

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| PROGRAMME NAME: | | |
| IMPACT LEVEL | Intervention logic (statement) | EU TF NOA Results Chain |
| EUTF MLS Impact level | 1. Priority Action IV and Strategic Objective IV: To foster a more inclusive social and economic environment and stability in the region | |

| | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|
| OUTCOME LEVEL | Intervention logic (statement) | EU TF NOA Results Chain |
| RSSD Outcome 1 (Specific objective (SO 1)) | 1. Contribute to improving basic services delivery (notably education, WASH, sustainable energy, as well as conflict prevention/mitigation and community dialogue— link to SO3) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| | | |
|----------------------|---|------------------|
| RSSD Outcome 2 (SO2) | 2. Contribute to creating alternative livelihoods opportunities for local communities, migrants, refugees, IDPs, returnees | |
| | | |
| RSSD Outcome 3 (SO3) | 3. Contribute to prevention/mitigation of conflicts, encourage reconciliation and strengthen community dialogue and social cohesion | AICS DOES NOT CC |

| OUTPUT LEVEL | Intervention logic (statement) | | EU TF NOA Results Chain |
|---------------|--|---|-------------------------|
| | | | |
| AICS Output 1 | 1. Provision of basic services at local level enhanced | 1.1 Capacity in technical and managerial skills towards enhancing the provision of basic services at local level for the most vulnerable groups, including host communities, IDPs and returnees, migrants and refugees is built | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 1.2 New/rehabilitated infrastructure and better equipment are operational in beneficiary facilities towards enhancing the provision of basic | |

| | | | |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| | | services at local level for the most vulnerable groups, including host communities, IDPs and returnees, migrants and refugees | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| AICS Output 2 | 2. Income generating activities and/or entrepreneurship community initiatives are identified and developed | 2. 1 Individual income generating activities in the agro-food sector are identified and strengthened | |
| | | | |
| | | 2.2 Business development infrastructure supported, tools developed and staff trained on local agro-food sector development | |
| | | | |
| | 3. Increased awareness of inclusive access to basic services and agro-food livelihoods opportunities among the general public in target municipalities | | |

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-----|
| AICS Output 3 | | |
| AICS Output 4 | 4. The RSSD II governance mechanisms are established and operationalized | |
| | | |
| | | |
| ut 5: ssD Common utput 5 | 5. RSSD 2 is delivered as programme with key coordination, management and monitoring processes/mechanisms in place AICS, UNDP, UNICEF | n/a |
| | | n/a |
| | | n/a |

| | | |
|--|--|-----------------------|
| <p>AICS output Contribution to RS Logframe Out</p> | | <p>n/a</p> <p>n/a</p> |
|--|--|-----------------------|


| IP Indicator/ common indicators | EU TF NOA Indicators /common | Baseline (2021) |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| n/a | Share of experts who perceive a | tbd - EU external assistance |

| IP Indicator/ common indicators | EU TF NOA Indicators [FOR EU TF INTERNAL USE | Baseline (2021) |
|---|--|--------------------|
| 1.1 # of people in targeted municipalities having ACCESS to rehabilitated/new infrastructure facilities (by sector -education/WASH and community/service infra) (by gender) (by Municipality) (by beneficiary group - if possible) [related to catchment area of each beneficiary institution] | | 0 |
| 1.2 # of people in targeted municipalities USING the rehabilitated/new infrastructure facilities (by sector -education/WASH and community/service infra) (by gender) (by Municipality) (by beneficiary group - if possible) | | 0 |
| 1.3 # of people in targeted municipalities having ACCESS to new equipment/supplies (by sector -education/WASH and community/service infra) (by gender) (by Municipality) (by beneficiary group - if possible) [related to catchment area of each beneficiary institution] Note: if there are institutions benefiting from both new/reh infra and new equipment/supplies - then only count beneficiaries in 1.1 | | 0 |
| 1.4 # of people in targeted municipalities USING the new equipment/supplies (by sector -education/WASH and community/service infra) (by gender) (by Municipality) (by beneficiary group - if possible) Note: if there are institutions benefiting from both new/reh infra and new equipment/supplies - then only count beneficiaries in 1.2 | | 0 |
| 1.5 Perception of quality of basic services among users of the target facilities including vulnerable population (scale 1-5) | | 0 |

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| 2.1 Direct employment generated/supported with RSSD support (by gender) (by Sector) (by age) | | 0 |
| 2.2 # of businesses created or expanded along the sectoral value-chains (by gender of entrepreneur) (by sector) <i>Note: businesses created : first sales conducted by the businesses/community youth led initiatives</i> | | 0 |

CONTRIBUTE TO THIS OUTCOME INDICATOR

| IP Indicator/ common output indicators | EU TF NOA Indicators [FOR EU TF INTERNAL USE ONLY] | Baseline (2021) |
|---|---|--------------------|
| 1.1.1 # of staff from public, CSOs and private sector service providers have completed the training on selected topics (by gender) (by Municipality) | | 0 |
| 1.1.2 % of trained staff from the municipality with increased knowledge on training curricula (by gender) (by sector) (by age) | | 0 |
| 1.1.3 # training workshops on Municipalities' service delivery capacity (Education, WASH and Energy)- delivered by AICS - successfully completed | | 0 |
| 1.1.4 # of training courses delivered in each Municipality for basic service providers (including public sector, CSOs and private sector actors' staff, as appropriate) delivered by INGOs - successfully completed | | 0 |
| 1.2.1 # of public infrastructure facilities built/rehabilitated, operational and transferred to the local counterparts (by sector) (by Municipality) | | 0 |

| | | |
|--|-----|---|
| 1.2.2 # of beneficiary institutions that have new equipment/supplies delivered, operational (by sector) (by Municipality) | | 0 |
| 1.2.3 % # of supplied equipment or/and rehabilitated /new infrastructure facility that have reached subtarget/subgoal defined by the project | | 0 |
| 1.2.4 % of supplied equipment or/and rehabilitated /new infrastructure by the project where maintenance has been recognized, communicated and transferred to the government/municipalities/relevant entity. | | 0 |
| 1.2.5 # of municipalities with enhanced equipment/supplies or new/rehabilitated infrastructure facilities | | 0 |
| 2.1.1 # Number of people that have completed professional trainings (TVET) and/or skills development courses (women/men) (youth/adult) [contributing to RSSD common indicator 2.1] | | 0 |
| 2.1.2 # of small soft loans awarded to support the creation/expansion of businesses in the agri-food sector (avg. EUR 200-400) (number and value terms) [RSSD common indicator 2.2] | | 0 |
| 2.2.1 # of service centres to support crop and livestock producers with access to improved inputs, technical advice, and financial and marketing services constructed/improved | | 0 |
| 2.2.2 # of people from targeted beneficiary institutions (SMEs, agro-businesses etc.) that completed capacity building activities related to economic income generating activities (by gender) (by age) (by Municipality) (by beneficiary group) [contributing to RSSD common indicator 2.1] | | 0 |
| 3.1 The communication and visibility strategy is produced and updated regularly to adapt to evolving conditions (by OCT) () | n/a | Communication and visibility strategy produced and approved by the EU |
| 3.2 # of social media content (posts, campaigns, infographics and visual content) on inclusive access to basic service facilities and agro-food livelihoods opportunities produced and disseminated by AICS | | |

| | | |
|---|-----|--|
| 3.3 # of knowledge products (including press releases, Op-Ed and editorials, newsletters and photo bank) to sensitise on inclusive access to basic service facilities and agro-food livelihoods opportunities produced and disseminated by AICS | | |
| 3.4 # of audio-visuals and human-interest stories (HIS) to sensitise on inclusive access to basic service facilities and agro-food livelihoods opportunities produced and disseminated by AICS | | |
| 3.5 # of municipalities where public awareness activities/campaigns to promote an inclusive access to basic services and agro-food livelihoods opportunities are delivered (by INGOs) <i>(by Municipality)</i> | | 0 |
| 3.6 # of people reached with awareness raising activities (by INGOs) <i>(by gender) (by age) (by Municipality) (by beneficiary group)</i> | | 0 |
| 4.1 The Technical Secretariat (TS) function is established and resources are allocated | | TS ToR approved by the Steering Committee (SC) TS resources allocated (TBC) |
| 4.2 The Steering Committee (SC) and Technical Committee (TC) are operational | | ToRs approved A minimum of 1 SC and 1 TC per year |
| 4.3 RSSD Monitoring, coordination, operation management and reporting processes/tools/templates are defined in consultation with the EU/IPs and implemented by the TS | | tbc - depends on needed common activities as jointly defined/agreed upon |
| | n/a | 0 |
| 5.2 The VNG database is updated regularly | n/a | 0 |
| 5.3 # of focal points appointed by the IPs, that are effectively coordinating and facilitating activities with local stakeholders in each Municipality | n/a | 0 |

| | | |
|--|-----|--|
| 5.4 # of inter-communal committees established that participate in the planning phase and contribute to smooth implementation of the activities - on hold until the end of the inception phase. | n/a | 0 |
| 5.5 The Steering Committee (SC) and Technical Committee (TC) are operational | n/a | ToRs approved A minimum of 1 SC and 1 TC per year |

DRAFT -- Baladiyati: RSSD Phase II -AICS Component

| Milestone (2022) | Milestone (2023) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| n/a | n/a |

| Milestone (2022) | Milestone (2023) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |

| | |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |

| Milestone (2022) | Milestone (2023) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |

| | |
|---|---|
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd |
| 0 | tbd |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| Communication and visibility strategy updated | Communication and visibility strategy updated |
| AICS: 30 (15 Facebook + 15 Twitter) | AICS: 90 (45 Facebook + 45 Twitter) |

| | |
|--|--|
| AICS: 12 Joint Press release: 2 - led by AICS Photo-bank: 10 Brochure: 0 | AICS: 45 Joint Press release: 5 - led by AICS Photo-bank: 25 Brochure: 15 |
| AICS: 0 HIS: 0 Audio-visual (global video): 0 - led by AICS | AICS: 29 HIS: 14 Audio-visual: 14 - led by AICS Audio-visual (global video): 1 - led by AICS |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| 0 | tbd - via detailed baseline study |
| n/a | n/a |
| A minimum of 1 SC and 1 TC per year | A minimum of 1 SC and 1 TC per year |
| tbc - depends on needed common activities as jointly defined/agreed upon | tbc - depends on needed common activities as jointly defined/agreed upon |
| 14 | 14 updated |
| Database updated quarterly | Database updated quarterly |
| 0 | 5 |


| | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 0 | 14 |
| A minimum of 1 SC and 1 TC per year | A minimum of 1 SC and 1 TC per year |

| Target -Cumulative (2024) | Source and means of verification |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| tbd - EU external assistance | |

| Target -Cumulative (2024) | Source and means of verification |
|--|--|
| 845,503 (tbc - via detailed Baseline study) | AICS Baseline Study; AICS Endline Study; INGOs baseline study; AICS and INGOs periodic monitoring reports (e.g. Quarterly Information Notes, Annual Reports); Activity Reports and Progress Updates; Municipality/community consultations (e.g. baseline, interim and endline). |
| tbd | |
| 845,503 (tbc via detailed Baseline study) | |
| tbd | |
| tbd | |

| | |
|-----|---|
| tbd | AICS Baseline Study; AICS Endline Study; CIHEAM Bari Baseline, periodic and Endline studies; |
| tbd | CIHEAM Bari value chain studies; INGOs baseline study; AICS and INGOs periodic monitoring reports (e.g. Quarterly Information Notes, Annual Reports); Activity Reports and Progress Updates; Municipality/community consultations (e.g. baseline, interim and endline). |

| Target -Cumulative (2024) | Source and means of verification |
|--|--|
| 300 (tbc - via detailed baseline study) | AICS Baseline Study; AICS Endline Study; INGOs baseline study; AICS and INGOs periodic monitoring reports (e.g. Quarterly Information Notes, Annual Reports); Activity Reports and Progress Updates; Municipality/community consultations (e.g. baseline, interim and endline). |
| tbd - via detailed baseline study) | Comparison of pre and post tests; Training and workshops activities reports; Monitoring and activity reports. |
| 3 | Monitoring and activity reports; Training and workshops activities reports. |
| 23 (tbc - via detailed baseline study) | Comparison of pre and post tests; Training and workshops activities reports; Monitoring and activity reports; Municipality/community consultations; INGOs Official reports; Implementing partners activities documentation |
| 18 (tbc - via detailed baseline study) Education: 7 WASH: 2 Community/ service: 9 | AICS Baseline Study; AICS Endline Study; INGOs baseline study; AICS and INGOs periodic monitoring reports (e.g. Quarterly Information Notes, Annual Reports); |

| | |
|--|---|
| 106 (tbc - via detailed baseline study) | Activity Reports and Progress Updates; Municipality/community consultations (e.g. baseline, interim and endline). |
| tbd | |
| tbd | |
| 14 | |
| 2500 tbc - via detailed baseline study | AICS Baseline Study; AICS Endline Study; CIHEAM Bari Baseline, periodic and Endline studies; CIHEAM Bari value chain studies; INGOs baseline study; AICS and INGOs periodic monitoring reports (e.g. Quarterly Information Notes, Annual Reports); Activity Reports and Progress Updates; Municipality/community consultations (e.g. baseline, interim and endline). |
| 4000 tbc - via detailed baseline study | |
| 4 tbc - via detailed baseline study | |
| 100 tbc - via detailed baseline study | |
| Communication and visibility strategy updated | Communication and visibility strategy updated; Semi-annual reports -  |
| AICS: 210 (105 Facebook + 105 Twitter) | Ad hoc online monitoring tools and analytics (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram Insights Tools); Semi-annual reports (JCI monitoring and analysis reports); AICS Quarterly Monitoring Report; Other Monitoring and activity reports; Media evidence; Knowledge products; |

| | |
|--|--|
| AICS: 64 Joint Press release: 9 - led by AICS Photo-bank: 40 Brochure: 15 | |
| AICS: 45 HIS: 30 Audio-visual: 14 - led by AICS Audio-visual (global video): 1 - led by AICS | |
| 14 | Ad hoc online monitoring tools and analytics (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram Insights Tools) Monitoring and activity reports AICS Quarterly Monitoring Report; Other Monitoring and activity reports; |
| tbd - via detailed baseline study | Implementing partners reports Awareness campaigns materials Media evidence Knowledge products |
| n/a | ToRs approved |
| A minimum of 1 SC and 1 TC per year | ToRs, Meeting minutes |
| tbc - depends on needed common activities as jointly defined/agreed upon | ToRs, Meeting minutes |
| 14 updated | Municipality profiles |
| Database updated quarterly | Database |
| 5 | List and contacts of focal points covering 14 municipalities at the end of the identification phase |

| | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 14 | Evidence list of committees with the composition - to ensure there are women; minutes of meetings etc) - on hold until the end of the inception phase. |
| A minimum of 1 SC and 1 TC per year | ToRs, Meeting minutes |

Assumptions

- *Achieving results at this impact level, assumes that all other actors are playing their intended role and hence contributing the goal
- * Enhanced public service provision and access to local development opportunities will lead to increased resilience of vulnerable population in the Southern Municipalities

Assumptions

- *The operating environment will remain to some extent restrictive due to the stalled/volatile political process, the communal and tribal conflicts and illicit economic competition in smuggling of all types in the South;
- * The spread of COVID-19 will be managed in the southern Libya with effective measures in place to limit the impact on the socio-economic environment;
- * Target beneficiaries access local development opportunities and benefit from enhanced basic service provision leading to increased resilience and economic growth.

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

| |
|-------------|
| Assumptions |
|-------------|

| |
|---|
| <p>*Local authorities/ governments maintain authority, and environments are safe enough to implement planned activities and ensure beneficiaries participation;</p> <p>*The security and political environment allow for access and response to the needs of migrants and other target groups; and does not delay the provision of equipment/supplies and materials as well other resources needed to complete the activities;</p> <p>* RSSD successfully completes the municipal assessments;</p> <p>*Government and local authorities continue to support interventions and facilitate implementation on the field;</p> <p>* The EU declaration of situation of crisis enables flexible PRAG procedures;</p> <p>*Implementing partners have the needed capacity and expertise to adapt to changing context/ conditions to maximize impact, considering human resources local availability (technical/hard skills, soft skills, political/tribal background);</p> <p>*Target beneficiaries are willing to cooperate and participate in the planned activities;</p> <p>*Beneficiary institutions have the resources to maintain the delivered project assets.</p> |
|---|

- *Government and local authorities continue to support interventions and facilitate implementation on the field;
- *Local authorities/ governments maintain authority, and environments are safe enough to implement planned activities and ensure beneficiaries participation;
- *Implementing partners have the needed capacity and expertise to adapt to changing context/ conditions to maximize impact, considering local human resources availability (technical/hard skills, soft skills, political/tribal background);
- * Implementing partners are capable of disbursing soft loans while keeping a low non performing loan rate;
- * Banking regulations remain compatible with soft-loan and cost-recovery schemes;
- * Implementing partners deliver high quality capacity building activities meeting the needs of the beneficiaries;
- * The EU declaration of situation of crisis enables flexible PRAG procedures.

- *Government and local authorities continue to support interventions and facilitate implementation in the field;
- *Local authorities/ governments maintain authority, and environments are safe enough to implement planned activities and ensure beneficiaries participation;
- * Awareness building activities and related products are adequate to the needs to the target population and the local context;
- * Target population is timely informed on project activities and is willing to participate.

- * MoLG and/or central/regional level Libyan institutions' membership in the TS, TC and SC is defined and formalized;
- * MoLG and/or central/regional level Libyan institutions are able to cooperate with development partners - there is capacity and there are no political/institutional/capacity constraints. In case central authorities are unable to cooperate, the EU and IPs coopt Libyan membership in TS, TC and SC through regional/local authorities;
- * Local level institutions and authorities are willing/able to cooperate with development partners - there is capacity and there are no political/institutional/capacity constraints;
- * RSSD implementing partners are willing to cooperate and engage in programme level management and governance related activities, including close coordination at local level;
- * The 3 IPs form and participate in a technical/operation coordination platform to complement the Technical Secretariat;
- * TS, TC and SC members are available for meetings;
- * The operational environment allows for remote or face to face meetings to take place;
- * AICS has capacity to lead on the operationalization of the RSSD governance mechanism and adapt to the volatile context, considering local human resources availability and conflict sensitivity risks (technical/hard skills, soft skills, political/tribal/personal background);
- * Monitoring, coordination, operation management and reporting processes/tools/templates and outputs are clearly defined - and adaptative as required. Hence, necessary resources for data collection, management, analysis and reporting can be defined - either PMU human resources or outsourced consultancy services.

- *Mediation actors have the required expertise and reach to conduct conflict analysis and to act as a facilitator between IPs and the municipalities;

- * AICS, as the IP leading on the RSSD management and governance, has the capacity to lead on identifying and

AICS, as the IP leading on the RSSD management and governance, has the capacity to lead on identifying and coordinating the focal points (with UNICEF and UNDP cooperation in the joint programming municipalities);

- * RSSD implementing partners are willing to cooperate and engage in programme level management and governance coordination related activities;
- * The 3 IPs form and participate in a technical/operation coordination platform to complement the Technical Secretariat.