

**From:** [REDACTED] (ENV)  
**Sent:** lundi 3 décembre 2018 16:35  
**To:** [REDACTED] (ENV)  
**Subject:** FW: Final PWC Report on Tethered Caps / Art 6 SUP Directive  
**Attachments:** 20181130 FINAL - Tethered Caps Report PWC.pdf; 20181130 Background Note on Model Review - FINAL Tethered Caps Report PWC.pdf

Bonjour [REDACTED],

pourrais-tu le classer en ares stp? Je te l'envoie à partir de ma réunion - pas de mail Ares ici. Désolé!

■

---

**From:** Hans van Bochove [hvanbochove@cokecce.com]  
**Sent:** 02 December 2018 20:50  
**To:** NELEN Sarah (ENV); [REDACTED] (ENV)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] @unesda.eu; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Final PWC Report on Tethered Caps / Art 6 SUP Directive

Dear Sarah and [REDACTED],

Once again I would like to thank you for the conversation we had on November 23 around the tethered cap requirement in the SUP Directive. As agreed, I am sharing with you the final report from PWC on the economic and financial impact of the measure for the soft drinks and bottled water industry.

As we mentioned at the meeting, the results we shared were preliminary pending the final report from PWC. Per their quality processes, PWC had their original findings reviewed by another team. This brought to light an inconsistency in the datasets used to establish the number of production lines impacted and the impact of 'lost' production per production line. As a result of cautionary using lower line speed / capacity, the number of impacted production lines in the EU28 has gone down (from 1.350 to 1.030), as has the 'lost' value added per line. Attached you will also find an explanatory note that addresses the difference in the preliminary and the final numbers. For your ease, I have inserted the table below that shows the final results including the major differences from the preliminary numbers we shared with you.

As you can see, the overall impact for the soft drinks and bottled water industry is still very significant. As such, we ask the EU Institutions involved in the trilogue around the proposed SUP Directive to take this impact into account when deciding on Article 6. Industry suggests to make Article 6 conditional to Article 9 regarding the 90% collection target for plastic beverage containers and their caps and lids and only require tethered caps should that target not be met. Should you need any clarification on the attached report or its methodology, we can arrange an exchange with PWC at any time.

Many thanks for your consideration and kind regards,  
Hans

**Costs to Producers of  
Implementing Art. 6, SUP Dir.**  
*# of impacted PET bottling lines*

SCENARIO 1
'change caps only'

SCENARIO 2
'change caps and bottles'

across EU28 is c. 1.030

	In Euros	In Euros
Supplier Capital Expenditure	438m	1,179bn
Bottler Material Costs	105m	388m
Bottler Capital Expenditure	680m	3,778bn
'Lost' Value Added	1,479bn (was: 3,647bn)	3,347bn (was: 8,272bn)
Total	2,703bn (was: 4,890bn)	8,692bn (was: 13,596bn)

**Hans VAN BOCHOVE**

**Vice-President European Public Affairs**

**Coca-Cola European Partners**

EU Transparency Register: 392447132015-41

E. [hvanbochove@cokecce.com](mailto:hvanbochove@cokecce.com)

M. [REDACTED]

T. +32 2 529 15 90

[www.ccep.com](http://www.ccep.com)

Bergensesteenweg 1424 Chaussée de Mons

1070 Brussels

Belgium

