Subject: Your application for access to documents – Ref GestDem No 2019/3531

Dear Sir,

We refer to your e-mail dated 18/06/2019 in which you make a request for access to documents, registered on 18/06/2019 under the above-mentioned reference number.

You request access to “any document generated or received by the European Commission which refers to the ‘Contrat de subvention FED/2013/332-377’, including, but not limited to: any documentation provided by World Wildlife Fund (WWF) to the Commission to demonstrate improvements/developments in the actions and goals of the project; all documentation provided by WWF as ‘sources et moyens de vérifications’ of the expected results of the project, mentioned in the logical framework, like the agreements signed between WWF and any mining company in as well as meetings minutes and WWF reports about WWF’s support for anti-poaching activities (activités LAB); any evaluation carried on by the European Commission related to this project; documents relating to the activities of the special unit the project is funding in Cameroun; documents relating to the group of ‘enquêteurs professionnels’ that WWF recruited”.

We have identified the following documents that fall within the scope of your request:

- Contract «EDF/2013/332-377» for the project « Conservation des éléphants dans le paysage tri-national Dja-Odiala-Minkebe »;
- Rider to the same contract, February 2015;
- Intermediate technical report of the project EDF/2013/332-377, January 2015;
- Final narrative report of the project EDF/2013/332-377, 1 January - 16 December 2015.
Having examined the documents requested under the provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 regarding public access to documents, we have come to the conclusion that they may be partially disclosed. Some parts of the documents have been blanked out as their disclosure is prevented by exceptions to the right of access laid down in Article 4 of this Regulation.

Pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, access to a document has to be refused if its disclosure would undermine the protection of privacy and the integrity of the individual, in particular in accordance with European Union legislation regarding the protection of personal data.

The applicable legislation in this field is Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC1 (‘Regulation 2018/1725’).

The documents to which you request access contain personal data, in particular names, functions and contact details of natural persons. Moreover, some of the documents contain data such as bank account details and salary information, as well as biometric data such as handwritten signatures and initials.

Indeed, Article 3(1) of Regulation 2018/1725 provides that personal data ‘means any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person […]’. The Court of Justice has specified that any information, which by reason of its content, purpose or effect, is linked to a particular person is to be considered as personal data.\(^1\)

Please note in this respect that the names, signatures, functions, telephone numbers and/or initials pertaining to staff members of an institution are to be considered personal data.\(^3\)

In its judgment in Case C-28/08 P (Bavarian Lager)\(^4\), the Court of Justice ruled that when a request is made for access to documents containing personal data, the Data Protection Regulation becomes fully applicable\(^5\).

Pursuant to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, ‘personal data shall only be transmitted to recipients established in the Union other than Union institutions and bodies if ‘[i]t the recipient establishes that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest and the controller, where there is any reason to assume that the data subject’s legitimate interests might be prejudiced, establishes that it is proportionate to transmit the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests’.

Only if these conditions are fulfilled and the processing constitutes lawful processing in accordance with the requirements of Article 5 of Regulation 2018/1725, can the transmission of personal data occur.

---

5 Whereas this judgment specifically related to Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data, the principles set out therein are also applicable under the new data protection regime established by Regulation 2018/1725.
According to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, the European Commission has to examine the further conditions for a lawful processing of personal data only if the first condition is fulfilled, namely if the recipient has established that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. It is only in this case that the European Commission has to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject’s legitimate interests might be prejudiced and, in the affirmative, establish the proportionality of the transmission of the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests.

In your request, you do not put forward any arguments to establish the necessity to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. Therefore, the European Commission does not have to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject’s legitimate interests might be prejudiced.

Notwithstanding the above, please note that there are reasons to assume that the legitimate interests of the data subjects concerned would be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data reflected in the documents, as there is a real and non-hypothetical risk that such public disclosure would harm their privacy and subject them to unsolicited external contacts. As to the handwritten signatures and initials, which are biometric data, there is a risk that their disclosure would prejudice the legitimate interests of the persons concerned.

Consequently, we conclude that, pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, access cannot be granted to the personal data, as the need to obtain access thereto for a purpose in the public interest has not been substantiated and there is no reason to think that the legitimate interests of the individuals concerned would not be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data concerned.

In accordance with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, you are entitled to make a confirmatory application requesting the Commission to review this position.

Such a confirmatory application should be addressed within 15 working days upon receipt of this letter to the Secretary-General of the Commission at the following address:

European Commission
Secretariat-General
Transparency, Document Management & Access to Documents (SG.C.1)
BERL 7/076
B-1049 Bruxelles
or by email to: sg-acc-doc@ec.europa.eu

Yours faithfully,

Francesca DI MAURO

Signed by electronic means on 10/07/2019 19:30 (UTC+02) in accordance with Article 4.2 (Validity of electronic documents) of the decision 2004/563 of the Commission