

Case Study on Legislative Transparency

Cover Note

- This is an invented case, an example illustrating the *life* of a file in documents, with different requests for access to documents received by the GSC and the action taken thereon.
- The numbers are therefore fictitious; the actions taken on the requests are based on real courses of action that can be and have been taken by the GSC.
- The determination of whether a document should be made into an ST PUBLIC, ST LIMITE or WK (necessarily LIMITE) document requires the analysis of the content of the underlying document.
- An analysis of the content of the underlying documents would also be able to determine why, for example, certain contributions from delegations were given access to whilst others were refused. In this sense, it should be noted that contributions from delegations do not constitute third party documents and the GSC can grant access to them, where deemed adequate, without consulting the originating delegation(s).

- DELETED