



EUROPEAN COMMISSION  
SECRETARIAT-GENERAL

Directorate B

Brussels, **27 MARS 2015**  
SG/B.3/MIA-DCB

Ms Vicky Cann  
CEO  
Rue d'Edimbourg 26  
B-1050 Brussels

By e-mail:

[ask+request-1784-  
76686ec2@asktheeu.org](mailto:ask+request-1784-76686ec2@asktheeu.org)

**Subject: Your application for access to documents in accordance with Regulation 1049/2001– Ref. GestDem 2015/1108**

Dear Ms Cann,

We refer to your e-mail dated 23 February 2015 and registered on 24 February 2015 under the above-mentioned reference number.

*In your e-mail, you request "...copies of all applications by Dacian CIOLOȘ which seek Commission authorisation for new professional activities under the commissioner code of conduct. I would further like to request any emails, correspondence and meeting notes which relate to these applications; all opinions from the ad hoc ethical committee on each case; and copies of the Commission's final decision in each case."*

I have identified 7 documents falling within the scope of your request:

1. E-mail of Mr Ciolos to Ms Day of 21.01.2015 [Ref. Ares(2015)242793];
2. LS Consultation E-mail of 21.01.2015 and E-mail of 23.01.2015[Ref Ares (2015)271966 and Ref. Ares (2015)310895];
3. Note of Ms Day to the Members of the ad Hoc Ethical Committee of 27.01.2015 [Ref. Ares(2015)319011];
4. Commission decision C(2015)419 of 23 January 2015;
5. PV (2015) 2113 of 28 January 2015;
6. Letter of Ms Day to Mr Ciolos of 28.01.2015 [Ref. Ares(2015)338466];
7. Note of Ms Day to Members of the Ad-Hoc Ethical Committee of 28.01.2015 [Ref. Ares(2015)339268].

You will find a detailed list enclosed.

## **1. PUBLIC DOCUMENTS**

Document n° 5 contains the extract of the minutes of the Commission meeting in which the decision on Mr Ciolos post-mandate activity was adopted. Please note that the minutes are already available to the public and therefore, a copy is not enclosed.

## **2. CONCLUSIONS UNDER REGULATION 1049/2001**

Following the examination of your request and of the documents concerned, I am pleased to grant you:

- Full access to documents n° 3, 4 and to the cover note to document n° 7. Please note that the deleted parts in this last document contain information on decisions regarding other former Commissioners which are not covered by your request and therefore, fall outside its scope. However, these parts of the document are covered by the scope of your remaining requests (n° 2015/1146, 2015/1109 and 2015/1110) and will be addressed in the corresponding replies.
- Partial access to documents n° 1, and 6 and to the cover e-mails and notes accompanying document n° 2. You will find copies enclosed.

Please note that the document attached to documents n° 6 and n° 7, is document n° 4.

The reasons for the refusal of the deleted parts of the above-mentioned documents are set out below.

The deleted parts of the above-mentioned documents contain the e-mail address of the former Commissioner and for obvious reasons this is personal data covered by the exception provided for in Article 4 (1) (b) of Regulation 1049/2001. This is also the case of the deleted parts in document n° 2, which contain the names and the e-mail addresses of the Commission's officials in charge of the file. Disclosing this information would reveal personal data and may undermine the privacy of the concerned Commissioner as well as of Commission officials.

In its judgment in the *Bavarian Lager* case<sup>1</sup>, the Court of Justice ruled that when a request is made for access to documents containing personal data, Regulation (EC) No. 45/2001<sup>2</sup> (hereinafter the 'Data Protection Regulation') becomes fully applicable.

The concerned documents contain elements which undoubtedly constitute personal data in the meaning of Article 2(a) of Regulation (EC) No 45/2001, as they reveal information about an identified or an identifiable person. According to Article 8(b) of the Data

---

<sup>1</sup> Judgment of the Court of Justice of 29 June 2010, Case C-28/08P, *European Commission v The Bavarian Lager Co. Ltd.*

<sup>2</sup> Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data, Official Journal L 8 of 12.1.2001

Protection Regulation, which is fully applicable in this case, personal data shall only be transferred to recipients if the recipient establishes the necessity of having the data transferred and if there is no reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced.<sup>3</sup> Those two conditions are cumulative.<sup>4</sup>

I consider that in the present case the necessity of disclosing the aforementioned personal data to you has not been established in your request.

Consequently, access to personal data contained in the relevant parts of the above-mentioned documents has to be refused on the basis of the exception provided for in Article 4 (1) (b) of Regulation 1049/2001.

If you wish to appeal against this decision, you should write to the Commission Secretary-General at the address [sg-acc-doc@ec.europa.eu](mailto:sg-acc-doc@ec.europa.eu). You have fifteen working days from receipt of this letter in which to appeal.



Marianne Klingbeil  
Director SG B f.f.

Annexes

Cc: [SG-DOSSIERS-ACCES@ec.europa.eu](mailto:SG-DOSSIERS-ACCES@ec.europa.eu)

---

<sup>3</sup> Cf. Judgment of the Court of 20 May 2003 in joined cases C-465/00, C-138/01 and C-139/01, preliminary rulings in proceedings between Rechnungshof and Österreichischer Rundfunk, paragraph 73.

<sup>4</sup> Judgment of the Court (Grand Chamber) of 29 June 2010 in case C-28/08 P, Commission v Bavarian Lager, paragraphs 56, 63, 68, 76-79.