

(GROW)

(GROW)

[REDACTED]

1. *Journal of Management Studies*, 1996, 33, 1, 1-14.

Subject:

FW: Meeting with Uber 19 May

From: [REDACTED] (GROW)

Sent: Thursday, May 19, 2016 12:47 PM

To: NUNES DE ALMEIDA Joaquim (GROW); [REDACTED] (GROW); [REDACTED] (GROW);
[REDACTED] (GROW); [REDACTED] (GROW); [REDACTED] (GROW); [REDACTED] (GROW); [REDACTED]
(GROW)

Cc: [REDACTED] (GROW)

Subject: Meeting with Uber 19 May

The short mtg with Uber representatives was organised by our Cabinet ahead of the adoption of the collaborative economy Communication.

Present: Fabrice Comptour (Cab Bienkowska), [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (DG GROW) - [REDACTED], [REDACTED] (UBER)

Main points made by UBER:

- Commission guidance should give direction, but leave matters open for administrations and courts to decide case-by-case.
- On the criteria for the case-by-case assessment of a collaborative platform also provides an underlying service: criteria should be open, give room for different business models and not prejudice the outcome of any case-by-case assessment.
- More specifically on the price criterion: UBER believes they do not meet it and it should be part of the contractual terms criterion [REDACTED]
- Ownership of assets criterion: in Europe Uber has no schemes whereby they provide any of the assets currently in place (also no loan subsidies etc).
- Priority for Uber: enforcement. Why is Commission so slow on cases? [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] Following adoption of Communication, Commission should move to enforce it.



European Commission

DG Growth

Unit E1 – Service Policy for Consumers

Avenue des Nerviens, 105

1040 Brussels, Belgium

Office: [REDACTED]
Tel: +32 229-[REDACTED]
E-mail: [REDACTED][@ec.europa.eu](mailto:[REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu)