



# CSS

Our proposals to reach CSS objectives while remaining competitive

 **BASF**

We create chemistry


# REACH revision

## Our main issues



### Limit the GRA extension to SVHCs for consumer uses

- No generic restrictions for professional uses, but strengthening of OSH
- Prioritization and sequencing of measures with adequate timelines allowing for innovation and substitution
- Improve exposure assessment as basis for risk assessment for all other hazard classes



### Develop a pragmatic, reasonable registration scheme for polymers

- Limit requirements for notification to purposeful, proportionate and value-adding data also considering lab capacities
- Apply a similarity and grouping concept with risk-based specific testing requirements
- Grant sufficient time to develop analytical methods and agree on suitable registration scheme



### Limit the MAF to identified risk drivers in the environment

- Apply a decision tree on environmental uses to identify substances for which a MAF is appropriate
- Enhance research on human health through bio-monitoring studies
- Exclude occupational uses and active ingredients as they are covered by respective regulation



### Apply the essential use concept as derogation mechanism during Authorization & Restriction

- Avoid further complexity of the system and delays in decision making
- Complement existing processes using safe-use concept with essential use derogations
- Implement a dedicated Committee to evaluate essential use on a use-by-use basis, no fast-track process

# CLP revision

## Our main concerns

---



### Any new hazard class should be implemented at GHS first

- Align criteria globally before implementing in Europe
- Accepting dis-harmonization globally may lead to loss of trust in the GHS system
- No urgency as existing regulations like REACH Article 57 (f) are available to manage the hazards



### Do not use *in vitro* test results in isolation as basis for classification as Endocrine Disruptor

- Currently, New Approach Methodologies (NAMs) are not ready to fully evaluate EDs for classification
- Use WHO definition for classification, including ED activity, adverse effects and a plausible link in an intact organism
- Provide clear guidance for the classification as Category 1 and 2 before Delegated Act is implemented



### Use a Weight of Evidence approach for the identification of PMT substances

- Accept all appropriate and valid data in a weight of evidence approach to determine whether “M” criteria is met

# Transition Pathway for the Chemical Industry

## Our asks

---

### **Transition Pathway must include a robust regulatory roadmap until 2030 and beyond and be committal**

- Including realistic **sequencing of the CSS measures with sufficient details** re. GRA, polymer registration...
- Industry needs clarity and predictability to invest in Europe: what/how/when
- No policy U-turns, but practical implementation and realistic timelines as innovation takes time e.g. for developing alternatives to restricted products
- **Engaging the Commission** hence format is important as well; expect EC staff working document

### **Ensure proper implementation of the Transition Pathway**

- Co-implementation process and governance structure in place
- Adjustments where needed e.g. energy crisis

### **Complement pan-European pathway by national Transition Pathways**

- In all key Member States with sizeable domestic chemical industry
- Cefic President meetings with EU Heads of State



We create chemistry