



## EUROPEAN COMMISSION

DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR MARITIME AFFAIRS AND FISHERIES

Fisheries Policy Atlantic, North Sea, Baltic and Outermost Regions  
**Structural Support Atlantic, North Sea, Baltic and Outermost Regions**

Director

Brussels,

MARE.C1 [redacted] /Ares(2018)

[redacted]  
 Chair North Western Waters MS  
 Group  
 [redacted]

**Subject: Updated joint recommendations for a demersal discard plan**

Dear Chair,

I would like to thank you and the members of your regional group for replying to my letter from the 24 July 2018. We appreciate that August can be a difficult month to contact your Member States and we are grateful for the efforts made by national administrations. However, I am sure you will agree that with the implementation of the landing obligation ahead of us, this is an exceptional year for drafting the discard plans and delegated acts.

Whilst we appreciate the work done so far by the regional groups, we consider that some of the new exemptions that have now been proposed in response to our previous communication deserve being subject to STECF's scrutiny. In particular we note several new "single stock *de minimis*" exemptions that have been proposed in place of "combined *de minimis*" exemptions. Whilst several of these new exemptions have been provided with additional information, there will not be sufficient time for them to be analysed by the STECF ahead of the Expert Working Group in September.

Therefore we would propose, without prejudice to the final position of the Commission, that your joint recommendations be modified according to the comments in the attached table. In the interests of expediency, please could you confirm in writing no later than **22 August**, that you accept the proposed changes from the attached table, which will then allow the Commission to continue with drafting the first wave of delegated acts, minus these still open elements, ensuring drafts are sent on time for scrutiny by the Expert Group in September.

We would like to reiterate that it is the Commission's goal to ensure that the co-legislation process passes smoothly. Our approach would allow for discard plans that clearly align with the existing scientific advice from STECF and help avoid the proposal for a discard plan to be rejected entirely. We would thus hope to have discard plans in place for 1 January 2019. The Commission will send separate joint recommendations containing the new elements, such as the "single stock *de minimis*" to the STECF for review as soon as they are ready. If the STECF provide a positive assessment for these new exemptions, we would envisage updated delegated acts entering into force at a later date in 2019.

Should you have any further questions, please could you kindly contact my colleague  
[REDACTED].

Hélène CLARK

Enclosure:        Annex

CC:

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

## RESPONSES AND COM POSITION TO JRs 15 AUGUST 2018

### ASSESSMENT OF 2018 JOINT RECOMMENDATION BY THE NWW GROUP

#### HIGH SURVIVABILITY REQUESTS

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
Common sole (undersized only) caught with trawl gears in area VIIId	<p><b>EWG 18-06</b> notes that new information in relation to nursery areas (as requested in the 2018 discard plan COM 2018/46) was not provided in the JR. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>Location of sole nursery grounds.</p> <p><b>PLEN:</b> No new information was supplied to the STECF Plenary on the location of nursery grounds in VIIId. Additional comments were, however, provided by the UK outlining the difficulties of identifying nursery ground areas.</p> <p>STECF notes, however, that a late submission was made by France after the Plenary. This consisted of the coordinates of 5 small areas located along the French coast in VIIId (no charts were provided). There was no accompanying text to explain whether the positions represent updates of existing information, or to</p>	<p><b>EXISTING EXEMPTION</b></p> <p><b>Survival rate 80-89%</b></p> <p><b>(STECF 17-08)</b></p>	<p>The Commission can accept this exemption on a temporary basis (for 3 years) on conditions and under the understanding that the exemption continues to apply to the same fleet segment as identified in the current delegated act. MS need to demonstrate relevance of nursery grounds in 2019. The UK still needs to provide information on English coastal areas.</p>	<p>Remains unchanged as compared to the original submission</p>	<p>Ok</p>

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	indicate the source of the material, or the significance of those areas to the sole population in VIId. No information was available for the English coastal areas and therefore STECF was unable to further evaluate the relevance of the nursery grounds in the context of this existing exemption				
<i>Nephrops</i> in the TRI fisheries in Area VII and in the TR2 fisheries in Area VII in combination with highly selective gears	<p><b>EWG 18-06</b> considers that the supporting scientific work involving a 300 mm square mesh panel (SELTRA) trawl is robust and the results (64%) are in line with previous discard survival estimates for highly selective <i>Nephrops</i> trawls from North Sea and Skagerrak.</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that the scope of the proposed exemption in terms of areas, seasons and variability of fisheries and gears is broader than in other existing exemptions based on <i>Nephrops</i> survival. Furthermore, the other gear options proposed as eligible for the exemption (TR1 and a variety of TR2 trawls) have different selection properties compared with the SELTRA trawl. Since catch volume, catch composition and fleet characteristics are important in <i>Nephrops</i> discard survivability, EWG 18-06 suggests that the estimate in the current study (64%) may not be representative of all the proposed</p>	<p><b>NEW EXEMPTION</b></p> <p><b>Survival rate 64 %</b></p>	The Commission can accept this exemption	Ok	Ok

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>gear options in area VII. EWG 18-06 also notes that the proposed derogation is linked to suggested changes in technical measures.</p> <p>EWG 18-06 further notes that the supporting fisheries documentation for countries other than Ireland is insufficient to assess the overall magnitude and effect of this exemption. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>a) Data on the fishery (from countries other than Ireland), including catch and discard quantities.</p> <p><b>Plenary:</b> Additional quantitative fishery information was received by PLEN 18-02 from France and the UK providing a good indication of the scale of the fishery affected by this exemption.</p> <p>STECF agrees with EWG18-06 that the SELTRA trawl estimate of 64% survival is supported by a robust study. STECF notes, however, that the uncertainty surrounding survival rates in the various other gears and fisheries potentially covered by this exemption makes it difficult to assess the overall effect on the extensive Nephrops fisheries in VII.</p>				

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	STECF notes that assuming the 64% survival rate applies to all gears, then at a discard rate of around 15% (provided in the JR documentation), this implies that only about 5% of the overall catch of the gears affected by this exemption is discarded <u>and</u> dies (Fig 4.3.1).				
<i>Nephrops</i> caught by 80-110mm otter trawl gears in ICES subarea VIa, within 12 miles of coasts	<p><b>EWG 18-06</b> notes that the supporting scientific report presents new estimates of <i>Nephrops</i> discard survival rate and also discusses the wider application of this new survival estimate in Northwest waters and North Sea waters more generally. The reported annual mean survival rate for <i>Nephrops</i> in TR1 and TR2 based on the new summer and winter trials on one vessel was 53% (46% in summer and 56% in winter).</p> <p>EWG 18-06 judges that the supporting scientific information is based on a robust approach and that the validation technique used in the context of the wider fleets is commendable. Owing to skewed sampling of individuals in the summer experiment, EWG 18-06 considers that the reported survival rate (53%) may be an overestimate.</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that, similar to the area VII proposal, the scope of the proposed exemption is broader than other existing <i>Nephrops</i> exemptions</p>	<p><b>NEW EXEMPTION</b></p> <p><b>Survival rate 53 %</b></p>	The Commission can accept this exemption	Ok	Ok

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>based on survival. Furthermore, the proposal is also very similar to, and based on much the same supporting information, as the proposal for exemption of <i>Nephrops</i> in North Sea trawls.</p> <p>Given that almost all the catches are made by Scotland, the available fishery data (for Scotland only) is adequate to assess the scale of any potential impact. EWG 18-06 also notes that the discard rate is relatively low (7%) in the area meaning that the risk of unaccounted mortality due to a survival exemption is probably limited.</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> STECF agrees with the EWG 18-06 observations and concludes that the survivability study is robust and indicates a survival rate of 53%. Combined with the discard rate of 7% (indicated in the accompanying fishery data), this implies that about 2% of the overall catch of the gears affected by this exemption is discarded <u>and</u> dies (Fig 4.3.1).</p>				
Skates and ray species caught by any gear in the North Western Waters (areas VI	<b>EWG 18-06</b> This request is identical to one submitted by the Scheveningen group for the North Sea. A comprehensive analysis/synthesis of the existing estimates of discard and survival rates of skate and rays, based on	<p><b>NEW EXEMPTION</b></p> <p><b>Survival rate 34-95% (from MS data)</b></p>	<p>The Commission can partially accept this exemption on a temporary (3 year) basis on the conditions that:</p> <p>a) a roadmap be developed by</p>	Ok, but 1 year for cuckoo ray	The Commission can accept the revision For cuckoo ray in order to collect further data a 1-year exemption until 31 December

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
and VII)	<p>existing literature and studies has been provided.</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that discard rates and survivability estimates depend greatly on the species, area and métier considered. Although an average value (45%) of discard rate over 2014-2016 for skates and ray species combined is presented, estimates can vary greatly between species and within species. Similar to this, the survival rates can greatly vary between species and fisheries.</p> <p>Health vitality data on discarded skates and rays show less variability, with most (&gt;95%) rays in longline, otter trawl and netting fisheries being alive and in good or moderate condition at the point of release</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that the current data outlined in support of the requested exemption is very limited because the high variability in survivability estimates and the existent data gaps. EWG 18-06 acknowledges that more work is needed to fill the gaps and provide a more complete picture of survival across different skate and ray species in different fisheries/areas/métiers. EWG 18-06 notes there is a necessity to have catch and discard data by species. Given the patchy nature of</p>		<p><b>MS concerned, following the example of the Scheveningen group, and scientifically assessed by STECF</b></p> <p><b>b) Annual reporting on the progress and modifications/adjustments made to the programme, in order to increase survivability</b></p> <p><b>c) MS improve survivability studies to fill in data gaps as identified by EWG 18-06</b></p> <p><b>d) Exclude Cuckoo ray due to low survivability (34%)</b></p>		<p><b>2019 will need to be written into the Joint Recommendation Extension beyond one year will be assessed by STECF based on the annual report of 1May which should specifically address Cuckoo ray survivability</b></p>



Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>the data, EWG 18-06 is concerned about the current wide scope of the request.</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that in the case of the North Sea request, the North Sea Member States aim to promote good practice as well as implement avoidance and selectivity measures to minimise the chance of skate and ray species being caught. EWG 18-06 however cannot evaluate which of these measures will be implemented by each fishery. EWG-06 also suggest a cautious approach in relation to the duration of any exemption, if the recommendation is awarded, a shorter period would allow for the exemption to be revisited quickly in the light of emerging new data.</p> <p>Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>Any additional data on landings and discards</p> <p><b>Plenary:</b> STECF acknowledges that a significant amount of information has been presented to support this proposed exemption. However, given the acknowledged data gaps, STECF re-iterates the concerns raised by EWG 18-06 regarding the scope of the proposed exemption. STECF</p>				

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	notes that the raw data underpinning the information already provided in the JR was received by PLEN 18-02 has been supplied to STECF, although this is of limited additional value other than confirming the basis for the proposed exemption.				
Plaice caught by trammel nets in ICES divisions VIIId and VIIe	<p><b>EWG 18-06</b> The supplementary material to the JR provided as scientific evidence of the high survivability of plaice is too limited to be reviewed. Experimental details about a large extent of the study are missing (e.g. analysis, control group, vitality assessment and animal observations).</p> <p>Fleet and fishery descriptions are only provided for the United Kingdom, EWG 18-06 notes that without provision of more complete information it is not possible to assess the merits of this proposed high survivability exemption. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>a) Fleet and fishery descriptions for</p>	<p><b>NEW EXEMPTION</b></p> <p><b>Survival rate 73%</b></p>	The Commission can accept this exemption	Ok	Ok

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>countries other than UK.</p> <p>b) Scientific evidence of the survivability of discarded plaice, including experimental details (e.g. analysis, control group, vitality assessment and animal observations).</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> Additional material was supplied to PLEN 18-02. A comprehensive and detailed paper provides scientific information indicating a plaice survival rate of 73% in the trammel net fishery in VIId and VIIe. Fishery information was provided by UK and France.</p> <p>STECF concludes that the survivability study is robust and indicates a survival rate of 73%. Combined with the discard rate of 32% indicated in the accompanying document, this implies that about 9% of the overall catch of the gears affected by this exemption is discarded and dies (Fig 4.3.1).</p>				
Plaice caught by trammel nets in ICES divisions VIIf and VIIg	<b>EWG 18-06:</b> The supplementary material to the JR provided as scientific evidence of the high survivability of plaice is too limited to be reviewed. Experimental details about a large extent of the study are missing (e.g. analysis, control group, vitality assessment and animal	<b>NEW EXEMPTION</b>  <b>Survival rate 49%</b>	<b>The Commission can accept this exemption</b>	<b>Ok</b>	<b>Ok</b>

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>observations).</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that without provision of more complete information it is not possible to assess the merits of this proposed high survivability exemption. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>a) Fleet and fishery descriptions for countries other than UK.</p> <p>b) Scientific evidence of the survivability of discarded plaice, including experimental details (e.g. analysis, control group, vitality assessment and animal observations).</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> Additional material was supplied to PLEN 18-02. A comprehensive and detailed paper provides scientific information indicating a plaice survival rate of 49% in the trammel net fishery in VIIIf and VIIg. Fishery information was provided by UK and France supplied a fishery description.</p> <p>STECF concludes that the survivability study is robust and indicates a survival rate of 49%. STECF notes that the additional information indicated a discard rate in the UK fishery of 73%, with a</p>				

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	survival rate of 49% this implies that 37% of the overall catch of the gears affected by this exemption is discarded <u>and</u> dies (Fig 4.3.1).				
Plaice caught by Otter Trawls in ICES divisions VIIId and VIIe	<p><b>EWG 18-06</b> The supplementary material to the JR provided as scientific evidence of the high survivability of plaice is too limited to be reviewed. Experimental details about a large extent of the study are missing (e.g. analysis, control group, vitality assessment and animal observations).</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that without provision of more complete information it is not possible to assess the merits of this proposed high survivability exemption. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>a) Fleet and fishery descriptions for countries other than UK.</p> <p>b) Scientific evidence of the survivability of discarded plaice, including experimental details (e.g. analysis, control group, vitality assessment and animal observations).</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> Additional material was supplied to PLEN 18-02. A comprehensive and detailed paper</p>	<p><b>NEW EXEMPTION</b></p> <p><b>Survival rate 64%</b></p>	The Commission can accept this exemption	Ok	Ok

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>provides scientific information from the western channel (VIIe) indicating a plaice survival rate of 64% in the otter trawl fishery. It is assumed this also applies in VIId. Fishery information was provided by UK and France supplied a fishery description.</p> <p>STECF concludes that the survivability study is robust and indicates a survival rate of 64%. STECF notes that the additional information indicated a discard rate in the UK fishery of 32%, with a survival rate of 64% this implies that around 11% of the overall catch of the gears affected by this exemption is discarded <u>and</u> dies (Fig 4.3.1).</p>				
Plaice caught by otter trawl gears in ICES subarea VIIIf and VIIIg	<p><b>EWG 18-06</b> The supplementary material to the JR provided as scientific evidence of the high survivability of plaice is too limited to be reviewed. Experimental details about a large extent of the study are missing (e.g. analysis, control group, vitality assessment and animal observations).</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that without provision of more complete information it is not possible to assess the merits of this proposed high survivability exemption. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p>	<p><b>NEW EXEMPTION</b></p> <p><b>Survival rate 78%</b></p>	The Commission can accept this exemption	Ok	Ok

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>a) Fleet and fishery descriptions for countries other than UK.</p> <p>b) Scientific evidence of the survivability of discarded plaice, including experimental details (e.g. analysis, control group, vitality assessment and animal observations).</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> Additional material was supplied to PLEN 18-02. A comprehensive and detailed paper provides scientific information from the Bristol channel (VIIf and VIIg) indicating a plaice survival rate of 78% in the otter net fishery. Fishery information was provided by UK and France supplied a very brief fishery description.</p> <p>STECF concludes that the survivability study is robust and indicates a survival rate of 78%. STECF notes that the additional information indicated a discard rate in the UK fishery of 73%, with a survival rate of 78% this implies that around 16% of the overall catch of the gears affected by this exemption is discarded <u>and</u> dies (Fig 4.3.1).</p>				

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
Plaice caught with beam trawls in ICES subareas VIIa to VIIf	<p><b>EWG 18-06</b> The documentation provided shows that survivability is highly variable (4-93%) and significantly related to trawl duration, sorting duration, wave height, sea temperature, sediment catch and total catch. The scientific underpinning of these conclusions is considered to be robust and gives an indication on which factors could potentially improve survivability for plaice in this fishery. Proposed gear modifications will likely increase plaice survivability but the extent of these improvements is unknown and should be studied.</p> <p>Fleet and fishery descriptions are provided for Ireland, but the source related to numbers supplied is unknown. There are other countries associated with the proposed exemption that have not been described. EWG 18-06 notes that without provision of more complete information it is not possible to assess the merits of this proposed high survivability exemption. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>a) Missing fleet and fishery descriptions.</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> Additional fishery information was provided to PLEN 18-</p>	<p><b>NEW EXEMPTION</b></p> <p><b>Survival rate 4-93%</b></p> <p><b>Exemption covers several different stocks of plaice, ranging from MSY +20% in 7fg to zero TAC in 7hjk</b></p>	The Commission can partially accept this exemption on a temporary (1 year) basis	Unchanged, kept the word temporary without mentioning an end date.	<p>The Commission maintains its position</p> <p>The Joint Recommendation needs to define the end of the exemption as 31 December 2019</p> <p>An extension will be subject to receiving further information from the Group to be reviewed by STECF:</p> <p>Further efforts to improve selectivity and survivability and address the high variability in survival rates.</p>



Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>02 by France and UK but not from Belgium, a key participant in this fishery.</p> <p>STECF agrees with the EWG 18-06 that the scientific study of survivability in a traditional beam trawl is of good quality. STECF notes that survivability in this case is affected by many factors and that survivability is highly variable (4-93%). STECF further notes that as a consequence of this variability it is not possible to reliably assess what the impact of this exemption is likely to be.</p> <p>STECF notes that discard rates provided by the Regional Group are at least 40%. Based on the range of estimates for survivability a 40% discard rate would imply that anywhere between 3% and 38% of the overall plaice catch of the gears affected by this exemption would be discarded <u>and</u> die (Fig 4.3.1). STECF suggests that gear modifications to improve survivability or, better still, selectivity should be further developed and adopted.</p>				
Fish caught in pots, traps and creels in North	<b>EWG 18-06</b> The supporting information provided is essentially identical to the information behind an existing exemption in the North Sea	<b>NEW EXEMPTION</b>	<b>The Commission can accept this exemption</b>	<b>Ok</b>	<b>Ok</b>

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
Western Waters	<p>that was evaluated by EWG 17-03.</p> <p>The exemption assumes that all fish released from pots and creels have the same survival chances as cod released from pots used to target fish. There is no direct evidence to support this, but it is reasonable to infer that, at the point of release, and assuming environmental and technical operations are comparable, the likelihood of survival is high. The risk of substantial predation by seabirds of discarded fish needs to be considered in such an exemption (as in the North Sea discard plan).</p> <p>Fleet and fishery descriptions are detailed for Scotland, but there are other countries associated with the proposed exemption that was not submitted. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>a) Missing fleet and fishery descriptions.</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> Additional fishery information was provided to PLEN 18-02 for UK and Ireland. STECF notes that some of the figures provided are difficult to interpret and, depending on MS, relate to different things.</p> <p>STECF agrees with the EWG that survival of fish discarded from trap</p>				

Exemption requested by the NWW groups	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	and pot fishing is likely to be substantial. STECF notes that since there is a risk of avian predation, mitigation measures (such as sub-surface release) could reduce the impact on survivability.				

## DE MINIMIS REQUESTS

Exemption requested by the NWW group	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
Whiting caught with bottom trawls and seines >80mm and pelagic trawls and beam trawls (80-119mm) to catch whiting in the Eastern Channel (VIId)	<p><b>EWG</b> Existing provision but with a request to also include beam trawls (BT2).</p> <p>No supporting information has been provided to substantiate this extended request. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>a) Data on the fishery, including catch and discard quantities.</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> Additional fishery information provided to PLEN 18-02 by France, Netherlands and UK. Data for UK is not clearly explained but the quantities appear to be small. Information indicates that most catches are made by French trawlers and that the BT2 gear does not appear to add significant quantities. STECF concludes that the addition of BT2 does not materially alter the original justification and evidence for this exemption.</p>	<b>EXISTING EXEMPTION, MODIFIED TO INCLUDE BT2</b>	The Commission can accept this exemption.	Ok	Ok
Combined <i>de minimis</i> for Gadoids (cod, haddock, whiting) caught using bottom trawls,	<p><b>EWG</b> This request involves the use of 'safeguards' and the approach was evaluated by STECF Plenary 2018-01. EWG 18-06 note that studies on selectivity have been provided only for the Irish fleets</p>	<p><b>NEW EXEMPTION</b></p> <p>Combined <i>de minimis</i></p>	The Commission rejects this exemption given the lack of scientific justification for the exemption and the risks associated with this high	Single stock <i>de minimis</i> for Cod 80mm+ 7b-c 7e-k 7% 2019 2020 then 6% in 2021	NWW Group is asked to submit their request for single stock <i>de minimis</i> for cod and haddock in a separate Joint Recommendation to allow

Exemption requested by the NWW group	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
seines and beam trawls of greater than or equal to 80mm mesh size in the Celtic Sea and the Channel (ICES VIIb-c, e-k)	<p>with general information from France. Fishery information on all fleets is required (not just French and Irish) and STECF further notes that there are some inconsistencies in the data provided. EWG 18-06 notes that since the requested 5% de minimis provides only a partial solution (discard rates are 27% for TR1 and 53% for TR2), improvements in selectivity are required.</p> <p>Due to several remaining questions, lack of key data, incomplete selectivity data and general shortage of material justifying disproportionate costs, EWG 18-06 is unable to fully assess the merits of this case. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>a) Data on the fishery, including catch and discard quantities (other than for France and Ireland).</p> <p>b) Clarification on landings and discard data provided. Estimated landings and the estimated discards for gadoids report the same value, and this is not consistent with the reported discard rate.</p>		volume combined de minimis request and because selectivity can be improved.	<p>and Single stock de minimis for Haddock 80mm+ 7b-c 7e-k 7% 2019 2020 then 6% in 2021</p> <p>re-instate existing Whiting 7b-c 7e-k de minimis</p>	<p>STECF to look at their request and supporting information.</p> <p>This would allow the undisputed parts of the Joint Recommendation to be accepted.</p> <p>The Commission accepts the revision for Whiting 7b-c 7e-k as it is an existing exemption.</p>

Exemption requested by the NWW group	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p><b>Plenary</b> Additional fishery information provided to PLEN 18-02 by NL and UK. Inconsistencies were sorted out. Fishery data provided by Spain related to an exemption that was not requested in the JR. The combination of species were different to the original proposal contained in the JR.</p> <p>STECF notes that while there is partial information on selectivity this is limited to one fleet and there is little information to justify an argument on the basis of disproportionate cost. STECF concludes that in the absence of supporting information, no assessment can be made as to whether improvements in selectivity are very difficult to achieve or whether the costs of handling unwanted catches are disproportionate.</p> <p>The basis of the safeguard component of this request was considered by STECF Plenary 2018-01. STECF reiterates its conclusion that to be in line with CFP objectives, the maximum possible amount of de minimis (i.e. the maximum amount including safeguard) for each species that could potentially be discarded,</p>				

Exemption requested by the NWW group	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	must be deducted from the respective TACs.				
Undersized whiting in the TR2 Nephrops trawl fishery in ICES division VIIa	<p><b>EWG</b> EWG 18-06 notes that 99% of the whiting catch (558t UK and 535t IE) is discarded because it is below the MCRS, and that a <i>de minimis</i> of 5% would produce a volume of 28t UK and 27t IE. The <i>de minimis</i> level provides only a partial solution to reducing discards, indicating that significant selectivity improvements are still required.</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> STECF agrees with the EWG comments. STECF notes that in order to reduce discards there will need to be a focus on improvements in selectivity and/or the development of other measures to avoid &lt;MCRS fish.</p>	<b>NEW EXEMPTION</b>	The Commission rejects this exemption, on the basis of very large discard volumes. STECF assessment is that selectivity needs to be improved	Not in the revised Joint Recommendation (Group has deleted), but request further explanation from STECF / COM on selectivity	The Commission can accept the revision
Undersized by-catches of haddock in the TR1 demersal trawl fisheries in ICES area VIIa	<p><b>Conclusion:</b></p> <p><b>EWG</b> The discards of haddock under MCRS amount to 3.3 tonnes in UK, and 34 tonnes in Ireland. The <i>de minimis</i> volume requested for Ireland is 3 tonnes, which is a small proportion of expected discarding. EWG 18-06 notes that there are several relevant</p>	<b>NEW EXEMPTION</b>	The Commission rejects this exemption, on the basis of STECF analysis that selectivity can be improved, that the justification for the exemption is weak and that selectivity needs to be improved.	Not in the revised Joint Recommendation (Group has deleted), but request further explanation from STECF / COM on selectivity	The Commission can accept the revision

Exemption requested by the NWW group	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>selectivity studies providing increased selectivity which will remove most of the undersized catch.</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that the argument that handling costs have a disproportionate negative economic impact, is ambiguous for the UK fleet, since 70% of the small quantity of haddock discards are &gt;MCRS and may be sold. Recent observer data suggest a discard rate of only 0.6% which would render the <i>de minimis</i> request excessive.</p> <p>EWG 18-06 concludes that there are selective gears which could reduce discards.</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> STECF agrees with the EWG comments. STECF further concludes that the justification for this exemption is weak and that uptake of selective gears should be a matter of priority.</p>				
By-catches of pelagic species (mackerel, horse mackerel, herring, boarfish, greater silver	<b>EWG</b> Information (on selectivity and disproportionate costs) to support the justification for this combined <i>de minimis</i> was not provided.	<b>NEW EXEMPTION</b>  <b>Combined de minimis</b>	The Commission rejects this exemption. as supporting data and justifications are absent as identified by STECF. Selectivity can be	Single stock <i>de minimis</i> , bottom trawls, seines beam trawls :  Horse mackerel	The Group is asked to submit their request for single stock <i>de minimis</i> for mackerel and horse mackerel in a separate Joint Recommendation to



Exemption requested by the NWW group	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
smelt) caught by vessels using bottom trawls and seines, and beam trawls in ICES subarea VI and VIIb-k	<p>TR2 pelagic discards (STECF data for all countries- 2016) amount to about 6% of discards but no comparable information was presented on beam trawl and seine fisheries included in this exemption.</p> <p>EWG 18-06 notes that the supporting information proposes a safeguards approach (25%) based on a French discard profile indicating that safeguards should be revised over time. Profiles are required for other countries. STECF (PLEN 18-01) provided advice on a similar combined <i>de minimis</i> request (see above) incorporating safeguards and raised several concerns.</p> <p>Due to lack of information, EWG 18-06 is unable to assess whether selectivity is difficult to improve in this fishery or whether costs of handling unwanted catches are disproportionate. Suggested additional data to be requested:</p> <p>a) Fishery information for beam trawl and seine net fisheries.</p> <p>b) Information related to safeguards countries other than</p>		improved.	<p>area 6 and 7b-k @ 7% 2019 and 2020 then 6% 2021</p> <p>mackerel area 6 and 7b-k @ 7% 2019 and 2020 then 6% 2021</p>	<p>allow STECF to look at their request and supporting information.</p> <p>This would allow the undisputed parts of the Joint Recommendation to be accepted and help the delegated act to be in place by 1 January 2019</p>

Exemption requested by the NWW group	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
	<p>France, including discard profiles</p> <p><u>Plenary</u> Additional fishery information was provided to PLEN 18-02 for several countries.</p> <p>STECF notes that supporting studies were not provided and so STECF is unable to assess whether this indicates that improvements in selectivity to reduce pelagic bycatch are very difficult to achieve in these fisheries. STECF also cannot assess whether the losses associated with the use of the gears tested would render the fisheries uneconomic. Further, STECF notes that current levels of unwanted catches in some of the small mesh fisheries covered by this <i>de minimis</i> are amongst the highest in any demersal fisheries in the North east Atlantic but the legal gears used (80mm+80mm smp) are relatively unselective.</p>				

## TECHNICAL MEASURES

Technical Measures requested by the NWW group	STECF assessment	COMMENT – ADDITIONAL INFORMATION	COMMISSION POSITION	NWW response to Commission position	Commission response to revised Joint Recommendation
Range of selective measures for the demersal fisheries in the Celtic Sea and Irish Sea	<p><b>EWG:</b> The NWW JR contains a series of proposals for the use of selective gears. While the majority of these represent improvements in selectivity, there is one case where the proposal is likely to reduce selectivity. This case is the proposed derogation for vessels with &lt;10% gadoids to use and 80mm cod end + 100mm SMP in a part of area VIIIf, which represents a reduction in selectivity from the current Regulations in place. Other gear options for vessels with &gt;55% whiting or anglerfish, hake and megrim combined are not likely to increase selectivity from the current minimum requirements. Notwithstanding this, the proposed changes to increase selectivity in North Western Waters is one of very few attempts from regional groups to mitigate issues with unwanted catches in relation to the phasing-in of the Landing Obligation.</p> <p><b>Plenary</b> STECF agree with the conclusions of the EWG</p>	<p><b>NEW TECHNICAL MEASURES</b></p> <p><b>All improve on status quo selectivity, except for "derogation for vessels with &lt;10% gadoids to use and 80mm cod end + 100mm SMP in a part of area VIIIf "</b></p>	<p>The Commission can accept this measure; except the following measure, which according to STECF will reduce selectivity: "derogation for vessels with &lt;10% gadoids to use and 80mm cod end + 100mm SMP in a part of area VIIIf "</p>	<p><i>&lt;10% gadoids to use and 80mm cod end + 120mm SMP in a part of area VIIIf</i></p> <p><i>Not removed but increased SMP to 120mm</i></p>	<p>The Commission accepts on the basis that the change to <b>120mm</b> SMP, reflects the current status quo of selectivity in this fishery, whereas 100mm SMP was identified to be a reduction in selectivity.</p>