

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

SECRETARIAT-GENERAL

Directorate F - Citizens, Health, Migration & Security Union The Director

Brussels SG.F.4/RG

Mr Daan Marselis De Coöperatie T.a.v. The Investigative Desk Gaasterlandstraat 3-5 1079 RH Amsterdam Netherland

By email to: ask+request-8451-7676691d@asktheeu.org

Dear Mr Marselis,

I refer to your e-mail of 13 August 2020, registered on the same day under the reference number above, in which you make a request for access to documents in relation to four videoconferences held by the Commission President. In particular, you have requested:

"All documentation (including but not limited to all email correspondence, attendance lists, agendas, background papers, transcripts, recordings and minutes/notes) relating to the meetings/video conferences listed below:

- 1. Between Ursula von der Leyen and the CEO of Global Citizen on June 29, 2020
- 2. Between Ursula von der Leyen and CEO of Amgen and CEO of Teneo on June 12, 2020
- 3. Between Ursula von der Leyen and the CEO of Global Citizen on June 12, 2020
- 4. Between Ursula von der Leyen and CEO Global Citizen on May 24, 2020."

Four documents have been identified as falling under the scope of your request, namely minutes of these video calls. I have the pleasure to inform you that full access can be granted to the minutes of the call of 12 June 2020 with Global Citizen Board members as well as to the minutes of the call with Global Citizen of 29 June 2020. A very wide partial access can be granted to the remaining two documents. The reasons for this decision are set out below.

Protection of personal data

The two documents to which partial access is being granted contain personal data, in particular names of the persons concerned.

Pursuant to Article 4(1) (b) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, access to a document has to be refused if its disclosure would undermine the protection of privacy and the integrity of the individual, in particular in accordance with Community legislation regarding the protection of personal data.

The applicable legislation in this field is Regulation (EC) No 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC1 ('Regulation 2018/1725').

Article 3(1) of Regulation 2018/1725 provides that personal data 'means any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person [...]'. The Court of Justice has specified that any information, which by reason of its content, purpose or effect, is linked to a particular person is to be considered as personal data.²

In its judgment in Case C-28/08 P (*Bavarian Lager*)³, the Court of Justice ruled that when a request is made for access to documents containing personal data, the Data Protection Regulation becomes fully applicable.⁴ Pursuant to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, 'personal data shall only be transmitted to recipients established in the Union other than Union institutions and bodies if '[t]he recipient establishes that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest and the controller, where there is any reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced, establishes that it is proportionate to transmit the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests'.

Only if these conditions are fulfilled and the processing constitutes lawful processing in accordance with the requirements of Article 5 of Regulation 2018/1725, can the transmission of personal data occur.

According to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, the European Commission has to examine the further conditions for a lawful processing of personal data only if the first condition is fulfilled, namely if the recipient has established that it is necessary to have the personal data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. It is only in this case that the European Commission has to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced and, in the affirmative, establish the proportionality of the transmission of the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests.

-

¹ Official Journal L 205 of 21.11.2018, p. 39.

Judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 20 December 2017 in Case C-434/16, *Peter Nowak v Data Protection Commissioner*, request for a preliminary ruling, paragraphs 33-35, ECLI:EU:C:2017:994.

Judgment of 29 June 2010 in Case C-28/08 P, European Commission v The Bavarian Lager Co. Ltd, EU:C:2010:378, paragraph 59.

Whereas this judgment specifically related to Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data, the principles set out therein are also applicable under the new data protection regime established by Regulation 2018/1725.

In your request, you do not put forward any arguments to establish a specific purpose in the public interest. Therefore, the European Commission does not have to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced.

Consequently, I conclude that, pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation 1049/2001, access cannot be granted to the personal data, as the need to obtain access thereto for a purpose in the public interest has not been substantiated, and there is no reason to think that the legitimate interests of the individuals concerned would not be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data concerned.

You will find the expunged versions of the documents concerned enclosed with this reply.

Yours sincerely,

William SLEATH

Enclosures (4)