## **Feedback**

Feedback reference	F530091	
Date/Time received	26/06/2020 18:15:58	
Type of user	Public authority	
Organisation	European Union Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA)	
Scope	International	
Company size	Medium (< 250 employees)	
Country	Austria	
First name		Personal data
Last name		
E-mail	@fra.europa.eu	
Publication status	The feedback can be published with your personal information	
Feedback language	English	
Feedback in original language	Contribution from Public authority, Austria.	
Includes an attachment	YES	
Eu survey token	1deb88fa-10ee-4b1f-9831-e0cda83dc959	

## Contribution

## Introduction

Artificial intelligence (AI) is a strategic technology that offers many benefits for citizens and the economy. It will change our lives by improving healthcare (e.g. making diagnosis more precise, enabling better prevention of diseases), increasing the efficiency of farming, contributing to climate change mitigation and adaptation, improving the efficiency of production systems through predictive maintenance, increasing the security of Europeans and the protection of workers, and in many other ways that we can only begin to imagine.

At the same time, Al entails a number of potential risks, such as risks to safety, gender-based or other kinds of discrimination, opaque decision-making, or intrusion in our private lives.

The European approach for AI aims to promote Europe's innovation capacity in the area of AI while supporting the development and uptake of ethical and trustworthy AI across the EU. According to this approach, AI should work for people and be a force for good in society.

For Europe to seize fully the opportunities that AI offers, it must develop and reinforce the necessary industrial and technological capacities. As set out in the accompanying European strategy for data, this also requires measures that will enable the EU to become a global hub for data.

The current public consultation comes along with the White Paper on Artificial Intelligence - A European Approach aimed to foster a European ecosystem of excellence and trust in AI and a Report on the safety and liability aspects of AI. The White Paper proposes:

Measures that will streamline research, foster collaboration between Member States and increase investment into AI development and deployment; Policy options for a future EU regulatory framework that would determine the types of legal requirements that would apply to relevant actors, with a particular focus on high-risk applications.

This consultation enables all European citizens, Member States and relevant stakeholders (including civil society, industry and academics) to provide their opinion on the White Paper and contribute to a European approach for Al. To this end, the following questionnaire is divided in three sections: Section 1 refers to the specific actions, proposed in the White Paper's Chapter 4 for the building of an ecosystem of excellence that can support the development and uptake of Al across the EU economy and public administration; Section 2 refers to a series of options for a regulatory framework for Al, set up in the White Paper's Chapter 5; Section 3 refers to the Report on the safety and liability aspects of Al. Respondents can provide their opinion by choosing the most appropriate answer among the ones suggested for each question or suggesting their own ideas in dedicated text boxes.

Feedback can be provided in one of the following languages: BG | CS | DE | DA | EL | EN | ES | ET | FI | FR | HR | HU | IT | LT | LV | MT | NL | PL | PT | RO | SK | SL | SV

Written feedback provided in other document formats, can be uploaded through the button made available at the end of the questionnaire.

The survey will remain open until 14 June 2020.

Section 1 - An ecosystem of excellence

To build an ecosystem of excellence that can support the development and uptake of Al across the EU economy, the White Paper proposes a series of actions.

In your opinion, how important are the six actions proposed in section 4 of the White Paper on Al (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

Are there other actions that should be considered?

Revising the Coordinated Plan on AI (Action 1)

The Commission, taking into account the results of the public consultation on the White Paper, will propose to Member States a revision of the Coordinated Plan to be adopted by end 2020.

In your opinion, how important is it in each of these areas to align policies and strengthen coordination as described in section 4.A of the White Paper (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

Are there other areas that that should be considered?

A united and strengthened research and innovation community striving for excellence

Joining forces at all levels, from basic research to deployment, will be key to overcome fragmentation and create synergies between the existing networks of excellence.

In your opinion how important are the three actions proposed in sections 4.B, 4.C and 4.E of the White Paper on AI (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

Are there any other actions to strengthen the research and innovation community that should be given a priority?

Focusing on Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs)

The Commission will work with Member States to ensure that at least one digital innovation hub per Member State has a high degree of specialisation on AI.

In your opinion, how important are each of these tasks of the specialised Digital Innovation Hubs mentioned in section 4.D of the White Paper in relation to SMEs (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

Are there any other tasks that you consider important for specialised Digital Innovations Hubs?

Section 2 - An ecosystem of trust

Chapter 5 of the White Paper sets out options for a regulatory framework for Al.

In your opinion, how important are the following concerns about AI (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

Do you have any other concerns about AI that are not mentioned above? Please specify:

Do you think that the concerns expressed above can be addressed by applicable EU legislation? If not, do you think that there should be specific new rules for AI systems?

Other, please specify

If you think that new rules are necessary for Al system, do you agree that the introduction of new compulsory requirements should be limited to high-risk applications (where the possible harm caused by the Al system is particularly high)?

Other, please specify:

Do you agree with the approach to determine "high-risk" Al applications proposed in Section 5.B of the White Paper?

Other, please specify:

If you wish, please indicate the AI application or use that is most concerning ("high-risk") from your perspective:

In your opinion, how important are the following mandatory requirements of a possible future regulatory framework for AI (as section 5.D of the White Paper) (1-5: 1 is not important at all, 5 is very important)?

In addition to the existing EU legislation, in particular the data protection framework, including the General Data Protection Regulation and the Law Enforcement Directive, or, where relevant, the new poss bly mandatory requirements foreseen above (see question above), do you think that the use of remote biometric identification systems (e.g. face recognition) and other technologies which may be used in public spaces need to be subject to further EU-level guidelines or regulation:

Please specify your answer:

Do you believe that a voluntary labelling system (Section 5.G of the White Paper) would be useful for Al systems that are not considered high-risk in addition to existing legislation?

Do you have any further suggestion on a voluntary labelling system?

What is the best way to ensure that AI is trustworthy, secure and in respect of European values and rules?

Please specify any other enforcement system:

Do you have any further suggestion on the assessment of compliance?

Section 3 – Safety and liability implications of AI, IoT and robotics

The overall objective of the safety and liability legal frameworks is to ensure that all products and services, including those integrating emerging digital technologies, operate safely, reliably and consistently and that damage having occurred is remedied efficiently.

The current product safety legislation already supports an extended concept of safety protecting against all kind of risks arising from the product according to its use. However, which particular risks stemming from the use of artificial intelligence do you think should be further spelled out to provide more legal certainty?

In your opinion, are there any further risks to be expanded on to provide more legal certainty?

Do you think that the safety legislative framework should consider new risk assessment procedures for products subject to important changes during their lifetime?

Do you have any further considerations regarding risk assessment procedures?

Do you think that the current EU legislative framework for liability (Product Liability Directive) should be amended to better cover the risks engendered by certain AI applications?

Do you have any further considerations regarding the question above?

Do you think that the current national liability rules should be adapted for the operation of AI to better ensure proper compensation for damage and a fair allocation of liability?

Please specify the AI applications:

Do you have any further considerations regarding the question above?

Thank you for your contr bution to this questionnaire. In case you want to share further ideas on these topics, you can upload a document below.

You can upload a document here:

FRA-input-to-the-European-Commission-Al-White-Paper.docx

## **Related publication**

External reference	AIConsult2020	
Туре	Public consultation	
Lead Service	CNECT	
Full title	Consultation on the White Paper on Artificial Intelligence - A European Approach	
Short title	White Paper on Artificial Intelligence - a European Approach	
Feedback start date	20/02/2020 12:42:26	
Number of weeks	16	
Feedback end date	14/06/2020 00:00:00	
Unit	SECRETARIAT-GENERAL	
Internal reference	NOPLAN/2020/0001	
Target groups	The European Commission wishes to consult stakeholders with an interest in artificial intelligence (AI): <ul> <li>* AI developers and deployers</li> <li>* (Ii&gt;* companies and business organisations</li> <li>* (Ii&gt;* Small and Medium-sized Enterprises (SMEs)</li> <li>* public administrations</li> <li>* public administrations</li> <li>* (Ii&gt;* civil society organisations</li> </ul>	
Consultation objective	The public consultation aims to give stakeholders the opportunity to express their views on the questions raised and policy options proposed in the White Paper on Artificial Intelligence.	