

MINISTRY OF  
THE MARITIME  
ECONOMY AND INLAND WATERWAYS

FISHERIES DEPARTMENT  
DR.RM.027.3.2019AW

Warsaw, 01.2019

Mrs  
Veronika VEITS  
Directorate-General  
DS.Marine and Fisheries  
The European Commission

Szanowne Pani Dyrektor!

With reference to the letter of 11 December 2018 on the annual report on the implementation of the landing obligation referred to in Article 1514 of Regulation (EU) No 1380/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Common Fisheries Policy, amending Council Regulation (EC) No 1954/2003 and (EC) No 1224/2009 and repealing Council Regulation (EC) No 2371/2002 and (EC) No 639/2004 and Council Decision 2004/585/EC, attached the completed questionnaire on the implementation of the landing obligation.

2 powiazanem

No PCA-Pm c-Ki-0a ft —  
Dgpartar ^ Mtfriip



Tomasz Telegzkiewicz



## QUESTIONNAIRE TO MS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GRANTING OBLIGATION

### Steps by Member States and producer organisations to apply with the landing obligation

**1. Have you agreed, supported, supported, supported in or during implementation to the other? Yes/no**

**Please specify the measures taken or studies.**

Lack of information

**2. Inserted segments/fisheries for these measures and/or studies apply to?**

No information — question related to question 1

**3. What has the state of these measures in the space segment/fisheries are applicable? Please give the number and proportion of vessels in the segment/fishery.**

No information — question I question I

**4. Have your quota management system to implement the related obligation? Yes/no Please specify note changes.**

The Sea Fisheries Act of 19 December 2014 (Journal of Laws 2018, item 514 as amended), in Article 44 (1).3 a possibility has been provided for the removal by the Minister of Fisheries of the total fishing quota of the species of marine organism concerned of the quota to be earmarked for securing possible overfishing of the total fishing quota of that species.

The amount of the fishing quota for discards was set aside in Section 13 of the Regulation of the Minister for Agriculture and Rural Development of 22 September 2015 on the detailed method of allocating total quotas and additional fishing quotas (Journal of Laws of 2015, item 1486 as amended).

In accordance with the above Article 13 of the Regulation, fixes the amount of the fishing quota for each species of marine organisms intended to secure the possible overrun of the overall fishing quota of the species concerned, as referred to in Article 44 (1).3 of the Act for the following species of marine organisms:

- 1) COD — 2 % of the overall cod quota;
- 2) salmon — 2 % of the total quota for salmon;
- 3) Western herring: 2 % from the overall quota of western herring;
- 4) central herring — 1 % of the total quota of central herring;
- 5) sprat: 1 % from the overall quota for sprat;
- 6) plaice — 1 % of the plaice quota.

**5. For stocks managed through catch limits, have you engaged a national patient issues? Yes/no Please do full details.**

No

**6. Have you sought to the feeding obligation? in the development of regional joint recommendations? Yes/no Please give details of ash purses.**

No derogations to the landing obligation apply

**7. What studies or evidence have received or produced in order to support it.**

Question related to question 6.

**8. What shall be sure the amount allowed in the delegated act?**

Question related to question 6

**9. What has been the utilisation of granted de minimis exemptions in the fleet/fishery to have the applies? Please provide the total weight for segment/fishery to be incorporated under this exemption.**

Question related to question 6

**10. Have your vessels utilised the provision will be given by means of vision so that they can be given by means of predators? Yes/no**

No

**Please provide the total weight of interests blended for segment/fishery contour.**

Masters of fishing vessels do not report their discards resulting from damage caused by predators

**11. For stocks managed to catch limits, did you use of the provisions for annual or inter-species flexibility? Yes/no**

Lack of information

Please identify an additional flexibility (or Flexities) used for the stocks concerned.

**12. In the development of joint recommendations, has consultation with Advisory Councils and other relevant stakeholders take place? Yes/no**

**Please conclude the process of consultation with Advisory Councils.**

No

Please finish the process of consultation with other relevant if relevant.

**13. Following the adoption of the delegated act in the framework of the act? Yes/no  
Please finish the process of placing stakeholders understand the obligations that will apply to it.**

In the case of shipowners who have a quota of each species covered by the landing obligation, the landing obligation shall be carried out within that quota.

However, in the case of shipowners who do not have a quota of a given species which are covered by the landing obligation, the landing obligation is carried out within the limits of Section 13 of the Regulation of the Minister for Agriculture and Rural Development of 22 September 2015 on the detailed breakdown of the overall fishing quotas and the additional quotas

**14. Are any other controls not covered by the questionnaire effect compliance with the provisions of the landing obligation? Yes/no Please specify the measures taken.**

No

**15. Inserted segments/fisheries to these studies/pilots apply to?**

**16. What has the state of these measures in the space segments/fisheries are applicable? Please give the number and proportion of vessels in the segment/fishery.**

Measures taken to prevent overfishing of the species covered by the landing obligation

**Steps taken by Member States reporting control of compliance with the landing obligation**

**17. Has information been by Member States administrative and control agencies?Yes/no**

Yes

In hat format has this information taken:

- Initiatives Directed to Fisher to offer Compliance *YES*
- Guidelines on the application of the landing obligation, etc.
- Other

Information in the inspection boards on notice boards to instruct and inform fishermen of the landing obligation

**18. Live guidelines, provided by Member States administration and control agencies for inspections?Yes/no**

Yes

In hat format has this information taken:

- Delivery of guidelines for inspections on the effective and application of the landing obligation.

Yes

- Seminars and Radines organised for presentation of the guidelines to inspections at national and regional level.

Yes

**19. Live new control and monitoring tools before Member States?Yes/no Yes**

Please use information on:

- Control tools used in the context of holding obligation, i.e. YEC, operational systems (aial surveillance, inspections at sea), Fleets, etc.

Control at sea

- Path towards implementation of new tools, including electronic monitoring means, etc.
- Recording of the catch “Hall for the hot spot”

**20. Have the Member State administrations and control authorities monitor Minimum Conservation Reference Size (MCRS) catches at and after landing?Yes/no**

Tax- mainly during the control of landings.

Please use information on:

- Total number of yards from 2013 to 2016

During the control of landings and controls at sea, discard data are not collected by inspectors.

- Initiatives take to take to risk under MCRS catches from being subject to commercial exchanges (etc.).

Prior notifications of return to port, checks in the case of checks at sea on the MCRS

- Measures to monitor stocks at fish fish markets/cars adopted.

Re-inspection at landing

**21. Has control and monitoring due to risk assessment?Yes/no**

Yes

Please complete information on the risk assessment tools used in cooperation with the EFCA.

Inspection results are achieved in the last haul 'last haul'.

**22. Has the last considered to be committed by the EFCA as a tool for monitoring the implementation of the landing obligation and it is a concern for monitoring the implementation of the landing obligation? Yes/no**

Yes

Please give details of the fisheries covered and the extent of sampling.

In Subarea 26, fishing for the OTB/OTM/GNS/LLS is covered for the landing obligation species. The last haul tests are also taken into account: in the case of towed gears, the total observed haul is sampled, for passive gears usually sampling shall be subject to min. 1 max. 3 sets (approximately 10 nets in a set)

**Information on the socio-economic impact of the granting obligation**

**23. Using the bridge bridge, provide information on the social- economics impacts on:**

- The catching sector
- Upstream businesses
- Processors
- Consumption and markets
- Costs for Member States

The landing obligation may have an impact on the fish market, depending on which other measures will be adopted in order to achieve MSY. The landing obligation does not reduce mortality therefore, different approaches to reducing fishing mortality may have a different impact on socioeconomic factors. This may, for example, have an impact on a certain increase in raw material prices, which will naturally be translated into processors and consumers.

**Information on the effect of the landing obligation on board leakage**

**24. Have there been any reports of overbooking? Yes/no**

There are reports of such cases but lack of detail.

Please specify the number and nature of authentic instruments.

Can you quantify the six in terms of:

- Number of deaths or serious injuries — BRAKDATA
- ◆ No of vessels involved as a% of the specific fleet — BRAK

**25. Have there been any reports of overbooking. Yes/no**

Lack of information

Please specify the number and nature of authentic instruments.

**26. Do not include any reports on board? Yes/no**

No such cases were reported.

Please specify the number and nature of accession or transactions.

**27. Has any national legislation addressing the safety "on board leakage?" Yes/no**

Lack of information

Please provide details of this legislation.

**28. Live operations on safety and Article 6 (Health and safety) of EMFF or Article 32 (Health and safety) of EMFF or Article (Health and safety) of EMFF or Article 3 (Health and safety) of EMFF matter?Yes/no**

**If es, please specify the number of projects involved and the nature of the measures taken.**

**If no, have measures taken under the EMFF?**

There have been two calls for funding under this article, one of which is still ongoing.162 part-financing agreements were signed. The investments made under this provision are certainly indirectly affected by the landing obligation.

**Information on the use and outcomes to the minimum conservation reference of a species**

**29. What have been the main reports**

The quantities of MCRS are mainly intended for the production of fish meal.

Can you quantifa such catch by species in terms of volumes, pnce per tonne and associated costs for the different outcomes?

No data

**30. Have you carried out the potential uses for dried catches?Yes/no**

**Please provide details of top studies or pilot projects.**

**Information on infrastructures and installations**

**31. Live you provided under Article 38 of the EMFF for modifications on board?Yes/no**  
**Please specify the number, the nature and total amount of invested in general projects.**

Currently, the Intermediate Body (ARIMR) is in the course of verifying the applications for funding submitted under this action. There were 349 applications for funding exceeding PLN 20 million, of which more than 60 contracts were signed for a total amount of about PLN 2,7 million. The operations concerned the use of selective gears.

**32. Have you sought under under Article 43 of the EMFF for investment in the infrastructure of fishing,Yes/No Please specify the number, nature and total amount**

The recruitment of applications for funding for this activity took place in 2018, under which 7 contracts were signed for an amount of more than PLN 13 million.

**33. 68 and 69 of the EMFF for investment in marketing measures and the processing of products and aquaculture products?Yes/no**

**Please specify the number, the nature and total amount of invested in general projects.**

As part of the operations concerning the marketing and processing of fishery and aquaculture products, several calls have been made in the following areas:

- the establishment of recognised fish producer organisations,
- bringing new products to the market and finding new audiences;
- to obtain a certificate of sustainable fishery products,
- the development of guides to good practice for hygiene,
- the organisation of promotion campaigns,
- the processing of fishery and aquaculture products.

166 funding applications have been submitted for these operations. It is not possible to indicate the exact amount involved for the projects in question due to the fact that some operations are still at the stage of the evaluation of the funding application and the part of the operation is in the implementation

phase. According to data at the end of November 2018, payments were made for 34 operations for an amount of more than PLN 20 million.

## **Information on the protection obligation and recommendations to address Parliament**

### **34. Please provide information on the following:**

Operational disparities, dried as:

- *Avoi (lanul aiul/or selectivity iificefficient to a\ OID not linked catches*
- Handling. stoke and processing of adjustable catches
- Rock of funding is fishing Gears, vessels or port infrastructure

Greater links to monitoring, control and enforcement, drought as:

- Lack of understatement or awareness of the rules
- Assets implementing and monitoring de minimis or high living conditions
- Adaptation of ERS systems
- Referral to the observers

Difficulties in fully drowning fishing opportunities, supra as:

- Problem re-weighting quota to cover catches previously notes
- Problems with the keeping or availability of quota swaps
- Fishes bearing to vulnerable due to choke problem

### **Questions concerning control and enforcement, added in the questionnaire in 2017**

### **35. How is the 'effective control and enforcement of the landing obligation at sea'.**

Lack of information

### **36. How to deal with the ground obligation, 've been investigated at sea and at fishing'?**

No infringement was detected in relation to the landing obligation.

In cases of confirmed inflation, please indicate the circuits of the offenders and the penalties applied.



