



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Directorate-General for Trade

Directorate A - Resources, Information and Policy Coordination
Information, Communication and Civil Society

Brussels, 01 JUL 2016
trade.a.3.dir(2016)3536224

*By registered letter with acknowledgment
of receipt*

Lora Verheecke
Corporate Europe Observatory
Rue d'Edimbourg 26
1050 Brussels
Belgium

Advance copy by email:

ask+request-2928-24c1256a@asktheeu.org

Subject: Your applications for access to documents – Ref GestDem No 2016/2695

Dear Ms Verheecke,

I refer to your email of 13 May 2016 in which you make a request for access to documents under Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 ("Regulation 1049/2001"),¹ registered on the same date under the above mentioned reference number.

You request access to "*all communication, including emails, and documents (agenda, minutes, list of participants, etc) related to the meeting between Miguel Ceballos Baron and EURODOM on 4th May 2016*".

We have identified the following three documents that fall within the scope of your request:

- A meeting request from EURODOM dated 11 April 2016 (Ares(2016)1877270) ("**document 1**");
- A position paper as an attachment to the meeting request (Ares(2016)1877270) ("**document 2**"); and,

¹ Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents, OJ L 145, 31.5.2001, p. 43.

- the report of the meeting with Eurodom of 4 May 2016 (Ares(2016)2399451) ("**document 3**").

I am glad to inform you that full access can be granted to the content of these documents. However, some personal data have been withheld in documents 1 and 3 in accordance with Article 4.1(b) of Regulation 1049/2001. In line with the Commission's commitment to ensure transparency and accountability,² the names of the members of Cabinet are disclosed.

Article 4.1 (b) of Regulation 1049/2001 provides that "*[t]he institutions shall refuse access to a document where disclosure would undermine the protection of: [...] privacy and the integrity of the individual, in particular in accordance with Community legislation regarding the protection of personal data.*"

The applicable legislation in this field is Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data.³

The Court of Justice has ruled that "*where an application based on Regulation 1049/2001 seeks to obtain access to documents containing personal data*" "*the provisions of Regulation 45/2001, of which Articles 8(b) and 18 constitute essential provisions, become applicable in their entirety*".⁴

Article 2(a) of Regulation 45/2001 provides that "*'personal data' shall mean any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person [...]*". The Court of Justice has confirmed that "*there is no reason of principle to justify excluding activities of a professional [...] nature from the notion of 'private life'*"⁵ and that "*surnames and forenames may be regarded as personal data*",⁶ including names of the staff of the institutions.⁷

In accordance with Article 8(b) of this Regulation, personal data shall only be transferred to recipients if they establish "*the necessity of having the data transferred*" and additionally "*if there is no reason to assume that the legitimate interests of the data subjects might be prejudiced*". The Court of Justice has clarified that "*it is for the person applying for access*

² See Commission decisions C(2014) 9051 and C(2014) 9048 of 25 November 2014.

³ Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and the free movement of such data, OJ L 8, 12.1.2001, p. 1.

⁴ Judgment in *Guido Strack v Commission*, C-127/13 P, EU:C:2014:2250, paragraph 101; see also judgment in *Commission v Bavarian Lager*, C-28/08 P, EU:C:2010:378, paragraphs 63 and 64.

⁵ Judgment in *Rechnungshof v Rundfunk and Others*, Joined cases C-465/00, C-138/01 and C-139/01, EU:C:2003:294, paragraph 73.

⁶ Judgment in *Commission v Bavarian Lager*, C-28/08 P, EU:C:2010:378, paragraph 68.

⁷ Judgment in *Guido Strack v Commission*, C-127/13 P, EU:C:2014:2250, paragraph 111.

to establish the necessity of transferring that data",⁸ including names of the staff of the institutions.⁹

I consider that that you have not established the necessity of having the aforementioned personal data transferred to you. Moreover, it cannot be assumed on the basis of the information available, that disclosure of such personal data would not prejudice the legitimate interests of the persons concerned. Therefore, these personal data shall remain undisclosed in order to ensure the protection of the privacy and integrity of the individuals concerned.

You may reuse documents 1 and 3 free of charge for non-commercial and commercial purposes provided that the source is acknowledged and that you do not distort the original meaning or message. The Commission does not assume liability stemming from the reuse.

Document 2 was received by the Commission from Syndicat du Sucre de la Réunion. It is disclosed for information only and cannot be re-used without the agreement of the originator. It does not reflect the position of the Commission and cannot be quoted as such.

In case you would disagree with the assessment that the withheld data are personal data which can only be disclosed if such disclosure is legitimate under the rules of personal data protection, you are entitled, in accordance with Article 7(2) of Regulation 1049/2001, to make a confirmatory application requesting the Commission to review this position.

Such a confirmatory application should be addressed within 15 working days upon receipt of this letter to the Secretary-General of the Commission at the following address:

European Commission
Secretary-General
Transparency unit SG-B-4
BERL 5/282
B-1049 Bruxelles

or by email to: sg-acc-doc@ec.europa.eu

Yours sincerely,



Lutz Güllner
Head of Unit

⁸ *Id.*, paragraph 107; see also judgment in C-28/08 P *Commission v Bavarian Lager*, EU:C:2010:378, paragraph 77.

⁹ Judgment in *Guido Strack v Commission*, C-127/13 P, EU:C:2014:2250, paragraph 111.