

**From:** [Art 4.1(b)] (TRADE)

Sent: Thursday, September 06, 2018 5:44 PM

**To:** [Art 4.1(b)] (TRADE); [Art 4.1(b)] (TRADE); [Art 4.1(b)] (TRADE)

Cc: [Art 4.1(b)] (TRADE); [Art 4.1(b)] (TRADE); VERLY Johan (CAB-MALMSTROM);

BURGSMUELLER Christian (CAB-MALMSTROM)

**Subject:** FLASH: meeting HoC Mia Asenius and Eli Lilly [Art 4.1(b)]

Today Head of Cabinet Ms Åsenius met the  $^{[Art}_{4.1(b)]}$  of Eli Lilly (a multinational pharmaceutical company) Mr  $^{[Art \, 4.1(b)]}$  (  $^{[Art \, 4.1(b)]}$ 

The main subject of the meeting was the EU-US trade relations, with a specific focus on the intellectual property (IP). Overall, the pharma industry is one of the main advocates for a stronger EU-US cooperation.

[Art 4.1(a)]

Mr<sub>[Art 4.1(b)</sub>highlighted that the pharma industry has one main interest in trade agreements – a strong IP chapter. Therefore, an EU-US agreement should also have such a chapter. Ms Åsenius explained that it is not foreseen to re-start TTIP negotiations and an agreement of more restricted scope would have more chances of being agreed.

The rest of the document is redacted as out of scope

[Art 4.1(b)]

## << OLE Object: Picture (Device Independent Bitmap) >>

## **European Commission**

Directorate General Trade
Unit B3 Intellectual Property and Public Procurement
1049 Brussels, Belgium

office: CHAR<sup>[Art 4.1</sup>(b)].: +32-2-2 [Art 4.1(b)] e-mail: [Art 4.1(b)] @ec.europa.eu

The views expressed in this e-mail are my own and may not, under any circumstances, be interpreted as stating an official position of the European Commission.