

[REDACTED] (RTD)

From: [REDACTED] (RTD)
Sent: lundi 24 septembre 2012 12:26
To: [REDACTED] (RTD)
Subject: RE: Response COPA-COGECA

Is it not in ARES? Otherwise check with [REDACTED]. I'll have a look and reply to you after I finish with the ePURE briefing.

From: [REDACTED] (RTD)
Sent: Monday, September 24, 2012 12:21 PM
To: [REDACTED] (RTD)
Subject: Response COPA-COGECA

[REDACTED]

I still have not identified the person in Dir E I should confer to regarding this response. The deadline is today.

In the meantime I have prepared a draft contribution. Please check and feedback to me.

Thank you,

[REDACTED]

Many options have been considered and compared in the preparation of the proposal for a new directive. Each of these options presented advantages and disadvantages with respect to the others, being clear that no option was the best in each of the aspects considered. Following a thorough and objective analysis, the option put forward by the EC is considered to be the one with an overall most positive impact.

In the objectives set out in their national renewable action plans in 2010, EU Member States evidenced the very limited contribution expected from advanced biofuels to the objective of 10% renewable energy in transport in 2020. This illustrates well the enormous difficulties for advanced biofuels to emerge if they have to compete in equal conditions with their "first generation" equivalents, which are currently cheaper and based on well-established technologies.

In our view, the proposed new directive will give a definite boost for the take-off of advanced biofuel technologies, notably those making use of agricultural and forestry residues. This is much needed to overcome the recurrent food vs. fuel debate that has been hampering the deployment of biofuels in the last years, and to valorise by-products that are currently underexploited and add little value to the rural economy.