The Annex reflects the state of affairs in the negotiations of the Asylum Procedures Directive after the 6th trilogue of 4 December 2012
the 6th trilogue of 4 December 2012.

Comparative Table

Amended proposal for a Directive of the European Parliament and of the Council on common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection status (Recast)

Interinstitutional code: 2009/0165 (COD)

The columns of the table reflect respectively:

- 1. the amended Commission proposal of 1 June 2011 (COM(2011) 319 final)).
- 2. the European Parliament's merged position which is based upon its first reading position on the <u>initial</u> Commission proposal and the amendments contained in the approach of the rapporteur and the shadow rapporteurs on the <u>amended</u> Commission proposal. The differences between the Parliament's position and the amended Commission proposal are indicated in **bold italics** and deleted text with [; <u>underlined</u> indicates text that was and still is part of the EP Position but is not reflected anymore in the amended Commission proposal.
- 3. the Council position on the amended Commission proposal as endorsed by the Committee of Permanent Representatives on 6 June 2012. New text compared to the amended Commission proposal is indicated by <u>underlining</u> the insertion and including it within Council tags: \(\sigma_{\infty}\)c; deleted text is indicated within underlined square brackets as follows: \(\sigma_{\infty}\)c.
- Compromise suggestions and comments in view of the third trilogue on 16 October 2012.
 Changes to the Council text are indicated in **bold**. In compromise suggestions of the European Parliament changes to the Commission proposal are indicated in **bold/italics**.

Commission Proposal 11207/11	EP merged position	Council Position	Comments and compromise suggestions
2009/0165 (COD)	2009/0165 (COD)	2009/0165 (COD)	
Amended proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection status	Amended proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection status	Amended proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection status	
(Recast)	(Recast)	(Recast)	
			Identical.
THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN	
UNION,	UNION,	UNION,	
UNION, Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 78(2)(d) thereof, Having regard to the proposal	UNION, Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 78(2)(d) thereof, Having regard to the proposal	UNION, Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 78(2)(d) thereof, Having regard to the proposal	Identical.

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ³ , Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee, Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions, Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ² , Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ⁴ , Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Identical. Identical. Identical.
Whereas: (1) A number of substantive changes are to be made to Council Directive 2005/85/EC of 1 December 2005 on minimum standards on procedures for granting and withdrawing refugee status ⁵ . In the interest of clarity, that Directive should be recast.	Whereas: (1) A number of substantive changes are to be made to Council Directive 2005/85/EC of 1 December 2005 on minimum standards on procedures for granting and withdrawing refugee status. In the interest of clarity, that Directive should be recast.	Whereas: (1) A number of substantive changes are to be made to Council Directive 2005/85/EC of 1 December 2005 on minimum standards on procedures for granting and withdrawing refugee status ⁶ . In the interest of clarity, that Directive should be recast.	Identical. Identical.
(2) A common policy on asylum, including a Common European Asylum System, is a constituent part of the European Union's	(2) A common policy on asylum, including a Common European Asylum System, is a constituent part of the European Union's	(2) A common policy on asylum, including a Common European Asylum System, is a constituent part of the European Union's	Identical.

LIMITE EN ANNEX

OJ C [...], [...], p. [...]. OJ L 326, 13.12.2005, p. 13. OJ L 326, 13.12.2005, p. 13.

	objective of establishing	objective of establishing	objective of establishing
	progressively an area of	progressively an area of	progressively an area of
	freedom, security and	freedom, security and	freedom, security and
	justice open to those	justice open to those	justice open to those
	who, forced by	who, forced by	who, forced by
	circumstances,	circumstances,	circumstances,
	legitimately seek	legitimately seek	legitimately seek
	protection in the	protection in the Union.	protection in the
	⊠ Union ⊠	It should be governed by	☑ Union ☑
	Community . ⇒ It should	the principle of solidarity	Community . ⇒ It should
	be governed by the	and fair sharing of	be governed by the
	principle of solidarity	responsibility, including	principle of solidarity
	and fair sharing of	its financial implications,	and fair sharing of
	responsibility, including	between the Member	responsibility, including
	its financial implications,	States.	its financial implications,
	between the Member		between the Member
	States. ←		States. ←
(3)	The European Council, at its special meeting in Tampere on 15 and 16 October 1999, agreed to work towards establishing a Common European Asylum	(3) The European Council, at its special meeting in Tampere on 15 and 16 October 1999, agreed to work towards establishing a Common European Asylum	(3) The European Council, at its special meeting in Tampere on 15 and 16 October 1999, agreed to work towards establishing a Common European Asylum
	System, based on the full and inclusive application of the Geneva Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to the status of refugees, as amended by the New	System, based on the full and inclusive application of the Geneva Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to the status of refugees, as amended by the New	System, based on the full and inclusive application of the Geneva Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to the status of refugees, as amended by the New

	31 January 1967 (Geneva Convention), thus affirming the principle of non-refoulement and ensuring that nobody is sent back to persecution.		31 January 1967 (Geneva Convention), thus affirming the principle of non-refoulement and ensuring that nobody is sent back to persecution.		31 January 1967 (Geneva Convention), thus affirming the principle of non-refoulement and ensuring that nobody is sent back to persecution.	
(4)	The Tampere Conclusions provide that a Common European Asylum System should include, in the short term, common standards for fair and efficient asylum procedures in the Member States and, in the longer term,	(4)	The Tampere Conclusions provide that a Common European Asylum System should include, in the short term, common standards for fair and efficient asylum procedures in the Member States and, in the longer term, Union rules leading to a common asylum procedure in the European Union.	(4)	The Tampere Conclusions provide that a Common European Asylum System should include, in the short term, common standards for fair and efficient asylum procedures in the Member States and, in the longer term, \(\infty\) Union \(\infty\) Community rules leading to a common asylum procedure in the European \(\infty\) Union \(\infty\) Community.	Identical.
(5)	⇒ The first phase of a Common European Asylum System was achieved through the adoption of relevant legal instruments foreseen in the Treaties, including Directive	(5)	The first phase of a Common European Asylum System was achieved through the adoption of relevant legal instruments foreseen in the Treaties, including Directive	(5)	⇒ The first phase of a Common European Asylum System was achieved through the adoption of relevant legal instruments foreseen in the Treaties, including Directive	Identical.

2005/85/EC which was ← The minimum standards laid down in this Directive on procedures in Member States for granting or withdrawing refugee status are therefore a firs measure on asylum procedures.	2005/85/EC which was a first measure on asylum procedures.	2005/85/EC which was ← The minimum standards laid down in this Directive on procedures in Member States for granting or withdrawing refugee status are therefore a first measure on asylum procedures.	
(6) The European Council, at its meeting of 4 November 2004, adopted the Hague Programme, which set the objectives to be implemented in the area of freedom, security and justice in the period 2005-2010. In this respect, the Hague Programme invited the European Commission to conclude the evaluation of the first phase legal instruments and to submit the second phase instruments and measures to the Council and the European Parliament. In accordance with the Hague Programme, the	the Hague Programme, which set the objectives to be implemented in the area of freedom, security and justice in the period 2005-2010. In this respect, the Hague Programme invited the European Commission to conclude the evaluation of the first phase legal instruments and to	(6) The European Council, at its meeting of 4 November 2004, adopted the Hague Programme, which set the objectives to be implemented in the area of freedom, security and justice in the period 2005-2010. In this respect, the Hague Programme invited the European Commission to conclude the evaluation of the first phase legal instruments and to submit the second phase instruments and measures to the Council and the European Parliament. In accordance with the Hague Programme, the	<u>Identical</u> .

objective to be pursued for the creation of the Common European Asylum System is the establishment of a common asylum procedure and a uniform status valid throughout the Union.	objective to be pursued for the creation of the Common European Asylum System is the establishment of a common asylum procedure and a uniform status valid throughout the Union.	objective to be pursued for the creation of the Common European Asylum System is the establishment of a common asylum procedure and a uniform status valid throughout the Union.	
In the European Pact on Immigration and Asylum, adopted on 16 October 2008, the European Council noted that considerable disparities remain between one Member State and another concerning the grant of protection and called for new initiatives, including a proposal for establishing a single asylum procedure comprising common guarantees, to complete the establishment of a Common European Asylum System, provided for in the Hague Programme.	(7) In the European Pact on Immigration and Asylum, adopted on 16 October 2008, the European Council noted that considerable disparities remain between one Member State and another concerning the grant of protection and called for new initiatives, including a proposal for establishing a single asylum procedure comprising common guarantees, to complete the establishment of a Common European Asylum System, provided for in the Hague Programme.	In the European Pact on Immigration and Asylum, adopted on 16 October 2008, the European Council noted that considerable disparities remain between one Member State and another concerning the grant of protection and called for new initiatives, including a proposal for establishing a single asylum procedure comprising common guarantees, to complete the establishment of a Common European Asylum System, provided for in the Hague Programme.	Identical.

(8)	The European Council,	(8)	The European Council,	(8)	The European Council,	Identical.
(0)	at its meeting of 10-11	(0)	at its meeting of 10-11	(0)	at its meeting of 10-11	
	December 2009, adopted		December 2009, adopted		December 2009, adopted	
	the Stockholm		the Stockholm		the Stockholm	
	Programme which		Programme which		Programme which	
	reconfirmed the		reconfirmed the		reconfirmed the	
	commitment to		commitment to		commitment to	
	establishing a common		establishing a common		establishing a common	
	area of protection and		area of protection and		area of protection and	
	solidarity based on a		solidarity based on a		solidarity based on a	
	common asylum		common asylum		common asylum	
	procedure and a uniform		procedure and a uniform		procedure and a uniform	
	status for those granted		status for those granted		status for those granted	
	international protection		international protection		international protection	
	based on high protection		based on high protection		based on high protection	
	standards and fair and		standards and fair and		standards and fair and	
	effective procedures by		effective procedures by		effective procedures by	
	2012. The Stockholm		2012. The Stockholm		2012. The Stockholm	
	Programme affirmed that		Programme affirmed that		Programme affirmed that	
	people in need of		people in need of		people in need of	
	international protection		international protection		international protection	
	must be ensured access		must be ensured access		must be ensured access	
	to legally safe and		to legally safe and		to legally safe and	
	efficient asylum		efficient asylum		efficient asylum	
	procedures. In		procedures. In		procedures. In	
	accordance with the		accordance with the		accordance with the	
	Stockholm Programme,		Stockholm Programme,		Stockholm Programme,	
	individuals, regardless of		individuals, regardless of		individuals, regardless of	
	the Member State in		the Member State in		the Member State in	
	which their application		which their application		which their application	
	for asylum is lodged,		for asylum is lodged,		for asylum is lodged,	
	should be offered the		should be offered the		should be offered the	
	same level of treatment		same level of treatment		same level of treatment	

as regards procedural arrangements and status determination. The objective should be that similar cases should be treated alike and result in the same outcome.		as regards procedural arrangements and status determination. The objective should be that similar cases should be treated alike and result in the same outcome.		as regards procedural arrangements and status determination. The objective should be that similar cases should be treated alike and result in the same outcome.	
The resources of the European Refugee Fund and of the European Asylum Support Office, established by Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ , should be mobilised to provide adequate support to the Member States' efforts relating to the implementation of the standards set in the second phase of the Common European Asylum System, in particular to those Member States which are faced with specific and disproportionate	(9)	It is necessary for the resources of the European Refugee Fund and of the European Asylum Support Office, established by Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council, to be mobilised, inter alia, to provide adequate support to the Member States' efforts relating to the implementation of the standards set in the second phase of the Common European Asylum System, in particular to those Member States which are faced with specific and	(9)	The resources of the European Refugee Fund and of the European Asylum Support Office, established by Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council ² , should be mobilised to provide adequate support to the Member States' efforts relating to the implementation of the standards set in the second phase of the Common European Asylum System, in particular to those Member States which are faced with specific and disproportionate	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text as this is the same text used in the recasts of recital (11) of the Qualification Directive and recital (6) of the Reception Conditions Directive.

OJ L 132, 29.5.2010, p.11. OJ L 132, 29.5.2010, p.11.

LIMITE EN ANNEX

pressures on their asylum systems, due in particular to their geographical or demographic situation.	disproportionate pressures on their asylum systems, due in particular to their geographical or demographic situation. It is also necessary that in Member States that receive a disproportionately large number of asylum applications in relation to the size of their population, financial support and administrative/technical support be mobilised immediately under the European Refugee Fund and the European Asylum Support Office respectively in order to enable them to comply with this Directive. [Am. 1]	pressures on their asylum systems, due in particular to their geographical or demographic situation.	
		Directive Member States should take into account relevant guidelines developed by the European Asylum Support Office.	Outcome trilogues: take Council text.

(10)	In order to ensure a comprehensive and efficient evaluation of the international protection needs of applicants within the meaning of Directive [//EU] [on minimum standards for the qualification and status of third country nationals or stateless persons as beneficiaries of international protection and the content of the protection granted (the Qualification Directive)], the Union framework on procedures for granting international protection should be based on the concept of a single	(10)	In order to ensure a comprehensive and efficient evaluation of the international protection needs of applicants within the meaning of Directive [//EU] [on minimum standards for the qualification and status of third country nationals or stateless persons as beneficiaries of international protection and the content of the protection granted (the Qualification Directive)], the Union framework on procedures for granting international protection should be based on the concept of a single	(10)	In order to ensure a comprehensive and efficient evaluation of the international protection needs of applicants within the meaning of Directive [//EU] [on minimum standards for the qualification and status of third country nationals or stateless persons as beneficiaries of international protection and the content of the protection granted (the Qualification Directive)], the Union framework on procedures for granting international protection should be based on the concept of a single	Identical.
	asylum procedure.		asylum procedure.		asylum procedure.	
(11)	The main objective of this Directive is to ⇒ further develop the standards for procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing international protection with a view to	(11)	The main objective of this Directive is to further develop the standards for procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing international protection with a view to	(11)	The main objective of this Directive is to ⇒ further develop the standards for procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing international protection with a view to	Identical

	establishing a common asylum procedure in the Union \Leftrightarrow introduce a minimum framework in the Community on procedures for granting and withdrawing refugee status.		establishing a common asylum procedure in the Union.		establishing a common asylum procedure in the Union \hookrightarrow introduce—a minimum—framework in the Community—on procedures—for granting and withdrawing—refugee status.	
(12)	The approximation of rules on the procedures for granting and withdrawing ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status should help to limit the secondary movements of applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ international protection ⇔ asylum between Member States, where such movement would be caused by differences in legal frameworks ⇒ , and create equivalent conditions for the application of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] in Member States ⇔.	(12)	The approximation of rules on the procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection should help to limit the secondary movements of applicants for international protection between Member States, where such movement would be caused by differences in legal frameworks, and create equivalent conditions for the application of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] in Member States.	(12)	The approximation of rules on the procedures for granting and withdrawing ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status should help to limit the secondary movements of applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum between Member States, where such movement would be caused by differences in legal frameworks ⇒ , and create equivalent conditions for the application of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] in Member States ⇔.	<u>Identical</u>
(13)	It is in the very nature of	(13)	Member States should	(13)	It is in the very nature of	Identical

	minimum standards that Member States should have the power to introduce or maintain more favourable provisions for third country nationals or stateless persons who ask for international protection from a Member State, where such a request is understood to be on the grounds that the person concerned is ⇒ in need of international protection ⇔ arefugee within the meaning of ⇒ Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⇔ Article I(A) of the Geneva Convention.		have the power to introduce or maintain more favourable provisions for third country nationals or stateless persons who ask for international protection from a Member State, where such a request is understood to be on the grounds that the person concerned is in need of international protection within the meaning of Directive [/.L/EU] [the Qualification Directive].		minimum standards that Member States should have the power to introduce or maintain more favourable provisions for third country nationals or stateless persons who ask for international protection from a Member State, where such a request is understood to be on the grounds that the person concerned is ➡ in need of international protection ⇐ arefugee within the meaning of ➡ Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⇐ Article 1(A) of the Geneva Convention.	
(14)	With respect to the treatment of persons falling within the scope of this Directive, Member States are bound by obligations under instruments of international law to which they are party and	(14)	With respect to the treatment of persons falling within the scope of this Directive, Member States are bound by obligations under instruments of international law to which they are party.	(14)	With respect to the treatment of persons falling within the scope of this Directive, Member States are bound by obligations under instruments of international law to which they are party and	<u>Identical</u>

	which prohibit discrimination.				which prohibit discrimination.	
		(14a)	Member States are obliged to respect fully the principle of nonrefoulement and the right to asylum, which includes access to an asylum procedure for any person who wishes to claim asylum and who is in their jurisdiction, including those under the effective control of a Union body or a body of a Member State.			Outcome trilogues: EP AM withdrawn.
(15)	It is essential that decisions on all applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum be taken on the basis of the facts and, in the first instance, by authorities whose personnel has the appropriate knowledge or has received the necessary training in the field of asylum and ⇒ international	(15)	It is essential that decisions on all applications for international protection be taken on the basis of the facts and, in the first instance, by authorities whose personnel has the appropriate knowledge and receives the necessary training in the field of asylum and international protection matters.	(15)	It is essential that decisions on all applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum be taken on the basis of the facts and, in the first instance, by authorities whose personnel has the appropriate knowledge or has received the necessary training in the field of asylum and ⇒ international	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text. Related to Article 4 and 34.

protection ← refugee matters.		protection \Leftarrow refugee matters.	
			Outcome trilogues: (15a) In order to ensure that applications are examined and decisions are taken objectively and impartially, it is necessary that professionals acting in the frame work of the procedures provided for in this Directive perform their activities with due respect to the applicable deontological principles.
(16) —It is in the interest of both Member States and applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum ▷ that a decision is made ▷ to make a decision as soon as possible on applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, ⇒ without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination ⇔. The	(16) It is in the interest of both Member States and applicants for international protection that a decision is made as soon as possible on applications for international protection, without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination.	(16) It is in the interest of both Member States and applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum ⇒ that a decision is made ⋈ to make a decision as soon as possible on applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, ⇒ without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination ⇔. The	Identical

ANNEX

organisation of the processing of applications for asylum should be left to the discretion of Member States, so that they may, in accordance with their	organisation of the processing of applications for asylum should be left to the discretion of Member States, so that they may, in accordance with their	
national needs, prioritise or accelerate the	national needs, prioritise	
processing of any	processing of any	
account the standards in	account the standards in	
this Directive.	this Directive.	
		Outcome trilogues
		(16a) In order to shorten the
		overall duration of the
		asylum procedure in
		certain cases, Member
		States should have the
		flexibility, in
		accordance with their
		national needs, to
		prioritise the
		examination of any
		application by
		examining it before
		other, previously made
		applications, without
		derogating from
		normally applicable
		procedural time limits,
		principles and

			guarantees.
			Outcome trilogues:
			circumstances where an application is likely to be unfounded or where there are serious national security or public order concerns, Member States should be able to accelerate the examination procedure, in particular by introducing shorter reasonable time limits for certain procedural steps, without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination and to the applicant's effective access to basic principles and
			guarantees provided for in this Directive.
(17) It is also in the interest of both Member States and applicants to ensure a correct recognition of	(17) It is also in the interest of both Member States and applicants to ensure a correct recognition of	(17) It is also in the interest of both Member States and applicants to ensure a correct recognition of	Outcome trilogues Related to Article 21.

international protection needs already at first instance. To that end. applicants should be provided at first instance, free of charge, with legal and procedural information, taking into account their particular circumstances. The provision of such information should inter *alia* enable the applicants to better understand the procedure, thus helping them to comply with the relevant obligations. It would be disproportionate to require Member States to provide such information only through the services of qualified lawvers. Member States should therefore have the possibility to find the most appropriate modalities for the provision of such information, such as through nongovernmental organisations,

international protection needs already at first instance. To that end. applicants should be provided at first instance, free of charge, with legal and procedural information, taking into account their particular circumstances. The provision of such information should inter *alia* enable the applicants to better understand the procedure, thus helping them to comply with the relevant obligations. It would be disproportionate to require Member States to provide such information only through the services of qualified lawvers. Member States should therefore have the possibility to find the most appropriate modalities for the provision of such information, such as through nongovernmental organisations,

international protection needs already at first instance. To that end. applicants should be provided at first instance, free of charge, with legal and procedural information, taking into account their particular circumstances. The provision of such information should inter alia enable the applicants to better understand the procedure, thus helping them to comply with the relevant obligations. It would be disproportionate to require Member States to provide such information only through the services of qualified lawyers. Member States should therefore have the possibility to find the most appropriate modalities for the provision of such information, such as through nongovernmental organisations,

(17)

It is also in the interest of both Member States and applicants to ensure a correct recognition of international protection needs already at first instance. To that end. applicants should be provided at first instance. free of charge, with legal and procedural information, taking into account their particular circumstances. The provision of such information should inter alia enable the applicants to better understand the procedure, thus helping them to comply with the relevant obligations. It would be disproportionate to require Member States to provide such information only through the services of qualified lawyers. Member States should therefore have the possibility to find the most appropriate

	government officials or specialised services of the State.		specialised, suitably qualified and impartial legal advisers, counsellors, other qualified professionals.		government officials or specialised services of the State.	modalities for the provision of such information, such as through non-governmental organisations, or professionals from government authorities officials or specialised services of the State.
(18)	In appeals procedures, subject to certain conditions, applicants should be granted free legal assistance and representation provided by persons competent to do so under national law. Furthermore, at all stages of the procedure, applicants should have the right to consult, at their own cost, legal advisers or counsellors permitted as such under national law.	(18)	In appeals procedures, subject to certain conditions, applicants should be granted free legal assistance and representation provided by persons competent to do so under national law. Furthermore, at all stages of the procedure, applicants should have the right to consult, at their own cost, legal advisers or counsellors permitted as such under national law.	(18)	In appeals procedures, subject to certain conditions, applicants should be granted free legal assistance and representation provided by persons competent to do so under national law. Furthermore, at all stages of the procedure, applicants should have the right to consult, at their own cost, legal advisers or counsellors permitted as such under national law.	Identical
(19)	The notion of public order may ⇒ inter alia ⇔ cover a conviction for committing a serious	(19)	The notion of public order may inter alia cover a conviction for committing a serious crime.	(19)	The notion of public order may ⇒ inter alia ⇔ cover a conviction for committing a serious	<u>Identical</u>

crime.		crime.	
(20) In the interests of a correct recognition of those persons in need of protection as refugees within the meaning of Article 1 of the Geneva Convention ⇒ or as persons eligible for subsidiary protection ⇔, every applicant should subject to certain exceptions, have an effective access to procedures, the opportunity to cooperate and properly communicate with the competent authorities so as to present the relevant facts of his/her case and sufficient procedural guarantees to pursue his/her case throughout all stages of the procedure. Moreover, the procedure in which an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum is examined should normally provide an	correct recognition of those persons in need of protection as refugees within the meaning of Article 1 of the Geneva Convention or as persons eligible for subsidiary protection, every applicant should have an effective access to procedures, the opportunity to cooperate and properly communicate with the competent authorities so as to present the relevant facts of his/her case and effective procedural guarantees to pursue his/her case throughout all stages of the procedure. Moreover, the procedure in which an application for international protection is examined should normally provide an applicant at least with the right to stay pending a final decision by the	(20) In the interests of a correct recognition of those persons in need of protection as refugees within the meaning of Article 1 of the Geneva Convention ⇒ or as persons eligible for subsidiary protection ⇔, every applicant should subject to certain exceptions, have an effective access to procedures, the opportunity to cooperate and properly communicate with the competent authorities so as to present the relevant facts of his/her case and sufficient procedural guarantees to pursue his/her case throughout all stages of the procedure. Moreover, the procedure in which an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum is examined should normally provide an	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text. Related to Article 9.

applicant at least with the right to stay pending a decision by the determining authority, access to the services of an interpreter for submitting his/her case if interviewed by the authorities, the opportunity to communicate with a representative of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) ⇒ and with organisations providing advice or counselling to applicants for international protection \Leftrightarrow or with any organisation working on its behalf, the right to appropriate notification of a decision, a motivation of that decision in fact and in law, the opportunity to consult a legal adviser or other counsellor, and the right to be informed of his/her legal position at decisive moments in the course of the procedure.

determining authority and, in the case of a negative decision, the time necessary for seeking a judicial remedy, and for so long as a competent court or tribunal so authorises. access to the services of an interpreter for submitting his/her case if interviewed by the authorities, the opportunity to communicate with a representative of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) and with organisations providing advice or counselling to applicants for international protection, the right to appropriate notification of a decision, a motivation of that decision in fact and in law, the opportunity to consult a legal adviser or other counsellor, and the right to be informed of his/her legal position at

applicant at least with the right to stay pending a decision by the determining authority, access to the services of an interpreter for submitting his/her case if interviewed by the authorities, the opportunity to communicate with a representative of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) ⇒ and with organisations providing advice or counselling to applicants for international protection \Leftrightarrow or with any organisation working or its behalf, the right to appropriate notification of a decision, a motivation of that decision in fact and in law, the opportunity to consult a legal adviser or other counsellor, and the right to be informed of his/her legal position at decisive moments in the course of the procedure,

22

	in a language he/she ⇒ understands or ← ⇒ is ≪ ean reasonably be supposed to understand ⇒ and, in the case of a negative decision, the right to an effective remedy before a court of a tribunal ←.		decisive moments in the course of the procedure, in a language he/she understands or is reasonably supposed to understand and, in the case of a negative decision, the right to an effective remedy before a court of a tribunal.		in a language he/she ⇒ understands or ⇔ ⇒ is ⊗ ean reasonably be supposed to understand ⇒ and, in the case of a negative decision, the right to an effective remedy before a court of a tribunal ⇔.	
	In addition,—specific procedural guarantees for unaccompanied minors should be laid down on account of their vulnerability. In this context, the best interests of the child should be a primary consideration of Member States.				In addition, specific procedural guarantees for unaccompanied minors should be laid down on account of their vulnerability. In this context, the best interests of the child should be a primary consideration of Member States.	
(21)	With a view to ensuring an effective access to the examination procedure, officials who first come into contact with persons seeking international protection, in particular those carrying out surveillance of land or maritime borders or conducting border	(21)	With a view to ensuring an effective access to the examination procedure, officials who first come into contact with persons seeking international protection, in particular those carrying out surveillance of land or maritime borders or conducting border	(21)	With a view to ensuring an effective access to the examination procedure, officials who first come into contact with persons seeking international protection, in particular those carrying out surveillance of land or maritime borders or conducting border	Outcome trilogues: take Council text

checks, should receive instructions and necessary training on how to recognise and deal with requests for international protection. They should be able to provide third country nationals or stateless persons who are present in the territory, including at the border, in the territorial waters or in the transit zones of the Member States, and wish to request international protection, with all relevant information as to where and how applications for international protection may be lodged. Where those persons are present in the territorial waters of a Member State, they should be disembarked on land and have their applications examined in accordance with this Directive.

checks, should receive instructions and necessary training on how to recognise, register and forward to the competent determining authority requests for international protection. They should be able to provide third country nationals or stateless persons who are present in the territory, including at the border, in the territorial waters or in the transit zones of the Member States, and wish to request international protection, with all relevant information as to where and how applications for international protection may be lodged. Where those persons are present in the territorial waters of a Member State, they should be disembarked on land and have their applications examined in accordance with this Directive.

checks, should receive relevant information **C ⊃** [...] **C** and necessary training on how to recognise and deal with requests for international protection inter alia taking due account of relevant guidelines developed by the European Asylum Support Office **C**. They should be able to provide third country nationals or stateless persons who are present in the territory, including at the border, in the territorial waters or in the transit zones of the Member States, and wish to request international protection, with **⊃** [...] **⊂** relevant information as to where and how applications for international protection may be lodged. Where those persons are present in the territorial waters of a Member State, they should be disembarked on land and have their applications examined in

24

				accordance with this Directive.	
	(21a)	Given that third country nationals or stateless persons who have expressed their wish to apply for international protection are applicants for international protection, they should comply with the obligations and benefit from the rights in accordance with this Directive and the Reception Conditions Directive. To that end, Member States should register that those persons are applicants for international protection as soon as possible.			Outcome trilogues: take EP AM (21a) Given that third country nationals or stateless persons who have expressed their wish to apply for international protection are applicants for international protection, they should comply with the obligations and benefit from the rights in accordance with this Directive and the Reception Conditions Directive. To that end, Member States should register that those persons are applicants for international protection as soon as possible.
(22) In order to facilitate access to the examination procedure at border crossing points and in detention facilities, information	(22)	In order to facilitate access to the examination procedure at border crossing points and in detention facilities, information <i>on</i>	(22)	In order to facilitate access to the examination procedure at border crossing points and in detention facilities, information	Outcome trilogues: take Council text as part of overall compromise

	should be made available on the possibility to request international protection. Basic communication necessary to enable the competent authorities to understand if persons declare their wish to apply for international protection should be ensured through interpretation arrangements.		the possibility to request international protection should be made available and easily accessible. Basic communication necessary to enable the competent authorities to understand if persons declare their wish to apply for international protection should be ensured through interpretation arrangements.		should be made available on the possibility to request international protection. Basic communication necessary to enable the competent authorities to understand if persons declare their wish to apply for international protection should be ensured through interpretation arrangements.	
(23)	In addition, applicants in need of special procedural guarantees, such as minors, unaccompanied minors, persons who have been subjected to torture, rape or other serious acts of violence or disabled persons, should be provided with adequate support in order to create the conditions necessary for their effective access to procedures and presenting the elements needed to substantiate the application for	(23)	In addition, applicants in need of special procedural guarantees, such as minors, unaccompanied minors, pregnant women, persons who have been subjected to torture, rape or other serious acts of violence, such as violence, such as violence based on gender and harmful traditional practices, or disabled persons, should be provided with adequate support in order to create the conditions necessary for their	(23)	Tertain applicants may be in need of special procedural guarantees due to □ inter alia □ their age, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, serious illness, mental disorders or consequences of □ □[] □ torture, rape or other serious □ forms □ □[] □ of □ psychological, physical or sexual □ violence □ Those applicants □ □[] □ should be provided with	Outcome trilogues: Related to Articles 2(d) and 24. (23) Certain applicants may be in need of special procedural guarantees due to inter alia their age, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability, serious illness, mental disorders or consequences of torture, rape or other serious forms of psychological, physical or sexual violence. Member States

international protection.	effective access to procedures and presenting the elements needed to substantiate the application for international protection.	adequate support in order to create the conditions necessary for their effective access to procedures and presenting the elements needed to substantiate the application for international protection.	should endeavour to identify applicants in need of special procedural guarantees before a first instance decision is taken. Those applicants should be provided with adequate support in order to create the conditions necessary for their effective access to procedures and presenting the elements needed to substantiate the application for international protection.
(24) National measures dealing with identification and documentation of symptoms and signs of torture or other serious acts of physical or mental violence, including acts of sexual violence, in procedures covered by this Directive should inter alia be based on the Manual on Effective Investigation	(24) National measures dealing with identification and documentation of symptoms and signs of torture or other serious acts of physical or mental violence, including acts of sexual violence, in procedures covered by this Directive should inter alia be based on the Manual on Effective Investigation	(24) National measures dealing with identification and documentation of symptoms and signs of torture or other serious acts of physical or mental violence, including acts of sexual violence, in procedures covered by this Directive $ \underbrace{ \text{may}}_{\text{inter alia}} \text$	Outcome trilogues: take Council text. Related to Articles 18(4) and 24.

and Documentation of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Istanbul Protocol).	and Documentation of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Istanbul Protocol).	Investigation and Documentation of Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Istanbul Protocol).	
substantive equality between female and male applicants, examination procedures should be gender sensitive. In particular, personal interviews should be organised in a way which makes its possible for both female and male applicants to speak about their past experiences in cases involving gender based persecution. The complexity of gender related claims should be properly taken into account in procedures based on the safe third country concept, the safe country of origin concept or the notion of subsequent applications.	With a view to ensuring substantive equality between female and male applicants, examination procedures should be gender sensitive. In particular, personal interviews should be organised in a way which makes its possible for both female and male applicants to speak about their past experiences in cases involving gender based persecution to an interviewer of the same sex if so requested, who has specific training on the issue of interviews regarding gender-based persecution. The complexity of gender related claims should be properly taken into account in procedures based on the safe third	with a view to ensuring substantive equality between female and male applicants, examination procedures should be gender sensitive. In particular, personal interviews should be organised in a way which makes its possible for both female and male applicants to speak about their past experiences in cases involving gender based persecution. The complexity of gender related claims should be properly taken into account in procedures based on the safe third country concept, the safe country of origin concept or the notion of subsequent applications.	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text. Related to Article 15.

			country concept, the safe country of origin concept or the notion of subsequent applications.			
(26)	The best interests of the child should be a primary consideration of Member States when implementing this Directive, in line with the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the 1989 United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.	(26)	The best interests of the child should be a primary consideration of Member States when implementing this Directive, in line with the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the 1989 United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.	(26)	The best interests of the child should be a primary consideration of Member States when implementing this Directive, in line with the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the 1989 United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child.	<u>Identical</u>
(27)	Procedures for examining international protection needs should be organised in a way that makes it possible for the competent authorities to conduct a rigorous examination of applications for international protection.	(27)	Procedures for examining international protection needs should be organised in a way that makes it possible for the <i>determining</i> authorities to conduct a rigorous examination of applications for international protection.	(27)	Procedures for examining international protection needs should be organised in a way that makes it possible for the competent authorities to conduct a rigorous examination of applications for international protection.	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text.
(28)	Where an applicant makes a subsequent application without presenting new evidence	(28)	Where an applicant makes a subsequent application without presenting new evidence	(28)	Where an applicant makes a subsequent application without presenting new evidence	<u>Identical</u>

or arguments, it would be disproportionate to oblige Member States to carry out a new full examination procedure. In these cases, Member States should ⇒ be able to dismiss an application as inadmissible in accordance with the res judicata principle ⇔ have a choice of procedure involving exceptions to the guarantees normally enjoyed by the applicant.	or arguments, it would be disproportionate to oblige Member States to carry out a new full examination procedure. In these cases, Member States should be able to dismiss an application as inadmissible in accordance with the res judicata principle.	or arguments, it would be disproportionate to oblige Member States to carry out a new full examination procedure. In these cases, Member States should ⇒ be able to dismiss an application as inadmissible in accordance with the res judicata principle ⇔ have a choice of procedure involving exceptions to the guarantees normally enjoyed by the applicant.	
	(28a) With respect to the involvement of the personnel of another authority than that of the determining authority in conducting interviews on the substance of an application, the notion of "timely" as referred in article 14(1)2 shall be assessed against the time limits foreseen in Article 31. The determining authority shall demonstrate that it		Outcome trilogues: (28a) With respect to the involvement of the personnel of another authority than that of the determining authority in conducting interviews on the substance of an application, the notion of "timely" as referred in article 14(1)2 shall be assessed against the time limits foreseen in Article 31.

		has insufficient capacity to ensure that an interview on the substance can be conducted within a time frame that enables the authorities to conclude the procedure within the time-limit as laid down in Article 31(3).			
the border of zone of a Morprior to a desentry of the Member State able to ⇒ procedures with possible to applications	are made at r in a transit ember State cision on the applicant. tes should be ovide for and/or examination which make o decide on made at the transit zones tions in	Many applications for international protection are made at the border or in a transit zone of a Member State prior to a decision on the entry of the applicant. Member States should be able to provide for admissibility and/or substantive examination procedures which make it possible to decide on applications made at the border or in transit zones at those locations in well-defined circumstances.	(29)	Many asylum applications ⇒ for international protection ⇔ are made at the border or in a transit zone of a Member State prior to a decision on the entry of the applicant. Member States should be able to ⇒ provide for admissibility and/or substantive examination procedures which make it possible to decide on applications made at the border or in transit zones at those locations in well-defined circumstances ⇔ keep existing procedures adapted to the specific situation of these	Identical

applicants at the border. Common rules should be defined on possible exceptions made in these circumstances to the guarantees normally enjoyed by applicants. Border procedures should mainly apply to those applicants who do not meet the conditions for entry into the territory of the Member States.	applicants—at the border. Common—rules should—be defined—on possible exceptions—made in these eircumstances—to the guarantees—normally enjoyed—by applicants. Border procedures should—mainly—apply—to those applicants—who do not—meet the conditions for entry—into—the territory—of—the Member States.	Outcome trilogues.
		(29a) In determining whether a situation of uncertainty prevails in the country of origin of an applicant, Member States should ensure that they obtain precise and up-to-date information from relevant sources such as EASO, the UNHCR, the Council of Europe and other relevant

						international organisations. Member States should ensure that this postponement of conclusion of the procedure is applied in full compliance with their obligations under the Qualification Directive and Article 41 EU Charter on Fundamental Rights without prejudice to the efficiency and fairness of the procedures in this directive.
(30)	A key consideration for the well-foundedness of an asylum application ⇒ for international protection ⇔ is the safety of the applicant in his/her country of origin. Where a third country can be regarded as a safe country of origin, Member States should be able to designate it as safe and presume its	(30)	A key consideration for the well-foundedness of an application for international protection is the safety of the applicant in his/her country of origin. Where a third country can be regarded as a safe country of origin, Member States should be able to designate it as safe and presume its	(30)	A key consideration for the well-foundedness of an asylum application ⇒ for international protection ⇔ is the safety of the applicant in his/her country of origin. Where a third country can be regarded as a safe country of origin, Member States should be able to designate it as safe and presume its	Identical

	safety for a particular applicant, unless he/she presents serious counter-indications.		safety for a particular applicant, unless he/she presents counter-indications.		safety for a particular applicant, unless he/she presents serious counter-indications.	
(31)	Given the level of harmonisation achieved on the qualification of third country nationals and stateless persons as refugees, common criteria for designating third countries as safe countries of origin should be established.	(31)	Given the level of harmonisation achieved on the qualification of third country nationals and stateless persons as refugees, common criteria for designating third countries as safe countries of origin should be established.	(31)	Given the level of harmonisation achieved on the qualification of third country nationals and stateless persons as refugees, common criteria for designating third countries as safe countries of origin should be established.	Identical
	Where the Council has satisfied itself that those criteria are met in relation to a particular country of origin, and has consequently included it in the minimum common list of safe countries of origin to be adopted pursuant to this Directive, Member States should be obliged to consider applications of persons with the nationality of that country, or of stateless persons formerly				Where the Council has satisfied itself that those criteria are met in relation to a particular country of origin, and has consequently included it in the minimum common list of safe countries of origin to be adopted pursuant to this Directive, Member States should be obliged to consider applications of persons with the nationality of that country, or of stateless persons formerly	

	habitually resident in that country, on the basis of the rebuttable presumption of the safety of that country. In the light of the political importance of the designation of safe countries of origin, in particular in view of the implications of an assessment of the human rights situation in a country of origin and its implications for the policies of the European Union in the field of external relations, the Council should take any decisions on the establishment or amendment of the list, after consultation of the European Parliament.		habitually resident in that country, on the basis of the rebuttable presumption of the safety of that country. In the light of the political importance of the designation of safe countries of origin, in particular in view of the implications of an assessment of the human rights situation in a country of origin and its implications for the policies of the European Union in the field of external relations, the Council should take any decisions on the establishment or amendment of the list, after consultation of the European Parliament.	
(32)	It results from the status of Bulgaria and Romania as candidate countries for accession to the European Union and the progress made by these countries towards membership that they	(32)	It results from the status of Bulgaria and Romania as candidate countries for accession to the European Union and the progress made by these countries towards membership that they	

	should be regarded as constituting safe countries of origin for the purposes of this Directive until the date of their accession to the European Union.				should be regarded as constituting safe countries of origin for the purposes of this Directive until the date of their accession to the European-Union.	
(33)	The designation of a third country as a safe country of origin for the purposes of this Directive cannot establish an absolute guarantee of safety for nationals of that country. By its very nature, the assessment underlying the designation can only take into account the general civil, legal and political circumstances in that country and whether actors of persecution, torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment are subject to sanction in practice when found liable in the country concerned. For this reason, it is important that, where an applicant	(33)	The designation of a third country as a safe country of origin for the purposes of this Directive cannot establish an absolute guarantee of safety for nationals of that country. By its very nature, the assessment underlying the designation can only take into account the general civil, legal and political circumstances in that country and whether actors of persecution, torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment are subject to sanction in practice when found liable in the country concerned. For this reason, it is important that, where an applicant	(33)	The designation of a third country as a safe country of origin for the purposes of this Directive cannot establish an absolute guarantee of safety for nationals of that country. By its very nature, the assessment underlying the designation can only take into account the general civil, legal and political circumstances in that country and whether actors of persecution, torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment are subject to sanction in practice when found liable in the country concerned. For this reason, it is important that, where an applicant	Identical

	shows that there are valid = serious reasons to consider the country not to be safe in his/her particular circumstances, the designation of the country as safe can no longer be considered relevant for him/her.		shows that there are valid reasons to consider the country not to be safe in his/her particular circumstances, the designation of the country as safe can no longer be considered relevant for him/her.		shows that there are ⇒ valid ⇔ serious reasons to consider the country not to be safe in his/her particular circumstances, the designation of the country as safe can no longer be considered relevant for him/her.	
(34)	Member States should examine all applications on the substance, i.e. assess whether the applicant in question qualifies ☒> for international protection ☒ as a refugee in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] Council Directive 2004/83/EC of 29 April 2004 on minimum standards for the qualification and status of third country nationals or stateless persons as refugees or as persons who otherwise need international	(34)	Member States should examine all applications on the substance, i.e. assess whether the applicant in question qualifies for international protection in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive], except where the present Directive provides otherwise, in particular where it can be <i>ensured</i> that another country would do the examination or provide <i>effective</i> protection. In particular, Member States should not be obliged to assess the substance of an	(34)	Member States should examine all applications on the substance, i.e. assess whether the applicant in question qualifies ⋈ for international protection ⋈ as a refugee in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] Council Directive 2004/83/EC of 29 April 2004 on minimum standards for the qualification and status of third country nationals or stateless persons as refugees or as persons who otherwise need international	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text.

	entertion and the entertion eranted, except where the present Directive provides otherwise, in particular where it can be reasonably assumed that another country would do the examination or provide sufficient protection. In particular, Member States should not be obliged to assess the substance of an asylum application ⇒ for international protection ⇔ where a first country of asylum has granted the applicant refugee status or otherwise sufficient protection and the applicant will be readmitted to this country.		application for international protection where a first country of asylum has granted the applicant refugee status or otherwise accessible and effective protection and the applicant will be readmitted to this country. Member States should proceed in this way only in cases where the applicant in question is safe in the third country concerned. [Am. 10]		entent of the protection eranted, except where the present Directive provides otherwise, in particular where it can be reasonably assumed that another country would do the examination or provide sufficient protection. In particular, Member States should not be obliged to assess the substance of an asylum application ⇒ for international protection ← where a first country of asylum has granted the applicant refugee status or otherwise sufficient protection and the applicant will be readmitted to this country.	
(35)	Member States should also not be obliged to assess the substance of an an asylum application ⇒ for international protection where the applicant, due to a	(35)	Member States should also not be obliged to assess the substance of an an application for international protection where the applicant, due to a sufficient connection	(35)	Member States should also not be obliged to assess the substance of an an asylum application ⇒ for international protection ⇔ where the applicant, due to a	<u>Identical</u>

	connection to a third country as defined by national law, can reasonably be expected to seek protection in that third country , and there are grounds for considering that the applicant will be admitted or re-admitted to that country . Member States should only proceed on this basis where this particular applicant would be safe in the third country concerned. In order to avoid secondary movements of applicants, common principles for the consideration or designation by Member States of third countries as safe should be established.	to a third country as defined by national law, can reasonably be expected to seek protection in that third country, and there are grounds for considering that the applicant will be admitted or re-admitted to that country. Member States should only proceed on this basis where this particular applicant would be safe in the third country concerned. In order to avoid secondary movements of applicants, common principles for the consideration or designation by Member States of third countries as safe should be established.		connection to a third country as defined by national law, can reasonably be expected to seek protection in that third country \$\int\$, and there are grounds for considering that the applicant will be admitted or re-admitted to that country \$\int\$. Member States should only proceed on this basis where this particular applicant would be safe in the third country concerned. In order to avoid secondary movements of applicants, common principles for the consideration or designation by Member States of third countries as safe should be established.	
(36)	Furthermore, with respect to certain European third countries, which observe particularly high human	(36)	(36)	Furthermore, with respect to certain European third countries, which observe particularly high human	Pending. Related to Article 39.

rights and refugee rights and refugee protection standards, protection standards, Member States should be Member States should be allowed to not carry out, allowed to not carry out, or not to carry out full or not to carry out full examination of asylum examination of asylum international international protection ← regarding protection \Leftarrow regarding applicants who enter applicants who enter their territory from such their territory from such European third countries. European third countries.

It follows from the nature of the common standards concerning both safe third country concepts as set out in this Directive, that the practical effect of the concepts depends on whether the third country in question permits the applicant in question to enter its territory.	It follows from the nature of the common standards concerning both safe third country concepts as set out in this Directive, that the practical effect of the concepts depends on whether the third country in question permits the applicant in question to enter its territory.	
regular exchange of information about the national application of the safe country of origin, safe third country and European safe third country concepts and to prepare possible further harmonisation in the future, Member States should notify or periodically inform the Commission about the third countries to which these concepts are applied.	regular exchange of information about the national application of the safe country of origin, safe third country and European safe third country concepts and to prepare possible further harmonisation in the future, Member States should notify or periodically inform the Commission about the third countries to which these concepts are applied.	Pending Related to Article 39 EP suggestion 37) In order to facilitate regular exchange of information about the national application of the safe country of origin, safe third country and European safe third country concepts and to prepare possible further harmonisation in the future, Member States should notify or

	periodically inform the Commission about the third countries to which these concepts are applied. When Member States apply such concepts and draw up their lists, they should take into account, inter alia, the guidelines and operating manuals referred to in Article 12 (2) of Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 and information on country of origin and activities referred to in Article 4 of Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 including EASO Country of Origin Information report methodology as well as relevant UNHCR guidelines.
	Pending Related to Article 39 EP suggestion

	(37a) In order to have the designation of safe countries updated Member States should conduct regular reviews based on a range of sources of information, including in particular information from EASO, the UNHCR, the Council of Europe and other relevant international organizations. When Member States become aware of sudden change in the human rights situation of any country designated by them as safe, they should conduct an emergency review of that situation and where applicable, cease to designate that country as safe.
	Pending Related to Article 39

						EP suggestion (37b) In order to prepare for further harmonisation, the Commission should organise, in an appropriate manner, a regular review of the use of the safe country concepts by Member States, and inform the European Parliament of the results of this review.
(38)	With respect to the withdrawal of refugee ⇒ or subsidiary protection ⇔ status, Member States should ensure that persons benefiting from ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status are duly informed of a possible reconsideration of their status and have the opportunity to submit their point of view before the authorities can	(38)	With respect to the withdrawal of refugee or subsidiary protection status, Member States should ensure that persons benefiting from international protection are duly informed of a possible reconsideration of their status and have the opportunity to submit their point of view before the authorities can take a motivated decision to withdraw their status.	(38)	With respect to the withdrawal of refugee ⇒ or subsidiary protection ⇒ status, Member States should ensure that persons benefiting from ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status are duly informed of a possible reconsideration of their status and have the opportunity to submit their point of view before the authorities can	Identical

	take a motivated decision to withdraw their status. However, dispensing with these guarantees should be allowed where the reasons for the eessation of the refugee status is not related to a change of the conditions on which the recognition was based.				take a motivated decision to withdraw their status. However, dispensing with these guarantees should be allowed where the reasons for the essation of the refugee status is not related to a change of the conditions on which the recognition was based.	
(39)	It reflects a basic principle of ☑ Union ☑ Community law that the decisions taken on an application for asylum ➡ international protection, the decisions concerning a refusal to re-open the examination of an application after its discontinuation, ⇐ and ☑ the decisions ☒ on the withdrawal of refugee ➡ or subsidiary protection ⇐ status are subject to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal within the meaning of Article 234 of the Treaty.	(39)	It reflects a basic principle of Union law that the decisions taken on an application for international protection, the decisions concerning a refusal to re-open the examination of an application after its discontinuation, and the decisions on the withdrawal of refugee or subsidiary protection status are subject to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal.	(39)	It reflects a basic principle of ☑ Union ☑ Community law that the decisions taken on an application for asylum ⇒ international protection, the decisions concerning a refusal to re-open the examination of an application after its discontinuation, ← and ☑ the decisions ☒ on the withdrawal of refugee ➡ or subsidiary protection ← status are subject to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal within the meaning of Article 234 of the Treaty.	Identical

	The effectiveness of the remedy, also with regard to the examination of the relevant facts, depends on the administrative and judicial system of each Member State seen as a whole.				The effectiveness of the remedy, also with regard to the examination of the relevant facts, depends on the administrative and judicial system of each Member State seen as a whole.	
(40)	In accordance with Article 72 64 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, this Directive does not affect the exercise of the responsibilities incumbent upon Member States with regard to the maintenance of law and order and the safeguarding of internal security.	(40)	In accordance with Article 72 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, this Directive does not affect the exercise of the responsibilities incumbent upon Member States with regard to the maintenance of law and order and the safeguarding of internal security.	(40)	In accordance with Article 72 64 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, this Directive does not affect the exercise of the responsibilities incumbent upon Member States with regard to the maintenance of law and order and the safeguarding of internal security.	Identical
						Outcome trilogues: (40a) Directive 95/46 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 1995 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free

						movement of such data governs the processing of personal data carried out in the Member States pursuant to this Directive.
(41)	This Directive does not deal with procedures ⇒ between Member States ⇔ governed by Council Regulation (EC) No 343/2003 of 18 February 2003 Regulation (EU) No [/] [establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member state responsible for examining an asylum application ⇒ for international protection ⇔ lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national ⇒ or a stateless person ⇔] (the Dublin Regulation).	(41)	This Directive does not deal with procedures between Member States governed by Regulation (EU) No [/] [establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member state responsible for examining an application for international protection lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national or a stateless person] (the Dublin Regulation).	(41)	This Directive does not deal with procedures ⇒ between Member States ⇔ governed by <u>Council Regulation (EC)</u> <u>No 343/2003 of 18</u> <u>February 2003</u> Regulation (EU) No [/] [establishing the criteria and mechanisms for determining the Member state responsible for examining an asylum application ⇒ for international protection ⇔ lodged in one of the Member States by a third-country national ⇒ or a stateless person ⇔] (the Dublin Regulation).	Identical
(42)	Applicants with regard to whom Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation] applies	(42)	Applicants with regard to whom Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation] applies	<u> </u>	C	Pending Technical group suggests to redraft general reference to the

	should enjoy access to the basic principles and guarantees set out in this Directive and to the special guarantees pursuant to Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].		should enjoy access to the basic principles and guarantees set out in this Directive and to the special guarantees pursuant to Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].			Dublin regulation in recital (42) and to maintain the Dublin references in the Articles 28(3), 34(2) and 46(8).
(43)	The implementation of this Directive should be evaluated at regular intervals not exceeding two years.	(43)	The implementation of this Directive should be evaluated at regular intervals.	(43)	The implementation of this Directive should be evaluated at regular intervals not exceeding two years.	<u>Identical</u>
(44)	Since the objectives of this Directive, namely to establish minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States and can therefore, by reason of the scale and effects of the action, be better achieved at Since the objectives, namely to establish minimum States for granting and withdrawing refugee	(44)	Since the objectives of this Directive cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States and can therefore, by reason of the scale and effects of the action, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that Article, this	(44)	Since the objectives of this Directive, namely to establish minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing refugee status cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States and can therefore, by reason of the scale and effects of the action, be better achieved at \(\sigma \) Union \(\sigma \) Community level, the \(\sigma \) Union \(\sigma \)	Identical

			-
measures, in accordance	Directive does not go	measures, in accordance	
with the principle of	beyond what is necessary	with the principle of	
subsidiarity as set out in	in order to achieve that	subsidiarity as set out in	
Article 5 of the Treaty on	objective.	Article 5 of the Treaty on	
European Union. In		European Union. In	
accordance with the		accordance with the	
principle of		principle of	
proportionality, as set		proportionality, as set	
out in that Article, this		out in that Article, this	
Directive does not go		Directive does not go	
beyond what is necessary		beyond what is necessary	
in order to achieve that		in order to achieve that	
objective.		objective.	
objective.		objective.	
		○ (44a) In accordance with the	Outcome trilogues: take Council
		Joint Political	
			text.
		Declaration of Member	
		States and the	
		Commission on	
		explanatory documents	
		of 28 September 2011,	
		Member States have	
		undertaken to	
		accompany, in justified	
		cases, the notification of	
		their transposition	
		measures with one or	
		more documents	
		explaining the	
		relationship between the	
		components of a	
		directive and the	
		corresponding parts of	
		COLLESDORIUM DAILS OF	

	national transposition instruments. With regard to this Directive, the legislator considers the transmission of such documents to be justified.	
In accordance with Article 3 of the Protocol on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty establishing the European Community, the United Kingdom has notified, by letter of 24 January 2001, its wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Directive.	In accordance with Article 3 of the Protocol on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty establishing the European Community, the United Kingdom has notified, by letter of 24 January 2001, its wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Directive.	
In accordance with Article 3 of the Protocol on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty establishing the European Community,	In accordance with Article 3 of the Protocol on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty establishing the European Community,	

	Ireland has notified, by letter of 14 February 2001, its wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Directive.				Ireland has notified, by letter of 14 February 2001, its wish to take part in the adoption and application of this Directive.	
(45)	In accordance with Article 4a(1) of Protocol No. 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and without prejudice to paragraph 2 of that Article, so long as the United Kingdom and Ireland have not notified their wish to accept this measure, in accordance with Article 4 of that Protocol, they are not bound by it and continue to be bound by Directive 2005/85/EC.	(45)	In accordance with Article 4a(1) of Protocol No. 21 on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and without prejudice to paragraph 2 of that Article, so long as the United Kingdom and Ireland have not notified their wish to accept this measure, in accordance with Article 4 of that Protocol, they are not bound by it and continue to be bound by Directive 2005/85/EC.	(45)	In accordance with Articles 1, 2 and C Article 4a(1) of Protocol (No. 21) C on the position of the United Kingdom and Ireland in respect of the Area of Freedom, Security and Justice, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and without prejudice to [] C Article 4 of that Protocol, the United Kingdom and Ireland are not taking part in the adoption of this Directive and C [] C are not bound by it or subject to its application C ∫ [] C.	Outcome trilogues: take Council text.
(46)	In accordance with	(46)	In accordance with	(46)	In accordance with	<u>Identical</u>

	Articles 1 and 2 of the Protocol on the position of Denmark, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union establishing—the European Community, Denmark does not take part in the adoption of this Directive and is not bound by it or subject to its application.		Articles 1 and 2 of the Protocol on the position of Denmark, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, Denmark does not take part in the adoption of this Directive and is not bound by it or subject to its application.		Articles 1 and 2 of the Protocol on the position of Denmark, annexed to the Treaty on European Union and to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union establishing the European Community, Denmark does not take part in the adoption of this Directive and is not bound by it or subject to its application.		
(47)	This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. ⇒ In particular, this Directive seeks to ensure full respect for human dignity and to promote the application of Articles 1, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, and 47 of the Charter and has to be implemented accordingly. ⇔	(47)	This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. In particular, this Directive seeks to ensure full respect for human dignity and to promote the application of Articles 1, 4,18, 19, 21, 23, 24, and 47 of the Charter and has to be implemented accordingly.	(47)	This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. In particular, this Directive seeks to ensure full respect for human dignity and to promote the application of Articles 1, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, and 47 of the Charter and has to be implemented accordingly. The fundamental rights and observes the charter and has to be implemented accordingly. The fundamental rights and observes the fundamental rights and serves the principles of the Charter and has to be implemented accordingly. The fundamental rights and observes the fundamental rights and observes the principles of the Charter and has to be implemented accordingly. The fundamental rights and observes the principles of the Charter and has to be implemented accordingly. The fundamental rights and observes the principles of the Charter and has to be implemented accordingly.	Outcom (47)	This Directive respects the fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. ⇒ In particular, this Directive seeks to ensure full respect for human dignity and to promote the application of Articles 1, 4, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, and 47 of the Charter and has to be implemented

						accordingly. ←
(48)	The obligation to transpose this Directive into national law should be confined to those provisions which represent a substantive change as compared with the earlier Directive. The obligation to transpose the provisions which are unchanged arises under the earlier Directive.	(48)	The obligation to transpose this Directive into national law should be confined to those provisions which represent a substantive change as compared with the earlier Directive. The obligation to transpose the provisions which are unchanged arises under the earlier Directive.	(48)	The obligation to transpose this Directive into national law should be confined to those provisions which represent a substantive change as compared with the earlier Directive. The obligation to transpose the provisions which are unchanged arises under the earlier Directive.	Identical
(49)	This Directive should be without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States relating to the time-limit for transposition into national law of the Directive set out in Annex II, Part B.	(49)	This Directive should be without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States relating to the time-limit for transposition into national law of the Directive set out in Annex II, Part B.	(49)	This Directive should be without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States relating to the time-limit for transposition into national law of the Directive set out in Annex II, Part B.	<u>Identical</u>

HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE,	HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS DIRECTIVE,	
CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I	
GENERAL PROVISIONS	GENERAL PROVISIONS	GENERAL PROVISIONS	
Article 1	Article 1	Article 1	
Purpose	Purpose	Purpose	
The purpose of this Directive is to establish ⇒ common ⇔ minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing ⇒ international protection status by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⇔ refugee status.	The purpose of this Directive is to establish common procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection status by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive].	The purpose of this Directive is to establish ⇒ common ⇔ minimum standards on procedures in Member States for granting and withdrawing ⇒ international protection ⊃ [] © by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⇔ refugee status.	Outcome trilogues: take Council text. Issue of deletion of "status" comes back in several APD-provisons and follows from the text of the Qualification Directive.
Article 2	Article 2	Article 2	
Definitions	Definitions	Definitions	
For the purposes of this Directive:	For the purposes of this Directive:	For the purposes of this Directive:	<u>Identical</u>
(a) "Geneva Convention" means the Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to	(a) "Geneva Convention" means the Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to	(a) "Geneva Convention" means the Convention of 28 July 1951 relating to	<u>Identical</u>

	the status of refugees, as amended by the New York Protocol of 31 January 1967;	amendo York F	cus of refugees, as ed by the New Protocol of uary 1967;		the status of refugees, as amended by the New York Protocol of 31 January 1967;	
(b)	"application" or "application for asylum" means an application made by a third country national or stateless person which can be understood as a request for international protection from a Member State under the Geneva Convention. Any application for international protection is presumed to be an application for asylum, unless the person concerned explicitly requests another kind of protection that can be applied for separately;			(b)	"application" or "application for asylum" means an application made by a third country national or stateless person which can be understood as a request for international protection from a Member State under the Geneva Convention. Any application for international protection is presumed to be an application for asylum, unless the person concerned explicitly requests another kind of protection that can be applied for separately;	
(b)	"application" or "application for international protection" means a request made by a third country national or a stateless person for protection from a	"applica interna means a third or a sta	ation" or ation for tional protection" a request made by country national ateless person for ion from a	(b)	"application" or "application for international protection" means a request made by a third country national or a stateless person for protection from a	<u>Identical</u>

	Member State, who can be understood to seek refugee status or subsidiary protection status, and who does not explicitly request another kind of protection outside the scope of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive], that can be applied for separately;		Member State, who can be understood to seek refugee status or subsidiary protection status, and who does not explicitly request another kind of protection outside the scope of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive], that can be applied for separately;		Member State, who can be understood to seek refugee status or subsidiary protection status, and who does not explicitly request another kind of protection outside the scope of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive], that can be applied for separately;	
(c)	"applicant" or "applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum" means a third country national or stateless person who has made an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum in respect of which a final decision has not yet been taken;	(c)	"applicant" or "applicant for international protection" means a third country national or stateless person who has made an application for international protection in respect of which a final decision has not yet been taken;	(c)	"applicant" or "applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum" means a third country national or stateless person who has made an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum in respect of which a final decision has not yet been taken;	Identical
(d)	"applicant in need of special procedural guarantees" means an applicant who due to age, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability,	(d)	"applicant in need of special procedural guarantees" means an applicant who due to age, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity, disability,	(d)	"applicant in need of special procedural guarantees" means an applicant <u>whose</u> ability to benefit from the rights and comply with the obligations	Outcome trilogues: take Council text Related to Article 24 and recital (23).

	serious physical illness, mental illness, post traumatic disorders or consequences of torture, rape or other serious forms of psychological, physical or sexual violence is in need of special guarantees in order to benefit from the rights and comply with the obligations provided for in this Directive;		physical illness, mental illness, post traumatic disorders or consequences of torture, rape or other serious forms of psychological, physical or sexual violence is in need of special guarantees in order to benefit from the rights and comply with the obligations provided for in this Directive;		provided for in this Directive is limited □ [] □ due to □ individual circumstances □ [] □;	
(<u>e</u> <u>€</u>)	"final decision" means a decision on whether the third country national or stateless person be granted refugee ⇒ or subsidiary protection ⇔ status by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC and which is no longer subject to a remedy within the framework of Chapter V of this Directive irrespective of whether such remedy has the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member States	(e)	"final decision" means a decision on whether the third country national or stateless person be granted refugee or subsidiary protection status by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] and which is no longer subject to a remedy within the framework of Chapter V of this Directive irrespective of whether such remedy has the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member States	(<u>ed</u>)	"final decision" means a decision on whether the third country national or stateless person be granted refugee ⇒ or subsidiary protection ⇔ status by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC and which is no longer subject to a remedy within the framework of Chapter V of this Directive irrespective of whether such remedy has the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member States	Identical

	concerned pending its outcome, subject to Annex III of this Directive;		concerned pending its outcome;		concerned pending its outcome, subject to Annex III of this Directive;	
(<u>f</u> <u>e</u>)	"determining authority" means any quasi-judic ial or administrative body in a Member State responsible for examining applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum competent to take decisions at first instance in such cases, subject to Annex I;	(<u>f</u>)	"determining authority" means any quasi-judicial or administrative body in a Member State responsible for examining applications for international protection competent to take decisions at first instance in such cases;	(<u>fe</u>)	"determining authority" means any quasi-judicial or administrative body in a Member State responsible for examining applications for ➡ international protection ➡ asylum competent to take decisions at first instance in such cases subject to Annex I;	Identical
(<u>g</u> <u>≢</u>)	"refugee" means a third country national or a stateless person who fulfils the requirements of Article 2(d) of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 1 of the Geneva Convention as set out in Directive 2004/83/EC;	(<u>g</u>)	"refugee" means a third country national or a stateless person who fulfils the requirements of Article 2(d) of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive];	(<u>g</u>	"refugee" means a third country national or a stateless person who fulfils the requirements of Article 2(d) of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] Lof the Geneva Convention as set out in Directive 2004/83/EC;	Identical
(h)	"person eligible for subsidiary protection" means a third country	(h)	"person eligible for subsidiary protection" means a third country	(h)	"person eligible for subsidiary protection" means a third country	Identical

	national or a stateless person who fulfils the requirements of Article 2(f) of Directive [/EU] [the Qualification Directive];		national or a stateless person who fulfils the requirements of Article 2(f) of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive];		national or a stateless person who fulfils the requirements of Article 2(f) of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive];	
(i)	"international protection status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or a stateless person as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection;	(i)	"international protection status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or a stateless person as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection;	(i)	"international protection [] C" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or a stateless person as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection;	Outcome trilogues: take Council text. Issue of deletion of "status" comes back in several APD-provisons and follows from the text of the Qualification Directive.
(j g)	"refugee status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or	(j)	"refugee status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or a stateless person as a refugee;	(<u>je</u>)	"refugee status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or i a ≤ stateless person as a refugee;	<u>Identical</u>
(k)	"subsidiary protection status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or a stateless person as a person eligible for subsidiary protection;	(k)	"subsidiary protection status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or a stateless person as a person eligible for subsidiary protection;	(k)	"subsidiary protection status" means the recognition by a Member State of a third country national or a stateless person as a person eligible for subsidiary protection;	<u>Identical</u>
(1)	"minor" means a third	(1)	"minor" means a third	(1)	"minor" means a third	Identical

	country national or a stateless person below the age of 18 years;		country national or a stateless person below the age of 18 years;		country national or a stateless person below the age of 18 years;	
(<u>m</u> <u>h</u>)	"unaccompanied minor" means ⇒ a minor as defined in Article 2(l) of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⇔ aperson below the age of eighteen who arrives in the territory of the Member States unaccompanied by an adult responsible for him/her whether by law or by custom, and for as long as he/she is not effectively taken into the eare of such a person; it includes a minor who is left unaccompanied after he/she has entered the territory of the Member States;	(m)	"unaccompanied minor" means a minor as defined in Article 2(1) of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive];	(<u>m\u00e4</u>)	"unaccompanied minor" means ⇒ a minor as defined in Article 2(1) of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⇔ a person below the age of eighteen who arrives in the territory of the Member States unaccompanied by an adult responsible for him/her whether by law or by custom, and for as long as he/she is not effectively taken into the eare of such a person; it includes a minor who is left unaccompanied after he/she has entered the territory of the Member States;	<u>Identical</u>
(<u>n</u> <u>‡</u>)	"representative" means a ⇒ person or an organisation appointed by the competent bodies to act as a legal guardian in order to assist and	(n)	"representative" means a person or an organisation appointed by the competent bodies to act as a legal guardian in order to assist and	(<u>nɨ</u>)	"representative" means a ⇒ person or an organisation appointed by the competent bodies ⊃ [] © in order to assist and represent an	Outcome trilogues: take Council text which is identical to Art 2(j) RCD and 2(j) Dublin Regulation. Related to Article 25.

represent an unaccompanied minor in procedures provided for in this Directive with a view to ensuring the child's best interests and exercising legal capacity for the minor where necessary. Where an organisation acts as a representative, it shall appoint a person responsible for carrying out the duties of the legal guardian in respect of the minor, in accordance with this Directive \Leftrightarrow

represent an unaccompanied minor in procedures provided for in this Directive with a view to ensuring the child's best interests and exercising legal capacity for the minor where necessary. Where an organisation acts as a representative, it shall appoint a person responsible for carrying out the duties of the legal guardian in respect of the minor, in accordance with this Directive. *The* organisation has to prove available capacities to carry out the duties fully;

unaccompanied minor in procedures provided for in this Directive with a view to ensuring the child's best interests and exercising legal capacity for the minor where necessary. Where an organisation \supset is appointed C $\supset [...]$ Cas a representative, it shall **⊃** designate **⊂** ⊃ [...] Ca person responsible for carrying out the duties of ⊃ ⊃ [...] C ⊃ this C organisation C ⊃ [...] Cin respect of the minor, in accordance with this Directive (=

LIMITE

					interests ;	
(<u>o</u> į́)	"withdrawal of ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status" means the decision by a competent authority to revoke, end or refuse to renew the refugee ⇒ or subsidiary protection ⇔ status of a person in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC;	(0)	"withdrawal of international protection" means the decision by a competent authority to revoke, end or refuse to renew refugee or subsidiary protection status of a person in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive];	(<u>oi</u>)	"withdrawal of ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status" means the decision by a competent authority to revoke, end or refuse to renew the refugee ⇒ or subsidiary protection ⇔ status of a person in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC;	Identical.
(<u>₽</u> <u>¥</u>)	"remain in the Member State" means to remain in the territory, including at the border or in transit zones, of the Member State in which the application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum has been made or is being examined:	(<u>p</u>)	"remain in the Member State" means to remain in the territory, including at the border or in transit zones, of the Member State in which the application for international protection has been made or is being examined:	(<u>p</u> <u>k</u>)	"remain in the Member State" means to remain in the territory, including at the border or in transit zones, of the Member State in which the application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum has been made or is being examined:	Identical
		(q)	"new facts and circumstances" means facts supporting the essence of the claim,			Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text (EP AM withdrawn).

			which could contribute to the revision of an earlier decision.			
(q)	"subsequent application" means a further application made after a final decision has been taken on a previous application, including cases where the applicant has explicitly withdrawn his/her application and cases where the determining authority has rejected an application following its implicit withdrawal in accordance with Article 28(1).	(q)	"subsequent application" means a further application made after a final decision has been taken on a previous application, including cases where the applicant has explicitly withdrawn his/her application and cases where the determining authority has rejected an application following its implicit withdrawal in accordance with Article 28(1).	(q)	"subsequent application" means a further application made after a final decision has been taken on a previous application, including cases where the applicant has explicitly withdrawn his/her application and cases where the determining authority has rejected an application following its implicit withdrawal in accordance with Article 28(1).	Identical.
	Article 3		Article 3		Article 3	
	Scope		Scope		Scope	
1.	This Directive shall apply to all applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum made in the territory, including at the border ⇒, in the territorial	1.	This Directive shall apply to all applications for international protection made in the territory, including at the border, in the territorial waters or in the transit	1.	This Directive shall apply to all applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum made in the territory, including at the border ⇒, in the territorial	Identical

	waters ⇔ or in the transit zones of the Member States, and to the withdrawal of ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status.	zones of the Member States, and to the withdrawal of international protection status.	waters ⇔ or in the transit zones of the Member States, and to the withdrawal of ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status.	
2.	This Directive shall not apply in cases of requests for diplomatic or territorial asylum submitted to representations of Member States.	2. This Directive shall not apply in cases of requests for diplomatic or territorial asylum submitted to representations of Member States.	2. This Directive shall not apply in cases of requests for diplomatic or territorial asylum submitted to representations of Member States.	<u>Identical</u>
3.	Where Member States employ or introduce a procedure in which asylum applications—are examined both as applications—on the basis of the Geneva Convention—and as applications—for other kinds—of international protection—given—under the circumstances defined by Article—15 of Directive—2004/83/EC, they shall apply this Directive throughout their procedure.		3. Where Member States employ or introduce a procedure in which asylum applications—are examined both as applications—on the basis of the Geneva Convention—and as applications—for other kinds—of international protection—given—under the circumstances defined by Article 15 of Directive 2004/83/EC, they shall apply this Directive throughout their procedure.	

3. 4. Moreover, Member States may decide to apply this Directive in procedures for deciding on applications for any kind of international protection ⇒ falling outside of the scope of Directive [/EU] [the Qualification Directive] ←.	3. Member States may decide to apply this Directive in procedures for deciding on applications for any kind of international protection falling outside of the scope of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive].	3.4 Moreover, Member States may decide to apply this Directive in procedures for deciding on applications for any kind of international protection ⇒ falling outside of the scope of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] ←.	<u>Identical</u>
Article 4	Article 4	Article 4	Outcome trilogues: take compromise text below. Related to Article 17. . Article 4
Responsible authorities	Responsible authorities	Responsible authorities	Responsible authorities
1. Member States shall designate for all procedures a determining authority which will be responsible for an appropriate examination of the applications in accordance with this Directive in particular	1. Member States shall designate for all procedures a determining authority which will be responsible for an appropriate examination of applications in accordance with this Directive. Member	1. Member States shall designate for all procedures a determining authority which will be responsible for an appropriate examination of the applications in accordance with this Directive, in particular	1. Member States shall designate for all procedures a determining authority which will be responsible for an appropriate examination of the applications in accordance with this Directive, in particular

	Articles 8(2) and 9. ⇒ Member States shall ensure that that authority is provided with appropriate means, including sufficient competent personnel, to carry out its tasks in accordance with this Directive. ←	States shall ensure that that authority is provided with appropriate means, including sufficient competent and specialised personnel, to carry out its tasks in accordance with this Directive.	Articles 8(2) and 9. ⇒ Member States shall ensure that that authority is provided with appropriate means, including sufficient competent personnel, to carry out its tasks in accordance with this Directive. ←	Articles 8(2) and 9. ⇒ Member States shall ensure that that authority is provided with appropriate means, including sufficient competent personnel, to carry out its tasks in accordance with this Directive. ←
	In accordance with Article 4(4) of Regulation (EC) No 343/2003, applications for asylum made in a Member State to the authorities of another Member State carrying out immigration controls there shall be dealt with by the Member State in whose territory the application is made.		In accordance with Article 4(4) of Regulation (EC) No 343/2003, applications for asylum made in a Member State to the authorities of another Member State carrying out immigration controls there shall be dealt with by the Member State in whose territory the application is made.	
2.	However, Member States may provide that i an i another authority i other than that referred to in paragraph 1 is responsible for the	2. Member States may provide that an authority other than that referred to in paragraph 1 is responsible for the purposes of:	2. However, Member States may provide that □ an □ another authority □ other than that referred to in paragraph 1 □ is responsible for the	<u>Identical</u>

purposes of:		purposes of:	
(a) processing cases pursuant to Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation], and processing cases in which it is considered to transfer the applicant to another State according to the rules establishing criteria and mechanisms for determining which State is responsible for considering an application for asylum, until the transfer takes place or the requested State has refused to take charge of or take back the applicant;	(a) processing cases pursuant to Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation], and	(a) processing cases pursuant to Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation], and processing cases in which it is considered to transfer the applicant to another State according to the rules establishing criteria and mechanisms for determining which State is responsible for considering an application for asylum, until the transfer takes place or the requested State has refused to take charge of or take back the applicant;	Identical
(b) taking a decision on the application in the light of		(b) taking a decision on the application in the light of	

national security provisions, provided the determining authority is consulted prior to this decision as to whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC;		national security provisions, provided the determining authority is consulted prior to this decision as to whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC;	
(c) conducting a preliminary examination pursuant to Article 32, provided this authority has access to the applicant's file regarding the previous application;		(e) conducting a preliminary examination pursuant to Article 32, provided this authority has access to the applicant's file regarding the previous application;	
(d) processing cases in the framework of the procedures provided for in Article 35(1);		(d) processing cases in the framework of the procedures provided for in Article 35(1);	
$(\underline{b} \ \underline{e}) \Rightarrow \text{granting or } \Leftrightarrow$	(b) granting or	(\underline{be}) ⇒ granting or \Leftarrow	(\underline{be}) ⇒ granting or \leftarrow refusing

refusing permission to enter in the framework of the procedure provided for in Article ⇒ 43 ⇔ 35(2) to (5), subject to the conditions and as set out therein ⇒ and on the basis of the opinion of the determining authority. ⇔	refusing permission to enter in the framework of the procedure provided for in Article 43, subject to the conditions and as set out therein and on the basis of the reasoned opinion of the determining authority.	refusing permission to enter in the framework of the procedure provided for in Article ⇒ 43 ⇔ 35(2) to (5), subject to the conditions and as set out therein ⇒ and on the basis of the opinion of the determining authority. ⇔	permission to enter in the framework of the procedure provided for in Article ⇒ 43 ⇔ 35(2) to (5), subject to the conditions and as set out therein ⇒ and on the basis of the reasoned opinion of the determining authority. ⇔ ‡
(f) establishing that an applicant is seeking to enter or has entered into the Member State from a safe third country pursuant to Article 36, subject to the conditions and as set out in that Article.		(f) establishing that an applicant is seeking to enter or has entered into the Member State from a safe third country pursuant to Article 36, subject to the conditions and as set out in that Article.	
3. Member States shall ensure that the personnel of the determining authority are properly trained. To that end, Member States shall	3. Member States shall ensure that the personnel of the determining authority and of the other competent authorities are properly	3. Member States shall ensure that the personnel of the determining authority are properly trained. To that end, Member States shall	3. Member States shall ensure that the personnel of the determining authority are properly trained. To that end, Member States shall

provide for initial and, where relevant, follow-up training which shall include the elements listed in Article 6(4) (a) to (e) of Regulation (EU) No 439/2010. Member States shall also take into account the training established and developed by the European Asylum Support Office.

trained. To that end. Member States shall provide for initial and follow-up training which shall include the elements listed in Article 6(4) (a) to (e) of Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 *and* the training established and developed by the European Asylum Support Office. As part of the adequate training of the personnel, Member States shall also ensure continuous psychological guidance and assistance of the personnel.

The training shall include, in particular:

- (a) substantive and procedural
 rules on international
 protection and Human Rights
 set out in relevant
 international and Union
 instruments, including the
 principles of nonrefoulement and nondiscrimination;
- (b) applicants with special needs, as defined in Article

provide for \bigcirc [...] \bigcirc relevant \bigcirc [...] \bigcirc training **taking** inter alia C 🗢 [...] C into account the training established and developed by the European Asylum Support Office. **⊃** Persons interviewing applicants pursuant to this Directive \bigcirc shall \bigcirc also have acquired general knowledge of ⊃ [...] C problems which could adversely affect the applicants' ability to be interviewed \bigcirc , such as indications of possible past torture. C. C

provide for \bigcirc [...] \bigcirc relevant \bigcirc [...] \bigcirc training which shall include the elements listed in Article 6(4) (a) to (e) of Regulation (EU) No 439/2010. Member States shall also take \$ taking inter alia C - C into account the relevant training established and developed by the European Asylum Support Office. **⊃** Persons interviewing applicants pursuant to this Directive \supset shall \subset also have acquired general knowledge of ⊃ [...] ⊂ problems which could adversely affect the applicants' ability to be interviewed \bigcirc , such as indications of possible past torture. C. C

		(d) (e) (f)	gender, sexual orientation, trauma and age awareness, with particular attention being paid to unaccompanied minors; use of country of origin information; interview technics, including cross-culture communication; identification and documentation of signs and symptoms of torture; evidence assessment, including the principle of the benefit of the doubt; case law issues relevant to the examination of applications for international protection.				
<u>4. 골</u>	Where ☒ an authority is ☒ authorities are designated in accordance with paragraph 2, Member States shall ensure that the personnel of ☒ that authority ☒ such authorities have the appropriate knowledge or receive the necessary training to fulfil their obligations when	4.	Where an authority is designated in accordance with paragraph 2, Member States shall ensure that the personnel of that authority have the appropriate knowledge and receive the necessary training to fulfil their obligations when implementing this	<u>4.3-</u>	Where ⋈ an authority is ⋈ authorities are designated in accordance with paragraph 2, Member States shall ensure that the personnel of ⋈ that authority ⋈ such authorities have the appropriate knowledge or receive the necessary training to fulfil their obligations when	<u>4.3-</u>	Where ☒ an authority is ☒ authorities are designated in accordance with paragraph 2, Member States shall ensure that the personnel of ☒ that authority ☒ such authorities have the appropriate knowledge or receive the necessary training to fulfil their obligations when

CHAPTER II	CHAPTER II	CHAPTER II	
More favourable provisions Member States may introduce or maintain more favourable standards on procedures for granting and withdrawing ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status, insofar as those standards are compatible with this Directive.	More favourable provisions Member States may introduce or maintain more favourable standards on procedures for granting and withdrawing international protection insofar as those standards are compatible with this Directive.	More favourable provisions Member States may introduce or maintain more favourable standards on procedures for granting and withdrawing ⇒ international protection refugee status, insofar as those standards are compatible with this Directive.	<u>Identical</u>
Article 5	Article 5	Article 5	
5. Applications for international protection made in a Member State to the authorities of another Member State carrying out border or immigration controls there shall be dealt with by the Member State in whose territory the application is made.	5. Applications for international protection made in a Member State to the authorities of another Member State carrying out border or immigration controls there shall be dealt with by the Member State in whose territory the application is made.	5. Applications for international protection made in a Member State to the authorities of another Member State carrying out border or immigration controls there shall be dealt with by the Member State in whose territory the application is made.	5. Applications for international protection made in a Member State to the authorities of another Member State carrying out border or immigration controls there shall be dealt with by the Member State in whose territory the application is made.
implementing this Directive.	Directive.	implementing this Directive.	implementing this Directive.

BASIC PRINCIPLES AND GUARANTEES			
Article 6	Article 6	Article 6	Outcome trilogues: take compromise text below.
			Article 6
Access to the procedure	Access to the procedure	Access to the procedure	Access to the procedure
1. Member States may require that applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum be ⇒ lodged ⇔ made in person and/or at a designated place ⇒, without prejudice to paragraphs 2, 3, and 4 ⇔.	1. Member States may require that applications for international protection be lodged in person and/or at a designated place, without prejudice to paragraphs 2, 3, and 4.	1. D [] C	1. []
		D[] C D When a person makes a request for international protection, C D to an authority competent under national law for	D[] C D When a person makes a request for international protection, C D to an authority competent under national law for

	registration of such applications, the registration constrains application constrains a registration constrains application constrains a registration constrains a registratio	registration of such applications, the registration consider that a such application construction constructio
	international protection c is made to other authorities which are c [] c likely to receive such requests, but not competent for the registration under national law, Member States shall ensure that [] c the registration shall take place c [] c no later than 6 working days after the request is made. c	international protection © is made to other authorities which are © O[] © likely to receive such requests, but not competent for the registration under national law, Member States shall ensure that O[] © the oregistration shall take place © O[] © no later than 6 working days after the request is made. ©
	 → Member States shall → ensure that those → [] ← other authorities which are likely to receive requests 	 → Member States shall → ensure that those → [] ← other authorities which are

2. Member States shall ensure that a person who wishes to make an	2. Member States shall ensure that a person who wishes to make an	international protection may be lodged © . © D[] © D[] © Member States shall ensure that D a person	tasks and responsibilities and [Instructions] to inform applicants where and how applications for international protection may be lodged [C. C] [Instructions] [Instruction
		for international protection such as police, border guards, immigration authorities and personnel of detention facilities [] have the relevant information and that their personnel receive [] instructions to inform applicants where and how applications for	likely to receive requests for international protection such as protection such as police, border guards, immigration authorities and personnel of detention facilities such as have the relevant information and that their personnel receive the necessary level of training as appropriate to their

possible.	possible. Where applicants are unable to lodge their application in person, Member States shall ensure that a legal representative is able to lodge the application on their behalf.	as soon as possible. Where the applicant does not avail himself/herself of this opportunity, Member States may apply Article 28 of this Directive accordingly accordingly	as soon as possible. Where the applicant does not avail himself/herself of this opportunity, Member States may apply \(\mathcal{C}\) \(\sigma\)[] \(\mathcal{C}\) Article 28 of this \(\mathcal{D}\)irective accordingly \(\mathcal{C}\). \(\mathcal{C}\)
3. When a person declares his/her wish to make an application for international protection, Member States shall ensure that the fact that that person is an applicant is registered as soon as possible and no later than 72 hours after such declaration.	3. When a person declares his/her wish to make an application for international protection, Member States shall ensure that the fact that that person is an applicant is registered as soon as possible and no later than 72 hours after such declaration.		
		☐ 3. Member States may require that applications for international protection be lodged in person and/or at a designated place, without prejudice to ☐ paragraph ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐	☐ 3. Member States may require that applications for international protection be lodged in person and/or at a designated place, without prejudice to ☐ paragraph ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐

		→ 4. Without prejudice to paragraph 3, → an application for international protection shall be deemed to have been lodged → [] ← once ←	→ 4. Without prejudice to paragraph 3, → an application for international protection shall be deemed to have been lodged → [] ← once ←
		submitted by the applicant	submitted by the applicant \bigcirc , \bigcirc or
		foreseen in national law, [] C C [] C an official C [] C report [] C has reached the relevant C competent C in authorities of the Member State concerned [] C [] C	foreseen in national law, [] C C [] C an official C [] C report [] C has reached the reached the relevant C competent C [] C authorities of the Member State concerned [] C [] C
To that end, Member States shall ensure that the personnel of authorities likely to receive such declarations has relevant instructions and receives the	To that end, Member States shall ensure that the personnel of authorities likely to receive such declarations, such as border guards, police and immigration authorities,	<u> </u>	⊅ <u>[]</u> C

necessary training.	and personnel of detention facilities has relevant instructions and receives the necessary training for recognising, registering and forwarding applications for international protection.		
In the implementation of this paragraph, Member States shall take into account relevant guidelines developed by the European Asylum Support Office.	In the implementation of this paragraph, Member States shall take into account relevant guidelines developed by the European Asylum Support Office.	⊃ [] C	<u>⊅[]</u> €
4. Where a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request international protection, which makes it impossible in practice to respect the 72-hour time limit laid down in paragraph 3, Member States may provide for that time limit to be extended to 7 working days.	4. Where a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request international protection, which makes it impossible in practice to respect the 72-hour time limit laid down in paragraph 3, Member States may provide for that time limit to be extended to 7 working days after notification to the Commission of the	Where \(\simultaneous\) \(\simultaneount\) \	Where \(\simultaneous\) \(\sim

	reasons why registration is not possible within the time limit laid down in paragraph 1.	States may provide for that time limit to be extended to $\left[\dots \right]$ $\left[\dots \right]$ $\left[\dots \right]$ working days.	States may provide for that time limit to be extended to $\bigcirc []$ \bigcirc $\bigcirc 10$ \bigcirc working days.
2. Member States shall ensure that each adult having legal capacity has the right to make an application for asylum on his/her own behalf.		2. Member States shall ensure that each adult having legal capacity has the right to make an application for asylum on his/her own behalf.	
3. Member States may provide—that an application—may be made by an applicant—on behalf of his/her—dependants. In such cases Member States shall ensure that dependant adults—consent to the lodging—of the application—on their behalf, failing—which they shall have an application—on their own behalf.		3. Member States may provide that an application may be made by an applicant on behalf of his/her dependants. In such cases Member States shall ensure that dependant adults consent to the lodging of the application on their behalf, failing which they shall have an application on their own behalf.	
Consent shall be requested at the time the		Consent shall be requested at the time the	

application is lodged or, at the latest, when the personal interview with the dependant adult is conducted.	application is lodged or, at the latest, when the personal interview with the dependant adult is conducted.	
4. Member States may determine in national legislation	4. Member States may determine in national legislation	
(a) the cases in which a minor can make an application on his/her own behalf;	(a) the cases in which a minor can make an application on his/her own behalf;	
(b) the cases in which the application of an unaccompanied minor has to be lodged by a representative as provided for in Article 17(1)(a);	(b) the cases in which the application of an unaccompanied minor has to be lodged by a representative as provided for in Article 17(1)(a);	
(c) the cases in which the lodging of an application for asylum is deemed to constitute also the lodging of an application for asylum for any unmarried minor.	(c) the cases in which the lodging of an application for asylum is deemed to constitute also the lodging of an application for asylum for any unmarried minor.	

5. Member States shat ensure that authorid likely to be address someone who wish make an application asylum are able to that person how are where he/she may such an application and/or may require authorities to forwapplication to the competent authorities.	H es ed by es to for advise d make these	5. Member States ensure that auth likely to be addi someone who w make an applica asylum are able that person how where he/she mi such an applicat and/or may requ authorities to fo application to the	chall prities essed by ishes to ion—for to advise and by make on ire—these ward the e rity.
Article 7	Article 7	Article 7	Outcome trilogues: take Council text for Article 7. Article 7
Applications made on beddependants or minor			pehalf of Applications made on behalf of
1. ≥ Member States shatensure that each ach having legal capace the right to make a application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asyluthis/her own behalf	ult ensure that each ty has having legal cap the right to mak application for international pre-	h adult ensure that each having legal cap the right to mak application for rotection ensure that each having legal cap the right to mak application for international	ensure that each adult having legal capacity has the right to make an application for international protection asylum on

<u>2.</u> 2.	Member States may provide that an application may be made by an applicant on behalf of his/her dependants. In such cases Member States shall ensure that dependant adults consent to the lodging of the application on their behalf, failing which they shall have an	2.	Member States may provide that an application may be made by an applicant on behalf of his/her dependants. In such cases Member States shall ensure that dependant adults consent to the lodging of the application on their behalf, failing which they shall have an	<u>2.3.</u>	Member States may provide that an application may be made by an applicant on behalf of his/her dependants. In such cases Member States shall ensure that dependant adults consent to the lodging of the application on their behalf, failing which they shall have an	<u>2.3.</u>	Member States may provide that an application may be made by an applicant on behalf of his/her dependants. In such cases Member States shall ensure that dependant adults consent to the lodging of the application on their behalf, failing which they shall have an
	opportunity to make an application on their own behalf.		opportunity to make an application on their own behalf.		opportunity to make an application on their own behalf.		opportunity to make an application on their own behalf.
	Consent shall be requested at the time the application is lodged or, at the latest, when the personal interview with the dependant adult is conducted. ⇒ Before consent is requested, each adult among these persons shall be informed in private of relevant procedural consequences and of his or her right to make a		Consent shall be requested at the time the application is lodged or, at the latest, when the personal interview with the dependant adult is conducted. Before consent is requested, each adult among these persons shall be informed in private of relevant procedural consequences and of his or her right to make a		Consent shall be requested at the time the application is lodged or, at the latest, when the personal interview with the dependant adult is conducted. Before consent is requested, each dependant adult among these persons shall be informed in private of relevant procedural consequences and of his		Consent shall be requested at the time the application is lodged or, at the latest, when the personal interview with the dependant adult is conducted. ⇒ Before consent is requested, each → dependant ← adult among these persons shall be informed in private of relevant procedural consequences and of his
	separate application for international		separate application for international protection.		or her right to make a separate application for international		or her right to make a separate application for international

	protection. \leftarrow				protection. \leftarrow		protection. \leftarrow
3.	Member States shall ensure that a minor has the right to make an application for international protection either on his/her own behalf, if he/she has the legal capacity to act in procedures according to the national law of the Member State concerned, or through his/her parents or other adult family members, or an adult responsible for him/her, whether by law or by national practice of the Member State concerned, or a representative.	3.	Member States shall ensure that a minor has the right to make an application for international protection either on his/her own behalf, if he/she has the legal capacity to act in procedures according to the national law of the Member State concerned, or through his/her parents or other adult family members, or an adult responsible for him/her, whether by law or by national practice of the Member State concerned, or a representative. <i>In all other cases, paragraph 4 shall apply</i> .	3.	Member States shall ensure that a minor has the right to make an application for international protection either on his/her own behalf, if he/she has the legal capacity to act in procedures according to the national law of the Member State concerned, or through his/her parents or other adult family members, or an adult responsible for him/her, whether by law or by national practice of the Member State concerned, or through a representative.	3.	Member States shall ensure that a minor has the right to make an application for international protection either on his/her own behalf, if he/she has the legal capacity to act in procedures according to the national law of the Member State concerned, or through his/her parents or other adult family members, or an adult responsible for him/her, whether by law or by national practice of the Member State concerned, or through a representative.
4.	Member States shall ensure that the appropriate bodies referred to in Article 10 of Directive 2008/115/EC of the	4.	Member States shall ensure that the appropriate bodies referred to in Article 10 of Directive 2008/115/EC of the	4.	Member States shall ensure that the appropriate bodies referred to in Article 10 of Directive 2008/115/EC of the	4.	Member States shall ensure that the appropriate bodies referred to in Article 10 of Directive 2008/115/EC of the

	T	T 5 "		
	European Parliament and	European Parliament a	_	European Parliament and
	of the Council ¹ have the	of the Council of 16	of the Council ² have the	of the Council ³ have the
	right to lodge an	December 2008 on	right to lodge an	right to lodge an
	application for	common standards ar	d application for	application for
	international protection	procedures in Membe	international protection	international protection
	on behalf of an	States for returning	on behalf of an	on behalf of an
	unaccompanied minor if,	illegally staying third	unaccompanied minor if,	unaccompanied minor if,
	on the basis of an	country nationals hav		on the basis of an
	individual assessment of	the right to lodge an	individual assessment of	individual assessment of
	his/her personal	application for	his/her personal	his/her personal
	situation, those bodies	international protection	_	situation, those bodies
	are of the opinion that	on behalf of an	are of the opinion that	are of the opinion that
	the minor may have	unaccompanied minor		the minor may have
	protection needs	on the basis of an	protection needs	protection needs
	pursuant to Directive	individual assessment	1	pursuant to Directive
	[//EU] [the	his/her personal	[/EU] [the	[/EU] [the
	Qualification Directive].	situation, those bodies	Qualification Directive].	Qualification Directive].
	Quamication Directive].	•	Qualification Directives.	Qualification Directives.
		are of the opinion that		
		the minor may have		
		protection needs		
		pursuant to Directive		
		[//EU] [the		
		Qualification Directive].	
<u>5. 4.</u>	Member States may	5. Member States may	5.4 Member States may	5.4 Member States may
===	determine in national	determine in national	determine in national	determine in national
	legislation:	legislation:	legislation:	legislation:
		in gasmilon.	De Silvanion.	
	(a) the cases in which	(a) the cases in which	h (a) the cases in which	(a) the cases in which

OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 98 OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 98 OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 98

LIMITE EN ANNEX

a minor can make an application on his/her own behalf;	a minor can make an application on his/her own behalf;	a minor can make an application on his/her own behalf;	a minor can make an application on his/her own behalf;
(b) the cases in which the application of an unaccompanied minor has to be lodged by a representative as provided for in Article 25 \(\frac{1}{25}\) \(\frac{1}{25}\) \(\frac{1}{25}\) \(\frac{1}{25}\)	(b) the cases in which the application of an unaccompanied minor has to be lodged by a representative as provided for in Article 25 (1)(a);	(b) the cases in which the application of an unaccompanied minor has to be lodged by a representative as provided for in Article 25 (1)(a);	(b) the cases in which the application of an unaccompanied minor has to be lodged by a representative as provided for in Article 25 \frac{25}{47} (1)(a);
(c) the cases in which the lodging of an application for ⇒ international protection = asylum is deemed to constitute also the lodging of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇒ international protection asylum for any unmarried minor.		(c) the cases in which the lodging of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum is deemed to constitute also the lodging of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum for any unmarried minor.	(c) the cases in which the lodging of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum is deemed to constitute also the lodging of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum for any unmarried minor.

Article 8	Article 8	Article 8	Article 8 Outcome trilogues as part of overall compromise:
Information and counselling at border crossing points and in detention facilities	Information and counselling at border crossing points and in detention facilities	Information and counselling at border crossing points and in detention facilities	Information and counselling at border crossing points and in detention facilities
1. Member States shall ensure that information on the possibility to request international protection is available in detention facilities and at border crossing points, including transit zones, at external borders. Member States shall provide interpretation arrangements to the extent necessary to facilitate access to procedure in these areas.	1. Member States shall ensure that information on the possibility to request international protection is available in detention facilities and at border crossing points, including transit zones, at external borders. Member States shall provide interpretation arrangements to the extent necessary to facilitate access to procedure in these areas.	1. Member States shall ensure that information on the possibility to request international protection is available in detention facilities and at border crossing points, including transit zones, at external borders , in response to an enquiry from the third country national or stateless person in this respect. In these detention facilities and border areas, Member States shall provide interpretation arrangements to the extent necessary to	1. Where there are indications that third-country nationals or stateless persons detained in detention facilities or present at border crossings, including transit zones at external borders, may wish to make a request for international protection, Member States shall provide them with \$\int_{\text{.in}}\$ response to an enquiry from the third country national or stateless

		facilitate access to the procedure concerning international protection [] [] []	person in this respect ← information on the possibility to do so request international protection. ☐ In these detention facilities and border areas, ← Member States shall provide interpretation arrangements to the extent necessary to facilitate access to ☐ the ← procedure ☐ concerning international protection ← ☐ [] ←.
ensure that organisations providing advice and counselling to applicants for international protection have access to the border crossing points, including transit zones, at external borders. Member States may provide for rules covering the presence of such organizations in these areas and that such access is subject to an agreement with the	2. Member States shall ensure that organisations providing legal assistance and/or representation to applicants for international protection have swift access to the border crossing points, including transit zones, and detention facilities at external borders. Member States may provide for rules covering the presence of such organizations in	ensure that organisations and persons providing advice and counselling to applicants for international protection have access to the applicants present at border crossing points, including transit zones, at external borders. Member States may provide for rules covering the presence of such organizations and persons including transit zones.	2. Member States shall ensure that organisations and persons providing advice and counselling to applicants for international protection have effective access to the applicants present points, including transit zones, at external borders. Member States may provide for rules

competent authorities of	these areas, as long as	these areas <u>and in</u>	covering the presence of
the Member State.	they do not limit access	particular that access is	such organizations
	by applicants to advice	subject to an agreement	and persons
	and counselling.	with the competent	these areas and in
		authorities of the	particular that access is
		Member State. C	subject to an agreement
		⊃ ⊃ [] ⊂ ⊃ The	with the competent
		rules may also impose C	authorities of the
		limitations \supset [] \subset	Member State. C
		due to the security,	
		public order and	on access may be
		administrative	imposed only, where,
		management of	by virtue of national
		⊃[] C ⊃ the area C	law, they are
		$\underline{\text{concerned}} \subset \mathcal{I}[] \subset \mathcal{I}$	objectively necessary
			for The rules may also
			impose 🗲 limitations
			→ <u>{</u> } ← → <u>due to</u>
			the security, public
			order or and
			administrative
			management of
			⊃[] C ⊃ the area C
			concerned provided
			that access is not
			the reby severely
			limited or rendered
			$\underline{impossible} \supset \underline{[\ldots]} \subset .$

	Article <u>9</u> <u>₹</u>	Article <u>9</u>	Article <u>9</u> <u>₹</u>	
	to remain in the Member State ng the examination of the application	Right to remain in the Member State pending the examination of the application	Right to remain in the Member State pending the examination of the application	
1.	Applicants shall be allowed to remain in the Member State, for the sole purpose of the procedure, until the determining authority has made a decision in accordance with the procedures at first instance set out in Chapter III. This right to remain shall not constitute an entitlement to a residence permit.	1. Applicants shall be allowed to remain in the Member State, for the sole purpose of the procedure, until the determining authority has made a <i>final</i> decision, <i>including in cases where an applicant lodges an appeal, and for as long as a competent court or tribunal so authorises</i> . This right to remain shall not constitute an entitlement to a residence permit.	1. Applicants shall be allowed to remain in the Member State, for the sole purpose of the procedure, until the determining authority has made a decision in accordance with the procedures at first instance set out in Chapter III. This right to remain shall not constitute an entitlement to a residence permit.	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text for Article 9(1)
2.	Member States can make an exception only where , in accordance with Articles 32 and 34, ⇒ a person makes ← a subsequent application	2. Member States can make an exception only where a person makes a subsequent application referred to in Article 41 or where they will	2. Member States can make an exception only where ; in accordance with Articles 32 and 34, ⇒ a person makes ⇔ a subsequent application	Pending

⇒ referred to in 41 ⇔ will not be examined or wh will surrender of extradite, as appreciate a person either to Member State possible to obligations in accordance with European arrest or otherwise, or country, ⇒ with exception of the of origin of the concerned, ⇔ of international criticals.	ere they r propriate, to another pursuant a a a a warrant to a third a the e country applicant r to iminal	surrender or extradite, as appropriate, a person either to another Member State pursuant to obligations in accordance with a European arrest warrant or otherwise, or to a third country, with the exception of the country of origin of the applicant concerned, or to international criminal courts or tribunals.		⇒ referred to in Article 41 ⇔ will not be further examined or where they will surrender or extradite, as appropriate, a person either to another Member State pursuant to obligations in accordance with a European arrest warrant² or otherwise, or to a third country ⊃ [] ← or to international criminal courts or tribunals.	
3. A Member State extradite an app a third country p to paragraph 2 c where the comp authorities are s that an extradition decision will no direct or indirect refoulement in the state of	pursuant only etent atisfied on t result in	A Member State may extradite an applicant to a third country pursuant to paragraph 2 only where an extradition decision will not result in direct or indirect refoulement in violation of international obligations of the	3.	A Member State may extradite an applicant to a third country pursuant to paragraph 2 only where the competent authorities are satisfied that an extradition decision will not result in direct or indirect refoulement in violation	Outcome trilogue: take Cion / Council text.

Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States (OJ L 190, 18.7.2002, p. 1).

ANNEX POR LIMITE EN

² Council Framework Decision 2002/584/JHA of 13 June 2002 on the European arrest warrant and the surrender procedures between Member States (OJ L 190, 18.7.2002, p. 1).

of international obligations of the Member State.	Member State or expose the applicant to inhuman or degrading treatment upon arrival in the third country.	of international obligations of the Member State.	
Article <u>10</u> <u>&</u>	Article <u>10</u>	Article <u>10</u> <u>&</u>	
Requirements for the examination of applications	Requirements for the examination of applications	Requirements for the examination of applications	
1. Without prejudice to Article 23(4)(i), Member States shall ensure that applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum are neither rejected nor excluded from examination on the sole ground that they have not been made as soon as possible.	1. Member States shall ensure that applications for international protection are neither rejected nor excluded from examination on the sole ground that they have not been made as soon as possible.	1. Without prejudice to Article 23(4)(i), Member States shall ensure that applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum are neither rejected nor excluded from examination on the sole ground that they have not been made as soon as possible.	Identical.
2. When examining applications for international protection, the determining authority shall first determine whether the applicants qualify as refugees and, if not, determine whether	2. When examining applications for international protection, the determining authority shall first determine whether the applicants qualify as refugees and, if not, determine whether	2. When examining applications for international protection, the determining authority shall first determine whether the applicants qualify as refugees and, if not, determine whether	<u>Identical</u>

	the applicants are eligible for subsidiary protection.	the applicants are eligible for subsidiary protection.	the applicants are eligible for subsidiary protection.	
<u>3.</u> ≧	Member States shall ensure that decisions by the determining authority on applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum are taken after an appropriate examination. To that end, Member States shall ensure that:	3. Member States shall ensure that decisions by the determining authority on applications for international protection are taken after an appropriate examination. To that end, Member States shall ensure that:	Member States shall ensure that decisions by the determining authority on applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum are taken after an appropriate examination. To that end, Member States shall ensure that:	<u>Identical</u>
	(a) applications are examined and decisions are taken individually, objectively and impartially;	(a) applications are examined and decisions are taken individually, objectively and impartially;	(a) applications are examined and decisions are taken individually, objectively and impartially;	<u>Identical</u>
	(b) precise and up-to-date information is obtained from various sources, such as the ⇒ European Asylum Support Office and the ⇔ United Nations High	(b) precise and up-to-date information is obtained from various sources, such as the European Asylum Support Office, the United Nations High Commissioner for	(b) precise and up-to-date information is obtained from various sources, such as the ⇒ European Asylum Support Office and the ⇔ United Nations High	Outcome trilogues (b) precise and up-to-date information is obtained from various sources, such as the European Asylum Support Office, the United Nations

Commissioner Refugees (UNHCR), as		Refugees (UNHCR) and international		Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR), as to	High Commissioner for Refugees
the general	0	human rights		the general	(UNHCR) and
situation prevaling the countries origin of applications are taking decision.	of ants, ry, they and to	organisations, as to the general situation prevailing in the countries of origin of applicants and, where necessary, in countries through which they have transited, and that such information is made available to the personnel responsible for examining applications and taking decisions.		situation prevailing in the countries of origin of applicants for asylum and, where necessary, in countries through which they have transited, and that such information is made available to the personnel responsible for examining applications and taking decisions;	relevant international human rights organisations, as to the general situation prevailing in the countries of origin of applicants and, where necessary, in countries through which they have transited, and that such information is made available to the personnel responsible for examining applications and
(c) the personnel	(c)	the personnel	(c)	the personnel	taking decisions. Outcome trilogues: take
examining applications ar taking decision have the knowledge wit respect to relevatandards	s n	examining applications and taking decisions have the knowledge with respect to relevant standards		examining applications and taking decisions have the knowledge with respect to relevant standards	Cion/Council text.

applicable in the field of asylum and refugee law; ₹		applicable in the field of asylum and refugee law as well as human rights law and have completed the initial and follow-up training programme referred to in Article 4(1);	applicable in the field of asylum and refugee law:	
examining applications and taking decisions are instructed and have the possibility to seek advice, whenever necessary, from experts on particular issues, such as medical, cultural, religious, child-related or gender issues.	(d)	the personnel examining applications and taking decisions are instructed and have the possibility to seek advice, whenever necessary, from experts on particular issues, such as medical, cultural, religious, child-related, gender or sexual orientation issues.	(d) the personnel examining applications and taking decisions □ [] Chave the possibility to seek advice, whenever necessary, from experts on particular issues, such as medical, cultural, religious, child-related or gender issues.	Pending .
	(e)	the applicant and his/her legal advisor have access to		Outcome trilogues: include EP AM in Article 12(1)(d).

			information provided by the experts referred to in point (d).			
<u>4.</u> <u>3.</u>	The authorities referred to in Chapter V shall, through the determining authority or the applicant or otherwise, have access to the general information referred to in paragraph $\underline{3} \cong (b)$, necessary for the fulfilment of their task.	4.	The authorities referred to in Chapter V shall, through the determining authority or the applicant or otherwise, have access to the general information referred to in paragraph 3(b), necessary for the fulfilment of their task.	<u>4.3.</u>	The authorities referred to in Chapter V shall, through the determining authority or the applicant or otherwise, have access to the general information referred to in paragraph $\underline{3} \underline{\ge}(b)$, necessary for the fulfilment of their task.	Identical
<u>5.</u> 4.	Member States ⇒ shall ⇔ may provide for rules concerning the translation of documents relevant for the examination of applications.	5.	Member States shall provide for rules concerning the translation of documents relevant for the examination of applications.	<u>5.4.</u>	Member States ⇒ shall ← may provide for rules concerning the translation of documents relevant for the examination of applications.	<u>Identical</u>
	Article <u>11</u> <u>₽</u>		Article <u>11</u>		Article <u>11 g</u>	
_	rements for a decision by determining authority	·		Requirements for a decision by the determining authority		
1.	Member States shall ensure that decisions on applications for	1.	Member States shall ensure that decisions on applications for	1.	Member States shall ensure that decisions on applications for	Identical

	⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum are given in writing.	international protection are given in writing.	⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum are given in writing.	
2.	Member States shall also ensure that, where an application is rejected ⇒ with regard to refugee status and/or subsidiary protection status ⇐, the reasons in fact and in law are stated in the decision and information on how to challenge a negative decision is given in writing.	2. Member States shall also ensure that, where an application is rejected or granted with regard to refugee status and/or subsidiary protection status, the reasons in fact and in law are clearly stated in the decision and information on how to challenge a negative decision is given in writing at the time of issuing the decision and signed upon receipt by the recipient.	2. Member States shall also ensure that, where an application is rejected ⇒ with regard to refugee status and/or subsidiary protection status ⇔, the reasons in fact and in law are stated in the decision and information on how to challenge a negative decision is given in writing.	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text.
	Member States need not state the reasons for not granting refugee status in a decision where the applicant is granted a status which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Community law as the refugee status by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC. In these cases, Member		Member States need not state the reasons for not granting refugee status in a decision where the applicant is granted a status which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Community law as the refugee status by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC. In these cases, Member	

	States shall ensure that the reasons for not granting refugee status		States shall ensure that the reasons for not granting refugee status	
	are stated in the applicant's file and that the applicant has, upon request, access to his/her file.		are stated in the applicant's file and that the applicant has, upon request, access to his/her file.	
	Moreover, Member States need not provide information on how to challenge a negative decision in writing in conjunction with a decision where the applicant has been provided with this information at an earlier stage either in writing or by electronic means accessible to the applicant.		Moreover, Member States need not provide information on how to challenge a negative decision in writing in conjunction with a decision where the applicant has been provided with this information at an earlier stage either in writing or by electronic means accessible to the applicant.	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text.
3.	For the purposes of Article 7(2) 6(3), and whenever the application is based on the same grounds, Member States may take one single decision, covering all dependants ⇒, unless this would lead to the	3. For the purposes of Article 7(2), and whenever the application is based on the same grounds, Member States may take one single decision, covering all dependants, unless this would lead to the	3. For the purposes of Article 7(2) 6(3), and whenever the application is based on the same grounds, Member States may take one single decision, covering all dependants ⇒, unless this would lead to the	Outcome trilogues: take EP text. 3. For the purposes of Article 7(2), and whenever the application is based on the same grounds, Member States may take one single decision, covering all

disclosure of particular circumstances of an applicant which could jeopardize his/her interests, in particular in cases involving gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or age based persecution \(\sigma. \)	circumstances of an applicant which could jeopardize his/her interests, in particular in cases involving gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or circumstances of an applicant which could jeopardize his/her interests, in particular in cases involving gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or		dependants, unless this would lead to the disclosure of particular circumstances of an applicant which could jeopardize his/her interests, in particular in cases involving gender, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or age based persecution. In such cases, a separate decision shall be issued to the person concerned.	
Article <u>12 10</u>	Article <u>12</u>	Article <u>12 10</u>	Outcome trilogues: take compromise text for Article 12 below.	
Guarantees for applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ as ylum	Guarantees for applicants for international protection	Guarantees for applicants for ⇒ international protection		
With respect to the procedures provided for in Chapter III, Member States shall ensure that	With respect to the procedures provided for in Chapter III, Member States shall ensure that	1. With respect to the procedures provided for in Chapter III, Member States shall ensure that	Identical.	

all applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum enjoy the following guarantees:	all applicants for international protection enjoy the following guarantees:	all applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum enjoy the following guarantees:	
(a) they shall be informed in a language which they ⇒ understand or ⇔ ⊠ are ⊠ may reasonably be supposed to understand of the procedure to be followed and of their rights and obligations during the procedure and the possible consequences of not complying with their obligations and not cooperating with the authorities. They shall be informed of the time-frame, as well as the means at their disposal for fulfilling the obligation to	(a) they shall be informed in a language which they understand or are reasonably supposed to understand of the procedure to be followed and of their rights and obligations during the procedure and the possible consequences of not complying with their obligations and not cooperating with the authorities. They shall be informed of the time-frame, the means at their disposal for fulfilling the obligation to submit the	(a) they shall be informed in a language which they ⇒ understand or ⇒ way reasonably be supposed to understand of the procedure to be followed and of their rights and obligations during the procedure and the possible consequences of not complying with their obligations and not cooperating with the authorities. They shall be informed of the time-frame, as well as the means at their disposal for fulfilling the obligation to	Identical.

	submit the	elements as	submit the	
	elements as	referred to in	elements as	
	referred to in	Article 4 of	referred to in	
	Article 4 of	Directive [//E	Article 4 of	
	Directive [/ <u>E</u>	U] [the	Directive <u>[//E</u>	
	<u>U] [the</u>	Qualification	<u>U] [the</u>	
	Qualification	Directive], as well	Qualification	
	<u>Directive</u>]	as of the	<u>Directive</u>]	
	2004/83/EC ⇒, as	consequences of an	2004/83/EC ⇒, as	
	well as of the	explicit or implicit	well as of the	
	consequences of an	withdrawal of the	consequences of an	
	explicit or implicit	application. This	explicit or implicit	
	withdrawal of the	information shall	withdrawal of the	
	application ←.	be given in time to	application ←.	
	This information	enable them to	This information	
	shall be given in	exercise the rights	shall be given in	
	time to enable	guaranteed in this	time to enable	
	them to exercise	Directive and to	them to exercise	
	the rights	comply with the	the rights	
	guaranteed in this	obligations	guaranteed in this	
	Directive and to	described in	Directive and to	
	comply with the	Article 13;	comply with the	
	obligations		obligations	
	described in		described in	
	Article <u>13</u> <u>#</u> ;		Article <u>13</u> <u>₩</u> ;	
(b)	they shall receive (b) they shall receive	(b) they shall receive	Identical.
	the services of an	the services of an	the services of an	iconticui.
	interpreter for	interpreter for	interpreter for	
	submitting their	submitting their	submitting their	
	case to the	case to the	case to the	
	competent authorities	competent authorities	competent authorities	
	aumorides	aumoriues	aumonues	

whenever	whenever	whenever	
necessary. Member	necessary. Member	necessary. Member	
States shall	States shall	States shall	
consider it	consider it	consider it	
necessary to give	necessary to give	necessary to give	
these services at	these services at	these services at	
least when the	least when the	least when the	
determining	applicant is to be	determining	
authority calls	interviewed as	authority calls	
upon the applicant	referred to in	upon the applicant	
is ≤ to be	Articles 14, 15, 16,	is ≤ to be	
interviewed as	17 and 34 and	interviewed as	
referred to in	appropriate	referred to in	
Articles <u>14, 15, 12</u>	communication	Articles <u>14, 15, 12</u>	
<u>and 13</u> ⇒ 16, 17	cannot be ensured	and 13 ⇒ 16, 17	
and 34 ← and	without such	and 34 ← and	
appropriate	services. In this	appropriate	
communication	case and in other	communication	
cannot be ensured	cases where the	cannot be ensured	
without such	competent	without such	
services. In this	authorities call	services. In this	
case and in other	upon the applicant,	case and in other	
cases where the	these services shall	cases where the	
competent	be paid for out of	competent	
authorities call	public funds;	authorities call	
upon the applicant,	,	upon the applicant,	
these services shall		these services shall	
be paid for out of		be paid for out of	
public funds;		public funds;	
puone runus,		puone runus,	
(c) they shall not be	(c) they shall not be	(c) they shall not be	Identical.
denied the	denied the	denied the	
opportunity to	opportunity to	opportunity to	

communicate with the UNHCR or with any other organisation ⇒ providing legal advice or counselling to applicants for international protection in accordance with the national law of ⇔ working on behalf of the UNHCR in the territory of the Member State pursuant to an	communicate with the UNHCR or with any other organisation providing legal advice or counselling to applicants for international protection in accordance with the national law of that Member State;	communicate with the UNHCR or with any other organisation ⇒ providing legal advice or counselling to applicants for international protection in accordance with the national law of ⇔ working on behalf of the UNHCR in the territory of the Member State pursuant to an	
that Member State; (d) they and, if applicable, their legal advisers shall not be denied access to the information referred to in Article 10(3)(b), where the determining authority takes that information into	(d) they and, if applicable, their legal advisers shall not be denied access to the information referred to in Article 10(3)(b), where the determining authority takes that information into	that Member State; (d) they and, if applicable, their legal advisers in accordance with Article 23(1) shall not be denied access to the information referred to in Article 10(3)(b), where the determining	(d) they and, if applicable, their legal advisers in accordance with Article 23(1) shall not be denied access to the information referred to in Article 10(3)(b) and to the information

consideration for the purpose of taking a decision on their application;	consideration for the purpose of taking a decision on their application;	authority <u>has</u> taken <u>C</u> <u>[]</u> Cthat information into consideration for the purpose of taking a decision on their application;	provided by the experts referred to in Article 10(3)(d), where the determining authority has taken \(\) \[\sum_{\text{l}} \] \[\text{Cthat} \] information into consideration for the purpose of taking a decision on their application; \[\text{Modification of art 12(1)d) in} \] response to EP AM on 10(3)(e)
(e de	(e) they shall be given notice in reasonable time of the decision by the determining authority on their application for international protection. If a legal adviser or other counsellor is legally representing the applicant, Member States may choose	(ed) they shall be given notice in reasonable time of the decision by the determining authority on their application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum. If a legal adviser or other counsellor is legally representing the applicant, Member	<u>Identical</u> .

States may choose to give notice of the decision to him/her instead of to the applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum;	to give notice of the decision to him/her instead of to the applicant for international protection;	States may choose to give notice of the decision to him/her instead of to the applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum;	
(f e) they shall be informed of the result of the decision by the determining authority in a language that they ⇒ understand or ⇒ which was are ⋈ may reasonably be supposed to understand when they are not assisted or represented by a legal adviser or other counsellor and when free legal assistance is not available. The information provided shall include information on	(f) they shall be informed of the result of the decision by the determining authority in a language that they understand or are reasonably supposed to understand when they are not assisted or represented by a legal adviser or other counsellor. The information provided shall include information on how to challenge a negative decision in accordance with the provisions of	(fe) they shall be informed of the result of the decision by the determining authority in a language that they ⇒ understand or ⇒ ≥ are ≥ may reasonably be supposed to understand when they are not assisted or represented by a legal adviser or other counsellor and when free legal assistance is not available. The information provided shall include information on	Identical.

	how to challenge a negative decision in accordance with the provisions of Article $\underline{11(2)}$ $\underline{9(2)}$.	Article 11(2).	how to challenge a negative decision in accordance with the provisions of Article 11(2) 9(2).	
2.	With respect to the procedures provided for in Chapter V, Member States shall ensure that all applicants for asylum enjoy equivalent guarantees to the ones referred to in paragraph 1(b), (c) ▷, (d) ⇐ and (e d) of this Article.	2. With respect to the procedures provided for in Chapter V, Member States shall ensure that all applicants enjoy equivalent guarantees to the ones referred to in paragraph 1(b), (c), (d) and (e) of this Article.	2. With respect to the procedures provided for in Chapter V, Member States shall ensure that all applicants for asylum enjoy equivalent guarantees to the ones referred to in paragraph 1(b), (c) ▷, (d) ⇐ and (e e) of this Article.	Identical
	Article <u>13 11</u>	Article <u>13</u>	Article <u>13</u> <u>11</u>	
	gations of the applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum	Obligations of the applicants for international protection	Obligations of the applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum	
1.	⇒ Member States shall impose upon applicants for international protection the obligation to cooperate with the competent authorities with a view to establishing their identity	1. Member States shall impose upon applicants for international protection the obligation to cooperate with the competent authorities and to assist, to the extent of their physical	1. ⇒ Member States shall impose upon applicants for international protection the obligation to cooperate with the competent authorities with a view to establishing their identity	Outcome trilogues: take Council text. Related to Article 24.

and other elements
referred to in Article 4(2)
of Directive [.../.../EU]
[the Qualification
Directive]. ← Member
States may impose upon
applicants
for asylum

→ other ← obligations to
cooperate with the
competent authorities
insofar as these
obligations are necessary
for the processing of the
application.

and psychological capacities, in clarifying the situation and to reveal their identity, nationality and other elements referred to in Article 4(2) of Directive [.../.../EU] [the Qualification Directive] to the competent authorities. If they are not in possession of a valid passport or a document in lieu of a passport, applicants shall be required to cooperate in obtaining an identity document. So long as applicants are permitted to remain in the Member State under international protection during the consideration of the application, they shall not be required to enter into contact with authorities of their country of origin if there is reason to fear persecution by that state. Member States may impose upon

and other elements
referred to in Article 4(2)
of Directive [.../.../EU]
[the Qualification
Directive]. ← Member
States may impose upon
applicants for asylum
⇒ other ← obligations to
cooperate with the
competent authorities
insofar as these
obligations are necessary
for the processing of the
application.

			o v a tl n p	pplicants other bligations to cooperate with the competent uthorities insofar as nese obligations are ecessary for the pplication.				
2.	-	articular, Member es may provide that:		n particular, Member tates may provide that:	2.	-	articular, Member es may provide that:	Identical.
	(a)	applicants for asylum are required to report to the competent authorities or to appear before them in person, either without delay or at a specified time;	(a) applicants are required to report to the competent authorities or to appear before them in person, either without delay or at a specified time;		(a)	applicants for asylum are required to report to the competent authorities or to appear before them in person, either without delay or at a specified time;	Identical.
	(b)	applicants for asylum have to hand over documents in their possession relevant to the examination of the application, such as their passports;	(b) applicants have to hand over documents in their possession relevant to the examination of the application, such as their passports;		(b)	applicants for asylum have to hand over documents in their possession relevant to the examination of the application, such as their passports;	Identical.
	(c)	applicants for	(c) applicants are		(c)	applicants for	Identical.

		1				T	
	asylum are		required to inform		asylum are		
	required to inform		the competent		required to inform		
	the competent		authorities of their		the competent		
	authorities of their		current place of		authorities of their		
	current place of		residence or		current place of		
	residence or		address and of any		residence or		
	address and of any		changes thereof as		address and of any		
	changes thereof as		soon as possible.		changes thereof as		
	soon as possible.		Member States		soon as possible.		
	Member States		may provide that		Member States		
	may provide that		the applicant shall		may provide that		
	the applicant shall		have to accept any		the applicant shall		
	have to accept any		communication at		have to accept any		
	communication at		the most recent		communication at		
	the most recent		place of residence		the most recent		
	place of residence		or address which		place of residence		
	or address which		he/she indicated		or address which		
	he/she indicated		accordingly;		he/she indicated		
	accordingly;		accordingly,		accordingly;		
	accordingly,				accordingly,		
(d)	the competent	(d)	the competent	(d)	the competent	Pending	
	authorities may		authorities may	(3)	authorities may		
	search the		search the		search the	Presidency su	iggestion:
	applicant and the		applicant and the		applicant and the		<u> </u>
	items he/she		items he/she		items he/she	(d)	the competent
	carries with		carries with		carries with	, ,	authorities may
	him/her ⇒,		him/her, provided		him/her ⇒ ೨ .		search the
	provided the search		the search is		Whenever		applicant and the
	is carried out by a		carried out by a		possible, a search		items he/she
	person of the same		person of the same		of the applicant's		carries with
	1		sex <i>who is</i>				him/her
	sex ⇐;				person shall be		⇒ > Without
			sensitive to the		⊃[] ⊂ carried		prejudice to any
			applicant's age		out by a person of		prejudice to any

and culture and fully respects the principle of human dignity and physical and mental integrity;	the same sex ← ;	search carried out for security reasons. Whenever possible, a search of the applicant's person in the application of this Directive shall be C [] C
		carried out by a person of the same sex in full respect of the principles of human dignity and of physical and mental integrity; EP suggestion (d) the competent authorities may search the applicant and the items he/she carries
		with him/her $\Rightarrow \bigcirc$. A search of the applicant's person shall be \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc carried out by a person of the same sex in full respect of the principles of human dignity and of physical and mental integrity \bigcirc ;

(e)	the competent authorities may take a photograph of the applicant; and	(e)	the competent authorities may take a photograph of the applicant; and	(e)	the competent authorities may take a photograph of the applicant; and	Identica	<u>l</u> .
(f)	the competent authorities may record the applicant's oral statements, provided he/she has previously been informed thereof.	(f)	the competent authorities may record the applicant's oral statements, provided he/she has previously been informed thereof.	(f)	the competent authorities may record the applicant's oral statements, provided he/she has previously been informed thereof.	Identica	<u>l</u> .
Art	Article <u>14</u> 12		rticle <u>14</u>	Art	icle <u>14 12</u>	_	e trilogues: take the mise text of Article 14
							Article <u>14 12</u>
Perso	nal interview	Persoi	nal interview	Persoi	nal interview	I	Personal interview
taker autho for a the o	ore a decision is n by the determining ority, the applicant sylum shall be given opportunity of a onal interview on	taken autho shall oppor	re a decision is a by the determining prity, the applicant be given the rtunity of a personal view on his/her	taker autho for a the o	re a decision is a by the determining prity, the applicant sylum shall be given pportunity of a ponal interview on	1.	Before a decision is taken by the determining authority, the applicant for asylum shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview on

his/her application for ⇒ international protection ← asylum with a person competent under national law to conduct such an interview. ⇒ Interviews on the substance of the application for international protection shall be conducted by the personnel of the determining authority. ←	application for international protection in a language which he/she understands with a person competent under national law to conduct such an interview. Interviews on the admissibility of an application for international protection and on the substance of the application for international protection shall be conducted by the personnel of the determining authority.	his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum with a person competent under national law to conduct such an interview. ⇒ Interviews on the substance of the application for international protection shall be conducted by the personnel of the determining authority. ⇔	his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum with a person competent under national law to conduct such an interview. ⇒ Interviews on the substance of the application for international protection shall be conducted by the personnel of the determining authority. ⇔
		This paragraph shall be without prejudice to Article 42(2)(b).	This paragraph shall be without prejudice to Article 42(2)(b).
Member States may also give the opportunity of a personal interview to each dependant adult referred to in Article 6(3).		Member States may also give the opportunity of a personal interview to each dependant adult referred to in Article 6(3).	
Where a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request	Where a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request	Where a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request	Where a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request international protection, which

international protection, which makes it impossible in practice for the determining authority to conduct timely interviews on the substance of an application, Member States may provide that the personnel of another authority be temporarily involved in conducting such interviews. In such cases, the personnel of that authority shall receive in advance the necessary training which shall include the elements listed in Article 6(4)(a) to (e) of Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 and in Article 18(5) of this Directive.

international protection, which makes it impossible in practice for the determining authority to conduct timely interviews on the substance of an application, Member States may provide that the personnel of another authority be temporarily involved in conducting such interviews after notification to the Commission of the reasons why it is impossible in practice for the determining authority to conduct those interviews within a time frame that enables the determining authority to conclude the procedure within the time-limit as laid down in Article 31(3). In such cases, the personnel of that authority shall receive in advance the necessary training which shall include the elements listed in Article 6(4)(a) to (e) of

international protection, which makes it impossible in practice for the determining authority to conduct timely interviews on the substance of an application, Member States may provide that the personnel of another authority be temporarily involved in conducting such interviews. In such cases, the personnel of that authority shall receive in advance the necessary training which shall include the elements listed in Article 6(4)(a) to (e) of Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 and in Article 18(5) of this Directive.

makes it impossible in practice for the determining authority to conduct timely interviews on the substance of an application, Member States may provide that the personnel of another authority be temporarily involved in conducting such interviews. In such cases, the personnel of that authority shall receive in advance the relevant training which shall include the elements listed in Article 6(4)(a) to (e) of Regulation (EU) No 439/2010. Persons interviewing applicants pursuant to this Directive shall also have acquired general knowledge of problems which could adversely affect the applicants' ability to be interviewed, such as indications of possible past torture.

			Regulation (EU) No 439/2010 and in Article 18(5) of this Directive as well as the training established and developed by the European Asylum Support Office.				
	Where a person has made an application for international protection on behalf of his/her dependants, each adult concerned shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.		Where a person has made an application for international protection on behalf of his/her dependants, each adult concerned shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.		Where a person has made an application for international protection on behalf of his/her dependants, each adult concerned shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.		Where a person has made an application for international protection on behalf of his/her dependants, each adult concerned shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.
	Member States may determine in national legislation the cases in which a minor shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.		Member States shall determine in national legislation the cases in which a minor shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview, taking due account of the child's best interests and special needs.		Member States may determine in national legislation the cases in which a minor shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.		Member States may determine in national legislation the cases in which a minor shall be given the opportunity of a personal interview.
2.	The personal interview on the substance of the application may be omitted where:	2.	The personal interview on the substance of the application may be omitted where:	2.	The personal interview on the substance of the application may be omitted where:	2.	The personal interview on the substance of the application may be omitted where:

(a) the determining authority is able to take a positive decision ⇒ with regard to refugee status ⇔ on the basis of evidence available; or	(a) the determining authority is able to take a positive decision with regard to refugee status on the basis of evidence available; or	(a) the determining authority is able to take a positive decision ⇒ with regard to refugee status ⇔ on the basis of evidence available; or	(a) the determining authority is able to take a positive decision ⇒ with regard to refugee status ⇔ on the basis of evidence available; or
(b) the competent authority has already had a meeting with the applicant for the purpose of assisting him/her with completing his/her application and submitting the essential information regarding the application, in terms of Article 4(2) of Directive 2004/83/EC; or		(b) the competent authority has already had a meeting with the applicant for the purpose of assisting him/her with completing his/her application and submitting the essential information regarding the application, in terms of Article 4(2) of Directive 2004/83/EC; or	
(c)—the determining authority, on the basis of a complete examination of information		(c)—the determining authority, on the basis of a complete examination of information	

provided by the applicant, considers the application to be unfounded in cases where the circumstances mentioned in Article 23(4)(a), (c), (g), (h) and (j) apply.		provided by the applicant, considers the application to be unfounded in cases where the circumstances mentioned in Article 23(4)(a), (c), (g), (h) and (j) apply.	
3. The personal interview may also be omitted where		3. The personal interview may also be omitted where	
(b) it is not reasonably practicable, in particular where the ⇒ determining ⇔ competent authority is of the opinion that the applicant is unfit or unable to be interviewed owing to enduring circumstances beyond his/her control. When in doubt, ⇒ the determining	(b) the determining authority is of the opinion that the applicant is unfit or unable to be interviewed owing to enduring circumstances beyond his/her control. When in doubt, the determining authority shall consult a medical expert to establish whether the condition that	(b) it is not reasonably practicable, in particular where the ⇒ determining ⇔ competent authority is of the opinion that the applicant is unfit or unable to be interviewed owing to enduring circumstances beyond his/her control. When in doubt, ⇒ the determining	(b) it is not reasonably practicable, in particular where the ⇒ determining ⇔ empetent authority is of the opinion that the applicant is unfit or unable to be interviewed owing to enduring circumstances beyond his/her control. When in doubt, ⇒ the determining authority shall consult a medical professional to establish whether the condition that makes the applicant unfit or unable to be interviewed is temporary or ⊃ of

authority shall consult a medical expert to establish whether the condition that makes the applicant unfit or unable to be interviewed is temporary or permanent \(\square \frac{Member States}{may require a} \) medical or psychological certificate.	makes the applicant unfit or unable to be interviewed is temporary or permanent.	authority shall consult a medical expert to establish whether the condition that makes the applicant unfit or unable to be interviewed is temporary or of long-term nature conditions. The long-term nature conditions are may require a medical or psychological certificate.	enduring nature C [] [] C Member States may require a medical or psychological certificate.
Where ☒ a personal interview is not conducted ☒ the Member State does not provide the applicant with the opportunity for a personal interview pursuant to point (b) this paragraph, or where applicable, ☒ with ☒ to the dependant, reasonable efforts shall be made to allow the applicant or the dependant to submit	Where a personal interview is not conducted pursuant to point (b), or where applicable, with the dependant, the determining authority shall allow the applicant or the dependant to reschedule the personal interview and to submit further information.	Where \boxtimes a personal interview is not conducted \boxtimes the Member State does not provide the applicant with the opportunity for a personal interview pursuant to point (b) this paragraph, or where applicable, \boxtimes with \boxtimes to the dependant, reasonable efforts shall be made to allow the applicant or the dependant to submit	Where ⋈ a personal interview is not conducted ⋈ the Member State does not provide the applicant with the opportunity for a personal interview pursuant to point (b) this paragraph, or where applicable, ⋈ with ⋈ to the dependant, reasonable efforts shall be made to allow the applicant or the dependant to submit further information.

	further information.				further information.		
<u>3. ±</u>	The absence of a personal interview in accordance with this Article shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on an application for ⇒ international protection asylum.			<u>3.4.</u>	The absence of a personal interview in accordance with this Article shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum.	<u>3.4.</u>	The absence of a personal interview in accordance with this Article shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum.
<u>4. 5</u> .	The absence of a personal interview pursuant to paragraph 2(b) and (e) and paragraph 3 shall not adversely affect the decision of the determining authority.	4.	The absence of a personal interview pursuant to paragraph 2(b) shall not adversely affect the decision of the determining authority.	<u>4.5-</u> .	The absence of a personal interview pursuant to paragraph 2(b) and (e) and paragraph 3 shall not adversely affect the decision of the determining authority.	<u>4.5.</u> .	The absence of a personal interview pursuant to paragraph 2(b) and (e) and paragraph 3 shall not adversely affect the decision of the determining authority.
<u>5.</u> €	Irrespective of Article 28(1) 20(1), Member States, when deciding on the application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, may take into account the fact that the applicant failed to appear for the	5.	Irrespective of Article 28(1), Member States, when deciding on the application for international protection, may take into account the fact that the applicant failed to appear for the personal interview, unless he/she had good	<u>5.6.</u>	Irrespective of Article 28(1) 20(1), Member States, when deciding on the application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, may take into account the fact that the applicant failed to appear for the	<u>5.6.</u>	Irrespective of Article 28(1) 20(1), Member States, when deciding on the application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, may take into account the fact that the applicant failed to appear for the

	personal interview, unless he/she had good reasons for the failure to appear.	reasons for the failure to appear.	personal interview, unless he/she had good reasons for the failure to appear.	personal interview, unless he/she had good reasons for the failure to appear.
	Article <u>15</u> 13	Article <u>15</u>	Article <u>15</u> 1 €	Outcome trilogues: take compromise text for Article 15 below in combination with new recital (15a) included in response to EP AM related to professionals working with the procedures of this directive. Article 15 12
Requ	irements for a personal interview	Requirements for a personal interview	Requirements for a personal interview	Requirements for a personal interview
1.	A personal interview shall normally take place without the presence of family members unless the determining authority considers it necessary for an appropriate examination to have other family members present.	1. A personal interview shall normally take place without the presence of family members unless the determining authority considers it necessary for an appropriate examination to have other family members present.	without the presence of family members unless the determining authority	1. A personal interview shall normally take place without the presence of family members unless the determining authority considers it necessary for an appropriate examination to have other family members present
2.	A personal interview shall take place under	2. A personal interview shall take place under	2. A personal interview shall take place under	2. A personal interview shall take place under

	appropriate confidentiality.		appropriate confidentiality.		appropriate confidentiality.		appropriate confidentiality.
3.	Member States shall take appropriate steps to ensure that personal interviews are conducted under conditions which allow applicants to present the grounds for their applications in a comprehensive manner. To that end, Member States shall:	3.	Member States shall take appropriate steps to ensure that personal interviews are conducted under conditions which allow applicants to present the grounds for their applications in a comprehensive manner. To that end, Member States shall:	3.	Member States shall take appropriate steps to ensure that personal interviews are conducted under conditions which allow applicants to present the grounds for their applications in a comprehensive manner. To that end, Member States shall:	3.	Member States shall take appropriate steps to ensure that personal interviews are conducted under conditions which allow applicants to present the grounds for their applications in a comprehensive manner. To that end, Member States shall:
	(a) ensure that the person who conducts the interview is sufficiently competent to take account of the personal ⇒ and ⇒ expense general circumstances surrounding the application, including the applicant's cultural origin ⇒, gender, sexual orientation,		(a) ensure that the person who conducts the interview is qualified, trained and competent to take account of the personal and general circumstances surrounding the application, including the applicant's cultural origin, gender, sexual orientation,		(a) ensure that the person who conducts the interview is sufficiently competent to take account of the personal ⇒ and ⇔ general circumstances surrounding the application, including the applicant's cultural origin ⇒, gender,		(a) ensure that the person who conducts the interview is sufficiently competent to take account of the personal ⇒ and ⇒ general circumstances surrounding the application, including the applicant's cultural origin ⇒, gender,
	gender identity \hookrightarrow or vulnerability		gender identity or vulnerability		sexual orientation, gender identity ←		sexual orientation, gender identity ←

⇒ within the meaning of Article 22 of Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ← ; insofar as it is possible to do so; and	within the meaning of Article 22 of Directive [/EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive]	or vulnerability ⊃[] ⊂ , insofar as it is possible to do so; <u>and</u>	or vulnerability D [] C , insofar as it is possible to do so; <u>and</u>
(b) wherever possible, provide for the interview with the applicant to be conducted by a person of the same sex if the applicant concerned so requests;	(b) wherever possible, provide for the interview with the applicant to be conducted by a person of the same sex if the applicant concerned so requests;	wherever possible, provide for the interview with the applicant to be conducted by a person of the same sex if the applicant concerned so requests → unless the determining authority deems that ← → [] ← the request is → [] ← based on discriminatory → grounds ← → [] ← C;	wherever possible, provide for the interview with the applicant to be conducted by a person of the same sex if the applicant concerned so requests \(\sigma\) unless the determining authority has reasons to believe deems that \(\Circ\) \(\sigma\) [] \(\Circ\) the request is \(\sigma\) grounds which are not related to difficulties on the part of the applicant to

			present the grounds of his/her application in a comprehensive manner C
select a ⇒ competent ← interpreter who is able to ensure appropriate communication between the applicant and the person who conducts the interview. The communication ⇒ shall ← need	(c) select a competent interpreter who is able to ensure appropriate communication between the applicant and the person who conducts the interview and is required to comply with a code of conduct laying	select → an ← interpreter who is able to ensure appropriate communication between the applicant and the person who conducts the interview. The communication ⇒ shall ★ need	interpreter who is able to ensure appropriate communication between the applicant and the person who conducts the interview. The communication interpreter who is able to ensure appropriate communication between the applicant and the person who conducts the interview. The communication is shall interview. The communication is shall interview.
interview. The communication	required to comply with a code of	interview. The communication	interview. The communication

language preferred	<i>interpreter</i> . The	language preferred	language preferred
by the applicant for	communication	by the applicant for	by the applicant for
asylum	shall take place in	asylum	asylum
⊠ unless ≪ #	the language	⊠ unless ≪ #	⊠ unless ≪ #
there is another	preferred by the	there is another	there is another
language which	applicant unless	language which	language which
he/she may	there is another	he/she may	he/she may
reasonably be	language which	reasonably be	reasonably be
supposed to	he/she understands	supposed to	supposed to
understands and in	and in which	understands and in	understands and in
which he/she is	he/she is able to	which he/she is	which he/she is
able to	communicate clear	able to	able to
communicate	ly. Wherever	communicate	communicate
\Rightarrow clearly \Leftarrow .	possible, Member	\Rightarrow clearly \Leftarrow .	\Rightarrow clearly \Leftarrow .
⇒ Wherever	States shall provide	⇒ Wherever	⇒ Wherever
possible, Member	an interpreter of	possible, Member	possible, Member
States shall provide	the same sex if the	States shall provide	States shall provide
an interpreter of	applicant so	an interpreter of	an interpreter of
the same sex if the	requests;	the same sex if the	the same sex if the
applicant so		applicant so	applicant
requests ⇐;		requests <u>unless</u>	concerned so
		the determining	requests <u>unless</u>
		authority deems	the determining
		that C	authority has
		⊃ _ □ _[] c <u>the</u>	reasons to be lieve
		request is	deems that C
		⊃[…] Cbased on	⊃ <u>[]</u> ⊂ <u>the</u>
		discriminatory	request is
		grounds	○[…] ©based on
		<u>⊃[]</u>	<u>discriminatory</u>
			<u>grounds</u> which
			are not related to
			difficulties on the

			part of the applicant to present the grounds of his/her application in a comprehensive manner ℂ ⊃ [] CC ⇐;
(d) ensure that the person who conducts an interview on the substance of an application for international protection does not wear a military or law enforcement uniform;	(d) ensure that the person who conducts an interview on the substance of an application for international protection does not wear a uniform;	(d) ensure that the person who conducts an interview on the substance of an application for international protection does not wear a military or law enforcement uniform;	(d) ensure that the person who conducts an interview on the substance of an application for international protection does not wear a military or law enforcement uniform;
(e) ensure that interviews with minors are conducted in a child appropriate manner.	(e) ensure that interviews with minors are conducted in a child appropriate manner and by a person with the necessary knowledge of the	(e) ensure that interviews with minors are conducted in a child appropriate manner.	(e) ensure that interviews with minors are conducted in a child appropriate manner.

	special needs and rights of minors.		
4. Member States may provide for rules concerning the presence of third parties at a personal interview.	4. Member States may provide for rules concerning the presence of third parties at a personal interview.	4. Member States may provide for rules concerning the presence of third parties at a personal interview.	4. Member States may provide for rules concerning the presence of third parties at a personal interview.
5. This Article is also applicable to the meeting referred to in Article 12(2)(b).		5. This Article is also applicable to the meeting referred to in Article 12(2)(b).	
Article 16	Article 16	Article 16	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text.
Content of a personal interview	Content of a personal interview	Content of a personal interview	Content of a personal interview
When conducting a personal interview on the substance of an application for international protection, the determining authority shall ensure that the applicant is given an adequate opportunity to present elements needed to substantiate the application in accordance with	When conducting a personal interview on the substance of an application for international protection, the determining authority shall ensure that the questions addressed to the applicant are relevant to the assessment of whether he/she is in need of international protection in	When conducting a personal interview on the substance of an application for international protection, the determining authority shall ensure that the applicant is given an adequate opportunity to present elements needed to substantiate the application in accordance with	When conducting a personal interview on the substance of an application for international protection, the determining authority shall ensure that the applicant is given an adequate opportunity to present elements needed to substantiate the application in accordance with
Article 4 of Directive [//EU]	accordance with Directive	Article 4 of Directive [//EU]	Article 4 of Directive [//EU]

[the Qualification Directive] as completely as possible. This shall include the opportunity to give an explanation regarding elements which may be missing and/or any inconsistencies or contradictions in his/her statements.	[//EU] [the Qualification Directive] and that the applicant is given an adequate opportunity to present elements needed to substantiate the application as completely as possible. This shall include an adequate opportunity to give an explanation regarding elements which may be missing and/or any inconsistencies or contradictions in his/her statements.	[the Qualification Directive] as completely as possible. This shall include the opportunity to give an explanation regarding elements which may be missing and/or any inconsistencies or contradictions in his/her statements.	[the Qualification Directive] as completely as possible. This shall include the opportunity to give an explanation regarding elements which may be missing and/or any inconsistencies or contradictions in his/her statements.
Article 14		Article 14	
Status of the report of a personal interview in the procedure		Status of the report of a personal interview in the procedure	
1. Member States shall ensure that a written report is made of every personal interview, containing at least the essential information regarding the application, as presented by the applicant, in terms of Article 4(2) of Directive 2004/83/EC.		1. Member States shall ensure that a written report is made of every personal interview, containing at least the essential information regarding the application, as presented by the applicant, in terms of Article 4(2) of Directive 2004/83/EC.	

ensure that applicants	ensure that applicants	
have timely access to the	have timely access to the	
report of the personal interview. Where access	report of the personal interview. Where access	
is only granted after the	is only granted after the	
decision of the	decision of the	
determining authority,	determining authority,	
Member States shall ensure that access is	Member States shall ensure that access is	
possible as soon as	possible as soon as	
necessary for allowing	necessary for allowing	
an appeal to be prepared	an appeal to be prepared	
and lodged in due time.	and lodged in due time.	
3. Member States may	3. Member States may	
request the applicant's	request the applicant's	
approval of the contents	approval of the contents	
of the report of the	of the report of the	
personal interview.	personal interview.	
Where an applicant	Where an applicant	
refuses to approve the	refuses to approve the	
contents of the report, the reasons for this	contents of the report, the reasons for this	
the reasons for this refusal shall be entered	ine reasons for inis refusal shall be entered	
into the applicant's file.	into the applicant's file.	
The refusal of an applicant to approve the	The refusal of an applicant to approve the	
contents of the report	contents of the report	
shall not prevent the	shall not prevent the	
determining authority	determining-authority	
from taking a decision	from taking a decision	

on his/her application.		on his/her application.	
4. This Article is also applicable to the meeting referred to in Article 12(2)(b).		4. This Article is also applicable to the meeting referred to in Article 12(2)(b).	
Article 17	Article 17	Article 17	Pending
			EP can agree to Presidency suggestion for Article 17 in case Council accepts EP suggestion for a review clause in Article 50. Presidency might make additional (minor) proposal for redrafting
			Article 17
Report and recording of personal interviews	Transcript, <u>report</u> and recording of personal interviews	Report and recording of personal interviews	Report and recording of personal interviews
1. Member States shall ensure that a thorough report containing all substantial elements is made of every personal interview.	1. Member States shall ensure that a transcript is made of every personal interview, unless the interview is audio or audio-visually recorded.	1. Member States shall ensure that a thorough report containing all substantial elements is made of every personal interview.	1. Member States shall ensure that a thorough and factual report containing all substantial elements or a transcript is made of every personal interview.
2. Member States may	2. Member States may	2. Member States may	2. Member States may

provide for audio or audio-visual recording of the personal interview. In this case, Member States shall ensure that the recording of the personal interview is annexed to the report.	provide for audio or audio-visual recording of the personal interview with the consent of the applicant. In this case, in no way the refusal of an applicant to consent to the recording of his/her personal interview shall prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application and Member States shall ensure that the recording of the personal interview is admissible as evidence in procedures referred to in Chapter V.	provide for audio or audio-visual recording of the personal interview. In this case, Member States shall ensure that the recording of the personal interview is available in connection with the applicant's file of the operation.	provide for audio or audio-visual recording of the personal interview. In this case an audio or an audio visual recording of the interview is made, Member States shall ensure that the recording of the personal interview is available in connection with the applicant's file connection.
3. Member States shall ensure that the applicant has the opportunity to make comments and/or provide clarifications with regard to any mistranslations or misconceptions appearing in the report, at the end of the personal interview or within a specified time limit before the determining	3. Member States shall request the applicant's approval on the contents of the transcript at the end of the personal interview or within a specified time limit taking into account the nature of the applicable procedure for granting international protection before the determining authority takes a	3. Member States shall ensure that the applicant has the opportunity to make comments and/or provide clarifications with regard to any mistranslations or misconceptions appearing in the report, at the end of the personal interview or within a specified time limit before the determining	3. Member States shall ensure that the applicant has the opportunity to make comments and/or provide clarifications orally and/or in writing with regard to any mistranslations or misconceptions appearing in the report or the transcript, at the end of the personal interview or within a

authority takes a decision. To that end, Member States shall ensure that the applicant is fully informed of the content of the report, with the assistance of an interpreter if necessary. Member States shall then request the approval of the applicant on the content of the report.

decision. To that end,
Member States shall
ensure that the applicant
has the opportunity to
make comments and/or
provide clarifications
with regard to any
mistranslations or
misconceptions
appearing in the
transcript.

authority takes a decision.

Member States may provide that, when there is no recorded interview, the applicant has the opportunity to make comments and/or provide clarifications in the report. \bigcirc To that end, Member States shall ensure that the applicant is fully informed of the **⊃** [...] **⊂ ⊃** substantial elements **c** of the report as referred to in paragraph 1 **C**, with the assistance of an interpreter if necessary. Member States shall then request the **⊃** acknowledgement **⊂ ⊃** [...] **C**of the applicant on the content of the

report.

specified time limit before the determining authority takes a States may provide that, when there is no recorded interview, the applicant has the opportunity to make comments and/or provide clarifications in the report.
To that end, Member States shall ensure that the applicant is fully informed of the **content ⊃** [...] **C ⇒** substantial elements \bigcirc of the report as referred to in paragraph 1 or of the substantial elements of the transcript C, with the assistance of an interpreter if necessary. Member States shall then request the **⇒** [...] **⊜** of the applicant to confirm that on the content of the report or the transcript correctly reflects the interview.

	Member States need not request the applicant's approval on the content of the report if the interview is recorded in accordance with paragraph 2 and if the recording is admissible as evidence in procedures referred to in Chapter V.				Member States need not request the applicant's acknowledgement content of the report if the interview is recorded in accordance with paragraph 2 and if the recording is admissible as evidence in procedures referred to in Chapter V.		Member States need not request the applicant's confirmation that
4.	Where an applicant refuses to approve the content of the report, the reasons for this refusal shall be entered into the applicant's file.	4.	Where an applicant refuses to approve the <i>transcript</i> , the reasons for this refusal shall be entered into the applicant's file.	4.	Where an applicant refuses to acknowledge [] The content of the report, the reasons for this refusal shall be entered into the applicant's file.	4.	Where an applicant refuses to confirm that acknowledge the content of the report or the transcript correctly reflects the interview, the reasons for this refusal shall be entered into the applicant's file.
	The refusal of an applicant to approve the content of the report shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the		The refusal of an applicant to approve the content of the <i>transcript</i> shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision		The refusal of an applicant to acknowledge content of the report shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a		Such The refusal of an applicant to applicant to acknowledge the content of the report shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a

application.	on <i>his/her</i> application.	decision on the application.	decision on the application.
	5. Without prejudice to paragraphs 1 to 2, Member States may ensure that a written report is made of a personal interview, containing at least the essential information regarding the application, as presented by the applicant. In such cases, Member States shall ensure that the transcript of the personal interview or the recording is annexed to the report.		
5. Applicants shall not be denied access to the report and, where applicable, the recording, before the determining authority takes a decision.	6. Applicants and their legal adviser or other counsellor, as defined in article 23, shall not be denied access to the transcript or, where applicable, the recording, before the determining authority takes a decision.	5. Applicants shall not be denied access to the report and, where applicable, the recording or transcript thereof the determining authority takes a decision. Where the application is determined in the framework provided for in Article 31(6), Member	5. Applicants and their legal adviser or other counsellor, as defined in Article 23, shall not be denied access to the report or the transcript and, where applicable, the recording or the transcript the recording or transcript thereof country takes a decision. Member

		States may provide that access to the report is granted at the same time as the decision is made.	States shall not be obliged to give access to the recording if they give access to the trancript. Without prejudice to paragraph 3, where Where the application is determined in the framework provided for in Article 31(6), Member States may provide that access to the report or the transcript is granted at the same time as the decision is made.
Article 18	Article 18	Article 18	Outcome trilogues: take the compromise text for Article 18 below.
Medical reports	Medical reports	Medical ⊃ <u>examination</u> ⊂ ⊃ […] ⊂	Medical ⊃ <u>examination</u> ⊂ ⊃ […] ⊂
1. Member States shall allow an applicant to	Member States shall allow an applicant to	1.	1.

have a medical examination carried out in order to submit a medical certificate to the determining authority in support of his/her	have a medical examination carried out in order to submit a medical certificate to the determining authority in support of his/her	deems it relevant for the assessment of the applicant's request for international protection, in accordance with Article 4 of Directive	deems it relevant for the assessment of the applicant's request for international protection, in accordance with Article 4 of Directive
statements regarding past persecution or serious harm. Member States may require the applicant to submit the results of the medical examination to the determining	statements regarding past persecution or serious harm. Member States may require the applicant to submit the results of the medical examination to the determining	[//EU][Qualification n Directive], © D[] © Member States D[] © shall , subject to the applicant's consent, © D[] © arrange	[//EU][Qualification n Directive], © D[] © D Member States D[] © shall , subject to the applicant's consent, © D[] © arrange
authority within a reasonable time limit after he/she has been informed about his/her rights pursuant to this Article. If the applicant fails to submit the results of the medical	authority within a reasonable time limit after he/she has been informed about his/her rights pursuant to this Article. If the applicant fails to submit the results of the medical	examination © of him/her oconcerning signs that might result from past persecution or serious harm © [] © © Alternativel	examination © of him/her oconcerning signs that might result from past persecution or serious harm © o[] © © o[] © Alternativel
examination within that time limit without good reasons, it shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for international protection.	examination within that time limit without good reasons, it shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for international protection.	y, Member States may provide that © [] © the applicant arranges © [] © for such a medical examination.	y, Member States may provide that C [] C the applicant arranges C [] C for such a medical examination.
		The medical examinations mentioned in	The medical examinations mentioned in

	subparagraph 1 C Shall be carried out by qualified medical professionals and the result thereof C Similar shall be submitted to the determining authority as soon as possible C. Member States may designate the medical professionals who can carry out these medical examinations. C The applicant's refusal to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for international protection. C	subparagraph 1 C Shall be carried out by qualified medical professionals and the result thereof C Similar shall be submitted to the determining authority as soon as possible C. Member States may designate the medical professionals who can carry out these medical examinations. Carry out these medical examinations. The applicant's refusal to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for international protection. C
	 → Medical examinations carried out in accordance with this paragraph shall be paid for out of public funds. → Member States shall 	Dedical examinations carried out in accordance with this paragraph shall be paid for out of public funds. □ 2. □ When no medical

				on their own initiative and at their own cost arrange for Ca medical examination concerning signs that might result from past persecution or serious harm ○ [] ○ ○ , whenever relevant, whenever relevant relev	examination is carried out in accordance with paragraph 1, Member States shall $\supset [] \subset$ \supset , whenever relevant, \subset \supset inform applicants that they may on their own initiative and at their own cost arrange for \subset a medical examination concerning signs that might result from past persecution or serious harm $\supset [] \subset \subset \subset$.
2.	Without prejudice to paragraph 1, in cases where the determining authority considers that there is reason to believe that the applicant's ability to be interviewed and/or to give accurate and coherent statements does not exist or is limited as a results of post-traumatic stress disorder, past persecution or serious harm, it shall ensure that a medical examination is carried out with the	2.	Without prejudice to paragraph 1, in cases where the determining authority considers that there is reason to believe that the applicant's ability to be interviewed and/or to give accurate and coherent statements does not exist or is limited as a results of post-traumatic stress disorder, past persecution or serious harm, it shall ensure that a medical examination is carried out with the		

	applicant's consent. The applicant's refusal to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for international protection.		applicant's consent. The applicant's refusal to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for international protection.		
3.	Member States shall provide for relevant arrangements in order to ensure that impartial and qualified medical expertise is made available for the purpose of medical examinations referred to in paragraph 2.	3.	Member States shall provide for relevant arrangements in order to ensure that impartial and qualified medical expertise is made available for the purpose of medical examinations referred to in paragraph 2 and that the less invasive medical examination is selected when the applicant is a minor.	⊃[] C	⊋[] C
4.	Member States shall provide for further rules and arrangements for identification and documentation of symptoms of torture and other forms of physical, sexual or psychological	4.	Member States shall provide for further rules and arrangements for identification and documentation of symptoms of torture and other forms of physical, sexual or psychological	⊃[]C	Outcome trilogues: take Council text. Related to recital (24) and Article 24

	violence, relevant to the application of this Article.		violence, relevant to the application of this Article.		
5.	Member States shall ensure that the persons interviewing applicants pursuant to this Directive receive training with regard to the awareness of symptoms of torture and of medical problems which could adversely affect the applicant's ability to be interviewed.	5.	Member States shall ensure that the persons interviewing applicants pursuant to this Directive receive training with regard to the awareness of symptoms of torture and of medical problems which could adversely affect the applicant's ability to be interviewed.	<u>⊅[]</u> C	NB. Reference to identification of symptoms of torture covered in Council text Article 4(3).
6.	The results of medical examinations referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall be assessed by the determining authority along with other elements of the application.	6.	The results of medical examinations referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall be assessed by the determining authority along with other elements of the application. They shall, in particular, be taken into account when establishing whether the applicant's statements are credible and sufficient.	□3 □ □ [] □. The results of medical examinations referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall be assessed by the determining authority along with other elements of the application.	results of medical examinations referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 shall be assessed by the determining authority along with other elements of the application.

Article 19	Article 19 Article 19		
Provision of legal and procedural information free of charge in procedures at first instance	Provision of legal and procedural information free of charge in procedures at first instance	Provision of legal and procedural information free of charge in procedures at first instance	
1. Member States shall ensure that legal and procedural information is provided free of charge to applicants, on request, in procedures at first instance provided for in Chapter III. This shall include, at least, the provision of information on the procedure in the light of the applicant's particular circumstances and explanations of reasons in fact and in law in the event of a negative decision.	1. Member States shall ensure that legal and procedural information is provided free of charge to applicants, on request, in procedures at first instance provided for in Chapter III. This shall include, at least, the provision of information on the procedure in the light of the applicant's particular circumstances, preparation of the necessary procedural documents, including during the personal interview, and explanations of reasons in fact and in law in the event of a negative decision.	In procedures at first instance provided for in Chapter III C Member States shall ensure that □ [] C, on request, □ applicants are provided with legal and procedural information free of charge C □ [] C. This shall include, at least, the provision of information on the procedure in the light of the applicant's particular circumstances. □ [] C □ In C the event of a negative decision □, Member States shall also, on request, provide applicants with information - in addition to that given in accordance with Articles	Outcome trilogues: take Council text. Linked to global compromise.

		11(2) and 12(1)(f) - in order to clarify the reasons of such decision and explain how it can be challenged C	
2. The provision of legal and procedural information free of charge shall be subject to the conditions laid down in Article 21.	2. The provision of legal and procedural information free of charge shall be subject to the conditions laid down in Article 21.	2. The provision of legal and procedural information free of charge shall be subject to the conditions laid down in Article 21.	Identical
Article 20	Article 20	Article 20	Outcome trilogues: take Council text for Article 20. Article 20
Free legal assistance and representation in appeals procedures	Free legal assistance and representation in appeals procedures	Free legal assistance and representation in appeals procedures	Free legal assistance and representation in appeals procedures
1. In the event of a negative decision by the determining authority, Member States shall ensure that free legal assistance and for representation is granted on request subject to the	1. Member States shall ensure that free legal assistance and representation is granted on request in appeals procedures provided for in Chapter V. This shall include, at least, the	1. In the event of a negative decision by the determining authority. Member States shall ensure that free legal assistance and for representation is granted on request subject to the	1. In the event of a negative decision by the determining authority. Member States shall ensure that free legal assistance and for representation is granted on request subject to the

	provisions of paragraph ⇒ in appeals procedures provided for in Chapter V. This shall include, at least, the preparation of the required procedural documents and participation in the hearing before the court or tribunal of first instance on behalf of the applicant. ←		preparation of the required procedural documents and participation in the hearing before the court or tribunal of first instance on behalf of the applicant.		provisions of paragraph ⇒ in appeals procedures provided for in Chapter V. This shall include, at least, the preparation of the required procedural documents and participation in the hearing before the court or tribunal of first instance on behalf of the applicant. □		provisions of paragraph ⇒ in appeals procedures provided for in Chapter V. This shall include, at least, the preparation of the required procedural documents and participation in the hearing before the court or tribunal of first instance on behalf of the applicant. ←
2.	Member States may also provide free legal assistance and/or representation in procedures at first instance provided for in Chapter III. In such cases, Article 19 shall not apply.	2.	Member States may also provide free legal assistance and/or representation in procedures at first instance provided for in Chapter III. In such cases, Article 19 shall not apply.	2.	Member States may also provide free legal assistance and/or representation in procedures at first instance provided for in Chapter III. In such cases, Article 19 shall not apply.	2.	Member States may also provide free legal assistance and/or representation in procedures at first instance provided for in Chapter III. In such cases, Article 19 shall not apply.
3.	Member States may provide that free legal assistance and representation not be granted if the applicant's appeal is considered by a court or tribunal to have	3.	Member States may provide that free legal assistance and representation not be granted if the applicant's appeal is considered by a court or tribunal to have	3.	Member States may provide that free legal assistance and representation not be granted if the applicant's appeal is considered by a court or tribunal \bigcirc or	3.	Member States may provide that free legal assistance and representation not be granted if the applicant's appeal is considered by a court or tribunal \bigcirc or

no tangible prospect of success.	no tangible prospect of success.	other competent authority © to have no tangible prospect of success.	other competent authority © to have no tangible prospect of success.
		Where a decision not to grant free legal assistance and representation pursuant to this paragraph is taken by an authority which is not a court or tribunal. Member States shall provide the right to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal against this decision.	Where a decision not to grant free legal assistance and representation pursuant to this paragraph is taken by an authority which is not a court or tribunal. Member States shall provide the right to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal against this decision.
In such a case, Member States shall ensure that legal assistance and representation is not arbitrarily restricted and that the applicant's effective access to justice is not hindered.	In such a case, Member States shall ensure that legal assistance and representation is not arbitrarily restricted and that the applicant's effective access to justice is not hindered.	In the application of this paragraph this paragraph this paragraph that legal assistance and representation is not arbitrarily restricted and that the applicant's effective access to justice is not hindered.	In the application of this paragraph that legal assistance and representation is not arbitrarily restricted and that the applicant's effective access to justice is not hindered.
4. Free legal assistance and representation shall be subject to the conditions laid down in Article 21.	4. Free legal assistance and representation shall be subject to the conditions laid down in Article 21.	4. Free legal assistance and representation shall be subject to the conditions laid down in Article 21.	4. Free legal assistance and representation shall be subject to the conditions laid down in Article 21.

Article 21	Article 21	Article 21	Outcome trilogues: take compromise text for Article 21 below.
			Article 21
Conditions for the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge and free legal assistance and representation	Conditions for the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge and free legal assistance and representation	Conditions for the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge and free legal assistance and representation	Conditions for the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge and free legal assistance and representation
1. Member States may provide that the legal and procedural information referred to in Article 19 and the legal assistance and representation referred to in Article 20 are provided by non-governmental organisations, government officials, or specialised services of the State.	1. Member States may provide that the legal and procedural information referred to in Article 19 and the legal assistance and representation referred to in Article 20 are provided by specialised, suitably qualified and impartial legal advisers, counsellors, other qualified professionals or qualified non governmental organisations specifically designated by national	non-governmental organisations, government officials, or specialised services of the State.	1. Member States may provide that the legal and procedural information referred to in Article 19 is C is C in Cprovided by renumerated or non-remunerated professionals from non-governmental organisation, or professionals from government authorities officials, or specialised services of the State.

	law to assist and represent asylum seekers.		
	Member States shall allow and facilitate the provision by non-governmental organisations of free legal assistance and/or representation to applicants for international protection in procedures provided for in Chapter III and/or Chapter V.	Free legal assistance and representation referred to in Article 20 shall be provided by such persons as admitted or permitted	Free legal assistance and representation referred to in Article 20 shall be provided by such persons as admitted
		under national law.	or permitted under national law.
1. Member States shall allow applicants for asylum the opportunity, at their own cost, to		1. Member States shall allow applicants for asylum the opportunity, at their own cost, to	
eonsult in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor,		consult in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor,	

	admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their asylum applications.				admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their asylum applications.		
2. 釜	Member States may provide in their national legislation that ⇒ the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and ⇔ free legal assistance and/or representation ⇒ referred to in Article 20 ⟨ □ ▷ are ⟨ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	2.	Member States may provide that the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and free legal assistance and representation referred to in Article 20 are granted:	<u> 2</u>	Member States may provide in their national legislation that ⇒ the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and ⇔ free legal assistance and/or representation ⇒ referred to in Article 20 ⟨∑ ∑ are ⟨∑ is granted:	<u>2. 2.</u>	Member States may provide in their national legislation that ⇒ the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and ⇔ free legal assistance and/or representation ⇒ referred to in Article 20 ⟨ ⊠ ⇒ are ⟨ ⊠ is granted:
	(a) only for procedures before a court or tribunal in accordance with Chapter V and not for any onward appeals or reviews provided for under national law, including a rehearing of an appeal following an onward appeal or review; and/or				(a) only for procedures before a court or tribunal in accordance with Chapter V and not for any onward appeals or reviews provided for under national law, including a rehearing of an appeal following an onward appeal or review; and/or		

(<u>a</u> <u>b</u>) only to those who lack sufficient resources; and/or	(a) only to those who lack sufficient resources; and/or	(<u>ab</u>) only to those who lack sufficient resources; and/or	(<u>ab</u>) only to those who lack sufficient resources; and/or
(b e) only ≥ through the services provided by ≥ to legal advisers or other counsellors specifically designated by national law to assist and/or represent applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum_and/or	(b) only <i>for</i> the services provided by legal advisers or other counsellors specifically designated by national law to assist and/or represent applicants for international protection.	(be) only ≥ through the services provided by ≥ to legal advisers or other counsellors specifically designated by national law to assist and/or represent applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum_and/or	(be) only ≥ through the services provided by ≥ the legal advisers or other counsellors specifically designated by national law to assist and/or represent applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum_and/or
		and that free legal assistance and representation referred to in Article 20 is granted ©	and that free legal assistance and representation referred to in Article 20 is granted
		appeals procedures in accordance with Chapter V before a court or tribunal and not for any onward appeals or	appeals procedures in accordance with Chapter V before a court or tribunal of first instance and

	reviews provided	not for any onward
	for under national	appeals or reviews
	law including	provided for under
	rehearings or	national law
	reviews of	including
	appeals.	rehearings or
		reviews of appeals
		or the exceptions
		referred to in
		Article 41.
		Member States may
		also provide that
		free legal
		assistance and
		representation
		referred to in
		Article 20 is not
		granted to
		applicants who
		are no longer
		present on the
		territory in
		application of
		Article 41(2)(c).
(d) only if the appeal	(d) only if the appeal	
or review is likely	or review is likely	
to succeed.	to succeed.	
Member States shall	Member States shall	
ensure that legal	ensure that legal	
assistance and/or	assistance and/or	

	representation granted under point (d) is not arbitrarily restricted.			representation granted under point (d) is not arbitrarily restricted.		
<u>3. 4.</u>	Rules concerning the modalities for filing and processing requests for ⇒ legal and procedural information under Article 19 and ⇔ legal assistance and ⇔ representation ⇒ under Article 20 ⊲ may be provided by Member States.	3. Rules concerning the modalities for filing and processing requests for legal and procedural information under Article 19 and legal assistance and representation under Article 20 may be provided by Member States.	<u>3.4-</u>	Rules concerning the modalities for filing and processing requests for ⇒ legal and procedural information under Article 19 and ⇔ legal assistance and temperature and the provided by Member States.	<u>3.4-</u>	Rules concerning the modalities for filing and processing requests for ⇒ legal and procedural information under Article 19 and ⇔ legal assistance and legal
<u>4. 5.</u>	Member States may also:	4. Member States may also:	4. 5.	Member States may also:	4. 5.	Member States may also
	(a) impose monetary and/or time limits on the ⇒ provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and the ⇔ provision of free legal assistance and representation ⇒ referred to in Article 20 ⊲ , provided that such	(a) impose monetary and/or time limits on the provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and the provision of free legal assistance and representation referred to in Article 20, provided that such		(a) impose monetary and/or time limits on the ⇒ provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and the ⇔ provision of free legal assistance and representation ⇒ referred to in Article 20 ⟨ □ , provided that such		(a) impose monetary and/or time limits on the ⇒ provision of legal and procedural information free of charge referred to in Article 19 and the ⇔ provision of free legal assistance and representation ⊠ referred to in Article 20 ≪ , provided that such

	limits do not arbitrarily restrict access to ⇒ the provision of legal and procedural information and ⇔ legal assistance and for representation;	limits do not arbitrarily restrict access to the provision of legal and procedural information and legal assistance and representation;	limits do not arbitrarily restrict access to ⇒ the provision of legal and procedural information and ⇔ legal assistance and formation and for	limits do not arbitrarily restrict access to ⇒ the provision of legal and procedural information and ⇔ legal assistance and tegal assistance and tegal representation;
	(b) provide that, as regards fees and other costs, the treatment of applicants shall not be more favourable than the treatment generally accorded to their nationals in matters pertaining to legal assistance.	(b) provide that, as regards fees and other costs, the treatment of applicants shall not be more favourable than the treatment generally accorded to their nationals in matters pertaining to legal assistance.	(b) provide that, as regards fees and other costs, the treatment of applicants shall not be more favourable than the treatment generally accorded to their nationals in matters pertaining to legal assistance.	(b) provide that, as regards fees and other costs, the treatment of applicants shall not be more favourable than the treatment generally accorded to their nationals in matters pertaining to legal assistance.
<u>5.</u> <u>€.</u>	Member States may demand to be reimbursed wholly or partially for any expenses granted if and when the applicant's financial situation has improved considerably or if the decision to grant such benefits was taken on the basis of false information supplied by	5. Member States may demand to be reimbursed wholly or partially for any expenses granted if and when the applicant's financial situation has improved considerably or if the decision to grant such benefits was taken on the basis of false information supplied by	5.6. Member States may demand to be reimbursed wholly or partially for any expenses granted if and when the applicant's financial situation has improved considerably or if the decision to grant such benefits was taken on the basis of false information supplied by	5.6. Member States may demand to be reimbursed wholly or partially for any expenses granted if and when the applicant's financial situation has improved considerably or if the decision to grant such benefits was taken on the basis of false information supplied by

the applicant.	the applicant.	the applicant.	the applicant.
Article 22	Article 22	Article 22	Outcome trilogues: to take compromise text including EP AM for Article 22 below:
			Article 22
Right to legal assistance and representation at all stages of the procedure	Right to legal assistance and representation at all stages of the procedure	Right to legal assistance and representation at all stages of the procedure	Right to legal assistance and representation at all stages of the procedure
1. Member States shall allow Applicants applicants population for asylum shall be given shall the opportunity, at their own cost, to consult shall at their own cost, shall be given shall at their own cost, shall be given shall at their own cost, shall be given shall at their own cost, shall in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their asylum applications shall be given	1. Applicants shall be given the opportunity to consult, at their own cost, in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their applications for international protection, at all stages of the procedure, including following a negative decision.	1. Member States shall allow Applicants applicants applicants applicants for asylum shall be given their own cost, to consult , at in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their asylum applications for international protection, at all stages of the procedure, including following a negative	1. Member States shall allow Applicants applicants for asylum Shall be given the opportunity, at their own cost, to consult their own cost, in an effective manner a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted or permitted as such under national law, on matters relating to their asylum applications applications for international protection, at all stages of the procedure, including following a negative

decision ←.		decision \leftarrow .	decision ←.
allow non-governmental organisations to provide legal assistance and/or representation to applicants for international protection in procedures provided for in Chapter III and Chapter V.	2. Member States may allow non-governmental organisations to provide legal assistance and/or representation to applicants for international protection in procedures provided for in Chapter III and Chapter V in accordance with national law.	allow non-governmental organisations to provide legal assistance and/or representation to applicants for international protection in procedures provided for in Chapter III and Chapter V.	allow non-governmental organisations to provide legal assistance and/or representation to applicants for international protection in procedures provided for in Chapter III and Chapter V in accordance with national law.
Article <u>23 16</u>	Article <u>23</u>	Article <u>23 16</u>	Outcome trilogues: take compromise text for Article 23 below: NB Council addition in paragraph 4 was placed in white part of the Com proposal and is therefore transferred to paragraph 3. Article 23 16
Scope of legal assistance and representation	Scope of legal assistance and representation	Scope of legal assistance and representation	Scope of legal assistance and representation
Member States shall ensure that a legal adviser or other	Member States shall ensure that a legal adviser or other	Member States shall ensure that a legal adviser or other	Member States shall ensure that a legal adviser or other

counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, and who assists or represents an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum under the terms of national law, shall enjoy access to ⋈ the ⋈ such information in the applicant's file ⇒ upon which a decision is or will be made ⇔ as is liable to be examined by the authorities referred to in Chapter V, insofar as the information is	counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, and who assists or represents an applicant for international protection under the terms of national law, shall enjoy access to the information in the applicant's file upon which a decision is or will be made.	counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, and who assists or represents an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum under the terms of national law, shall enjoy access to ⊗ the ⊗ such information in the applicant's file ⇒ upon which a decision is or will be made ⇔ as is liable to be examined by the authorities referred to in Chapter V, insofar as the information is	counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, and who assists or represents an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum under the terms of national law, shall enjoy access to ⋈ the ⋈ such information in the applicant's file ⇒ upon which a decision is or will be made ⇔ as is liable to be examined by the authorities referred to in Chapter V, insofar as the information in
Member States may make an exception where disclosure of information or sources would jeopardise national security, the security of the organisations or person(s) providing the information or the security of the person(s) to whom the information	Member States may make an exception where disclosure of information or sources would jeopardise national security, the security of the organisations or person(s) providing the information or the security of the person(s) to whom the information	examination of the application. Member States may make an exception where disclosure of information or sources would jeopardise national security, the security of the organisations or person(s) providing the information or the security of the person(s) to whom the information	Member States may make an exception where disclosure of information or sources would jeopardise national security, the security of the organisations or person(s) providing the information or the security of the person(s) to whom the information

relates or where the investigative interests relating to the examination of applications for eff ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum by the competent authorities of the Member States or the international relations of the Member States would be compromised. In these cases, ⋈ Member States shall: ≪	relates or where the investigative interests relating to the examination of applications for international protection by the competent authorities of the Member States or the international relations of the Member States would be compromised. In these cases, Member States shall:	relates or where the investigative interests relating to the examination of applications for ef international protection ☐ asylum by the competent authorities of the Member States or the international relations of the Member States would be compromised. In these cases, ☒ Member States shall: ☒	relates or where the investigative interests relating to the examination of applications for ef international protection □ asylum by the competent authorities of the Member States or the international relations of the Member States would be compromised. In these cases, ⋈ Member States shall: ≪
(a) grant access to the information or sources in question to a legal adviser or counsellor who has undergone a security check or, at least, to specialised services of the State that are allowed under national law to represent the applicant for this specific purpose, insofar as the	(a) grant access to the information or sources in question to a legal adviser or counsellor who has undergone a security check or, at least, to specialised services of the State that are allowed under national law to represent the applicant for this specific purpose, insofar as the	(a) make access to the information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; [Solution 1] The information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; [Solution 2] The information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; The information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; The information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; The information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; The information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; The information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; The information of the information available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; The information of the information of the information available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; The information of the	the information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V; C

information is relevant to the examination of the application or taking a decision to withdraw international protection;	information is relevant to the examination of the application or taking a decision to withdraw international protection;		
(b)	(b) make access to the information or sources in question available to the authorities referred to in Chapter V.	(b) establish in national law procedures guaranteeing that the applicant's rights of defence are respected. In this respect, Member States may in particular grant access to the information or sources in question to a legal adviser or counsellor who has undergone a security check, insofar as the information is relevant to the examination of the application or taking a decision to withdraw	mational law procedures guaranteeing that the applicant's rights of defence are respected. In this respect, Member States may in particular grant access to the information or sources in question to a legal adviser or counsellor who has undergone a security check, insofar as the information is relevant to the examination of the application or taking a decision to withdraw

		international protection ← □[] ←;	international protection ←
2. Member States shall ensure that the legal adviser or other counsellor who assists or represents an applicant for asylum has access to closed areas, such as detention facilities and transit zones, for the purpose of consulting that applicant ⇒, in accordance with Article 10(4) and Article 18(2)(b) and (c) of Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ⇐. Member States may only limit the possibility of visiting applicants in elosed areas where such limitation—is, by virtue—of national—legislation, objectively—necessary for the security, public—order or administrative management—of the area, or in order to ensure an efficient—examination—of	2. Member States shall ensure that the legal adviser or other counsellor who assists or represents an applicant has access to closed areas, such as detention facilities and transit zones, for the purpose of consulting that applicant, in accordance with Article 10(4) and Article 18(2)(b) and (c) of Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive].	2. Member States shall ensure that the legal adviser or other counsellor who assists or represents an applicant for asylum has access to closed areas, such as detention facilities and transit zones, for the purpose of consulting that applicant ⇒, in accordance with Article 10(4) and Article 18(2)(b) and (c) of Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ←. Member States may only limit the possibility of visiting applicants in closed areas where such limitation—is, by virtue—of national—legislation, objectively—necessary for the security, public—order or administrative management of the area, or in—order to ensure an efficient—examination—of	2. Member States shall ensure that the legal adviser or other counsellor who assists or represents an applicant for asylum has access to closed areas, such as detention facilities and transit zones, for the purpose of consulting that applicant ⇒, in accordance with Article 10(4) and Article 18(2)(b) and (c) of Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ←. Member States may only limit the possibility of visiting applicants in closed areas where such limitation is, by virtue of national legislation, objectively necessary for the security, public order or administrative management of the area, or in order to ensure an efficient examination of

	the application, provided that access by the legal adviser or other counsellor is not thereby severely limited or rendered impossible.				the application, provided that access by the legal adviser or other counsellor is not thereby severely limited or rendered impossible.		the application, provided that access by the legal adviser or other counsellor is not thereby severely limited or rendered impossible.
3.	Member States shall allow the applicant to bring to the personal interview a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law.	3.	Member States shall allow the applicant to bring to the personal interview a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, or a qualified professional.	3.	Member States shall allow the applicant to bring to the personal interview a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law.	3.	Member States shall allow the applicant to bring to the personal interview a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law. Member States may stipulate that the legal adviser or other counsellor may only intervene at the end of the interview.
<u>4. 3</u>	Member States may provide rules covering the presence of legal advisers or other counsellors at all interviews in the procedure, without prejudice to this Article or to Article 25(1)(b) 17(1)(b).	4.	Member States may provide rules covering the presence of legal advisers or other counsellors at all interviews in the procedure, without prejudice to this Article or to Article 25(1)(b).	4. 3.	Member States may provide rules covering the presence of legal advisers or other counsellors at all interviews in the procedure, without prejudice to this Article or to Article 25(1)(b) 17(1)(b).	4. 3.	Member States may provide rules covering the presence of legal advisers or other counsellors at all interviews in the procedure, without prejudice to this Article or to Article 25(1)(b) 17(1)(b).

4. Member States may provide that the applicant is allowed to bring with him/her to the personal interview a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law.		4. Member States may provide that the applicant is allowed to bring with him/her to the personal interview a legal adviser or other counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law.	
Member States may require the presence of the applicant at the personal interview, even if he/she is represented under the terms of national law by such a legal adviser or counsellor, and may require the applicant to respond in person to the questions asked.	Member States may require the presence of the applicant at the personal interview, even if he/she is represented under the terms of national law by such a legal adviser or counsellor, and may require the applicant to respond in person to the questions asked.	Member States may require the presence of the applicant at the personal interview, even if he/she is represented under the terms of national law by such a legal adviser or counsellor, and may require the applicant to respond in person to the questions asked. They may also stipulate that the legal adviser or other counsellor may only intervene at the end of the interview.	Member States may require the presence of the applicant at the personal interview, even if he/she is represented under the terms of national law by such a legal adviser or counsellor, and may require the applicant to respond in person to the questions asked. They may also stipulate that the legal adviser or other counsellor may only intervene at the end of the interview.
The absence of a legal adviser or other counsellor shall not prevent the competent	The absence of a legal adviser or other counsellor shall not prevent the <i>determining</i>	The absence of a legal adviser or other counsellor shall not prevent the competent	The absence of a legal adviser or other counsellor shall not prevent the competent

authority from conducting the personal interview with the applicant ⇒, without prejudice to Article 25(1)(b) ←.	authority from conducting the personal interview with the applicant, without prejudice to Article 25(1)(b).	authority from conducting the personal interview with the applicant ⇒, without prejudice to Article 25(1)(b) ←.	authority from conducting the personal interview with the applicant ⇒, without prejudice to Article 25(1)(b) ←.
Article 24	Article 24	Article 24	Outcome trilogues: agreement on Article 24 except for paragraph on derogations for victims of torture. Article 24
Applicants in need of special procedural guarantees	Applicants in need of special procedural guarantees	Applicants in need of special procedural guarantees	Applicants in need of special procedural guarantees
1. Member States shall ensure that applicants in need of special procedural guarantees are identified in due time. To that end, Member States may use the mechanism provided for in Article 22 of Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive].	1. Member States shall ensure that applicants in need of special procedural guarantees are identified in due time, as soon as an application for international protection is lodged. To that end, Member States shall establish procedures in national law with a view to identifying whether the applicant has special needs and indicating the nature of such needs in	1. D[] C Member States shall D endeavour to identify applicants in need of C D[] C special procedural D guarantees before a first instance decision is taken. C D[] C	1. D[] C Member States shall assess within a reasonable period of time after an application for international protection is made whether the applicant is an applicant in need of special procedural guarantees Dendeavour to identify applicants in need of D J] C special procedural Dendeavour to

	accordance with Article 22 of Directive [//EU] (the Reception Conditions Directive)].		first instance decision is taken. C ⊃ [] C
Member States shall ensure that this Article also applies if it becomes apparent at a later stage in the procedure that an applicant is in need of special procedural guarantees.	Member States shall ensure that this Article also applies if it becomes apparent at a later stage in the procedure that an applicant is in need of special procedural guarantees.	D [] C	<u>>[]</u> ¢
2. Member States shall take appropriate measures to ensure that applicants in need of special procedural guarantees are granted sufficient time and relevant support to present the elements of their application as completely as possible and with all available evidence.	2. Member States shall take appropriate measures to ensure that applicants in need of special procedural guarantees are granted sufficient time and relevant support to present the elements of their application as completely as possible and with all available evidence. Where needed, they shall be granted time extensions to enable them to submit evidence or take other necessary steps in the procedure.	2. Member States shall ② ensure that ③ [] € ③ where applicants have been identified to be in need of special procedural guarantees, they are provided with adequate support in order to allow them to benefit from the rights and comply with the obligations of this Directive € ⑤ [] € throughout ⑤ the duration of € the asylum procedure ⑤ [] €.	2. The assessment referred to in paragraph 1 may be integrated into existing national procedures and/or into the assessment referred to in Article 22 of Directive [/EU](Reception Conditions Directive) and need not take the form of an administrative procedure. 3. Member States shall ⊇ ensure

			that \bigcirc [] \bigcirc where applicants have been identified to be in need of special procedural guarantees, they are provided with adequate support in order to allow them to benefit from the rights and comply with the obligations of this Directive \bigcirc [] \bigcirc throughout \bigcirc the duration of \bigcirc the asylum procedure \bigcirc [] \bigcirc .
			.4. Member States shall ensure that these special procedural needs are also addressed, in accordance with this Directive, if they become apparent at a later stage of the procedure, without necessarily restarting the procedure
In cases where the determining authority	In cases where the determining authority	⊅[]€	Pending

considers that an applicant has been subjected to torture, rape or other serious forms of psychological, physical or sexual violence, Article 31(6) and Article 32(2) shall not apply.	considers that an applicant has been subjected to torture, rape or other serious forms of psychological, physical or sexual violence, the applicant shall be granted sufficient time and relevant support to prepare for a personal interview on the substance of his/her application. Particular attention shall be given to those applicants who did not mention their sexual orientation at the outset. Article 31(6) and Article 32(2) shall not apply.	
	3. In accordance with the conditions laid down in Article 19 and 20 applicants with special needs shall enjoy free legal assistance in all procedures provided for in this Directive.	Pending.

Article <u>25</u> 17	Article <u>25</u>	Article <u>25 17</u>	Outcome trilogues: take compromise text below. However, derogations in paragraph 6 remain pending Article 25 ##	
Guarantees for unaccompanied minors	Guarantees for unaccompanied minors	Guarantees for unaccompanied minors	Guarantees for unaccompanied minors	
1. With respect to all procedures provided for in this Directive and without prejudice to the provisions of Articles 14 ⇒ , 15, 16, ⇔ and 17 12 and 14, Member States shall:	1. With respect to all procedures provided for in this Directive and without prejudice to the provisions of Articles 14, 15, 16, and 17, Member States shall:	1. With respect to all procedures provided for in this Directive and without prejudice to the provisions of Articles 14 ⇒ , 15, 16, ⇔ and 17 12 and 14. Member States shall:	Identical 1. With respect to all procedures provided for in this Directive and without prejudice to the provisions of Articles 14 ⇒ , 15, 16, ⇔ and 17 12 and 14, Member States shall	
(a) ⊠ take measures ⊠ as soon as possible take measures to ensure that a representative represents and assists the unaccompanied	(a) immediately take measures to ensure that a representative represents and assists the unaccompanied minor to enable him/her to benefit	(a) ⊠ take measures ⊠ as soon as possible take measures to ensure that a representative represents and assists the unaccompanied	Identical (a)	

-				
	minor \Rightarrow to enable	from the rights and	minor	assists the
	him/her to benefit	comply with the	him/her to benefit	unaccompanied
	from the rights and	obligations	from the rights and	minor \Rightarrow to enable
	comply with the	provided for in this	comply with the	him/her to benefit
	obligations	Directive. <i>The</i>	obligations	from the rights and
	provided for in this	unaccompanied	provided for in this	comply with the
	Directive ← with	minor shall be	Directive ← with	obligations
	respect to the	informed	respect to the	provided for in this
	examination of the	immediately of the	examination of the	Directive ← with
	application. ⇒ The	appointment of the	application. ⇒ The	respect to the
	representative shall	representative. In	representative	examination of the
	have the necessary	order to establish	⊃ <u>[]</u> Cperform	application .
	expertise in the	a level of trust	his/her duties in	
	field of childcare	with the	accordance with)
	and shall perform	unaccompanied	the principle of the	
	his/her duties in	minor and to	best interests of the	⇒where possible under
	accordance with	ensure continuity	child and shall	national_law,
	the principle of the	during the	have the necessary	ensure that the
	best interests of the	procedure,	expertise to that	unaccompanie d
	child. ←	Member States	end C . ←	minor is informed
	ĭ The ✓ This	shall ensure that	∑ The	imme diately of
	representative can	the same	representative can	the appointment
	also be the	representative is	also be the	of the
	representative	responsible for the	representative	representative,
	referred to in	unaccompanied	referred to in	that the
	<u>Directive</u>	minor, if possible	<u>Directive</u>	re pre sentative
	[//EU] [the	during the entire	[//EU] [the	shall only be
	Reception	procedure.	Reception	changed when
	Conditions	•	Conditions	necessary and
	Directive]	The representative	Directive]	that the The
	Article 19 of	shall be	Article 19 of	unaccompanie d
	Directive	independent and	Directive	minor shall be
- 1	2003/9/EC of 27	<i>impartial</i> , have the	2003/0/EC of 27	informe d
I	2003/7/12C 01 2/	the positions, that to the		

laying down minimum standards for the reception of asylum seekers;	necessary expertise in the field of childcare, a basic knowledge in asylum procedure and shall perform his/her duties in accordance with the principle of the best interests of the child. The representative can also be the representative referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive];	laying down minimum standards for the reception of asylum seekers2;	immediately of the appointment of a representative. The representative shall
			minor shall not be eligible to become

OJ L 31, 6.2.2003, p. 18.

OJL 31, 6.2.2003, p. 18.

			representatives. The This representative can also be the representative referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] Article 19 of Directive 2003/9/EC of 27 January 2003 laying down minimum etandards for the reception of asylum seekers*;
(b) ensure that the representative is given the opportunity to inform the unaccompanied minor about the meaning and	(b) ensure that the representative is given the opportunity to inform the unaccompanied minor about the meaning and	(b) ensure that the representative is given the opportunity to inform the unaccompanied minor about the meaning and	(b) ensure that the representative is given the opportunity to inform the unaccompanied minor about the meaning and

¹ OJL 31, 6.2.2003, p. 18.

possible	possible	possible	possible
consequences of	consequences of	consequences of	consequences of
the personal	the personal	the personal	the personal
interview and,	interview and,	interview and,	interview and,
where appropriate,	where appropriate,	where appropriate,	where appropriate,
how to prepare	how to prepare	how to prepare	how to prepare
himself/herself for	himself/herself for	himself/herself for	himself/herself for
the personal	the personal	the personal	the personal
interview. Member	interview. Member	interview. Member	interview. Member
States shall	States shall ensure	States shall	States shall
⇒ ensure that ⇔	that a	⇒ ensure that ←	⇒ ensure that ⇔
allow ≥ a ≥ the	representative	allow ≥ a ≥ the	allow ⋉ a ≪ the
representative	and/or a legal	representative	representative
⇒ and/or a legal	adviser or other	⇒ and/or a legal	⇒ and/or a legal
adviser or other	counsellor	adviser or other	adviser or other
counsellor	admitted as such	counsellor	counsellor
admitted as such	under national law	admitted as such	admitted as such
under national law	or qualified	under national law	under national law
are ← to-be present	<i>professional</i> are	are ← to be present	are ← to-be present
at that interview	present at that	at that interview	at that interview
and ⇒ have an	interview and have	and ⇒ have an	and ⇒ have an
opportunity 🗢 to	an opportunity to	opportunity 🗢 to	opportunity 🗢 to
ask questions or	ask questions or	ask questions or	ask questions or
make comments,	make comments,	make comments,	make comments,
within the	within the	within the	within the
framework set by	framework set by	framework set by	framework set by
the person who	the person who	the person who	the person who
conducts the	conducts the	conducts the	conducts the
interview.	interview.	interview.	interview.
Member States may	Member States may	Member States may	Identical
require the presence of	require the presence of	require the presence of	
the unaccompanied	the unaccompanied	the unaccompanied	Member States may

	minor at the personal interview, even if the representative is present.	minor at the personal interview, even if the representative is present.		minor at the personal interview, even if the representative is present.	require the presence of the unaccompanied minor at the personal interview, even if the representative is present.
2.	Member States may refrain from appointing a representative where the unaccompanied minor. will in all likelihood reach the age of ⇒ 18 years ⇔ maturity before a decision at first instance is taken.		2.	Member States may refrain from appointing a representative where the unaccompanied minor will in all likelihood reach the age of ⇒ 18 years ⇔ maturity before a decision at first instance is taken to the same that it is taken to the same thad to the same that it is taken to the same that it is taken to t	Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text. 2. Member States may refrain from appointing a representative where the unaccompanied minor: (a) will in all likelihood reach the age of ⇒ 18 years ⇔ maturity before a decision at first instance is taken taken
	(b) can avail himself, free of charge, of a legal-adviser or other counsellor, admitted as such under national law to fulfil the tasks assigned above to the representative; or			(b) can avail himself, free of charge, of a legal adviser or other counsellor, admitted as such under national law to fulfil the tasks assigned above to the representative; or	
	(e) is married or has been married.			(c) is married or has been married.	

3.	Member States may, in accordance with the laws and regulations in force on 1 December 2005, also refrain from appointing a representative where the unaccompanied minor is 16 years old or older, unless he/she is unable to pursue his/her application without a representative.			3.	acco and to force 2005 apportunace 16 yourse pursu appli	aber States may, in relance with the laws regulations—in on 1 December also refrain from inting—a resentative—where the companied—minor—is ears old—or okler, as he/she is unable—to ue—his/her reation—without—a resentative—		
3. 生	Member States shall ensure that:		nber States shall re that:	<u>3.4-</u>		nber States shall re that:	l text f	gues: take Cion / For paragraph 3. The paragraph 3. The paragraph 3. The paragraph 3.
	(a) if an unaccompanied minor has a personal interview on his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum as referred to in Articles 14, 15, ⇒ 16, ⇔ 17,	(a)	if an unaccompanied minor has a personal interview on his/her application for international protection as referred to in Articles 14, 15, 16, 17, and 34 that		(a)	if an unaccompanied minor has a personal interview on his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum as referred to in Articles 14, 15, ⇒ 16, ⇔ 17,	(a)	if an unaccompanied minor has a personal interview on his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum as referred to in Articles 14, 15, ⇒ 16, ⇔ 17,

and ⇒ 34 ← 12.13 and 14. that interview is conducted by a person who has the necessary knowledge of the special needs of minors;	interview is conducted by a person who has the necessary knowledge of the special needs <i>and rights</i> of minors;	and ⇒ 34 ← 12.13 and 14. that interview is conducted by a person who has the necessary knowledge of the special needs of minors;	and ⇒ 34 ← 12.13 and 14. that interview is conducted by a person who has the necessary knowledge of the special needs of minors;
(b) an official with the necessary knowledge of the special needs of minors prepares the decision by the determining authority on the application of an unaccompanied minor.	(b) an official with the necessary knowledge of the special needs <i>and rights</i> of minors prepares the decision by the determining authority on the application of an unaccompanied minor.	(b) an official with the necessary knowledge of the special needs of minors prepares the decision by the determining authority on the application of an unaccompanied minor.	(b) an official with the necessary knowledge of the special needs of minors prepares the decision by the determining authority on the application of an unaccompanied minor.
4. Unaccompanied minors, together with the representative, shall be provided, free of charge, with legal and procedural information as referred to in Article 19 also for the procedures for the	4. Unaccompanied minors, together with <i>their</i> appointed representative, shall be provided, free of charge, with respect to all procedures provided for in this Directive, with legal and advice on	4. Unaccompanied minors, together with the representative, shall be provided, free of charge, with legal and procedural information as referred to in Article 19 also for the procedures for the	Outcome trilogus: take Council text. Linked to global compromise.

withdrawal of international protection status provided for in Chapter IV.	procedural and legal aspects and representation.	withdrawal of international protection \bigcirc [] \bigcirc provided for in Chapter IV.	
5. Member States may use medical examinations to determine the age of unaccompanied minors within the framework of the examination of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum ⇒ where, following general statements or other relevant evidence, Member States still have doubts concerning the applicant's age. If those doubts persist after the medical examination, Member States shall assume that the applicant is a minor ⇔.	5. Member States may use medical examinations to determine the age of unaccompanied minors within the framework of the examination of an application for international protection where, following general statements or other relevant evidence, Member States still have doubts concerning the applicant's age. If those doubts persist after the medical examination, Member States shall assume that the applicant is a minor.	5. Member States may use medical examinations to determine the age of unaccompanied minors within the framework of the examination of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum ⇒ where, following general statements or other relevant ⊃ indications ⊂ ⊃ [] ⊂, Member States ⊃ [] ⊂ have doubts concerning the applicant's age. If ⊃ [] ⊂ thereafter ⊂ ⊃ [] ⊂ , Member States ⊃ are still in doubt concerning the applicant's age, they ⊂ shall assume that the applicant is a minor ⇔.	Outcome trilogues: take Council text. 5. Member States may use medical examinations to determine the age of unaccompanied minors within the framework of the examination of an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ international protection ⇔ where, following general statements or other relevant ⇒ indications ← ⇒ [] ←, Member States ⇒ [] ← have doubts concerning the applicant's age. If ⇒ [] ← ⇒ thereafter ← ⇒ [] ← , Member States ⇒ are still in doubt concerning the applicant's age, they ← shall assume that the applicant is a minor ←.

Any medical examination shall be performed in full respect of the individual's dignity, selecting the less invasive examinations.	Any medical examination shall be performed in full respect of the individual's dignity, selecting the most reliable and the less invasive examinations and carried out by qualified and impartial medical experts.	Any medical examination shall be performed in full respect of the individual's dignity, selecting the least C [] Cinvasive examination C [] C.	Outcome trilogues: take compromise below. Any medical examination shall be performed in full respect of the individual's dignity, selecting the least compromise least compromi
In cases where medical examinations are used, Member States shall ensure that:	In cases where medical examinations are used, Member States shall ensure that:	In cases where medical examinations are used, Member States shall ensure that:	In cases where medical examinations are used, Member States shall ensure that:
(a) unaccompanied minors are informed prior to the examination of	(a) unaccompanied minors are informed prior to the examination of	(a) unaccompanied minors are informed prior to the examination of	Outcome trilogues: take Council text.

their application for international protection =	their application for international protection, and in a language which they may reasonably be supposed to understand, of the possibility that their age may be determined by medical examination. This shall include information on the method of examination and the possible consequences of the result of the medical examination for the examination of the application for	their application for international protection international protection international protection internation internation asylum, and in a language internation int	(a) unaccompanied minors are informed prior to the examination of their application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, and in a language ⇒ that ▷ □ □ they may reasonably be supposed to understand ⇒ or are reasonably supposed to understand □, of the possibility that their age may be determined by medical examination. This shall include information on the method of
medical examination for the	examination for the examination of the	the possible consequences of	shall include information on the

	the medical examination;		examination;		part of the unaccompanied minor to undergo the medical examination;		protection asylum, as well as the consequences of refusal on the part of the unaccompanied minor to undergo the medical examination;
(b)	unaccompanied minors and/or their representatives consent to earry out an examination i being carried out i to determine the age of the minors concerned; and	(b)	unaccompanied minors and/or their representatives consent to an examination being carried out to determine the age of the minors concerned; and	(b)	unaccompanied minors and/or their representatives consent to earry out an examination i being carried out i to determine the age of the minors concerned; and	Identical (b)	unaccompanied minors and/or their representatives consent to earry out an examination being carried out \(\sigma \) to determine the age of the minors concerned; and
(c)	the decision to reject an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum from an unaccompanied minor who refused to undergo this medical	(c)	the decision to reject an application for international protection from an unaccompanied minor who refused to undergo this medical examination shall	(c)	the decision to reject an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum from an unaccompanied minor who refused to undergo this medical	Outcome trilo text.	the decision to reject an application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum from an

ANNEX

	examination shall not be based solely on that refusal.		not be based on that refusal.		examination shall not be based solely on that refusal.		unaccompanied minor who refused to undergo this medical examination shall not be based solely on that refusal.
	The fact that an unaccompanied minor has refused to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum.	1 8 6 1 2 2	The fact that an unaccompanied minor has refused to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for international protection.		The fact that an unaccompanied minor has refused to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum.	Identica	The fact that an unaccompanied minor has refused to undergo such a medical examination shall not prevent the determining authority from taking a decision on the application for international protection : asylum.
6.	Article 20(3), Article 31(6), Article 32(2), Article 33(2)(c), Article 38, and Article 43 shall not apply to unaccompanied minors.	3 <i>A</i> 3	Article 20(3), Article 31(6), Article 32(2), Article 33(2)(c), Article 38, and Article 43 shall not apply to unaccompanied minors.	⊅[]	C	Pending	
<u>7. ⊆</u>	The best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration for	(The best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration for	<u>7. 6.</u>	The best interests of the child shall be a primary consideration for	Identica: 7. 6.	The best interests of the

Member States when implementing this Article.	Member States when implementing this Article.	Member States when implementing this Article.	child shall be a primary consideration for Member States when implementing this Article.
Article <u>26</u> 18	Article <u>26</u>	Article <u>26 18</u>	
Detention	Detention	Detention	
1. Member States shall not hold a person in detention for the sole reason that he/she is an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ Grounds and conditions of detention as well as guarantees available to detained applicants for international protection shall be in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ⇔.	1. Member States shall not hold a person in detention for the sole reason that he/she is an applicant for international protection. Grounds and conditions of detention as well as guarantees available to detained applicants for international protection shall be in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive].	1. Member States shall not hold a person in detention for the sole reason that he/she is an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ Grounds and conditions of detention as well as guarantees available to detained applicants for international protection shall be in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ⇔.	Identical.
2. Where an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum is	2. Where an applicant for international protection is held in detention,	2. Where an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum is	Identical

held in detention, Member States shall ensure that there is a possibility of speedy judicial review ⇒ in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ←.	Member States shall ensure that there is a possibility of speedy judicial review in accordance with Directive [/EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive].	held in detention, Member States shall ensure that there is a possibility of speedy judicial review ⇒ in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive] ←.	
	Article 26a		
	Detention of minors		<u>Pending</u>
			EP AM falls if compromise is found on Article 25(6).
	The detention of minors shall be strictly prohibited in all circumstances.		
Article <u>27 19</u>	Article <u>27</u>	Article <u>27 19</u>	
Procedure in ☒ the ☒ case of withdrawal of the application	Procedure in the case of withdrawal of the application	Procedure in ⊠ the ⊠ case of withdrawal of the application	
Insofar as Member States provide for the possibility of explicit withdrawal of the	Insofar as Member States provide for the possibility of explicit withdrawal of the	1. Insofar as Member States provide for the possibility of explicit withdrawal of the	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text.

	application under national law, when an applicant for asylum explicitly withdraws his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision to either discontinue the examination or reject the application.		application under national law, when an applicant explicitly withdraws his/her application for international protection, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision to either discontinue the examination, and explain to the applicant the consequences of the withdrawal.		application under national law, when an applicant for asylum explicitly withdraws his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision to either discontinue the examination or reject the application.	
2.	Member States may also decide that the determining authority can decide to discontinue the examination without taking a decision. In this case, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority enters a notice in the applicant's file.	2.	Member States may also decide that the determining authority can decide to discontinue the examination without taking a decision. In this case, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority enters a notice in the applicant's file.	2.	Member States may also decide that the determining authority can decide to discontinue the examination without taking a decision. In this case, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority enters a notice in the applicant's file.	Identical

Article <u>28</u> 20	Article <u>28</u> Article <u>28</u>		
Procedure in the case of implicit withdrawal or abandonment of the application	Procedure in the case of implicit withdrawal or abandonment of the application	Procedure in the case of implicit withdrawal or abandonment of the application	
1. When there is reasonable cause to consider that an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application for asylum, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision to either discontinue the examination or ⇒, provided that the determining authority considers the application to be unfounded on the basis of an adequate examination of its substance in line with Article 4 of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] and further to a personal	1. When there is reasonable cause to consider that an applicant for international protection has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application without reasonable cause, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision to either discontinue the examination or, provided that the determining authority considers the application to be unfounded on the basis of an adequate examination of its substance in line with Article 4 of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] and further to a personal	1. When there is reasonable cause to consider that an applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application for asylum, Member States shall ensure that the determining authority takes a decision to either discontinue the examination or ⇒, provided that the determining authority considers the application to be unfounded on the basis of an adequate examination of its substance in line with Article 4 of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] ⊃ [] ♥ ⊃ [] ♥	Outcome trilogues: take Council text on paragraph 1.

interview, reject the application on the basis that the applicant has not established an entitlement to refugee status in accordance with Directive 2004/83/EC.	interview, reject the application, if he/she in the addition to the above-mentioned reasons: - has refused to cooperate, or - has absconded illegally, or - in all likelihood has no right to international protection, or - originates from or has transited via a safe third country in accordance with Article 38.	reject the application the basis that the applicant has not established an entitlement to refugee status in accordance with Directive 2004/83/EC.	
Member States may assume that the applicant has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum in particular when it is ascertained that:	Member States may assume that the applicant has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application for international protection in particular when it is ascertained that:	Member States may assume that the applicant has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her application for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum in particular when it is ascertained that:	<u>Identical</u>

(a) he/she has failed to	(a)	he/she has failed to	(a)	he/she has failed to	<u>Identical</u>
respond to requests		respond to requests		respond to requests	
to provide		to provide		to provide	
information		information		information	
essential to his/her		essential to his/her		essential to his/her	
application in		application in		application in	
terms of Article 4		terms of Article 4		terms of Article 4	
of		of		of	
Directive [// <u>E</u>		Directive [/E		Directive [//E	
<u>U] [the</u>		U] [the		U] [the	
Qualification		Qualification		Qualification	
Directive] 2004/83		Directive] or has		Directive 2004/83	
#EC or has not		not appeared for an		ÆC or has not	
appeared for an		personal interview		appeared for an	
personal interview		as provided for in		personal interview	
as provided for in		Articles 14, 15, 16		as provided for in	
Articles <u>14, 15.</u>		and 17 of this		Articles <u>14, 15,</u>	
$\Rightarrow 16 \Leftrightarrow \frac{14.15.}{2}$		Directive, unless		\Rightarrow 16 \Leftrightarrow and 17 \Rightarrow	
13 and 14 ⊠ of		the applicant		$\frac{13 \text{ and } 14}{12} \boxtimes \text{ of}$	
this Directive 🖾 ,		demonstrates		this Directive \boxtimes ,	
unless the		within a reasonable		unless the	
		time that his/her			
applicant				applicant	
demonstrates		failure was due to		demonstrates	
within a reasonable		circumstances		within a reasonable	
time that his/her		beyond his/her		time that his/her	
failure was due to		control;		failure was due to	
circumstances				circumstances	
beyond his/her				beyond his/her	
control;				control;	
(b) he/she has	(b)	he/she has	(b)	he/she has	Pending subjet to confirmation
absconded or left		absconded or left	, ,	absconded or left	
without		without		without	

	authorisation the place where he/she lived or was held, without contacting the competent authority within a reasonable time, or he/she has not within a reasonable time complied with reporting duties or other obligations to communicate.	authorisation the place where her lived or was he without contact the competent authority within reasonable time he/she has not within a reason time complied reporting duties other obligation communicate.	/she ld, ing a a a, or able with	authorisation the place where he/she lived or was held, without contacting the competent authority within a reasonable time, or he/she has not within a reasonable time complied with reporting duties or other obligations to communicate.	(b) he/she has absconded or left without authorisation the place where he/she lived or was held, without contacting the competent authority within a reasonable time, or he/she has not within a reasonable time complied with reporting duties or other obligations to communicate unless the applicant demonstrates that this was due to circumstances beyond his/her control.
	For the purposes of implementing these provisions, Member States may lay down time limits or guidelines.	For the purposes of implementing these provisions, Member States may lay down time limits or guideling	es.	For the purposes of implementing these provisions, Member States may lay down time limits or guidelines.	Identical.
2.	Member States shall ensure that the applicant who reports again to the competent authority after a decision to discontinue as referred to in paragraph 1 of this	2. Member States shall ensure that the application who reports again to competent authority a decision to discontinuas referred to in paragraph 1 of this	the after	Member States shall ensure that the applicant who reports again to the competent authority after a decision to discontinue as referred to in paragraph 1 of this	Pending To be discussed in context of discussion on effective remedy.

Article is taken, is entitled to request that his/her case be reopened ⇒ or entitled to make a new application which shall not be subject to the procedure referred to in Articles 40 and 41 ⇔ ; unless the request is examined in accordance with Articles 32 and 34.	Article is taken, is entitled to request that his/her case be reopened or entitled to make a new application which shall not be subject to the procedure referred to in Articles 40 and 41. Only one request for a case to be reopened may be submitted during an asylum procedure.	Article is taken, is entitled to request that his/her case be reopened ⇒ or entitled to make a new application which shall not be subject to the procedure referred to in Articles 40 and 41 ⇒ unless the request is examined in accordance with Articles 32 and 34.	
Member States may provide for a time limit ⇒ of at least one year ⇔ after which the applicant's case can no longer be re-opened ⇒ or the new application may be treated as a subsequent application and subject to the procedure referred to in Articles 40 and 41 ⇔.		Member States may provide for a time limit ⇒ of at least ⊃6 months ⊂ ⊃[] ⊂ ⇔ after which the applicant's case can no longer be re-opened ⇒ or the new application may be treated as a subsequent application and subject to the procedure referred to in Articles 40 and 41. ⇔ Member States may provide that the applicant's case may be reopened only once. ⊂.	EP suggestion: Member States may provide for a time limit ⇒ of at least ⊃ 9 months ⊂ ⊃ [] ⊂ ⇒ after which the applicant's case can no longer be re-opened ⇒ or the new application may be treated as a subsequent application and subject to the procedure referred to in Articles 40 and 41. ⇔ ⊇ Member States may provide that the applicant's case may be reopened only once. ⊂
Member States shall ensure that such a person	Member States shall ensure that such a person	Member States shall ensure that such a person	Identical

is not removed contrary to the principle of non-refoulement.	is not removed contrary to the principle of non-refoulement.	is not removed contrary to the principle of non-refoulement.	
Member States may allow the determining authority to take up the examination at the stage where the it was discontinued.	Member States may allow the determining authority to take up the examination at the stage where it was discontinued.	Member States may allow the determining authority to take up the examination at the stage where the it was discontinued.	Identical
3. This Article shall be without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].	3. This Article shall be without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].	⊅ [] ©	Technical group suggests to redraft general reference to the Dublin regulation in recital (42) and to maintain the Dublin references in the Articles 28(3), 34(2) and 46(8). 3. This Article shall be without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].

	Article <u>29</u> <u>₩</u>	Article <u>29</u>	Article <u>29</u> 21	
TI	ne role of UNHCR	The role of UNHCR	The role of UNHCR	
	Member States shall allow the UNHCR:	1. Member States shall allow the UNHCR:	1. Member States shall allow the UNHCR:	Identical.
	(a) to have access to applicants for ⇒ international protection ← asylum, including those in detention ⇒, at the border and ⇔ ⊠ in the ⊗ and in airport or port transit zones;	(a) to have access to applicants for international protection, including those in detention, at the border and in the transit zones;	(a) to have access to applicants for ⇒ international protection ← asylum, including those in detention ⇒, at the border and ← ▷ in the ☒ and in airport or port transit zones;	Identical.
	(b) to have access to information on individual applications for ⇒ international protection ← asylum, on the course of the procedure and on the decisions taken, provided that the applicant	(b) to have access to information on individual applications for international protection, on the course of the procedure and on the decisions taken, provided that the applicant agrees thereto;	(b) to have access to information on individual applications for ⇒ international protection ← asylum, on the course of the procedure and on the decisions taken, provided that the applicant	Identical.

	for asylum agrees thereto;		for asylum agrees thereto;	
	(c) to present its views, in the exercise of its supervisory responsibilities under Article 35 of the Geneva Convention, to any competent authorities regarding individual applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum at any stage of the procedure.	(c) to present its views, in the exercise of its supervisory responsibilities under Article 35 of the Geneva Convention, to any competent authorities regarding individual applications for international protection at any stage of the procedure.	(c) to present its views, in the exercise of its supervisory responsibilities under Article 35 of the Geneva Convention, to any competent authorities regarding individual applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum at any stage of the procedure.	Identical.
2.	Paragraph 1 shall also apply to an organisation which is working in the territory of the Member State concerned on behalf of the UNHCR pursuant to an agreement with that Member State.	2. Paragraph 1 shall also apply to an organisation which is working in the territory of the Member State concerned on behalf of the UNHCR pursuant to an agreement with that Member State.	2. Paragraph 1 shall also apply to an organisation which is working in the territory of the Member State concerned on behalf of the UNHCR pursuant to an agreement with that Member State.	Identical.

Article <u>30</u> ≩≩	Article <u>30</u>	Article <u>30</u> 22	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text for Article 30
Collection of information on individual cases	Collection of information on individual cases	Collection of information on individual cases	
For the purposes of examining individual cases, Member States shall not:	For the purposes of examining individual cases, Member States shall not:	For the purposes of examining individual cases, Member States shall not:	
(a) directly disclose information regarding individual applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, or the fact that an application has been made, to the alleged actor(s) of persecution ⇒ or serious harm ⇔ ef the applicant for asylum;	(a) disclose information regarding individual applications for international protection, or the fact that an application has been made, to the alleged actor(s) of persecution or serious harm;	(a) directly disclose information regarding individual applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, or the fact that an application has been made, to the alleged actor(s) of persecution ⇒ or serious harm ⇔ of the applicant for asylum;	
(b) obtain any information from the alleged actor(s) of persecution ⇒ or serious harm ⇔ in a manner that would result in such actor(s) being directly informed of the fact that an application has been made by the applicant in question,	(b) obtain any information from the alleged actor(s) of persecution or serious harm in a manner that would result in such actor(s) being informed of the fact that an application has been made by the applicant in question, and would	(b) obtain any information from the alleged actor(s) of persecution ⇒ or serious harm ⇔ in a manner that would result in such actor(s) being directly informed of the fact that an application has been made by the applicant in question,	

and would jeopardise the physical integrity of the applicant and his/her dependants, or the liberty and security of his/her family members still living in the country of origin.	jeopardise the physical integrity of the applicant and his/her dependants, or the liberty and security of his/her family members still living in the country of origin.	and would jeopardise the physical integrity of the applicant and his/her dependants, or the liberty and security of his/her family members still living in the country of origin.	
CHAPTER III	CHAPTER III	CHAPTER III	
PROCEDURES AT FIRST INSTANCE	PROCEDURES AT FIRST INSTANCE	PROCEDURES AT FIRST INSTANCE	
SECTION I	Section I	SECTION I	
Article <u>31</u> 23	Article <u>31</u>	Article <u>31</u> 23	
Examination procedure	Examination procedure	Examination procedure	
1. Member States shall process applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum in an examination procedure in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of	1. Member States shall process applications for international protection in an examination procedure in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II.	1. Member States shall process applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum in an examination procedure in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of	<u>Identical</u>

Chapter II.		Chapter II.	
2. Member States shall ensure that such a procedure is concluded as soon as possible, without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination.	2. Member States shall ensure that such a procedure is concluded as soon as possible, without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination.	2. Member States shall ensure that such a procedure is concluded as soon as possible, without prejudice to an adequate and complete examination and within six months after the application is lodged .	Outcome trilogues: take Council text as addition in Council text is the same as in paragraph 3 of the Cion/EP texts.
		In cases in which an application is subject to the procedure laid down in Regulation (EU) [/] [the Dublin Regulation], the time limit of six months shall start to run from the moment the Member State responsible for its examination is determined in conformity with that Regulation, the applicant is on the territory of that Member State and has been taken in charge by the competent authority.	Outcome trilogues : take Council text.

3.	Member States shall ensure that a procedure is concluded within six months after the application is lodged.	3.	Member States shall ensure that a procedure is concluded within six months after the application is lodged.	3. <u>೨[.</u>	<u>1</u> €	Outcome trilogues: take Council text as the deleted phrase is covered in paragraph 2 of compromise text.
	Member States may extend that time limit for a period not exceeding a further six months, where:		Member States may extend that time limit for a period not exceeding a further six months, where:	State the control of] C_Member es may extend at C ⊃ [] C] C time limit Six months C for a od not exceeding a er ⊃ twelve C] Cmonths, where:	Pending. EP suggestion D[] C Member States may extend that C D[] C D[] C time limit D of six months C for a period not exceeding a further D nine C D[] C months, where:
	(a) complex issues of fact and law are involved;		(a) complex issues of fact and law are involved;	(a)	complex issues of fact and ⊃/or ⊂ law are involved;	Outcome trilogues : take Council text.
	(b) a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request international protection which makes it impossible in		(b) a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request international protection which makes it impossible in	(b)	a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons simultaneously request international protection which makes it <a>very difficult	Outcome trilogues: take Council text: "very difficult". EP AM on information/notification in case of a derogation is addressed in Article 49.

practice to conclude the procedure within the six-month time-limit;	practice to conclude the procedure within the six-month time-limit, subject to the notification to the Commission;	practice to conclude the procedure within the six-month time-limit;	
(c) where the delay can clearly be attributed to the failure of the applicant to comply with his/her obligations under Article 13.	where the delay can clearly be attributed to the failure of the applicant to comply with his/her obligations under Article 13.	(c) where the delay can clearly be attributed to the failure of the applicant to comply with his/her obligations under Article 13.	<u>Identical</u> .
Member States may postpone concluding the procedure where the determining authority cannot reasonably be expected to decide within the time limits laid down in this paragraph due to an uncertain situation in the country of origin which is expected to be temporary.		Member States may postpone concluding the procedure where the determining authority cannot reasonably be expected to decide within the time limits laid down in this paragraph due to an uncertain situation in the country of origin which is expected to be temporary.	Presidency suggestion. Member States may postpone concluding the procedure where the determining authority cannot reasonably be expected to decide within the time limits laid down in this paragraph due to an uncertain situation in the country of origin which is expected to be temporary. Member States shall

	carry out an assessment of the situation in that country of origin at regular intervals. In case of postponement concluding the procedure, the total duration of the examination procedure shall not exceed twenty-four months.
	(d) there is a situation of uncertainty in the country of origin which is expected to be temporary and without prejudice to Article 13 and 18 [Qualification Directive]. In such a case, Member States shall, within six months of the lodging of the application and at three-monthly intervals thereafter, conduct a review of that

						situation. If they consider that there is no longer a situation of uncertainty, Member States shall conclude the procedure
<u>4.</u>	Member States shall ensure that, where a decision cannot be taken within six months, the applicant concerned shall either:	Member States shall ensure that, where a decision cannot be taken within six months, the applicant concerned shall:	<u>4.</u>	Member States shall ensure that, where a decision cannot be taken within six months, the applicant concerned shall either:	<u>Identical</u>	
	(a) be informed of the delay; ⇒ and ⇔	(a) be informed of the delay; and		(a) be informed of the delay; ⇒ and ⇔	Identical	
	(b) receive, upon his/her request, information on the ⇒ reasons for the delay and the ⇔ time-frame within which the decision on his/her application is to be expected. Such information—shall not-constitute—an	(b) receive, upon his/her request, information on the reasons for the delay and the time-frame within which the decision on his/her application is to be expected.		(b) receive, upon his/her request, information on the ⇒ reasons for the delay and the ⇔ time-frame within which the decision on his/her application is to be expected. Such information—shall not constitute—an	<u>Identical</u>	

	obligation—for the Member State towards the applicant concerned to take a decision—within that time-frame.			obligation—for the Member-State towards the applicant concerned to take a decision—within that-time-frame.	
	The consequences of failure to adopt a decision within the time limits laid down in paragraph 3 shall be determined in accordance with national law.	The consequences of failure to adopt a decision within the time limits laid down in paragraph 3 shall be determined in accordance with national law.		<u>>[]</u> C	Outcome trilogues: take Council text (deletion).
<u>5.</u> 2	Member States may prioritise or accelerate any an examination ⇒ of an application for international protection ⇔ in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II, including where the application is likely to be well founded or where the applicant has special needs:	The determining authorities may prioritise an examination of an application for international protection in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II:	5. 金	Member States may prioritise or accelerate any an examination ⇒ of an application for international protection ⇔ in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II ⊃ in particular ⊂ ; including where the application is likely to be well-founded or where the applicant has special needs:	Outcome trilogues : take Council text for heading.

	(a) where the application is likely to be well founded;	(a) where the application is likely to be well founded;	(a) where the application is likely to be well founded;	Identical.
	is vulnerable within the meaning of Article 22 of Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive], or is in need of special procedural guarantees, in particular unaccompanied minors;	(b) where the applicant is vulnerable within the meaning of Article 22 of Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive], or is in need of special procedural guarantees, in particular unaccompanied minors;	(b) where the applicant is vulnerable within the meaning of Article 22 of Directive [//EU] [the Reception Conditions Directive], or is in need of special procedural guarantees, in particular unaccompanied minors.	Identical.
	(c) in other cases with the exception of applications referred to in paragraph 6.	(c) in other cases with the exception of applications referred to in paragraph 6.	⊃ <u>[]</u> c .	Outcome trilogues: >[] C.
] — 1 6 1	Member States may also provide that an examination procedure in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II	6. Member States may provide that an examination procedure in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II	6. 4 Member States may also provide that an examination procedure in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II	Identical

be prioritised or accelerated ⇒ and/or conducted at the border in accordance with Article 43 ← if:	be accelerated and/or conducted at the border in accordance with Article 43 if:	be prioritised or accelerated ⇒ and/or conducted at the border in accordance with Article 43 ⇔ if:	
(a) the applicant, in submitting his/her application and presenting the facts, has only raised issues that are not relevant of minimal relevance to the examination of whether he/she qualifies as a refugee ⇒ or a person eligible for subsidiary protection ⇔ by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC; or	(a) the applicant, in submitting his/her application and presenting the facts, has only raised issues that are not relevant to the examination of whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive]; or	(a) the applicant, in submitting his/her application and presenting the facts, has only raised issues that are not relevant of minimal relevance to the examination of whether he/she qualifies as a refugee ⇒ or a person eligible for subsidiary protection ⇔ by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC; or	Identical.
	aa) the applicant clearly does not qualify as a refugee or for refugee status in a		Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text=

ANNEX

	Member State under Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive]; or		
(b) the applicant elearly does not qualify as a refugee or for refugee status in a Member State under Directive 2004/83/EC; or		(b) the applicant elearly does not qualify as a refugee or for refugee status in a Member State under Directive 2004/83/EC; or	
(c) the application for asylum is considered to be unfounded:		(c) the application for asylum is considered to be unfounded.	
(b) i) because the applicant is from a safe country of origin within the meaning of this Directive Articles 29, 30 and 31 or	(<u>b</u>) the applicant is from a safe country of origin within the meaning of <u>this</u> <u>Directive</u>	(bi) because the applicant is from a safe country of origin within the meaning of this Directive Articles 29, 30 and 31. or	<u>Identical</u> .
(ii) because the country which is not a Member		(ii) because the country which is not a Member	

State, is considered to be a safe third country for the applicant, without prejudice to Article 28(1); or		State, is considered to be a safe third-country for the applicant, without prejudice to Article 28(1); or	
(⊆ d) the applicant has misled the authorities by presenting false information or documents or by withholding relevant information or documents with respect to his/her identity and/or nationality that could have had a negative impact on the decision; or	(c) the applicant has misled the authorities by presenting false information or documents or by withholding relevant information or documents with respect to his/her identity and/or nationality that could have had a negative impact on the decision; or	the applicant has misled the authorities by presenting false information or documents or by withholding relevant information or documents with respect to his/her identity and/or nationality that could have had a negative impact on the decision; or	Identical.
(e) the applicant has filed another application for asylum stating other personal		(c) the applicant has filed another application for asylum stating other personal	

data; or		data; or	
(de feet the applicant has not produced information establishing with a reasonable degree of certainty his/her identity or nationality, or it is likely that, in bad faith, ⊠ the applicant ⟨□ he/she has destroyed or disposed of an identity or travel document that would have helped establish his/her identity or nationality; or	(d) it is likely that, in bad faith, the applicant has destroyed or disposed of an identity or travel document that would have helped establish his/her identity or nationality; or	the applicant has not produced information establishing with a reasonable degree of certainty his/her identity or nationality, or it is likely that, in bad faith, ⋈ the applicant ⋈ he/she has destroyed or disposed of an identity or travel document that would have helped establish his/her identity or nationality; or	Identical.
(e e) the applicant has made inconsistent, contradictory, ⇒ clearly false or obviously ⇔ improbable or insufficient representations ⇒ which contradict sufficiently	(e) the applicant has made clearly false, inconsistent, contradictory or improbable, insufficient representations making his/her claim plainly unconvincing in	the applicant has made □ clearly inconsistent and contradictory. □ inconsistent, contradictory. □ clearly false or obviously □ improbable or insufficient	Outcome trilogues: take Council text.

relation to whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection to □ whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of □ prective]; or clearly unconvincing in relation to □ Directive]; or clearly unconvincing in refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of □ his/her having being the object of persocution referred to in Directive //EU][the				
information,			*	
Example Exa				
making ☑ which make his/her claim clearly unconvincing in relation to □ whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of □ ligible having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive [EU] [the Qualification Directive] Directive]				
make his/her claim clearly unconvincing in relation to ⇒ whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive		<u> </u>		
clearly virtue of Directive unconvincing in relation to Qualification ⇒ whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having—being the object of persecution referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive [//EU] [the making ≪ which make his/her claim clearly unconvincing in relation to ⇒ whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having—being the object of persecution referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] Directive	<u>o</u>	•		
unconvincing in relation to ⇒ whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive! □ (L, EU] [the Qualification Directive] □ (Directive) □ (make his/her claim	<u> </u>	,	
relation to	•			
⇒ whether he/she qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having being the object of referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] Directive Oualification Directive	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_	
qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive Oualification Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Oualification Directive Directive Oualification Directive Directive Directive Oualification Directive		•	make his/her claim	
refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive Oualification Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Oualification Directive Directive Directive Directive Oualification Directive		Directive]; or	•	
eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive Oualification Directive Directive Directive Directive Oualification Directive Oualification Directive Oualification Directive Oualification Directive Directive Oualification Directive Directive Oualification Directive Directive Oualification Directive Oualification Directive Directive Oualification Directive	•		_	
subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive Qualification Directive				
protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive Qualification Directive			⇒ whether he/she	
virtue of			•	
having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] Directive 2004/83/EC; or subsidiary protection by virtue of ⇔ his/her having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive				
object of persecution referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] Directive 2004/83/EC; or protection by virtue of his/her having being the object of persecution referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive				
virtue of ← his/her having being the object of [//EU] [the Qualification Directive Directive Directive	having being the			
referred to in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive Directive 2004/83/EC; or Directive	object of		1	
Directive [/EU] [the Qualification Directive Directive 2004/83/EC; or Directive Qualification Qualification Qualification Qualification Qualification Qualification Qualification Qualification Qualificative Qualificative	persecution		virtue of ← his/her	
[//EU] [the Qualification Directive Directive 2004/83/EC; or Qualification Directive Qualification Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive Directive	referred to in		having being the	
Qualification Directive Directive Directive 2004/83/EC; or Qualification Directive Directive Directive			object of	
Directive Directive Directive 1/EU] [the 2004/83/EC; or Qualification Directive Directive				
Directive 2004/83/EC; or Qualification Directive Directive	<u>Qualification</u>			
2004/83/EC; or Qualification Directive Directive				
Directive] Directive	<u> </u>		[//EU] [the	
Directive	2004/83/EC ; or		<u>Qualification</u>	
			<u>Directive</u>]	
2004/83/EC ; or				
			2004/83/EC ; or	
(g) the applicant has		(g) the applicant has	(e1) The applicant	Outcome trilogues: take Council
submitted a has introduced a text.				-
subsequent subsequent				

		application which clearly does not raise any relevant new elements with respect to his/her particular circumstances or to the situation in his/her country of origin; or	application for international protection that is not inadmissible in accordance with Article 40(5); or	
	(h)	the applicant has failed without reasonable cause to make his/her application earlier, having had opportunity to do so; or		Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text (EP AM withdrawn).
(h) the applicant has submitted a subsequent application which does not raise any relevant new elements with respect to his/her particular eircumstances or to the situation in his/her country of origin; or			(h) the applicant has submitted a subsequent application which does not raise any relevant new elements with respect to his/her particular circumstances or to the situation in his/her country of origin; or	

(i)—the applicant—has failed—without reasonable—cause to make—his/her application—earlier, having—had opportunity—to do so; or		(i)—the applicant—has failed—without reasonable—cause to make—his/her application—earlier; having—had opportunity—to-do so; or	
(f j) the applicant is making an application merely in order to delay or frustrate the enforcement of an earlier or imminent decision which would result in his/her removal; or	(f) the applicant is making an application merely in order to delay or frustrate the enforcement of an earlier or imminent decision which would result in his/her removal; or	(fi) the applicant is making an application merely in order to delay or frustrate the enforcement of an earlier or imminent decision which would result in his/her removal; or	Identical.
(k)—the applicant—has failed-without—good reason to comply with obligations referred to in Article 4(1) and (2) of Directive 2004/83/EC or in Articles 11(2)(a) and (b) and 20(1) of this Directive;		(k) the applicant has failed without good reason to comply with obligations referred to in Article 4(1) and (2) of Directive 2004/83/EC or in Articles11(2)(a) and (b) and 20(1) of this Directive; or	

cntered the entered the territory of the Member State unlawfully or prolonged his/her stay unlawfully and, without good reason, has either not presented himself/herself to the authorities and/or filed an application for asylum as soon as possible, given the circumstances of his/her-entry; or		cntered the entered the entered the territory of the Member State unlawfully or prolonged his/her stay unlawfully and, without good reason, has either not presented himself/herself to the authorities and/or filed an application for asylum as soon as possible, given the circumstances of his/her-entry; or	
	(j) the applicant has failed without good reason to comply with his/her obligations to cooperate in the examination of the facts of his/her case and the establishment of his/her identity referred to in Article 4(1) and (2) of Directive		Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text (EP AM withdrawn).

	[//EU] [the Qualification Directive] or in Article 12(1) and (2)(a), (b) and (c) and Article 25(1) of this Directive; or		
(k)	the applicant entered the territory of the Member State unlawfully or extended his/her stay unlawfully and, without good reason, has either not presented himself/herself to the authorities and/or filed an application for asylum as soon as possible, given the circumstances of his/her entry; or	entered the territory of the Member State unlawfully or prolonged his/her stay unlawfully and, without good reason, has either not presented himself/herself to the authorities and/or filed an application for asylum as soon as possible, given the circumstances of his/her entry; or	Identical.
		refuses to comply with an obligation to have his/her fingerprints taken	Outcome trilogues: take Council text.

		in accordance with Regulation (EU) [/] [EURODAC Regulation], or ©	
(g may for serious reasons be considered a danger to the national security or public order of the Member State, or the applicant has been forcibly expelled for serious reasons of public security x or x mad public order under national law.	(g) the applicant may for serious reasons be considered a danger to the national security of the Member State, or the applicant has been forcibly expelled for serious reasons of public security or public order under national law.	the applicant may for serious reasons be considered is a danger to the national security or public order of the Member State, or the applicant has been forcibly expelled for serious reasons of public security or and public order under national law.	Identical.
(n) the applicant refuses to comply with an obligation to have his/her fingerprints—taken in-accordance with relevant Community—and/or national legislation;—or		(n) the applicant refuses to comply with an obligation to have his/her fingerprints—taken in accordance with relevant Community—and/or national legislation;—or	

made by an unmarried minor to whom Article 6(4)(e) applies, after the applies, after the applies or parent responsible for the minor has been rejected and no relevant new elements were raised with respect to his/her particular circumstances or to the situation in his/her country of origin.		made by an unmarried minor to whom Article 6(4)(e) applies, after the applies, after the applies or parent responsible for the minor has been rejected and no relevant new elements were raised with respect to his/her particular circumstances or to the situation in his/her country of origin.	
7. Member States shall lay down reasonable time limits for the adoption of a decision in the procedure at first instance pursuant to paragraph 6 which ensure adequate and complete examination.	7. Member States shall lay down reasonable time limits for the adoption of a decision in the procedure at first instance pursuant to paragraph 6 which ensure adequate and complete examination.	7. Member States ⊃ may ⊂ ⊃ [] ⊂ lay down ⊃ [] ⊂ time limits for the adoption of a decision in the procedure at first instance pursuant to paragraph 6 ⊃ [] ⊂. ⊃ Those time limits shall be reasonable. ⊂	Outcome trilogues: 7. Member States shall may simple s

			31(3), Member States may exceed those time limits where it is necessary in order to ensure an adequate and complete examination of the application for international protection.
8. The fact that an application for international protection was submitted after an irregular entry into the territory or at the border, including in transit zones, as well as the lack of documents or use of forged documents, shall not <i>per se</i> entail an automatic recourse to the procedure at first instance pursuant to paragraph 6.	8. The fact that an application for international protection was submitted after an irregular entry into the territory or at the border, including in transit zones, as well as the lack of documents on entry or the use of forged documents, shall not per se entail an automatic recourse to the procedure at first instance pursuant to paragraph 6.	8. The fact that an application for international protection was submitted after an irregular entry into the territory or at the border, including in transit zones, $\bigcirc [\ldots] \bigcirc$ shall not <i>per se</i> entail an automatic recourse to the procedure at first instance pursuant to paragraph 6.	Pending
Article 24		Article 24	
Specific procedures		Specific procedures	
1. Member States may provide for the following specific procedures		1. Member States may provide for the following specific procedures	

derogating from the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II:		derogating from the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II:	
(a) a preliminary examination—for the purposes of processing cases considered within the framework set out in Section IV;		(a)—a preliminary examination—for the purposes—of processing—eases considered—within the framework set out—in—Section—IV;	
(b) procedures for the purposes of processing cases considered within the framework set out in Section V.		(b) procedures for the purposes of processing cases considered within the framework set out in Section V.	
2. Member States may also provide a derogation in respect of Section VI.		2. Member States may also provide a derogation in respect of Section VI.	
Article 32	Article 32	Article 32	
Unfounded applications	Unfounded applications	Unfounded applications	
1. Without prejudice to Article 27 29 and 20, Member States may only consider an application for asylum as unfounded	Member States may only consider an application as unfounded if the determining authority has established that the	1. Without prejudice to Article 27 29 and 20, Member States may only consider an application for asylum as unfounded	Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text.

	if the determining authority has established that the applicant does not qualify for ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status pursuant to Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC.		applicant does not qualify for international protection status pursuant to Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive].		if the determining authority has established that the applicant does not qualify for ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status pursuant to Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC.	
2.	In the cases mentioned in Article 23(4)(b) and In in cases of unfounded applications for asylum in which any of the circumstances listed in Article 31(6) \Rightarrow (a) to (f) \Leftrightarrow 23(4)(a) and (e) to (o) apply, Member States may also consider an application as manifestly unfounded, where it is defined as such in the national legislation.	2.	In cases of unfounded applications in which any of the circumstances listed in Article 31(6) (a) to (f) apply, Member States may also consider an application as manifestly unfounded, following an adequate and complete examination.	2.	In the cases mentioned in Article 23(4)(b) and In in cases of unfounded applications for asylum in which any of the circumstances listed in Article 31(6) □ [] □ 23(4)(a) and (c) to (o) apply, Member States may also consider an application as manifestly unfounded, where it is defined as such in the national legislation.	Outcome trilogues: take Council text

	SECTION II SECTION II		SECTION II	
	Article <u>33 25</u>	Article <u>33</u>	Article <u>33 25</u>	
Ina	admissible applications	Inadmissible applications	Inadmissible applications	
1.	In addition to cases in which an application is not examined in accordance with Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation] (EC) No 343/2003, Member States are not required to examine whether the applicant qualifies ⇒ for international protection ⇒ as a refugee in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC where an application is considered inadmissible pursuant to this Article.	1. In addition to cases in which an application is not examined in accordance with Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation], Member States are not required to examine whether the applicant qualifies for international protection in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] where an application is considered inadmissible pursuant to this Article.	1. In addition to cases in which an application is not examined in accordance with Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation] (EC) No (343/2003). Member States are not required to examine whether the applicant qualifies ⇒ for international protection ⇔ as a refugee in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC where an application is considered inadmissible pursuant to this Article.	Identical.
2.	Member States may consider an application	Member States may consider an application	Member States may consider an application	Identical.

for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum as inadmissible ⇒ only ⇔ pursuant to this Article if:	for international protection as inadmissible only if:	for international protection asylum as inadmissible only pursuant to this Article if:	
(a) another Member State has granted refugee status;	(a) another Member State has granted refugee status;	(a) another Member State has granted international protection [:] C;	Outcome trilogues: take Council text. Issue of deletion of "status" comes back in several APD-provisons and follows from the text of the Qualification Directive
(b) a country which is not a Member State is considered as a first country of asylum for the applicant, pursuant to Article 35 €;	(b) a country which is not a Member State is considered as a first country of asylum for the applicant, pursuant to Article 35;	(b) a country which is not a Member State is considered as a first country of asylum for the applicant, pursuant to Article 35 €;	Identical.
(c) a country which is not a Member State is considered as a safe third country for the applicant, pursuant to Article 38 27;	(c) a country which is not a Member State is considered as a safe third country for the applicant, pursuant to Article 38;	(c) a country which is not a Member State is considered as a safe third country for the applicant, pursuant to Article 38 27;	<u>Identical</u> .
(d) the applicant is allowed to remain in the Member		(d)—the applicant—is allowed—to-remain in—the Member	

State concerned on some other grounds and as result of this he/she has been granted a status equivalent to the rights and benefits of the refugee status by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC;		State concerned on some other grounds and as result of this he/she has been granted a status equivalent to the rights and benefits of the refugee status by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC;	
c) the applicant is allowed to remain in the territory of the Member State concerned on some other grounds which protect him/her against refoulement pending the outcome of a procedure for the determination of status pursuant to point (d);		the applicant is allowed to remain in the territory of the Member State concerned on some other grounds which protect him/her against refoulement pending the outcome of a procedure for the determination of status pursuant to point (d);	
$(\underline{d} \ \underline{\underline{f}}) \Rightarrow$ the application is a subsequent application, where no new elements or	d) the application is a subsequent application, where no new elements or	(d <u>€</u>) ⇒ the application is a subsequent application, where no new elements or	<u>Identical</u>

findings relating to the examination of whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the	findings relating to the examination of whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the	findings relating to the examination of whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the	
Qualification Directive] have arisen or have been presented by the applicant the applicant has lodged an identical application after a final decision;	Qualification Directive] have arisen or have been presented by the applicant;	Qualification Directive] have arisen or have been presented by the applicant the applicant has lodged an identical application after a final decision;	
(e e) a dependant of the applicant lodges an application, after he/she has in accordance with Article 7(2) €€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€	(e) a dependant of the applicant lodges an application, after he/she has in accordance with Article 7(2) consented to have his/her case be part of an application made on his/her behalf, and there are no facts relating to the	(e⊕) a dependant of the applicant lodges an application, after he/she has in accordance with Article 7(2) €€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€€	Identical.

dependant's situation, which justify a separate application.	dependant's situation, which justify a separate application.	dependant's situation, which justify a separate application.	
Article 34	Article 34	Article 34	Outcome trilogues: take the compromise for Article 34 below.
			Article 34
Special rules on an admissibility interview	Special rules on an admissibility interview	Special rules on an admissibility interview	Special rules on an admissibility interview
1. Member States shall allow applicants to present their views with regard to the application of the grounds referred to in Article 33 in their particular circumstances before a decision to consider an application inadmissible is taken. To that end, Member States shall conduct a personal interview on the admissibility of the application. Member States may make an exception only in	1. Member States shall allow applicants to present their views with regard to the application of the grounds referred to in Article 33 in their particular circumstances before a decision to consider an application inadmissible is taken. To that end, the determining authority shall conduct a personal interview on the admissibility of the application. Member States may make an	1. Member States shall allow applicants to present their views with regard to the application of the grounds referred to in Article 33 in their particular circumstances before a decision to consider an application inadmissible is taken. To that end, Member States shall conduct a personal interview on the admissibility of the application. Member States may make an exception only in	allow applicants to present their views with regard to the application of the grounds referred to in Article 33 in their particular circumstances before a decision to consider an application inadmissible by the determining authority is taken. To that end, Member States shall conduct a personal interview on the admissibility of the application. Member

accordance with Article 42 in the case of a subsequent application.	exception only in accordance with Article 42 in the case of a subsequent application.	accordance with Article 42 in the case of a subsequent application.	States may make an exception only in accordance with Article 42 in the case of a subsequent application. This paragraph shall be without prejudice to Article 4(2)(a).
			2. Member States may provide that the personnel of other authorities than the determining authority conducts the personal interview on the admissibility of the application for international protection. In such cases, Member States shall ensure that the personnel of those authorities who conduct the interview receive in advance the necessary basic training in particular with respect to international human rights law, the EU asylum acquis and interview techniques.

2.	Paragraph 1 shall be without prejudice to Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].	2.	Paragraph 1 shall be without prejudice to Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].	⊅ <u>[]</u> €	Outcome trilogues: 3. Paragraph 1 shall be without prejudice to Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation]. Technical group suggests to redraft general reference to the Dublin regulation in recital (42) and to maintain the Dublin references in the Articles 28(3), 34(2) and 46(8).
3.	Member States shall ensure that the person who conducts the interview on the admissibility of the application does not wear a military or law enforcement uniform.	3.	Member States shall ensure that the <i>member</i> of staff of the determining authority who conducts the interview on the admissibility of the application does not wear a uniform		Outcome trilogues: Outcome trilogues:

	SECTION III		SECTION III		SECTION III	
	Article <u>35</u> 26		Article 35		Article <u>35</u> 26	
The c	concept of first country of asylum	The c	oncept of first country of asylum	The co	oncept of first country of as ylum	
a first of particu ⇒ inter	a first country of asylum for a particular applicant for p		a first country of asylum for a particular applicant for a first country of asylum for a particular applicant for		national protection \Leftarrow	Identical.
(a)	he/she has been recognised in that country as a refugee and he/she can still avail himself/herself of that protection, or	(a)	he/she has been recognised in that country as a refugee and he/she can still avail himself/herself of that protection, or	(a)	he/she has been recognised in that country as a refugee and he/she can still avail himself/herself of that protection, or	Identical
(b)	he/she otherwise enjoys sufficient protection in that country, including benefiting from the principle of non-refoulement,	(b)	he/she otherwise enjoys effective protection in that country, including benefiting from the principle of non-refoulement,	(b)	he/she otherwise enjoys sufficient protection in that country, including benefiting from the principle of non-refoulement,	Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text.
re-adm In appl country	provided that he/she will be re-admitted to that country. In applying the concept of first country of asylum to the particular circumstances of an provided that he/she will be re-admitted to that country. In applying the concept of first country of asylum to the particular circumstances of an		re-admi In apply country	that he/she will be tted to that country. ring the concept of first of asylum to the ar circumstances of an	Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text as this does not limit the grounds for challenging the	

applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, Member States may take into account Article 38(1) 27(1). ⇒ The applicant shall be allowed to challenge the application of the first country of asylum concept in his/her particular circumstances. ⇔	applicant for international protection, Member States shall take into account Article 38(1). The applicant shall be allowed to challenge the application of the first country of asylum concept on the grounds that the first country of asylum in question is not safe in his or her particular case.	applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum, Member States may take into account Article 38(1) 27(1). ⇒ The applicant shall be allowed to challenge the application of the first country of asylum concept in his/her particular circumstances. ⇔	application of the first country of asylum concept.
Article 27		Com proposal	
The safe third-country concept			
1. Member States may apply the safe third country concept only where the competent authorities are satisfied that a person seeking asylum will be treated in accordance with the following principles in the third country concerned:			
(a) life and liberty are not threatened on account of race, religion, nationality,			

membership of a particular social group or political opinion;		
(b) the principle of non-refoulement in accordance with the Geneva Convention is respected;		
the prohibition of removal, in violation of the right to freedom from torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment as laid down in international law, is respected; and		
(d) the possibility exists to request refugee status and, if found to be a refugee, to receive protection in accordance with the Geneva Convention.		

2. The application of the safe third-country concept shall be subject to rules laid down in national legislation, including:		
(a) rules requiring a connection between the person seeking asylum and the third country concerned on the basis of which it would be reasonable for that person to go to that country;		
(b) rules on the methodology by which the competent authorities satisfy themselves that the safe third country concept may be applied to a particular country or to a particular applicant. Such methodology shall include		

case-by-case		
consideration of		
the safety of the		
country for a		
particular applicant		
and/or national		
designation of		
countries		
considered to be		
generally safe;		
(e) rules in accordance		
with international		
law, allowing an		
individua l		
examination of		
whether the third		
country concerned		
is safe for a		
particular applicant		
which, as a		
minimum, shall		
permit the		
applicant_to		
challenge the		
application of the		
safe third-country		
concept on the		
grounds that he/she		
would-be subjected		
to torture, cruel,		
inhuman or		
de grading		

		T
treatment or punishment.		
3. When implementing a decision solely based on this Article, Member States shall:		
(a) inform the applicant accordingly; and		
(b) provide him/her with a document informing the authorities of the third country, in the language of that country, that the application has not been examined in substance.		
4. Where the third-country does not permit the applicant for asylum to enter its territory; Member States shall ensure that access to a procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in		

Chapter II.		
5. Member States shall inform the Commission periodically of the countries to which this concept is applied in accordance with the provisions of this Article.		
Article 28	Commission proposal	
Unfounded applications		
1. Without prejudice to Articles 19 and 20, Member States may only consider an application for asylum as unfounded if the determining authority has established that the applicant does not qualify for refugee status pursuant to Directive 2004/83/EC.		
2. In the cases mentioned in Article 23(4)(b) and in cases of unfounded applications for asylum in which any of the		

circumstances listed in Article 23(4)(a) and (c) to (o) apply, Member States may also consider an application as manifestly unfounded, where it is defined as such in the national legislation.		
Article 29	Commission proposal	
Minimum common list of third countries regarded as safe countries of origin		
1. The Council shall, acting by a qualified majority on a proposal from the Commission and after consultation of the European Parliament, adopt a minimum common list of third countries which shall be regarded by Member States as safe countries of origin in accordance with Annex II.		

	_	
2. The Council may, acting		
by a qualified majority		
on a proposal from the		
Commission and after		
consultation of the		
European Parliament, amend the minimum		
common list by adding		
or removing third countries, in accordance		
with Annex II. The		
Commission—shall		
examine any request		
made by the Council or		
by a Member State to		
submit-a proposal to		
amend the minimum		
common list.		
3. When making its		
proposal under		
paragraphs 1 or 2, the		
Commission shall make		
use of information from		
the Member States, its		
own information and,		
where necessary,		
information from		
UNHCR, the Council of		
Europe and other		
relevant international		
organisations.		

	_	
4. Where the Council		
requests the Commission		
to submit a proposal for		
removing a third country		
from the minimum		
obligation of Member		
States pursuant to		
Article 31(2) shall be		
suspended with regard to		
this third country as of		
the day following the		
Council-decision		
requesting such a		
submission.		
5. Where a Member State		
requests the Commission		
to submit a proposal to		
the Council for removing		
a third country from the		
minimum common list,		
that Member State shall notify the Council in		
writing of the request		
made to the		
Commission. The		
obligation of this		
Member State pursuant		
to Article 31(2) shall be		
suspended with regard to		
the third country as of the day following the		
the day following the		

notification—to the Council.		
6. The European Parliament shall be informed of the suspensions under paragraphs 4 and 5.		
7. The suspensions under paragraphs 4 and 5 shall end after three months, unless the Commission makes a proposal before the end of this period, to withdraw the third country from the minimum common list. The suspensions shall in any ease end where the Council rejects a proposal by the Commission to withdraw the third country from the list.		
8. Upon request by the Council, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and the Council on whether the situation of a country on the minimum		

41 1 144 1	T		
common list is still in conformity with Annex II. When			
presenting its report, the Commission may make such recommendations			
or proposals as it deems appropriate.			
Article 30			
National designation of third		Commission proposal	
countries			
as safe countries of origin			
1. Without prejudice to			
Article 29, Member			
States may retain or			
introduce legislation that			
allows, in accordance			
with Annex II, for the			
national-designation-of			
third countries other than			
those appearing on the			
minimum common list,			
as safe countries of			
origin for the purposes of			
examining applications for asylum. This may			
include designation of			
part of a country as safe			
where the conditions in			
WHOTE the Conditions III			

Annex II are fulfilled in relation to that part.		
2. By derogation from paragraph 1, Member States may retain legislation in force on 1 December 2005 that allows for the national designation of third countries, other than those appearing on the minimum common list, as safe countries of origin for the purposes of examining applications for asylum where they are satisfied that persons in the third countries concerned are generally		
neither subject to:		
(a) persecution as defined in Article 9 of Directive 2004/83/EC; nor		
(b) torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.		
3. Member States may also		

retain legislation in force		
on 1 December 2005 that		
allows for the national		
designation of part of a		
country as safe, or a		
country or part of a		
country as safe for a		
specified group of		
persons in that country,		
where the conditions in		
paragraph 2 are fulfilled		
in relation to that part or		
group.		
4. In assessing whether a		
country is a safe country		
of origin in accordance		
with paragraphs 2 and 3,		
Member States shall		
have regard to the legal		
situation, the application		
of the law and the		
general political		
circumstances in the		
third country concerned.		
5 The accessment of		
5. The assessment of		
whether a country is a		
safe country of origin in accordance with this		
Article shall be based on		
a range of sources of		
information, including in		

particular information from other Member States, the UNHCR, the Council of Europe and other relevant international organisations.		
6. Member States shall notify to the Commission the countries that are designated as safe countries of origin in accordance with this Article.		
Article <u>36</u> 31	Article <u>36</u> 31	
The safe country of origin concept	The safe country of origin concept	Pending. related to recitals (36), (37), (37a) and (37b)
1. A third country designated as a safe country of origin in accordance with ⊠ this Directive ☑ either Article 29 or 30 may, after an individual examination of the application, be	1. A third country designated as a safe country of origin in accordance with ⊠ this Directive ⋈ either Article 29 or 30 may, after an individual examination of the application, be	

considered as a safe country of origin for a particular applicant for asylum only if:	considered as a safe country of origin for a particular applicant for asylum only if:	
(a) he/she has the nationality of that country; or	(a) he/she has the nationality of that country; or	
(b) he/she is a stateless person and was formerly habitually resident in that country;	(b) he/she is a stateless person and was formerly habitually resident in that country;	
and he/she has not submitted any serious grounds for considering the country not to be a safe country of origin in his/her particular circumstances and in terms of his/her qualification as a refugee ⇒ or a person eligible for subsidiary protection ⇔ in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC.	and he/she has not submitted any seriousgrounds for considering the country not to be a safe country of origin in his/her particular circumstances and in terms of his/her qualification as a refugee ⇒ or a person eligible for subsidiary protection ⇔ in accordance with Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC.	
2. Member-States shall, in	2. Member States shall, in	

accordance with paragraph 1, consider the application for asylum as unfounded where the third country is designated as safe pursuant to Article 29.	accordance with paragraph 1, consider the application—for asylum—as unfounded—where the third—country—is designated—as safe pursuant to Article—29.	
2. \(\frac{2}{\pm}\) Member States shall lay down in national legislation further rules and modalities for the application of the safe country of origin concept.	2. \(\frac{2}{\sum} \) Member States shall lay down in national legislation further rules and modalities for the application of the safe country of origin concept.	
Article 37	Article 37	
National designation of third countries as safe countries of origin	National designation of third countries as safe countries of origin	Pending. related to recitals (36), (37), (37a) and (37b)
1. Without prejudice to Article 29, Member States may retain or introduce legislation that allows, in accordance with Annex I H, for the national designation of third countries other than those appearing on the	1. Without prejudice to Article 29, Member States may retain or introduce legislation that allows, in accordance with Annex IH, for the national designation of third countries other than those appearing on the	

origin for the purposes of examining applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum. This may include designation of part of a country as safe where the conditions in Annex H are fulfilled in relation to that part. 2. By derogation from	examining applications for international protection international protection in asylum. This may include designation of part of a country as safe where the conditions in Annex II are fulfilled in relation to that part. 2. By derogation from	
paragraph 1, Member States may retain legislation in force on 1 December 2005 that allows for the national designation of third countries, other than those appearing on the minimum common list, as safe countries of origin for the purposes of examining applications for asylum where they are satisfied that persons in the third countries concerned are generally neither subject to:	paragraph 1, Member States may retain legislation in force on 1 December 2005 that allows for the national designation of third countries, other than those appearing on the minimum common list, as safe countries of origin for the purposes of examining applications for asylum where they are satisfied that persons in the third countries concerned are generally neither subject to:	

defined in Article 9 of Directive 2004/83/EC; nor	defined in Article 9 of Directive 2004/83/EC; nor	
(b) torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.	(b) torture or inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.	
3. Member States may also retain legislation in force on 1 December 2005 that allows for the national designation of part of a country as safe, or a country or part of a country as safe for a specified group of persons in that country, where the conditions in paragraph 2 are fulfilled in relation to that part or group.	3. Member States may also retain legislation in force on 1 December 2005 that allows for the national designation of part of a country as safe, or a country or part of a country as safe for a specified group of persons in that country, where the conditions in paragraph 2 are fulfilled in relation to that part or group.	
4. In assessing whether a country is a safe country of origin in accordance with paragraphs 2 and 3, Member States shall have regard to the legal situation, the application of the law and the general political	4. In assessing whether a country is a safe country of origin in accordance with paragraphs 2 and 3, Member States shall have regard to the legal situation, the application of the law and the general political	

2.	circumstances in the third country concerned. Member States shall ensure a regular review of the situation in third countries designated as safe in accordance with this Article.	2.	Member States shall ensure a regular review of the situation in third countries designated as safe in accordance with this Article.	
3. ≦	The assessment of whether a country is a safe country of origin in accordance with this Article shall be based on a range of sources of information, including in particular information from other Member States, ⇒ the European Asylum Support Office, ⇔ the UNHCR, the Council of Europe and other relevant international organisations.	<u>3.5.</u>	The assessment of whether a country is a safe country of origin in accordance with this Article shall be based on a range of sources of information, including in particular information from other Member States, ⇒ the European Asylum Support Office, ⇔ the UNHCR, the Council of Europe and other relevant international organisations.	
<u>4. €</u>	Member States shall notify to the Commission the countries that are designated as safe countries of origin in accordance with this	<u>4.6.</u>	Member States shall notify to the Commission the countries that are designated as safe countries of origin in accordance with this	

Article.		Article.	
Article 38	Article 38	Article 38	
The safe third country concept	The safe third country concept [First deleted, but reintroduced under European safe third country concept (old Article 37)]	The safe third country concept	Pending. related to recitals (36), (37), (37a) and (37b)
1. Member States may apply the safe third country concept only where the competent authorities are satisfied that a person seeking ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum will be treated in accordance with the following principles in the third country concerned:	1. A third country may only be considered as a safe third country where a person seeking international protection will be treated in accordance with the following principles and conditions in the third country concerned:	1. Member States may apply the safe third country concept only where the competent authorities are satisfied that a person seeking ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum will be treated in accordance with the following principles in the third country concerned:	
(a) life and liberty are not threatened on account of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion;	(a) life and liberty are not threatened on account of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion;	(a) life and liberty are not threatened on account of race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion;	

(b) there is no risk of serious harm as defined in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive];	so do D [Q	nere is no risk of erious harm as efined in Directive//EU] [the Dualification Directive];	(b)	there is no risk of serious harm as defined in Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive];	
(<u>c</u> <u>b</u>) the principle of non-refoulement in accordance with the Geneva Convention is respected;	no ao th C	ne principle of on-refoulement in ccordance with ne Geneva Convention is espected;	(<u>cb</u>)	the principle of non-refoulement in accordance with the Geneva Convention is respected;	
(de) the prohibition of removal, in violation of the right to freedom from torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment as laid down in international law, is respected; and	re vi ri fr cr de tr de in	ne prohibition of emoval, in iolation of the ght to freedom rom torture and ruel, inhuman or egrading eatment as laid own in ternational law, respected;	(<u>de</u>)	the prohibition of removal, in violation of the right to freedom from torture and cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment as laid down in international law, is respected; and	
(e d) the possibility exists to request refugee status and, if found to be a refugee, to receive protection in	e: re a. ce	ne possibility exists to request efugee status or nother omplementary orm of protection	(<u>ed</u>)	the possibility exists to request refugee status and, if found to be a refugee, to receive protection in	

accordance with	comp	arable to that	accordance with	
the Geneva		ed under	the Geneva	
Convention.	Direc		Convention.	
		EU] [the		
		fication		
		tive] and, if		
		ed such status		
		etection, to		
		e protection		
		arable to that		
		led under		
		Directive;		
	inai D	mecuve,		
		ratified and ves the		
		sions of the		
	Gene			
	Conve			
		ut any		
		aphical		
	limita	tions;		
	(g) it has	in place an		
		n procedure		
		ribed by law;		
	and	toca by tan,		
	with the second			
	(h) it has	been so		
	` ,	nated by the		
	Europ	_		
		iment and		
		ouncil in		
		dance with		

		paragraph 2.	
		2. The European Parliament and the Council shall, acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure, adopt or amend a common list of third countries that shall be regarded as safe third countries for the purposes of paragraph 1.	
2.	The application of the safe third country concept shall be subject to rules laid down in national legislation, including:	3. The Member States concerned shall lay down in national law the modalities for implementing the provisions of paragraph 1 and rules requiring:	2. The application of the safe third country concept shall be subject to rules laid down in national legislation, including:
	(a) rules requiring a connection between the person seeking ⇒ international protection asylum and the third country concerned on the basis of which it	(a) a connection between the person seeking international protection and the third country concerned on the basis of which it would be reasonable for that	(a) rules requiring a connection between the person seeking ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum and the third country concerned on the basis of which it

would be reasonable for that person to go to that country;	person to go to that country;	would be reasonable for that person to go to that country;
(b) rules on the methodology by which the competent authorities satisfy themselves that the safe third country concept may be applied to a particular country or to a particular applicant. Such methodology shall include case-by-case consideration of the safety of the country for a particular applicant and/or national designation of countries considered to be generally safe;	(b) methodology by which the competent authorities satisfy themselves that the safe third country concept may be applied to a particular country or to a particular applicant. Such methodology shall include case-by-case consideration of the safety of the country for a particular applicant ;	(b) rules on the methodology by which the competent authorities satisfy themselves that the safe third country concept may be applied to a particular country or to a particular applicant. Such methodology shall include case-by-case consideration of the safety of the country for a particular applicant and/or national designation of countries considered to be generally safe;
(c) rules in accordance with international law, allowing an	(c) rules in accordance with international law, allowing an	(c) rules in accordance with international law, allowing an

individua l	individua l	individual	
examination of	examination of	examination of	
whether the third	whether the third	whether the third	
country concerned	country concerned	country concerned	
is safe for a	is safe for a	is safe for a	
particular applicant	particular applicant	particular applicant	
which, as a	which, as a	which, as a	
minimum, shall	minimum, shall	minimum, shall	
permit the	permit the	permit the	
applicant to	applicant to	applicant to	
challenge the	challenge the	challenge the	
application of the	application of the	application of the	
safe third country	safe third country	safe third country	
concept on the	concept on the	concept on the	
grounds that	grounds that the	grounds that	
⇒ that the third	third country is not	⇒ that the third	
country is not safe	safe in his/her	country is not safe	
in his/her particular	particular	in his/her particular	
circumstances ←	circumstances. The	circumstances \leftarrow	
he/she-would-be	applicant shall also	he/she-would-be	
subjected to	be allowed to	subjected to	
torture, cruel,	challenge the	torture, cruel,	
inhuman–or	existence of a	inhuman or	
degrading	connection	degrading	
treatment or	between him/her	treatment or	
punishment .	and the third	punishment .	
⇒ The applicant	country in	⇒ The applicant	
shall also be	accordance with	shall also be	
allowed to	point (a).	allowed to	
challenge the		challenge the	
existence of a		existence of a	
connection		connection	
between him/her		between him/her	

	and the third country in accordance with point (a). ←		and the third country in accordance with point (a). ←
3.	When implementing a decision solely based on this Article, Member States shall:	4. When implementing a decision based on this Article, the Member States concerned shall	3. When implementing a decision solely based on this Article, Member States shall:
	(a) inform the applicant accordingly; and	inform the applicant accordingly.	(a) inform the applicant accordingly; and
	(b) provide him/her with a document informing the authorities of the third country, in the language of that country, that the application has not been examined in substance.		(b) provide him/her with a document informing the authorities of the third country, in the language of that country, that the application has not been examined in substance.
4.	Where the third country does not permit the applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum to enter its territory, Member States shall ensure that access to a	5. Where the safe third country does not readmit the applicant for asylum, Member States shall ensure that access to a procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and	4. Where the third country does not permit the applicant for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum to enter its territory, Member States shall ensure that access to a

procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II.	guarantees described in Chapter II.	procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II.	
5. Member States shall inform the Commission periodically of the countries to which this concept is applied in accordance with the provisions of this Article.		5. Member States shall inform the Commission periodically of the countries to which this concept is applied in accordance with the provisions of this Article.	
	6. Member States shall not designate national lists of safe countries of origin or national lists of safe third countries.		
Article 39	Article 39	Article 39	
The European safe third country country		The European safe third <u>country</u> countries concept	Pending. related to recitals (36), (37), (37a) and (37b)
1. Member States may provide that no, or no full, examination of the asylum application for		Member States may provide that no, or no full, examination of the asylum application for	

⇒ international protection ⇔ and or safety of the application his/her particular circumstances as described in Chapter shall take place in or where a competent authority has estable on the basis of the state the applicant for asylum ⇒ internation	er II, cases ished, facts, or	⇒ international protection ⇔ and of the safety of the applicant in his/her particular circumstances as described in Chapter II, shall take place in cases where a competent authority has established, on the basis of the facts, that the applicant for asylum ⇒ international	
protection \Leftarrow is see to enter or has enter illegally into its tenfrom a safe third coaccording to paragrant 2.	red ritory ountry	protection \Leftarrow is seeking to enter or has entered illegally into its territory from a safe third country according to paragraph 2.	
2. A third country can be considered as a sthird country for the purposes of paragrawhere:	safe e	2. A third country can only be considered as a safe third country for the purposes of paragraph 1 where:	
(a) it has ratified observes the provisions of Geneva Convention without any geographical limitations;		(a) it has ratified and observes the provisions of the Geneva Convention without any geographical limitations;	

(b) it has in place an asylum procedure prescribed by law; and	(b)	it has in place an asylum procedure prescribed by law; and	
(c) it has ratified the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and observes its provisions, including the standards relating to effective remedies: and	(c)	it has ratified the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and observes its provisions, including the standards relating to effective remedies = and	
			Outcome trilogues: 2a. The applicant shall be allowed to challenge the application of the European safe third country concept on the grounds that the country is not safe in his/her particular circumstances.

	1		
(d) it has been so designated by the Council in accordance with paragraph 3.		(d) it has been so designated by the Council in accordance with paragraph 3.	
3. The Council shall, acting by qualified majority on a proposal from the Commission and after consultation of the European Parliament, adopt or amend a common list of third countries that shall be regarded as safe third countries for the purposes of paragraph 1.		by qualified majority on a proposal from the Commission and after consultation of the European Parliament, adopt or amend a common list of third countries that shall be regarded as safe third countries for the purposes of paragraph 1.	
43. The Member States concerned shall lay down in national law the modalities for implementing the provisions of paragraph 1 and the consequences of decisions pursuant to those provisions in accordance with the principle of non-refoulement under the Geneva-Convention, including providing for		43. The Member States concerned shall lay down in national law the modalities for implementing the provisions of paragraph 1 and the consequences of decisions pursuant to those provisions in accordance with the principle of non-refoulement under the Geneva Convention, including providing for	

	exceptions from the application of this Article for humanitarian or political reasons or for reasons of public international law.		exceptions from the application of this Article for humanitarian or political reasons or for reasons of public international law.	
<u>54</u> .	When implementing a decision solely based on this Article, the Member States concerned shall:		When implementing a decision solely based on this Article, the Member States concerned shall:	
	(a) inform the applicant accordingly; and		(a) inform the applicant accordingly; and	
	(b) provide him/her with a document informing the authorities of the third country, in the language of that country, that the application has not been examined in substance.		(b) provide him/her with a document informing the authorities of the third country, in the language of that country, that the application has not been examined in substance.	
<u>€</u> 5.	Where the safe third country does not readmit the applicant for asylum, Member States shall ensure that access to a procedure is given in		Where the safe third country does not readmit the applicant for asylum, Member States shall ensure that access to a procedure is given in	

accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II.	accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II.	
6. Member States shall inform the Commission periodically of the countries to which this concept is applied in accordance with the provisions of this Article.	6. Member States shall inform the Commission periodically of the countries to which this concept is applied in accordance with the provisions of this Article.	
7. Member States which have designated third countries as safe countries in accordance with national legislation in force on 1 December 2005 and on the basis of the criteria in paragraph 2(a), (b) and (c), may apply paragraph 1 to these third countries until the Council has adopted the common list pursuant to paragraph 3.	7. Member States which have designated third countries as safe countries in accordance with national legislation in force on 1 December 2005 and on the basis of the criteria in paragraph 2(a), (b) and (c), may apply paragraph 1 to these third countries until the Council has adopted the common list pursuant to paragraph 3.	

	SECTION IV	SECTION IV	SECTION IV	
	Article <u>40</u> 22	Article <u>40</u>	Article <u>40</u> 22	
	Subsequent application	Subsequent application	Subsequent application	
1.	Where a person who has applied for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum in a Member State makes further representations or a subsequent application in the same Member State, that Member State ⇒ shall ⇔ may examine these further representations or the elements of the subsequent application in the framework of the examination of the previous application or in the framework of the examination of the decision under review or appeal, insofar as the competent authorities can take into account and consider all the elements	1. Where a person who has applied for international protection in a Member State makes further representations or a subsequent application in the same Member State, that Member State shall examine these further representations or the elements of the subsequent application in the framework of the examination of the previous application or in the framework of the examination of the decision under review or appeal, insofar as the <i>determining authority</i> can take into account and consider all the elements underlying the further representations or	1. Where a person who has applied for ⇒ international protection ← asylum in a Member State makes further representations or a subsequent application in the same Member State, that Member State ⇒ shall ← may examine these further representations or the elements of the subsequent application in the framework of the examination of the previous application or in the framework of the examination of the decision under review or appeal, insofar as the competent authorities can take into account and consider all the elements	Outcome trilogues: take Cion /Council text. NB "competent authorities" is considered appropriate term given that paragraph 1 deals with both authorities deciding in first instance decisions and with authorities ruling in appeals.

underlying the further representations or subsequent application within this framework.	subsequent application within this framework.	underlying the further representations or subsequent application within this framework.	
2. Moreover, Member States may apply a specific procedure as referred to in paragraph 3, where a person makes a subsequent application for asylum:		2. Moreover, Member States may apply a specific procedure as referred to in paragraph 3, where a person makes a subsequent application for asylum.	
(a) after his/her previous application—has been withdrawn—or abandoned—by virtue—of Articles—19 or 20;		(a) after his/her previous application—has been withdrawn or abandoned by virtue—of Articles—19 or 20;	
(b) after a decision has been taken on the previous application. Member States may also decide to apply this procedure only after a final decision has been taken.		(b) after a decision has been taken on the previous application. Member States may also decide to apply this procedure only after a final decision has been taken.	

<u>2. 3.</u> ⇒ For the purpose of taking a decision on the admissibility of an application for international protection pursuant to Article $33(2)(d), \Leftarrow \underline{a} \triangleq$ subsequent application for

international protection \Leftrightarrow asylum shall be subject first to a preliminary examination as to whether after the withdrawal of the application has been reached new elements or findings \Begin{array}{c} \text{have arisen} \end{array} or have been presented by the applicant which relate *x* relating to the examination of whether \(\subseteq \) the applicant ≤ he/she qualifies as a refugee ⇒ or a person eligible for subsidiary protection \Leftrightarrow by virtue of Directive [.../.../EU]

For the purpose of taking a decision on the admissibility of an application for international protection pursuant to Article 33(2)(d), Member States may apply a specific procedure as referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article, where a person makes a subsequent application for international protection:

⇒ For the purpose of taking a decision on the admissibility of an application for international protection pursuant to Article 33(2)(d), $\rightleftharpoons a \triangleq$ subsequent application for ⇒ international shall be subject first to a preliminary examination as to whether after the withdrawal of the application has been reached. new elements or findings \Begin{array}{c} \text{have arisen} \end{array} or have been presented by the applicant which relate *x* relating to the examination of whether 🖾 the applicant ≤ he/she qualifies as a refugee ⇒ or a person eligible for subsidiary protection \Leftrightarrow by virtue of

Directive [.../EU]

Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text for paragraph 2.

LIMITE

<u>2. 3.</u>

[the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC have arisen or have been presented by the applicant.		Ithe Qualification Directive 2004/83/EC have arisen or have been presented by the applicant.	
	(a) after his/her previous application has been withdrawn by virtue of Article 27;		
	(b) after a final decision has been taken on the previous application.		
	A subsequent application for international protection shall be subject first to a preliminary examination as to whether, after the withdrawal of the previous application or after the decision referred to in paragraph 2(b) on this application has been reached, new elements or findings have arisen or have been presented by the applicant which relate to the examination of whether the applicant qualifies as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive].		
$3.4.$ If $\frac{4}{7}$ If $\frac{4}{7}$ the	3. If the preliminary	3.4. If, following the	Identical

preliminary examination referred to in paragraph 2 3 of this Article concludes that concludes that have elements or findings have arisen or been arisen or been arisen or been are presented by the applicant which significantly add to the likelihood of the applicant qualifying as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of Directive from the Qualification Directive application shall be further examined in conformity with Chapter II. Member States may also provide for other reasons for a subsequent application to be further examined. <	examination referred to in paragraph 2 concludes that new elements or findings have arisen or been presented by the applicant which significantly add to the likelihood of the applicant qualifying as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive], the application shall be further examined in conformity with Chapter II. Member States may also provide for other reasons for a subsequent application to be further examined.	preliminary examination referred to in paragraph 2 3 of this Article concludes that new elements or findings have arisen or been arisen or been are presented by the applicant which significantly add to the likelihood of the applicant qualifying as a refugee or a person eligible for subsidiary protection by virtue of Directive for subsidiary protection or by virtue of Directive application Directive application Directive application be further examined in conformity with chapter II. Member states may also provide for other reasons for a subsequent application to be further examined. available to subsequent application to be further examined. available or subsequent application to be further examined. available or or and or or and or or or available to or or or or or or or or or	
5. Member States may, in accordance with national legislation, further examine a subsequent application where there		5. Member States may, in accordance with national legislation, further examine a subsequent application where there	

	are other reasons why a procedure has to be re-opened.				are other reasons why a procedure has to be re-opened.	
<u>4.</u> ≤	Member States may decide to further examine the application only if the applicant concerned was, through no fault of his/her own, incapable of asserting the situations set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3 3.4 and 5 of this Article in the previous procedure, in particular by exercising his/her right to an effective remedy pursuant to Article 46 39.			4.6.	Member States may decide to further examine the application only if the applicant concerned was, through no fault of his/her own, incapable of asserting the situations set forth in paragraphs 2 and 3 2.4 and 5 of this Article in the previous procedure, in particular by exercising his/her right to an effective remedy pursuant to Article 46 29.	Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text.
5.	When a subsequent application is not further examined pursuant to this Article, it shall be considered inadmissible, in accordance with Article 33(2)(d).			5.	When a subsequent application is not further examined pursuant to this Article, it shall be considered inadmissible, in accordance with Article 33(2)(d).	Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text.
<u>6.</u> ±	The procedure referred to in this Article may also be applicable in the case of:	6.	The procedure referred to in this Article may also be applicable in the case of:	<u>6.∓</u>	The procedure referred to in this Article may also be applicable in the case of:	Identical.

(a) a dependant who lodges an application after he/she has, in accordance with Article 7(2) 6(3), consented to have his/her case be part of an application made on his/her behalf ⇒, and/or ⇔	(a) a dependant who lodges an application after he/she has, in accordance with Article 7(2), consented to have his/her case be part of an application made on his/her behalf,	(a) a dependant who lodges an application after he/she has, in accordance with Article 7(2) 6(3), consented to have his/her case be part of an application made on his/her behalf ⇒, and/or ←	Identical.
(b) ⇒ an unmarried minor who lodges an application after an application has been made on his/her behalf pursuant to Article 7(5)(c) ← .		(b) ⇒ an unmarried minor who lodges an application after an application has been made on his/her behalf pursuant to Article 7(5)(c) ← .	Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text.
In ⇒ those cases ⇔ this ease, the preliminary examination referred to in paragraph 2 3 of this Article will consist of examining whether there are facts relating to the dependant's ⇒ or the unmarried minor's ⇔ situation which justify a	In those cases, the preliminary examination referred to in paragraph 2 will consist of examining whether there are facts relating to the dependant's situation which justifies a separate application.	In ⇒ those cases ⇔ this ease, the preliminary examination referred to in paragraph 2 2 of this Article will consist of examining whether there are facts relating to the dependant's ⇒ or the unmarried minor's ⇔ situation which justify a	Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text

separate application.	on.	separate application.	
7. Where a person with regard to whom a transfer decision has to be enforced pursuant to Regulation (EU) [/] [the Dublin Regulation] makes further representations or a subsequent application in the transferring Member State, those representations or subsequent applications shall be examined by the responsible Member State, as defined in Regulation (EU) [/] [the Dublin Regulation], in accordance with this Directive.	regard to whom a transfer decision has to be enforced pursuant to [/] Regulation (EU) [/ [the Dublin Regulation] makes further representations or a subsequent application the transferring Member State, those representations or subsequent applications of subsequent applications or subsequent applications or subsequent applications shall be examined by the liber in State, as defined in Regulation (EU) [/] [the Dublin Regulation]	Regulation (EU)[/][the Dublin Regulation] makes further representations or a subsequent application in the transferring Member State, those representations or subsequent applications shall be examined by the responsible Member State, as defined in	Identical.

Article 41	Article 41	Article 41	
Specific rules following the rejection or inadmissibility of a subsequent application Specific rules following the rejection or inadmissibility of a subsequent application		D[] C D Exceptions from the right to remain in case C of D[] C subsequent Dapplications C D[] C	Pending .
Where a person makes a new application for international protection in the same Member State after a final decision to consider an application inadmissible pursuant to Article 40(5) or after a final decision to reject a previous subsequent application as unfounded, Member States may do any of the following:	Where after the procedure relating to the initial application has been terminated pursuant to paragraph Article 40 (2), a person makes a new application for international protection in the same Member State before a return decision has been enforced, and that new application does not lead to a further examination pursuant to Article 40, Member States may do any of the following:	Member States may make an exception from the right to remain in the territory where a person:	
(a) make an exception to the right to remain in the territory, provided the determining authority is satisfied that a return decision will not lead to direct or indirect refoulement in violation of international and Union obligations of that Member State,	(a) make an exception to the right to remain in the territory, provided the determining authority is satisfied that a return decision will not lead to direct or indirect refoulement in violation of international and Union obligations of that Member State,	(a) has made a first subsequent application, which is not further examined pursuant to Article 40(5), merely in order to delay or frustrate the enforcement of a decision which would result in	

		his/her imminent removal from that Member State, or	
	(aa) provide that the application be subjected to the admissibility procedure in accordance with this Article and Article 30; and/or		Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Coun text (EP AM withdrawn).
(b) provide that the examination procedure be accelerated in accordance with Article 31(6)(f); in such case, Member States may also derogate from the time limits normally applicable in accelerated procedures, in accordance with national legislation,	(b) provide that the examination procedure be accelerated in accordance with Article 31(6)(f); in cases referred to in points (aa) and (c), Member States may also derogate from the time limits normally applicable in the admissibility and/or accelerated procedures, in accordance with national legislation,	(b) makes another request for international protection in the same Member State, following a final decision to consider a first subsequent application inadmissible pursuant to Article 40(5) or after a final decision to reject that application as unfounded,	
		Member States may make such an exception, only where CD[] C the determining authority	

		considers considers	
(c) derogate from the time limits normally applicable to admissibility procedures provided for in Articles 33 and 34, in accordance with national legislation.	(c) derogate from the time limits normally applicable to admissibility procedures provided for in Articles 33 and 34, in accordance with national legislation.	⊅ [] ©	
		Daragraph 1, C ☐ Member States may also: C	
		(a) Ca [] C) all capella c	

	when the examination procedure is accelerated in accordance with Article 31(6)(f); and/or C,	
	derogate from the time limits normally applicable to admissibility procedures provided for in Articles 33 and 34, in accordance with national legislation.	
	(c) derogate from Article 46(7).	NB Given Council text endorsed in Coreper on 6 June 2012, text of point (c) should be "derogate from Article 46(8)".
Article 33	Article 33	
Failure to appear	Failure to appear	
Member States may retain or adopt the procedure provided for in Article 32 in the ease of an	Member States may retain or adopt the procedure provided for in Article 32 in the case of an	

application for asylum filed at a later date by an applicant who, either intentionally or owing to gross negligence, fails to go to a reception centre or appear before the competent authorities at a specified time.		application for asylum filed at a later date by an applicant who, either intentionally or owing to gross negligence, fails to go to a reception centre or appear before the competent authorities at a specified time.	
Article <u>42</u> <u>34</u>	Article <u>42</u>	Article <u>42 34</u>	
Procedural rules	Procedural rules	Procedural rules	
1. Member States shall ensure that applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum whose application is subject to a preliminary examination pursuant to Article 40 ≥ enjoy the guarantees provided for in Article 12(1) 10(1).	1. Member States shall ensure that applicants for international protectionwhose application is subject to a preliminary examination pursuant to Article 40 enjoy the guarantees provided for in Article 12(1).	1. Member States shall ensure that applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum whose application is subject to a preliminary examination pursuant to Article 40 enjoy the guarantees provided for in Article 12(1) 10(1) .	Identical.
2. Member States may lay down in national law rules on the preliminary examination pursuant to Article 40 32. Those rules may inter alia:	2. Member States may lay down in national law rules on the preliminary examination pursuant to Article 40. Those rules may, inter alia:	2. Member States may lay down in national law rules on the preliminary examination pursuant to Article 40 22. Those rules may inter alia:	Identical.
(a) oblige the applicant	(a) oblige the applicant	(a) oblige the applicant	<u>Identical</u> .

concerned to indicate facts and substantiate evidence which justify a new procedure;	concerned to indicate facts and substantiate evidence which justify a new procedure;	concerned to indicate facts and substantiate evidence which justify a new procedure;	
(b) require submission of the new information by the applicant concerned within a time limit after he/she obtained such information;		(b) require submission of the new information by the applicant concerned within a time limit after he/she obtained such information;	
(b e) permit the preliminary examination to be conducted on the sole basis of written submissions without a personal interview ⇒, with the exception of cases referred to in Article 40(6) ←.	(b) permit the preliminary examination to be conducted on the sole basis of written submissions without a personal interview, with the exception of cases referred to in Article 40(6).	(be) permit the preliminary examination to be conducted on the sole basis of written submissions without a personal interview ⇒, with the exception of cases referred to in Article 40(6) ←.	Identical.
Those rules The e-enditions shall not render impossible the access of applicants	Those rules shall not render impossible the access of applicants to a new procedure or result	Those rules are the enditions shall not render impossible the access of applicants for	Identical.

	asylum to a new procedure or result in the effective annulment or severe curtailment of such access.	annı	ne effective ulment or severe ailment of such ess.		asylum to a new procedure or result in the effective annulment or severe curtailment of such access.	
3.	Member States shall ensure that		mber States shall ure that	3.	Member States shall ensure that:	Identical.
	the applicant is informed in an appropriate manner of the outcome of the preliminary examination and, in case the application will not be further examined, of the reasons for this and the possibilities for seeking an appeal or review of the decision.	<u>(a)</u>	the applicant is informed in an appropriate manner of the outcome of the preliminary examination and, in case the application will not be further examined, of the reasons for this and the possibilities for seeking an appeal or review of the decision.		the applicant is informed in an appropriate manner of the outcome of the preliminary examination and, in case the application will not be further examined, of the reasons for this and the possibilities for seeking an appeal or review of the decision.	Identical.
		<u>(b)</u>	if one of the situations referred to in Article 40(2) applies, the determining authority shall further examine the subsequent			Ouctome trilogues: take Cion / Council text. NB "as soon as possible" is already covered by Article 31(2).

	application in conformity with the provisions of Chapter II as soon as possible.		
(b) if one of the situations referred to in Article 32(2) applies, the determining authority shall further examine the subsequent application in conformity with the provisions of Chapter II as soon as possible.		(b) if one of the situations referred to in Article 32(2) applies, the determining authority shall further examine the subsequent application in conformity with the provisions of Chapter II as soon as possible.	
SECTION V	SECTION V	SECTION V	
Article <u>43</u>	Article <u>43</u>	Article <u>43 25</u>	
Border procedures	Border procedures	Border procedures	
1. Member States may provide for procedures, in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II,	1. Member States may provide for procedures, in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II,	1. Member States may provide for procedures, in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees of Chapter II,	Identical.

in order to decide at the border or transit zones of the Member State on:	in order to decide at the border or transit zones of the Member State on:	in order to decide at the border or transit zones of the Member State on:	
(a) ⇒ the admissibility of an ⇔ application ⇒, pursuant to Article 33, ⇔ made at such locations; ⇒ and/or ⇔	(a) the admissibility of an application, pursuant to Article 33, made at such locations; and/or	(a) ⇒ the admissibility of an ⇔ application ⇒ , pursuant to Article 33, ⇔ made at such locations; ⇒ and/or ⇔	<u>Identical</u> .
(b) the substance of an application in a procedure pursuant to Article 31(6).	(b) the substance of an application in a procedure pursuant to Article 31(6).	(b) the substance of an application in a procedure pursuant to Article 31(6).	<u>Identical</u> .
2. However, when procedures as set out in paragraph 1 do not exist, Member States may maintain, subject to the provisions of this Article and in accordance with the laws or regulations in force on 1 December 2005, procedures derogating from the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II, in order to decide at the border or in transit zones as to		2. However, when procedures as set out in paragraph 1 do not exist, Member States may maintain, subject to the provisions of this Article and in accordance with the laws or regulations in force on 1 December 2005, procedures derogating from the basic principles and guarantees described in Chapter II, in order to decide at the border or in transit zones as to	

whether applicants for asylum who have arrived and made an application for asylum at such locations, may enter their territory.	whether applicants—for asylum—who have arrived and made an application for asylum—at such locations,—may enter their territory.	
3. The procedures referred to in paragraph 2 shall ensure in particular that the persons concerned:	3. The procedures referred to in paragraph 2 shall ensure in particular that the persons concerned:	
(a) are allowed to remain at the border or transit zones of the Member State, without prejudice to Article 7;	(a) are allowed to remain at the border or transit zones of the Member State, without prejudice to Article 7;	
(b) are be immediately informed of their rights and obligations, as described in Article 10(1) (a);	(b) are be immediately informed of their rights and obligations, as described in Article 10(1) (a);	
(e) have access, if necessary, to the services of an interpreter, as described in Article 10(1)(b);	(c) have access, if necessary, to the services of an interpreter, as described in Article 10(1)(b);	

(d) are interviewed, before the competent authority takes a decision in such procedures, in relation to their application for asylum by persons with appropriate knowledge of the relevant standards applicable in the field of asylum and refugee law, as described in Articles 12, 13 and 14;	(d) are interviewed, before the competent authority takes a decision in such procedures, in relation to their application for asylum by persons with appropriate knowledge of the relevant standards applicable in the field of asylum and refugee law, as described in Articles 12, 13 and 14;	
(c) can consult a legal adviser or counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, as described in Article 15(1); and	(c) can consult a legal adviser or counsellor admitted or permitted as such under national law, as described in Article 15(1); and	
(f) have a representative appointed in the case of unaccompanied	(f) have a representative appointed in the case of unaccompanied	

	minors, as described in Article 17(1), unless Article 17(2) or (3) applies.				minors, as described in Article 17(1), unless Article 17(2) or (3) applies.	
	Moreover, in case permission to enter is refused by a competent authority, this competent authority shall state the reasons in fact and in law why the application for asylum is considered as unfounded or as inadmissible.				Moreover, in case permission to enter is refused by a competent authority, this competent authority shall state the reasons in fact and in law why the application for asylum is considered as inadmissible.	
<u>2. 4.</u>	Member States shall ensure that a decision in the framework of the procedures provided for in paragraph 1 ≥ is taken within a reasonable time. When a decision has not been taken within four weeks, the applicant for asylum shall be granted entry to the territory of the Member State in order for his/her application to be processed in accordance	e tl p ii v V b v s tl M	Member States shall ensure that a decision in the framework of the procedures provided for an paragraph 1 is taken within a reasonable time. When a decision has not been taken within four weeks, the applicant shall be granted entry to the territory of the Member State in order for his/her application to be processed in accordance with the	<u>2.4-</u>	Member States shall ensure that a decision in the framework of the procedures provided for in paragraph 1 ≥ is taken within a reasonable time. When a decision has not been taken within four weeks, the applicant for asylum shall be granted entry to the territory of the Member State in order for his/her application to be processed in accordance	Outcome trilogues: take Cion / Council text. EP AM covered by case law.

	with the other provisions of this Directive.		other provisions of this Directive. The holding of applicants at Member States' borders or transit zones is equivalent to placing them in detention, as referred to in Article 26.		with the other provisions of this Directive.	
<u>3.</u> <u>₹.</u>	In the event of particular types of arrivals, or arrivals involving a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons lodging applications for ⇒ international protection ⇒ asylum at the border or in a transit zone, which makes it practically impossible ⇒ in practice ⇒ to apply there the provisions of paragraph 1 or the specific procedure set out in paragraphs 2 and 2, those procedures may also be applied where and for as long as these third country nationals or stateless persons are accommodated normally	3.	In the event of arrivals involving a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons lodging applications for international protection at the border or in a transit zone, which makes it impossible in practice to apply there the provisions of paragraph 1, those procedures may also be applied where and for as long as these third country nationals or stateless persons are accommodated normally at locations in proximity to the border or transit zone.	<u>3.5.</u>	In the event of particular types of arrivals, or arrivals involving a large number of third country nationals or stateless persons lodging applications for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum at the border or in a transit zone, which makes it practically impossible ⇒ in practice ⇒ to apply there the provisions of paragraph 1 or the specific procedure set out in paragraphs 2 and 2, those procedures may also be applied where and for as long as these third country nationals or stateless persons are accommodated normally	Identical_

at locations in proximity to the border or transit zone.	at locations in proximity to the border or transit zone.
Article 36	Commission proposal
The European safe third countries concept	
1. Member States may provide that no, or no full, examination of the asylum application and of the safety of the applicant in his/her particular circumstances as described in Chapter II, shall take place in cases where a competent authority has established, on the basis of the facts, that the applicant for asylum is seeking to enter or has entered illegally into its territory from a safe third country according to paragraph 2.	
2. A third country can only be considered as a safe third country for the	

purposes of paragraph 1 where:		
(a) it has ratified and observes the provisions of the Geneva Convention without any geographical limitations;		
(b) it has in place an asylum procedure prescribed by law;		
(e) it has ratified the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and observes its provisions, including the standards relating to effective remedies; and		
(d) it has been so designated by the Council in		

accordance with paragraph 3.		
3. The Council-shall, acting by qualified majority on a proposal from the Commission and after consultation of the European Parliament,		
adopt or amend a common list of third countries that shall be regarded as safe third countries for the purposes of paragraph 1.		
4. The Member States concerned shall lay down in national law the modalities for implementing the provisions of paragraph I and the consequences of decisions pursuant to those provisions in accordance with the principle of non-refoulement under the Geneva Convention, including providing for exceptions from the application of this Article for humanitarian		

o r political reasons or for reasons of public international law.		
5. When implementing a decision solely based on this Article, the Member States concerned shall:		
(a) inform the applicant accordingly; and		
(b) provide him/her with a document informing the authorities of the third country, in the language of that country, that the application has not been examined in substance.		
6. Where the safe third country does not readmit the applicant for asylum, Member States shall ensure that access to a procedure is given in accordance with the basic principles and guarantees described in		

Chapter II.		
7. Member States	which	
have designated	third	
countries as saf		
countries in acc	ordance	
with national leg	zislation	
in force on 1 Do		
2005 and on the	-basis of	
the criteria in		
paragraph 2(a),	(b)	
and (e), may ap		
paragraph 1 to t	hese	
third countries t	ıntil the	
Council has add	pted the	
common list pu		
paragraph 3.		

CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV	
PROCEDURES FOR THE WITHDRAWAL OF ⇒ INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION REFUGEE STATUS	PROCEDURES FOR THE WITHDRAWAL OF INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION STATUS	PROCEDURES FOR THE WITHDRAWAL OF ⇒ INTERNATIONAL PROTECTION □ REFUCEE □ [] □	Outcome trilogues: take Council text.
Article <u>44</u> <u>37</u>	Article <u>44</u>	Article <u>44 37</u>	
Withdrawal of ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status	Withdrawal of international protection status	Withdrawal of international protection refugee status	
Member States shall ensure that an examination to withdraw the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status of a particular person may commence when new elements or findings arise indicating that there are reasons to reconsider the validity of his/her ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status.	Member States shall ensure that an examination to withdraw the international protection status of a particular person may commence when new elements or findings arise indicating that there are reasons to reconsider the validity of his/her international protection status.	Member States shall ensure that an examination to withdraw the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status of a particular person may commence when new elements or findings arise indicating that there are reasons to reconsider the validity of his/her ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status.	Identical.

	Article 45 28 Procedural rules	Article <u>45</u> Procedural rules	Article <u>45</u> 28 Procedural rules	
1.	Member States shall ensure that, where the competent authority is considering withdrawing the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status of a third country national or stateless person in accordance with Article 14 ⇒ or Article 19 ⇔ of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC, the person concerned shall enjoys the following guarantees:	1. Member States shall ensure that, where the competent authority is considering withdrawing the international protection status of a third country national or stateless person in accordance with Article 14 or Article 19 of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive], the person concerned enjoys the following guarantees:	1. Member States shall ensure that, where the competent authority is considering withdrawing the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status of a third country national or stateless person in accordance with Article 14 ⇒ or Article 19 ⇔ of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] 2004/83/EC, the person concerned shall enjoys the following guarantees:	Identical.
	(a) to be informed in writing that the competent authority is reconsidering his or her qualification for ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status and	(a) to be informed in writing that the competent authority is reconsidering his or her qualification for international protection status and the reasons for	(a) to be informed in writing that the competent authority is reconsidering his or her qualification for ⇒ international protection ← refugee status and	Identical.

the reasons for such a reconsideration; and	such a reconsideration; and	the reasons for such a reconsideration; and	
(b) to be given the opportunity to submit, in a personal interview in accordance with Article 12(1)(b) 10(1)(b) and Articles 14, 15. ⇒ 16 ⇔ and 17 12 13 and 14 or in a written statement, reasons as to why his/her ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status should not be withdrawn.	(b) to be given the opportunity to submit, in a personal interview in accordance with Article 12(1)(b) and Articles 14, 15, 16 and 17 or in a written statement, reasons as to why his/her international protection status should not be withdrawn.	(b) to be given the opportunity to submit, in a personal interview in accordance with Article 12(1)(b) 10(1)(b) 10(1)(b	Identical.
In addition, Member States shall ensure that within the framework of such a procedure:	In addition, Member States shall ensure that within the framework of such a procedure:	In addition, Member States shall ensure that within the framework of such a procedure:	Identical.
(a) the competent authority is able to obtain precise and up-to-date	(a) the competent authority is able to obtain precise and up-to-date	(a) the competent authority is able to obtain precise and up-to-date	Identical.

information from various sources,		information from various sources,		information from various sources,	
such as, where appropriate, from		such as, where appropriate, from		such as, where appropriate, from	
⇒ the European		the European		⇒ the European	
Asylum Support		Asylum Support		Asylum Support	
Office and ← the		Office and the		Office and ← the	
UNHCR, as to the		UNHCR, as to the		UNHCR, as to the	
general situation		general situation		general situation	
prevailing in the		prevailing in the		prevailing in the	
countries of origin		countries of origin		countries of origin	
of the persons		of the persons		of the persons	
concerned; and		concerned; and		concerned; and	
(b) where information	(b)	where information	(b)	where information	Identical.
on an individual	, ,	on an individual	, ,	on an individual	
case is collected		case is collected		case is collected	
for the purposes of		for the purposes of		for the purposes of	
reconsidering the		reconsidering the		reconsidering the	
⇒ international		international		⇒ international	
protection \Leftarrow		protection status, it		protection \Leftarrow	
refugee status, it is not obtained from		is not obtained from the actor(s) of		refugee status, it is not obtained from	
the actor(s) of		persecution or		the actor(s) of	
persecution ⇒ or		serious harm in a		persecution ⇒ or	
serious harm ← in		manner that would		serious harm \leftarrow in	
a manner that		result in such		a manner that	
would result in		actor(s) being		would result in	
such actor(s) being		directly informed		such actor(s) being	
directly informed		of the fact that the		directly informed	
of the fact that the		person concerned		of the fact that the	
person concerned		is a beneficiary of		person concerned	
is a ⇒ beneficiary		international		is a ⇒ beneficiary	

	of international protection refugee whose status is under reconsideration, nor jeopardise the physical integrity of the person and his/her dependants, or the liberty and security of his/her family members still living in the country of origin.	st re n pl or hi or se fa	rotection whose atus is under econsideration, or jeopardise the hysical integrity of the person and is/her dependants, or the liberty and ecurity of his/her amily members ill living in the pountry of origin.		of international protection refugee whose status is under reconsideration, nor jeopardise the physical integrity of the person and his/her dependants, or the liberty and security of his/her family members still living in the country of origin.	
2.	Member States shall ensure that the decision of the competent authority to withdraw the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status is given in writing. The reasons in fact and in law shall be stated in the decision and information on how to challenge the decision shall be given in writing.	ensure to of the control authority internated status is. The read in law status information of the decimal challengers.	r States shall that the decision ompetent by to withdraw the sional protection a given in writing, sons in fact and shall be stated in the sion and the stated in the state of the decision given in writing.	2.	Member States shall ensure that the decision of the competent authority to withdraw the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status is given in writing. The reasons in fact and in law shall be stated in the decision and information on how to challenge the decision shall be given in writing.	Identical.
3.	Once the competent authority has taken the decision to withdraw the ⇒ international	authorit decision	e competent y has taken the to withdraw the ional protection	3.	Once the competent authority has taken the decision to withdraw the ⇒ international	Identical.

protection ⇔ refugee status, Article 20 ±5 paragraph 2, ⇒ Article 22 ⇔, Article 23(1) ±6 paragraph 1 and Article 29 21 are equally applicable.	status, Article 20, Article 22, Article 23(1) and Article 29 are equally applicable.	protection \Leftrightarrow refugee status, Article $20 \frac{15}{15}$ paragraph 2, \Rightarrow Article $22 \Leftrightarrow$, Article $23(1) \frac{16}{15}$ paragraph 1 and Article $29 \frac{21}{15}$ are equally applicable.	
4. By derogation to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article, Member States may decide that the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status shall lapse by law in ease of cessation in accordance with Article 11(1)(a) to (d) of Directive 2004/83/EC or if the ⇒ beneficiary of international protection ⇔ refugee has unequivocally renounced his/her recognition as a ⇒ beneficiary of international protection ⇔ refugee. ⇒ Member States may also provide that the international protection status shall lapse by law where the beneficiary of international protection	4. By derogation to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article, Member States may decide that the international protection status shall lapse by law if the beneficiary of international protection has unequivocally renounced his/her recognition as a beneficiary of international protection. Member States may also provide that the international protection status shall lapse by law where the beneficiary of international protection has become a citizen of that Member State.	4. By derogation to paragraphs 1, 2 and 3 of this Article, Member States may decide that the ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee ⇒ []	Identical.

has become a citizen of that Member State. ←		has become a citizen of that Member State. ←	
CHAPTER V	CHAPTER V	CHAPTER V	
APPEALS PROCEDURES	APPEALS PROCEDURES	APPEALS PROCEDURES	
Article <u>46</u> 39	Article <u>46</u>	Article <u>46 29</u>	
The right to an effective remedy	The right to an effective remedy	The right to an effective remedy	<u>Pending</u>
1. Member States shall ensure that applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum have the right to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal, against the following:	1. Member States shall ensure that applicants for international protection have the right to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal, against the following:	1. Member States shall ensure that applicants for ⇒ international protection ⇔ asylum have the right to an effective remedy before a court or tribunal, against the following:	<u>Identical</u>
(a) a decision taken on their application for ⇒ international protection ←, including a decision:	(a) a decision taken on their application for international protection, including a decision:	(a) a decision taken on their application for ⇒ international protection ⇔, including a decision:	<u>Identical</u>
(i) to consider an application	(i) to consider an application	(i) to consider an application unfounded in relation to refugee	<u>Identical</u>

unfounded in relation to refugee status and/or subsidiary protection status,	unfounded in relation to refugee status and/or subsidiary protection status,	status and/or subsidiary protection status,	
(ii i) to consider an application inadmissible pursuant to Article 33 25 (2),	(ii) to consider an application inadmissible pursuant to Article 33(2),	(ii i) to consider an application inadmissible pursuant to Article 33 25 (2),	<u>Identical</u>
(iii ii) taken at the border or in the transit zones of a Member State as described in Article 43(1) 35(1),	(iii) taken at the border or in the transit zones of a Member State as described in Article 43(1),	(iii ii) taken at the border or in the transit zones of a Member State as described in Article 43(1)	<u>Identical</u>
	(iv) not to conduct an examination pursuant to Article 38;	conduct an examination pursuant to Article 39.	Pending. depend on outcome discussion on Article 39.

(iii)—not to conduct an examination pursuant to Article 36;		(iii)—not to conduct an examination pursuant to Article 36;	
(b) a refusal to re-open the examination of an application after its discontinuation pursuant to Articles 27 and 28 19 and 20;	(b) a refusal to re-open the examination of an application after its discontinuation pursuant to Articles 27 and 28;	(b) a refusal to re-open the examination of an application after its discontinuation pursuant to Articles 27 and 28 19 and 20;	<u>Identical</u>
(c) a decision not to further examine the subsequent application pursuant to Articles 32 and 34;		(c) a decision not to further examine the subsequent application pursuant to Articles 32 and 34;	
(d) a decision refusing entry within the framework of the procedures provided for under Article 35(2);		(d) a decision refusing entry within the framework of the procedures provided for under Article 35(2);	
(<u>c</u> <u>e</u>) a decision to withdraw ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status	(<u>c</u>) a decision to withdraw international protection status pursuant to	(<u>ce</u>) a decision to withdraw ⇒ international protection ⇔ refugee status	Identical

pursuant to Article <u>45</u> <u>38</u> .	Article 45.	pursuant to Article <u>45</u> <u>28</u> .	
2. Member States shall ensure that persons recognised by the determining authority as eligible for subsidiary protection have the right to an effective remedy as referred to in paragraph 1 against a decision to consider an application unfounded in relation to refugee status.	2. Member States shall ensure that persons recognised by the determining authority as eligible for subsidiary protection have the right to an effective remedy as referred to in paragraph 1 against a decision to consider an application unfounded in relation to refugee status.	2. Member States shall ensure that persons recognised by the determining authority as eligible for subsidiary protection have the right to an effective remedy as referred to in paragraph 1 against a decision to consider an application unfounded in relation to refugee status.	Identical
		Without prejudice to paragraph 1(c) of this Article, where the subsidiary protection status granted by a Member State offers the same rights and benefits as those offered by the refugee status under Union and national law, that Member State may consider an appeal against the decision referred to in subparagraph inadmissible on the basis of	Outcome trilogues: take Council text.

		insufficient interest on the part of the applicant in maintaining the proceedings.	
The person concerned shall be entitled to the rights and benefits guaranteed to beneficiaries of subsidiary protection pursuant to Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] pending the outcome of the appeal procedures.	The person concerned shall be entitled to the rights and benefits guaranteed to beneficiaries of subsidiary protection pursuant to Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive] pending the outcome of the appeal procedures.	⊅ <u>[]</u> ¢	Outcome trilogues: take Council text (that is deletion).
and the ensure that the effective remedy referred to in paragraph 1 provides for a full examination of both facts and points of law, including an ex nunc examination of the international protection needs pursuant to Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive], at least in appeal procedures before a court or tribunal of first instance.	3. Member States shall ensure that the effective remedy referred to in paragraph 1 provides for a full examination of both facts and points of law, including an ex nunc examination of the international protection needs pursuant to Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive], at least in appeal procedures before a court or tribunal of first instance.	Member States shall ensure that ⊃an ⊂ ⊃[] ⊂ effective remedy ⊃[] ⊂ provides for a full ⊃ and ex nunc ⊂ examination of both facts and points of law, including ⊃, where applicable, ⊂ an ⊃[] ⊂ examination of the international protection needs pursuant to Directive [//EU] [the	

				Qualification Directive], at least in appeal procedures before a court or tribunal of first instance.	
4.	Member States shall provide for ⇒ reasonable ← time limits and other necessary rules for the applicant to exercise his/her right to an effective remedy pursuant to paragraph 1.	4. Member States shaprovide for <i>minim</i> time limits and oth necessary rules for applicant to exerci his/her right to an effective remedy pursuant to paragr	ner r the ise	Member States shall provide for ⇒ reasonable ← time limits and other necessary rules for the applicant to exercise his/her right to an effective remedy pursuant to paragraph 1.	
		The Member State shall set a minimu time limit of 45 we days during which applicants may extheir right to an effective remedy. applicants under accelerated proced referred to in Articular States shall lay dominimum time limum time limum time day.	um orking h xercise For the edure ccle r own a nit of		
	The time limits shall not render impossible or	The time limits sh render impossible		The time limits shall not render impossible or	Identical

excessively difficult the access of applicants to an effective remedy pursuant to paragraph 1.	excessively difficult the access of applicants to an effective remedy pursuant to paragraph 1.	excessively difficult the access of applicants to an effective remedy pursuant to paragraph 1.	
Member States may also provide for an <i>ex officio</i> review of decisions taken pursuant to Article 43.	Member States may also provide for an ex officio review of decisions taken pursuant to Article 43.	Member States may also provide for an <i>ex officio</i> review of decisions taken pursuant to Article 43.	Identical
3. Member States shall, where appropriate, provide for rules in accordance with their international obligations dealing with:		3. Member States shall, where appropriate, provide for rules in accordance with their international obligations dealing with:	
(a) the question of whether the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 shall have the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member State concerned pending its outcome;		(a) the question of whether the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 shall have the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member State concerned pending its outcome;	
(b)—the possibility—of legal-remedy or protective measures where the remedy		(b) the possibility of legal remedy or protective measures where the remedy	

pursuant to paragraph 1 does not have the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member State concerned pending its outcome. Member States may also provide for an ex officio remedy; and		pursuant to paragraph 1 does not have the effect of allowing applicants to remain in the Member State concerned pending its outcome. Member States may also provide for an ex-officio remedy; and	
(e) the grounds for challenging—a decision—under Article 25(2)(c) in accordance with the methodology applied—under Article 27(2)(b) and (c).		(e) the grounds for challenging—a decision—under Article 25(2)(e) in accordance with the methodology applied—under Article 27(2)(b) and (e).	
5. Without prejudice to paragraph 6, Member States shall allow applicants to remain in the territory until the time limit within which to exercise their right to an effective remedy has expired or, when this	5. Without prejudice to paragraph 6, Member States shall allow applicants to remain in the territory until the time limit within which to exercise their right to an effective remedy has expired or, when this	5. Without prejudice to paragraph 6, Member States shall allow applicants to remain in the territory until the time limit within which to exercise their right to an effective remedy has expired or, when this	Identical

	right has been exercised within the time limit, pending the outcome of the remedy.		right has been exercised within the time limit, pending the outcome of the remedy.		right has been exercised within the time limit, pending the outcome of the remedy.	
6.	In the case of a decision to consider an application unfounded where any of the circumstances listed in Article 31(6)(a) to (g) apply or of a decision to consider an application inadmissible pursuant to Article 33(2)(a) or (d), and where, in such cases, the right to remain in the Member State pending the outcome of the remedy is not foreseen under national legislation, a court or tribunal shall have the power to rule whether or not the applicant may remain on the territory of the Member State, either upon request of the concerned applicant or acting on its own motion.	6.	In the case of a decision to consider an application unfounded where any of the circumstances listed in Article 31(6)(a) to (g) apply or of a decision to consider an application inadmissible pursuant to Article 33(2)(a) or (d), and where, in such cases, the right to remain in the Member State pending the outcome of the remedy is not foreseen under national legislation, a court or tribunal shall have the power to rule whether or not the applicant may remain on the territory of the Member State, either upon request of the concerned applicant or acting on its own motion.	6.	In the case of a decision	

manifestly unfounded in accordance with Article 32(2) or cunfounded after examination in accordance with c [] Article 31(6) , except for cases where
these decisions are based on the circumstances referred to in Article 31(6) (f1) © ① [] © ;
consider an application inadmissible pursuant to Article 33(2)(a) \bigcirc (b) \bigcirc or (d) \bigcirc ; \bigcirc
of the applicant's case that has been discontinued according to Article 28;
(d) not to examine or

		not to examine fully the application pursuant to Article 39, ©	
		end the applicant's right to remain in the Member State and where, in such cases, the right to remain in the Member State pending the outcome of the remedy is not foreseen under national legislation, a court or tribunal shall have the power to rule whether or not the applicant may remain on the territory of the Member State, either upon request of the concerned applicant or acting on its own motion.	
This paragraph shall not apply to procedures referred to in Article 43.	This paragraph shall not apply to procedures referred to in Article 43.	⊃ 7. C ⊃ Paragraph 6 C ⊃ [] C shall ⊃ [] C ⊃ only C apply to procedures referred to in Article 43 ⊃ ⊃ [] C provided that:	

		a) the applicant has the necessary interpretation , C [] C legal assistance and at least one week to prepare the request and submit [] [] C to the court or tribunal the arguments in favour of granting him/her the right to remain on the territory pending the outcome of the remedy;	
		b) D[] CD[] C in the framework of of the examination of the request referred to in Paragraph 6, the court or tribunal examines the negative decision of the determining authority in terms of fact and law. C.	
		⊃If the conditions referred to in points (a) and (b) are not met paragraph 5 applies _ ⊂	
7. Member States shall allow the applicant to remain in the territory pending the outcome of	7. Member States shall allow the applicant to remain in the territory pending the outcome of	States shall allow the applicant to remain in the territory pending the	

		0.1	
the procedure to rule whether or not the applicant may remain on the territory, laid down in paragraph 6.	the procedure to rule whether or not the applicant may remain on the territory, laid down in paragraph 6. An exception may be made for subsequent applications which do not lead to a further examination pursuant to Article 40(5), if a return decision pursuant to Article 3(4) of Directive 2008/115/EC has been taken, and for decisions in the procedure pursuant to Article 39 if this is provided for in national legislation. [Am. 117]	outcome of the procedure to rule whether or not the applicant may remain on the territory, laid down $\bigcirc [] \bigcirc \bigcirc paragraphs$ $\bigcirc 6 \bigcirc and 7 \bigcirc .$	
8. Paragraphs 5, 6 and 7 shall be without prejudice to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].	8. Paragraphs 5, 6 and 7 shall be without prejudice to Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].	⊅[]¢	Pending Technical group suggests to redraft general reference to the Dublin regulation in recital (42) and to maintain the Dublin references in the Articles 28(3), 34(2) and 46(8). 8a. Paragraphs 5, 6 and 7 shall be without prejudice to Article 26

						of Regulation (EU) No [/] [the Dublin Regulation].
<u>9.</u> 4.	Member States may lay down time-limits for the court or tribunal pursuant to paragraph 1 to examine the decision of the determining authority.	9.	Member States may lay down time-limits for the court or tribunal pursuant to paragraph 1 to examine the decision of the determining authority.	<u>9.4.</u>	Member States may lay down time-limits for the court or tribunal pursuant to paragraph 1 to examine the decision of the determining authority.	Identical
5.	Where an applicant has been granted a status which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Community law as the refugee status by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC, the applicant may be considered as having an effective remedy where a court or tribunal decides that the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 is inadmissible or unlikely to succeed on the basis of insufficient interest on the part of the applicant in-maintaining the proceedings.			5.	Where an applicant has been granted a status which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Community law as the refugee status by virtue of Directive 2004/83/EC, the applicant may be considered as having an effective remedy where a court or tribunal decides that the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 is inadmissible or unlikely to succeed on the basis of insufficient interest on the part of the applicant in maintaining the proceedings.	

		10.	Where an applicant has been granted a status which offers the same rights and benefits under national and Union law as the refugee status by virtue of Directive [//EU] [the Qualification Directive], the applicant may be considered as having an effective remedy where a court or tribunal decides that the remedy pursuant to paragraph 1 is inadmissible or unlikely to succeed on the basis of insufficient interest on the part of the applicant in maintaining the proceedings.			EP AM withdrawn as it is included in Council text paragraph 2 second subparagraph
<u>10.</u> €=	Member States may also lay down in national legislation the conditions under which it can be assumed that an applicant has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her remedy pursuant to paragraph 1, together with the rules on the procedure to be	11.	Member States may also lay down in national legislation the conditions under which it can be assumed that an applicant has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her remedy pursuant to paragraph 1, together with the rules on the procedure to be	<u>10.6-</u>	Member States may also lay down in national legislation the conditions under which it can be assumed that an applicant has implicitly withdrawn or abandoned his/her remedy pursuant to paragraph 1, together with the rules on the procedure to be	Identical

followed.	followed.	followed.	
CHAPTER VI	CHAPTER VI	CHAPTER VI	
GENERAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS	GENERAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS	GENERAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS	
Article <u>47</u> <u>40</u>	Article <u>47</u>	Article <u>47</u> 40	
Challenge by public authorities	Challenge by public authorities	Challenge by public authorities	
This Directive does not affect the possibility for public authorities of challenging the administrative and/or judicial decisions as provided for in national legislation.	This Directive does not affect the possibility for public authorities of challenging the administrative and/or judicial decisions as provided for in national legislation.	This Directive does not affect the possibility for public authorities of challenging the administrative and/or judicial decisions as provided for in national legislation.	Identical.
Article <u>48</u> <u>44</u>	Article <u>48</u>	Article <u>48</u>	
Confidentiality	Confidentiality	Confidentiality	
Member States shall ensure that authorities implementing this Directive are bound by the confidentiality principle as defined in national law, in relation to any information they obtain in the course of their work.	Member States shall ensure that authorities implementing this Directive are bound by the confidentiality principle as defined in national law, in relation to any information they obtain in the course of their work.	Member States shall ensure that authorities implementing this Directive are bound by the confidentiality principle as defined in national law, in relation to any information they obtain in the course of their work.	Identical.

Article 49	Article 49	Article 49	
Cooperation	Cooperation	Cooperation	
Member States shall each appoint a national contact point and communicate its address to the Commission. The Commission shall communicate that information to the other Member States. Member States shall, in liaison with the Commission, take all appropriate measures to establish direct cooperation and an exchange of information between the competent authorities.	Member States shall each appoint a national contact point and communicate its address to the Commission. The Commission shall communicate that information to the other Member States. Member States shall, in liaison with the Commission, take all appropriate measures to establish direct cooperation and an exchange of information between the competent authorities.	Member States shall each appoint a national contact point and communicate its address to the Commission. The Commission shall communicate that information to the other Member States. Member States shall, in liaison with the Commission, take all appropriate measures to establish direct cooperation and an exchange of information between the competent authorities.	Outcome trilogues: When resorting to the measures referred to in Articles 6(5), 14(1) second subparagraph and 31(3)(b), Member States shall inform the Commission as soon as the reasons for applying these exceptional measures have ceased to exist and at least on an annual basis. This information shall, where possible, include data on the percentage of the

			applications for which derogatory measures were applied to the total number of applications processed during that period.
Article <u>50 42</u>	Article <u>50</u>	Article <u>50</u> <u>42</u>	
Report	Report	Report	
No later than 1 December 2009 ⇒	No later than, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and the Council on the application and the financial cost of this Directive in the Member States and shall propose any amendments that are necessary. Member States shall send the Commission all the information and financial data that is appropriate for drawing up this report. After presenting the report, the Commission shall report to the European Parliament and the Council on the application of this Directive in the Member	No later than Herember 2009 ⇒	Oucome trilogues: take Cion/Council text .

¹

297 LIMITE

Four years after the date of adoption of this Directive. \bigcirc [...] \bigcirc \bigcirc 48 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union \bigcirc .

	States at least every <i>two</i> years.		
			Pending
			EP suggestion
			Related to Article 17
			As part of the first report, the Commission shall report in particular on the application of Article 17 and the necessity to provide for a verbatim transcript or compulsory recording of personal interviews. Presidency suggestion: As part of the first report, the Commission shall also report in
			particular on the application of Article 17.
Article <u>51</u> 43	Article <u>51</u>	Article <u>51 43</u>	
Transposition	Transposition	Transposition	
1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by 1	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Articles [] [the	1. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by 1	Outcome trilogues: accept Council text which corresponds to horizontal, interinstitutional agreement on correlation tables/explanatory documents.

December 2007 □ Articles [] [the Articles that have been changed as to the substance by comparison with the earlier Directive] by [] at the latest ☑. Concerning Article 15, Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by 1 December 2008. They shall forthwith □ communicate to ☑ inform the Commission thereof □ the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive ☑.	Articles that have been changed as to the substance by comparison with the earlier Directive] by [] at the latest. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.	December 2007 □ Articles [] [the Articles that have been changed as to the substance by comparison with the earlier Directive] by [] ¹at the latest □ Concerning Article 15, Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with this Directive by 1 December 2008. They shall forthwith □ communicate to □ inform the Commission thereof □ the text of those provisions □ [] □ □ □.	Related to recital (44a)
2. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Article 31(3) by [3 years]	2. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Article 31(3) by [2 years]	2. Member States shall bring into force the laws, regulations and administrative provisions necessary to comply with Article 31(2 2 C	Outcome trilogues: take Cion/Council text.

¹ 24 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

	from the date of the transposition deadline]. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.		from the date of the transposition deadline]. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.		by [3 years from the date of the transposition deadline]. They shall forthwith communicate to the Commission the text of those provisions	
<u>3.</u>	When Member States adopt ⋈ the ⋈ those provisions ⋈ referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 ⋈, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or shall be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. ⋈ Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made. ⋈ The methods of making such reference shall be laid down by Member States. ⋈ They shall also include a statement that references in existing laws, regulations and administrative provisions to the directive repealed	3.	When Member States adopt the provisions referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made. They shall also include a statement that references in existing laws, regulations and administrative provisions to the directive repealed by this Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive. Member States shall determine how such	<u>3.</u>	When Member States adopt ⊠ the ⊠ those provisions ⊠ referred to in paragraphs 1 and 2 ⟨ □ ⟨ □ ⟩, they shall contain a reference to this Directive or shall be accompanied by such a reference on the occasion of their official publication. ☑ Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made. ☒ The methods of making such reference shall be laid down by Member States. ☑ They shall also include a statement that references in existing laws, regulations and administrative provisions to the directive repealed	Identical

	by this Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made and how that statement is to be formulated.	reference is to be made and how that statement is to be formulated.	by this Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive. Member States shall determine how such reference is to be made and how that statement is to be formulated.	
<u>4.</u>	Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the ⊠ main ⊠ provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive ⊠ and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive ⊠.	4. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the main provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive and a correlation table between those provisions and this Directive.	4. Member States shall communicate to the Commission the text of the ⊠ main ⊠ provisions of national law which they adopt in the field covered by this Directive □[] □.	Outcome trilogues: accept Council text which corresponds to horizontal, interinstitutional agreement on correlation tables/explanatory documents Related to recital (44a)
	Article <u>52</u> <u>44</u>	Article <u>52</u>	Article <u>52 44</u>	
Transition <u>al provisions</u>		Transition <u>al provisions</u>	Transition <u>al provisions</u>	
Member States shall apply the laws, regulations and administrative provisions		Member States shall apply the laws, regulations and administrative provisions referred to in Article 51(1) to applications for international protection lodged	Member States shall apply the laws, regulations and administrative provisions	Articles to be filled in.

LIMITE EN

for ⇒ international protection ←	after [] and to procedures for	for ⇒ international protection ←	
$\frac{\text{asylum}}{\text{lodged after}} \mid \text{lodged after} \Rightarrow [] \Leftarrow \frac{1}{2}$	the withdrawal of international	$\frac{\text{asylum}}{\text{lodged}}$ lodged after \Rightarrow [] \Leftarrow 1	
December 2007 and to procedures	protection started after [].	December 2007 and to procedures	
for the withdrawal of	Applications submitted before	for the withdrawal of	
⇒ international protection ←	[] and procedures for the	⇒ international protection ⇔	
refugee status started after	withdrawal of refugee status	refugee status started after	
⇒ []	initiated before [] shall be	$\Rightarrow [] \Leftrightarrow ^2 \frac{1 \cdot \text{December } 2007}{1 \cdot \text{December } 2007}$.	
⇒ Applications submitted before	governed by the laws, regulations	⇒ Applications submitted before	
[] and procedures for the	and administrative provisions	$[]^{3}$ and procedures for the	
withdrawal of refugee status	adopted pursuant to Directive	withdrawal of refugee status	
initiated before [] shall be	2005/85/EC.	initiated before [] 4 shall be	
governed by the laws, regulations		governed by the laws, regulations	
and administrative provisions		and administrative provisions	
adopted pursuant to Directive		adopted pursuant to Directive	
2005/85/EC. ←		2005/85/EC. ←	
Member States shall apply the	Member States shall apply the	Member States shall apply the	Articles to be filled in.
laws, regulations and	laws, regulations and	laws, regulations and	
administrative provisions referred	administrative provisions referred	administrative provisions referred	
to in Article 51(2) to applications	to in Article 51(2) to applications	to in Article 51(2) to applications	
for international protection lodged	for international protection lodged	for international protection lodged	
after []. Applications submitted	after []. Applications submitted	after [] ⁵ . Applications	
before [] shall be governed by	before [] shall be governed by	submitted before [] ⁶ shall be	
the laws, regulations and	the laws, regulations and	governed by the laws, regulations	
administrative provisions in	administrative provisions in	and administrative provisions in	
accordance with Directive	accordance with Directive	accordance with Directive	
2005/85/EC.	2005/85/EC.	2005/85/EC.	

¹ 24 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

² 24 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

³ 24 months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

^{4 24} months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

^{5 60} months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

⁶⁰ months from the date of publication in the Official Journal of the European Union.

	I		
Article 53 Article 53		Article 53	
Repeal	Repeal	Repeal	
Directive 2005/85/EC is repealed for the Member States bound by this Directive with effect from [day after the date set out in Article 51(1) of this Directive], without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States	Directive 2005/85/EC is repealed for the Member States bound by this Directive with effect from [day after the date set out in Article 51(1) of this Directive], without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States	Directive 2005/85/EC is repealed for the Member States bound by this Directive with effect from [day after the date set out in Article 51(1) of this Directive], without prejudice to the obligations of the Member States	Identical.
relating to the time-limit for transposition into national law of the Directive set out in Annex II, Part B.	relating to the time-limit for transposition into national law of the Directive set out in Annex II, Part B.	relating to the time-limit for transposition into national law of the Directive set out in Annex II, Part B.	
References to the repealed Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Annex III.	References to the repealed Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Annex III.	References to the repealed Directive shall be construed as references to this Directive and shall be read in accordance with the correlation table in Annex III.	Identical.
Article <u>54</u> <u>45</u>	Article <u>54</u>	Article <u>54 45</u>	
Entry into force	Entry into force	Entry into force	
This Directive shall enter into This Directive shall enter into		This Directive shall enter into	Identical.
force on the twentieth day	force on the twentieth day	force on the twentieth day	
following that of its publication in	following that of its publication in	following that of its publication in	
the Official Journal of the European Union. the Official Journal of the European Union.		the Official Journal of the European Union.	
Articles [] shall apply from	Articles [] shall apply from	Articles [] shall apply from	Articles to be filled in.

[day after the date set out in	[day after the date set out in	[day after the date set out in	
Article 51(1)].	Article 51(1)].	Article 51(1)].	
Article <u>55</u> <u>46</u>	Article <u>55</u> <u>46</u> Article <u>55</u> Article <u>55</u> 46		
Addressees	Addressees	Addressees	
This Directive is addressed to the Member States in conformity with the Treaty establishing the European Community i accordance with the Treaties is addressed to the member States in conformity.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States in accordance with the Treaties.	This Directive is addressed to the Member States in conformity with the Treaty establishing the European Community i accordance with the Treaties i.	Identical.
Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	
For the European Parliament The President For the Council The President	For the European Parliament The President For the Council The President	For the European Parliament The President For the Council The President	
<u>ANNEX I</u>		• ANNEX I	
• <u>ANNEX I H</u>		• ANNEX I #	Identical.
Designation of safe countries of origin for the purposes of Articles and 30 37(1) A country is considered as a safe	DELETED	Designation of safe countries of origin for the purposes of Articles and 30 37(1) A country is considered as a safe	Pending outcome discussion on Article 39.
A country is considered as a safe		A country is considered as a safe	

LIMITE EN

country	of origin where, on the	country	of origin where, on the	
basis of	f the legal situation, the	basis of t	the legal situation, the	
applicat	tion of the law within a	application	on of the law within a	
democr	ratic system and the	democra	tic system and the	
general	l political circumstances, it	general p	political circumstances, it	
can be	shown that there is	can be sh	nown that there is	
general	lly and consistently no	generally	and consistently no	
persecu	ution as defined in Article 9	persecuti	ion as defined in Article 9	
of Dire	ctive [//EU] [the	of Direct	rive [//EU] [the	
Qualific	cation Directive] Directive	Qualifica	tion Directive] Directive	
	3/EC , no torture or		EC, no torture or	
inhuma	n or degrading treatment	inhuman	or degrading treatment	
or punis	shment and no threat by	or punish	ment and no threat by	
reason	of indiscriminate violence	reason of	f indiscriminate violence	
in situa	tions of international or	in situatio	ons of international or	
internal	armed conflict.	internal a	armed conflict.	
In maki	ing this assessment,	In makin	g this assessment,	
	t shall be taken, inter alia,	account	shall be taken, inter alia,	
of the e	extent to which protection	of the ex	tent to which protection	
is provi	ided against persecution or	is provide	ed against persecution or	
mistrea	tment by:	mistreatn	nent by:	
(0)	the relevant laws and	(0)	the relevant laws and	
(a)	regulations of the	\ <i>/</i>	regulations of the	
	_		_	
	country and the manner in which they are		country and the manner in which they are	
	•		•	
	applied;		applied;	
(b)	observance of the rights	(b)	observance of the rights	
	and freedoms laid down		and freedoms laid down	
	in the European		in the European	
	Convention for the		Convention for the	
	Protection of Human		Protection of Human	
	1 Total di Tiumun		1 TOTOCCHOIL OF TRUITMI	

	Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and/or the International Covenant		Rights and Fundamental Freedoms and/or the International Covenant	
	for Civil and Political Rights and/or the Convention against Torture, in particular the rights from which derogation cannot be made under Article 15(2) of the said European Convention;		for Civil and Political Rights and/or the Convention against Torture, in particular the rights from which derogation cannot be made under Article 15(2) of the said European Convention;	
(c	respect of the non- refoulement principle according to the Geneva Convention;	(c)	respect of the non- refoulement principle according to the Geneva Convention;	
(d)	provision for a system of effective remedies against violations of these rights and freedoms.	(d)	provision for a system of effective remedies against violations of these rights and freedoms.	
	• ANNEX III		ANNEX III	
