

VOTING LIST

Draft opinion on

Artificial intelligence: questions of interpretation and application of international law in so far as the EU is affected in the areas of civil and military uses and of state authority outside the scope of criminal justice
(2020/2013(INI))

Rapporteur: Urmas Paet, Renew

(PA: PE650.702v01-00 - FdR 1204689)

(AM: PE652.560v01-00 - FdR 1206408)

Version 30.06.2020

Concerned Text	AM	Tabled by	Remarks	Pos. Rapp.	Result VOTE
PARAGRAPHS					
Paragraph 1, Paragraph 2, Paragraph 3, Paragraph 4, Paragraph 5, Paragraph 6, Paragraph 7, Paragraph 8, Paragraph 9, Paragraph 10	BLOCK VOTE 1 COMPs 1-10	EPP, S&D, RE, Greens/EFA, ECR	VOTE If adopted AM 12	+	
	AMs 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 33, 35, 36, 37, 40, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47, 48, 53, 54, 57, 58, 59, 62, 66, 67		Fall if BLOCK VOTE 1 adopted	+	

Paragraph 1	AM 1	Maurel	Falls if BLOCK VOTE 1 adopted	-	
Paragraph 2	AM 11	Demirel, Daly, Wallace	Falls if BLOCK VOTE 1 adopted	-	
Paragraph 7	AM 39	Demirel, Daly, Wallace	Falls if BLOCK VOTE 1 adopted	-	
Paragraph 9	AM 50	Demirel, Daly, Wallace	Falls if BLOCK VOTE 1 adopted	-	
Paragraph 10	AM 60	Demirel, Daly, Wallace	Falls if BLOCK VOTE 1 adopted	-	
Paragraph 2a (new)	12	Demirel, Daly, Wallace	VOTE	-	
Paragraph 3	13	Maurel	SPLIT VOTE	+	
			Part I: <i>“therefore invites (...) the (...) developers of lethal autonomous weapons systems (LAWS), to halt design, prototyping and production of such systems”</i>		
			Part II: <i>“Russia and the US, who (...) main”</i>	-	
Paragraph 3 b (new)	18	Olekas, López, Sánchez Amor, Ara-Kovács, Biedro , Schieder	VOTE	+	
Paragraph 3 c (new)	19	Olekas, López, Sánchez Amor, Ara-Kovács, Biedro , Schieder	VOTE	+	

Paragraph 4	26	Demirel, Wallace, Pineda	VOTE	-	
Paragraph 5 a (new)	32	Schieder	VOTE	-	
Paragraph 6	34	Maurel	SPLIT VOTE		
			Part I: <i>“considers that, in the hypothetical event of these limits being overstepped in a theatre of operations, those civilian or military authorities which deployed AI systems should be held to account”</i>	+	
			Part II: <i>“including before the International Criminal Court (ICC)”</i>	+	
Paragraph 6 a (new)	38	Waszczykowski	VOTE	-	
Paragraph 7	42	Maurel	VOTE	-	
Paragraph 7 a (new)	45	Waszczykowski	VOTE	-	
Paragraph 8 a (new)	49	Waszczykowski	VOTE	-	

Paragraph 9	51	Gregorová	SPLIT VOTE <i>Part I: “reminds that any given LAWS or weapon with a high degree of autonomy could malfunction on account of badly written code or a cyber-attack perpetrated by an enemy state or a non-state actor;”</i>	+	
			<i>Part II: “calls on Member States to clearly define and communicate the expected economic, diplomatic and military consequences third actors engaging in cyber-attacks against European weapons with a high degree of autonomy will face;”</i>	-	
			<i>Part III: “believes that the expected reaction must be credible and severe enough to prevent such actions caused by hostile states and other actors;”</i>	-	
	52	Mariani, Riviere	VOTE	-	
Paragraph 9 a (new)	55	Gregorová	VOTE	+	
Paragraph 9 a (new)	56	Olekas, López, Sánchez Amor, Ara-Kovács, Biedro , Schieder	VOTE	-	

Paragraph 10	61	Maurel	VOTE	-	
Paragraph 10 a (new)	63	Tudorache	VOTE	+	
Paragraph 10 a (new)	64	Gregorová	VOTE	+	
Paragraph 10 b (new)	65	Gregorová	SPLIT VOTE		
			Part I: <i>“Calls on all UN Security Council Members to classify armed, fully autonomous drone swarms as Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) because of their degree of potential harm and innate deficiencies to fully differentiate between military and civilian targets”;</i>	-	
			Part II: <i>“believes that effective non-proliferation enforcement of (fully autonomous drone swarms) and any future offensive AI-enabled technologies is paramount to global security”;</i>	+	
			Part III: the term <i>“WMD”</i>	-	
FINAL VOTE ON OPINION AS AMENDED		FOR	AGAINST	ABSTENTIONS	