Subject: Your application for access to documents – Ref GestDem 2021/4084

Dear Ms Changoe,

I refer to your application dated 20 June 2021, in which you make a request for access to documents under Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001\(^1\) (‘Regulation 1049/2001’), registered on 21 June 2021 under the above mentioned reference number. Please accept our apologies for the delay in replying to your request, which is due to a high number of access to documents requests being processed at the same time by DG Trade and the need to search in several data bases for documents falling under the request.

1. **SCOPE OF YOUR REQUEST**

In your request, you asked for access to:

“All documents - including but not limited to minutes, (hand-written) notes, audio recordings, verbatim reports, operational conclusions, lines to take, e-mails, and presentations, correspondence (i.e. any emails, correspondence, telephone call notes, and/or text messages including WhatsApp exchanges) - related to the meetings listed below with Executive Vice-President Valdis Dombrovskis, his cabinet members, Director-General Sabine Weyand, and Commissioner Phil Hogan:

Meetings with Executive Vice-President Valdis Dombrovskis:
30/04/2021 BUSINESSEUROPE
04/12/2020 European farmers (COPA)-European agri-cooperatives (COGECA)
28/07/2020 BASF SE

Meetings with Cabinet members of Executive Vice-President Valdis Dombrovskis:
27/11/2020 Orgalim – Europe’s Technology Industries (Orgalim)
14/10/2020 Bundesverband der Deutschen Industrie e.V. (BDI)
13/10/2020 BASF SE
09/10/2020 European Round Table for Industry (ERT)
27/05/2021 virtual European Branded Clothing Alliance (EBCA)

Meetings with Director-General Sabine Weyand:
16/10/2019 BUSINESSEUROPE
15/10/2019 Association des Constructeurs Européens d'Automobiles (ACEA)
01/12/2020 Deutscher Industrie- und Handelskammertag e.V. (DIHK)
25/03/2020 EuroCommerce
23/10/2019 FoodDrinkEurope (FoodDrinkEurope)
15/10/2019 COMITE EUROPEEN des FABRICANTS de SUCRE (CEFS)
09/10/2019 Bundesverband der Deutschen Industrie e.V. (BDI)
04/09/2019 European Chemical Industry Council (Cefic)
21/06/2019 BUSINESSEUROPE

Meetings with former Commissioner Phil Hogan:
17/02/2020 European Chemical Industry Council (Cefic)
31/01/2020 Association des Constructeurs Européens d'Automobiles (ACEA)
13/12/2019 BUSINESSEUROPE”.

2. ASSESSMENT AND CONCLUSIONS UNDER REGULATION 1049/2001

In accordance with settled case law\(^2\), when an institution is asked to disclose a document, it must assess, in each individual case, whether that document falls within the exceptions to the right of public access to documents set out in Article 4 of Regulation 1049/2001. Such assessment is carried out in a multi-step approach:

- **first**, the institution must satisfy itself that the document relates to one of the exceptions, and if so, decide which parts of it are covered by that exception;
- **second**, it must examine whether disclosure of the parts of the document in question poses a "reasonably foreseeable and not purely hypothetical" risk of undermining the protection of the interest covered by the exception;
- **third**, if it takes the view that disclosure would undermine the protection of any of the interests defined under Article 4(2) and Article 4(3) of Regulation 1049/2001,

\(^2\) Judgment in *Sweden and Maurizio Turco v Council*, Joined cases C-39/05 P and C-52/05 P, EU:C:2008:374, paragraph 35.
the institution is required "to ascertain whether there is any overriding public interest justifying disclosure".

In view of the objectives pursued by Regulation 1049/2001, notably to give the public the widest possible right of access to documents, 'the exceptions to that right [...] must be interpreted and applied strictly'.

In reply to your request, I can inform you that we have identified 23 documents that fall within the scope of your request. The identified documents are listed for ease of reference in Annex I. For each of the documents the Annex provides a description and indicates whether parts are withheld on which ground pursuant to Regulation 1049/2001.

Having examined the requested documents under the applicable legal framework, I am pleased to grant you partial access to all 23 documents.

In all documents names and other personal data have been redacted pursuant to article 4(1)(b) of Regulation 1049/2001 and in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2018/1725. Hence, the main content of these documents relevant to your request is accessible.

Copies of the accessible documents are enclosed to this letter. The reasons justifying the application of the exception concerning personal data are set out below.

**Protection of the privacy and integrity of the individual**

Pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation 1049/2001, access to a document has to be refused if its disclosure would undermine the protection of privacy and the integrity of the individual, in particular in accordance with European Union legislation regarding the protection of personal data. All documents contain personal information, such as names, e-mail addresses, telephone numbers that allow the identification of natural persons, as well as other personal information.

Indeed, Article 3(1) of Regulation 2018/1725 provides that personal data 'means any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person [...]'. The Court of Justice has specified that any information, which by reason of its content, purpose or effect, is linked to a particular person is to be considered as personal data. Please note in this respect that the names, signatures, functions, telephone numbers and/or initials pertaining to staff members of

---

1. Id., paragraphs 37-43. See also judgment in Council v Sophie in 't Veld, C-350/12 P, EU:C:2014:2039, paragraphs 52-64.
an institution are to be considered personal data. In its judgment in Case C-28/08 P \textit{(Bavarian Lager)}\textsuperscript{9}, the Court of Justice ruled that when a request is made for access to documents containing personal data, the Data Protection Regulation becomes fully applicable\textsuperscript{10}.

Pursuant to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, personal data shall only be transmitted to recipients established in the Union other than Union institutions and bodies if \textit{‘[t]he recipient establishes that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest and the controller, where there is any reason to assume that the data subject’s legitimate interests might be prejudiced, establishes that it is proportionate to transmit the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests’}. Only if these conditions are fulfilled and the processing constitutes lawful processing in accordance with the requirements of Article 5 of Regulation 2018/1725, can the transmission of personal data occur.

According to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, the European Commission has to examine the further conditions for a lawful processing of personal data only if the first condition is fulfilled, namely if the recipient has established that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. It is only in this case that the European Commission has to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject’s legitimate interests might be prejudiced and, in the affirmative, establish the proportionality of the transmission of the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests.

In your application, you do not put forward any arguments to establish the necessity to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. Therefore, the European Commission does not have to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject’s legitimate interests might be prejudiced. Notwithstanding the above, please note that there are reasons to assume that the legitimate interests of the data subjects concerned would be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data reflected in the documents, as there is a real and non-hypothetical risk that such public disclosure would harm their privacy and subject them to unsolicited external contacts.

Consequently, I conclude that, pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation 1049/2001, access cannot be granted to the personal data, as the need to obtain access thereto for a purpose in the public interest has not been substantiated and there is no reason to think that the legitimate interests of the individuals concerned would not be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data concerned.


\textsuperscript{10} Whereas this judgment specifically related to Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data, the principles set out therein are also applicable under the new data protection regime established by Regulation 2018/1725.
However, in line with the Commission’s commitment to ensure transparency and accountability, the names of the Members of Cabinet and the names of the senior management of the Commission are disclosed. Additionally, signatures have been removed also to avoid the risk of fraudulent use of such signatures.

Meeting reports are drawn up for internal use under the responsibility of the relevant services of the Directorate-General for TRADE. They solely reflect the services’ interpretation of the interventions made and do not set out any official position of the third parties to which the document refers, which was not consulted on its content. They do not reflect the position of the Commission and cannot be quoted as such.

3. MEANS OF REDRESS

In accordance with Article 7(2) of Regulation 1049/2001, you are entitled to make a confirmatory application requesting the Commission to review this position. Such a confirmatory application should be addressed within 15 working days upon receipt of this letter to the Secretary-General of the Commission at the following address:

Secretary-General  
European Commission  
Transparency, Document Management & Access to Documents  
Rue de la Loi 200/Wetstraat 200  
BERL 7/76  
1049 Brussels

or by email to: sg-acc-doc@ec.europa.eu.

Yours sincerely

[Signature]

Sabine WEYAND

Annex: List of documents and 23 documents