred to in paragraph 1 with the licensee or	1a. Member States shall ensure that where the rights referred to in paragraph 1 have subsequently been licensed to another party, authors and performers may, at their request, receive from those third parties additional information if	[To be further discussed at political level, to be prepared at TM]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			transferee.	their first contractual counterpart does not hold all the information that would be necessary for the purposes of the information provision set out in paragraph 1. Member States may provide that such request to those third parties is made directly by the author or performer or indirectly through the contractual counterpart of the author or the performer.	
270.	Art. 14, para 1a, sub- para 2		The main licensee or transferee shall pass all the information referred to in the first subparagraph on to the author or performer. That information shall be unchanged, except in the case of commercially sensitive information as defined by Union or national law, which, without prejudice to Articles		[to be discussed at Trilogue]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			15 and 16a, may be subject to a non-disclosure agreement, for the purpose of preserving fair competition. Where the main licensee or transferee does not provide the information as referred to in this subparagraph in a timely manner, the author or performer shall be entitled to request that information directly from the sub-licensee. [See Council's Article 14(1a) (row 269)]		
271.	Art. 14, para 2	2. The obligation in paragraph 1 shall be proportionate and effective and shall ensure an appropriate level of transparency in every sector. However, in those cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation would be disproportionate in view of	2. The obligation in paragraph 1 shall be proportionate and effective and shall ensure an appropriate <i>a high</i> level of transparency in every sector. However, in those cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation would be disproportionate in view of	2. The obligation in paragraph 1 shall be proportionate and effective and shall ensure an appropriate level of transparency in every sector. However, in those Member States may provide that in duly justified cases where the administrative burden resulting from the obligation	2. The obligation in paragraph 1 shall be proportionate and effective and shall to ensure an appropriate a high level of transparency in every sector. Member States may provide that in duly justified cases where the administrative burden resulting

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, Member States may adjust the obligation in paragraph 1, provided that the obligation remains effective and ensures an appropriate level of transparency.	the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, Member States may adjust the obligation in paragraph 1, provided that the obligation remains effective and ensures an appropriate a high level of transparency.	in paragraph 1 would bebecome disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, Member States may adjust the obligation in paragraph 1, provided that is limited to the obligation remains effectivetypes and ensures an appropriate-level of transparency.information that can reasonably be expected in such cases.	from the obligation in paragraph 1 would bebecome disproportionate in view of the revenues generated by the exploitation of the work or performance, -the obligation is limited to the types and level of information that can reasonably be expected in such cases. [provisionally agreed at TTrilogue 26/11/2018]
272.	Art. 14, para 3	3. Member States may decide that the obligation in paragraph 1 does not apply when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance.	Deleted	3. Member States may decide that the obligation in paragraph 1 does not apply when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance.	3. [Council preference: Member States may decide that the obligation in paragraph 1 does not apply when the contribution of the author or performer is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance.] [EP compromise proposal: Member States may decide that in the case of authors and performers whose

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					contribution is not significant having regard to the overall work or performance the obligation in paragraph 1 apply only on request of the author or performer.] [to be discussed at political level]
273.	Art. 14, para 3a			3a. Members States may provide that for agreements subject to or based on collective bargaining agreements the transparency rules of the relevant collective bargaining agreement are applicable provided that they meet the minimum criteria laid down in the national provisions adopted in conformity with the requirements of paragraphs 1 to 3.	GREEN 3a. Members States may provide that for agreements subject to or based on collective bargaining agreements the transparency rules of the relevant collective bargaining agreement are applicable provided that they are comparable to the obligations / meet the minimum criteria laid down in the national provisions adopted in conformity with the requirements of paragraphs 1 to 3. [provisionally agreed at

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					rilogue 26/11/2018]
274.	Art. 14, para 4	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to entities subject to the transparency obligations established by Directive 2014/26/EU.	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to entities subject to the transparency obligations established by Directive 2014/26/EU or to collective bargaining agreements, where those obligations or agreements provide for transparency requirements comparable to those referred to in paragraph 2.	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to <u>agreements</u> concluded by entities subject to the transparency obligations established by defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU-or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.	4. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to a Agreements concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU shall not be subject to the obligation laid down in paragraph 1 when Article 18 of Directive 2014/26/EU is applicable. [aim of the paragraph provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018/; actual text provisionally agreed at TM 29/11/2018, together with wording of recital 41, row 94 tbc at trilogue]
275.	Art. 15, title	Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism	Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism	Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism	Article 15 Contract adjustment mechanism

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
276.	Art. 15, [para 1 (Council)]	Member States shall ensure that authors and performers are entitled to request additional, appropriate remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights when the remuneration originally agreed is disproportionately low compared to the subsequent relevant revenues and benefits derived from the exploitation of the works or performances.	Member States shall ensure, in the absence of collective bargaining agreements providing for a comparable mechanism, that authors and performers or any representative organisation acting on their behalf are entitled to request claim additional, appropriate and fair remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights when the remuneration originally agreed is disproportionately low compared to the subsequent relevant direct or indirect revenues and benefits derived from the exploitation of the works or performances. [See Council's Article 15(1a) (row277)]	1. Member States shall ensure that authors and performers are entitled to request additional, appropriate remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights or their successors in title, when the remuneration originally agreed isturns out to be disproportionately low compared to the subsequent relevant revenues and benefits derived from the actual exploitation of the works or performances.	1. Member States shall ensure, in the absence of an applicable collective bargaining agreement providing for a comparable mechanism, that authors and performers_or any [representatives] [representative organisation acting [at the specific request on behalf] of one or more authors or performers] are entitled to claim additional, appropriate and fair remuneration from the party with whom they entered into a contract for the exploitation of the rights or their successors in title, when the remuneration originally agreed turns out to be disproportionately low compared to all the subsequent relevant [direct or indirect] revenues and benefits derived from the exploitation of the works or performances. [to be discussed further at

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					political level; Deletion of 'direct or indirect' provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018: see comment on Article 14(1), row 268]
277.	Art. 15, para 1a			1a. Members States may provide that for agreements subject to or based on collective bargaining agreements the rules of the relevant collective bargaining agreement for the adjustment of remuneration are applicable instead of the national provisions implementing the contract adjustment mechanism.	[Article 15(1a) Council's text deleted/incorporated into paragraph 1] [provisionally agreed at TM tbc by trilogue]
278.	Art. 15, para 2			2. Paragraph 1 shall not be applicable to agreements concluded by entities defined in Article 3(a) and (b) of Directive 2014/26/EU or by other	[to be discussed at trilogue]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
				entities subject to the national rules implementing Directive 2014/26/EU.	
279.	Art. 16, title	Article 16 Dispute resolution mechanism	Article 16 Dispute resolution mechanism	Article 16 Dispute resolution mechanismprocedure	GREEN Article 16 Dispute resolution procedure [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
280.	Art. 16, [para 1 (Council)]	Member States shall provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure.	Member States shall provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure. Member States shall ensure that representative organisations of authors and performers may initiate such procedures at the request of one or more	1. Member States shall provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure.	GREEN Member States shall provide that disputes concerning the transparency obligation under Article 14 and the contract adjustment mechanism under Article 15 may be submitted to a voluntary, alternative dispute resolution procedure. Member States shall ensure that representative organisations of authors and performers may initiate such procedures at the specific request of one

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
			authors and performers. [See Council's Article 16(2) (row 281)]		or more authors and performers. [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
281.	Art. 16, para 2		[See Parliament's Article 16 last phrase (row 280)]	2. Member States shall ensure that representative organisations of authors and performers, including collective management organisations, may initiate such disputes on behalf of one or more authors and performers at their request.	[Article 16(2) Council's text deleted/incorporated into paragraph 1] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
282.	Art. 16a (Council), title			Article 16a Contractual provisions	GREEN Article 16a Contractual Common provisions [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
283.	Art. 16a (Council)			Member States shall ensure that any contractual provision which prevents the compliance with the provisions in Articles 14 and 15 of this Directive shall be unenforceable in relation to authors and performers.	Member States shall ensure that any contractual provision which prevents the compliance with the provisions in Articles 14 and 15 of this Chapter(*) Directive shall be unenforceable in relation to authors and performers. [(*) 'Chapter' refers to Articles 14,15 and 16, ie the provisions of the initial Commission proposal] [provisionally agreed at Trilogue 26/11/2018]
284.	Art. 16a (EP), title		Article 16 a Right of revocation		[Article 16a to be further discussed at political level; Preparatory work to be done at technical level]
285.	Art. 16a (EP),		1. Member States shall ensure that where an author or a performer has licensed		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	para 1		or transferred her or his rights concerning a work or other protected subjectmatter on an exclusive basis, the author or performer has a right of revocation where there is an absence of exploitation of the work or other protected subject matter or where there is a continuous lack of regular reporting in accordance with Article 14. Member States may provide for specific provisions taking into account the specificities of different sectors and works and anticipated exploitation period, notably provide for time limits for the right of revocation.		
286.	Art. 16a (EP), para 2		2. The right of revocation provided for in paragraph 1 may be exercised only after a reasonable time from the conclusion of the licence or		

Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		transfer agreement, and only upon written notification setting an appropriate deadline by which the exploitation of the licensed or transferred rights is to take place. After the expiration of that deadline, the author or performer may choose to terminate the exclusivity of the contract instead of revoking the rights. Where a work or other subjectmatter contains the contribution of a plurality of authors or performers, the exercise of the individual right of revocation of such authors or performers shall be regulated by national law, laying down the rules on the right of revocation for collective works, taking into account the relative importance of the individual contributions.		

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
287.	Art. 16a (EP), para 3		3. Paragraphs 1 and 2 shall not apply if the non-exercise of the rights is predominantly due to circumstances which the author or the performer can be reasonably expected to remedy.		
288.	Art. 16a (EP), para 4		4. Contractual or other arrangements derogating from the right of revocation shall be lawful only if concluded by means of an agreement which is based on a collective bargaining agreement.		
289.		TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS	TITLE V FINAL PROVISIONS
290.	Art. 17, title	Article 17 Amendments to other directives	Article 17 Amendments to other directives	Article 17 Amendments to other directives	Article 17 Amendments to other directives
291.	Art. 17, para	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:	1. Directive 96/9/EC is amended as follows:

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
292.	Art. 17, para 1, point (a)	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 6(2), point (b) is replaced by the following:
293.	Art. 17, para 1, point (a)	"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];"	"(b) where there is use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];" [provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]
294.	Art. 17, para 1, point (b)	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 9, point (b) is replaced by the following:
295.	Art. 17, para	"(b) in the case of extraction for the	"(b) in the case of extraction for the	"(b) in the case of extraction for the	GREEN

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
	1, point (b)	purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in <u>Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive]</u>;"	"(b) in the case of extraction for the purposes of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source is indicated and to the extent justified by the non-commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in <i>Articles 3 and 4</i> of Directive [this Directive];" [provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]
296.	Art. 17, para	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:	2. Directive 2001/29/EC is amended as follows:
297.	Art. 17, para 2, point (a)	(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:	(a) In Article 5(2), point (c) is replaced by the following:
298.	Art. 17, para 2, point (a)	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible libraries, educational	"(c) in respect of specific acts of reproduction made by publicly accessible

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitationexception provided for in Article 5 of Directive [this Directive];"	libraries, educational establishments or museums, or by archives, which are not for direct or indirect economic or commercial advantage, without prejudice to the exception and the limitations provided for in <i>Article 5 of</i> Directive [this Directive];" [provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]
299.	Art. 17, para 2, point (b)	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:	(b) In Article 5(3), point (a) is replaced by the following:
300.	Art. 17, para 2, point (b)	"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the non- commercial purpose to be	"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the non- commercial purpose to be	"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent justified by the non-commercial	"(a) use for the sole purpose of illustration for teaching or scientific research, as long as the source, including the author's name, is indicated, unless this turns out to be impossible and to the extent

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Directive [this Directive];"	purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];"	justified by the non- commercial purpose to be achieved, without prejudice to the exceptions and the limitation provided for in Articles 3 and 4 of Directive [this Directive];" [provisionally agreed at technical meeting of 13.11.2018]
301.	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:	(c) In Article 12(4), the following points are added:
302.	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;	"(e) to examine the impact of the transposition of Directive [this Directive] on the functioning of the internal market and to highlight any transposition difficulties;
303.	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the practical application of	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the practical application of the measures	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the practical	(f) to facilitate the exchange of information on the relevant developments in legislation and case law as well as on the practical application of the measures taken by Member

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	application of the measures taken by Member States to implement Directive [this Directive];	States to implement Directive [this Directive];
304.	Art. 17, para 2, point (c)	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."	(g) to discuss any other questions arising from the application of Directive [this Directive]."
305.	Art. 17a, title		Article 17 a		GREEN
					Article 17 a
306.	Art. 17a		Member States may adopt or maintain in force broader provisions, compatible with the exceptions and limitations existing in Union law, for uses covered by the exceptions or the limitation provided for in this Directive.		GREEN Member States may adopt or maintain in force broader provisions, compatible with the exceptions and limitations set out in Directives 96/9/EC and 2001/29/EC, for uses or fields covered by the exceptions or limitations provided for in this Directive and on condition that their application does not adversely affect nor circumvent the

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
					mandatory rules set out in this Directive. [provisional agreement at trilogue 25/10/2018 /26/11/2018; a recital should clarify that Article 17a does not allow MS to not implement the mandatory exceptions under this Directive]
307.	Art. 18	Article 18 Application in time			
308.	Art. 18, para 1	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	1. This Directive shall apply in respect of all works and other subject-matter which are protected by the Member States' legislation in the field of copyright on or after [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].
309.	Art. 18, para 2	2. The provisions of Article 11 shall also apply to press publications published before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Deleted	2. [Deleted]	(Deleted)

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
310.	Art. 18, para 3	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	3. This Directive shall apply without prejudice to any acts concluded and rights acquired before [the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].
311.	Art. 19, title	Article 19 Transitional provision	Article 19 Transitional provision	Article 19 Transitional provision	Article 19 Transitional provision
312.	Art. 19	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].	Agreements for the licence or transfer of rights of authors and performers shall be subject to the transparency obligation in Article 14 as from [one year after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)].
313.	Art. 20, title	Article 20 Protection of personal data			
314.	Art. 20	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.	The processing of personal data carried out within the framework of this Directive shall be carried out in compliance with Directives 95/46/EC and 2002/58/EC.

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
315.	Art. 21, title	Article 21 Transposition	Article 21 Transposition	Article 21 Transposition	Article 21 Transposition
316.	Art. 21, para 1	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [12 months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [12 months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [1224] months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by [12]24] months after entry into force] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions.
317.	Art. 21, para 1, sub-para 2	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.	When Member States adopt those provisions, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made.
318.	Art. 21, para 2	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the	2. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.	main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.	main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.	main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive.
319.	Art. 22, title	Article 22 Review	Article 22 Review	Article 22 Review	Article 22 Review
320.	Art. 22, para 1	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.	1. No sooner than [five years after the date mentioned in Article 21(1)], the Commission shall carry out a review of this Directive and present a report on the main findings to the European Parliament, the Council and the European Economic and Social Committee.
321.	Art. 22, para 2	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.	2. Member States shall provide the Commission with the necessary information for the preparation of the report referred to in paragraph 1.
322.	Art. 23, title	Article 23	Article 23	Article 23	Article 23

	Location	COMMISSION PROPOSAL COM(2016)593	EP TEXT	COUNCIL TEXT doc. 9134/18	POSSIBLE COMPROMISE SOLUTION
		Entry into force	Entry into force	Entry into force	Entry into force
323.	Art. 23	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .	This Directive shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the <i>Official Journal of the European Union</i> .
324.	Art. 24, title	Article 24 Addressees	Article 24 Addressees	Article 24 Addressees	Article 24 Addressees
325.	Art. 24	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States.