

VAICEKAUSKAITE Indre (TRADE)

From: NEIRA Pablo (TRADE)
Sent: 11 September 2012 18:08
To: PERREAU DE PINNINCK Fernando (TRADE)
Cc: MINOR Jacqueline (COMM-LONDON); GARCIA BERCERO Ignacio (TRADE); ROELAND Christophe (ENTR); EMBERGER Geraldine (TRADE); SELLES Laurent (SANCO)
Subject: Meeting with Cosmetics Europe and EFCI - US HLWG

Cosmetics Europe: [Art 4.1b]

EFCI: [Art 4.1b]

Commission: Jacqueline Minor and Laurent Selles (SANCO); Fernando Perreau de Pinninck, Geraldine Emberger and Pablo Neira (TRADE) and Christopher Roeland (ENTR).

- Industry welcomes the initiative but warns against the difficulties ahead derived from the fundamental differences in the existing regulatory regimes: different definitions and level of ambition.
- The EU regulatory system is [N.R.] while the US system [NOT RELEASABLE] either cosmetics are subject to little regulation or they fall into the OTC category.
- These problems have led to regulation at sub-federal level and therefore a risk of market fragmentation – concern for EU exporters. It is worth analysing if this exercise could be used to [NOT RELEASABLE]
- It is not in the interest of the EU industry to lower our standards to the level of the US, [NOT RELEASABLE]
- Main areas of divergence EU/US:
 - Animal testing.
 - Classification.
 - Registration requirements.
 - Labelling/Packaging.
 - Colorants.

- EFCI highlighted the problem of lengthy approval procedures for ingredients by the FDA, i.e. functional chemicals => Streamlining these procedures would be highly desirable.

• [NOT RELEASABLE]

- Nanotechnology is perceived as an interesting case study for transatlantic regulatory cooperation.
- Cosmetics Europe will investigate if a common position from EU/US industry is possible. They will liaise with the PCPC (Personal Care Products Council). EFCI would also produce an input paper.