## EUROPEAN COMMISSION SECRETARIAT-GENERAL

Directorate F - Citizens, Migration & Security Union SG.F.2 - Migration, Border Management & Security

Mr Samuel Stolton EURACTIV Brussels International Press Centre Boulevard Charlemagne 1, 1041 Bruxelles

By registered letter with acknowledgment of receipt

Advance copy by email: <u>ask+request-7156-99205c8c@asktheeu.org</u>

Dear Mr Stolton,

Subject: Your application for access to documents – Ref GestDem No 2019/4327

We refer to your e-mail dated 22/07/2019 in which you make a request for access to documents, registered on 24/07/2019 under the above-mentioned reference number.

You request access to the following:

"Subsequent to Vice-President Commissioner Andrus Ansip's statement concerning the telecommunications company Huawei, on 7 December 2018:

- 1. All correspondence including attachments (i.e. any emails, correspondence or telephone call notes) between Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd and the Secretariat General of the European Commission or the Spokesperson's Service (SPP) of the European Commission, concerning Vice-President Ansip's statement on Huawei, delivered on the date above.
- 2. All transcripts of any subsequent meetings between representatives from the Secretariat General of the European Commission and the Spokesperson's Service (SPP), concerning Vice-President Ansip's statement on Huawei."

I consider your request to cover documents held up to the date of your initial application, i.e. 22 July 2019.

Please note that due to the scope of your request, covering also areas falling under the responsibility of another Directorate-General, parts of your request have been attributed to the Directorate-General for Communication. This reply relates only to the documents held by the Secretariat-General. You will receive the reply from the other respective Directorate-General registered under reference number GestDem No 2019/4328 in due course.

Regarding the point 2. of your request, we regret to inform you that the Secretariat-General does not hold any documents that would correspond to the description given in your application.

Regarding the point 1. of your request, your application concerns the following documents:

Title	the author	the addressee	the date	Ares reference
Remarks Made about Huawei at the AI Initiative Policy Launch Press Conference in Brussels on 7 December	A Huawei representative	Vice-President Andrius Ansip	10-12-2018	Ares(2018)6355917 (the letter + the Memorandum) <sup>1</sup>
Minutes from a meeting with Huawei representatives	Ms Vivian Loonela (CAB-Ansip)	(CAB-Ansip) office	11-01-2019	Ares(2019)3072168
Follow-up on a meeting with Huawei	A Huawei representative	(CAB -Ansip) office	07-02-2019	Ares(2019)804282 <sup>2</sup>
Invitation to visit Huawei Cyber Security and Transparency Centre in Brussels	A Huawei representative	Mr Juhan Lepassaar (CAB-Ansip)	11-06-2019	Ares(2019)3752718
Decline of visit to Huawei Cyber Security and Transparency Centre in Brussels	(CAB-Ansip) office	A Huawei representative	25-06-2019	Ares(2019)4025027

The documents to which you request access contain personal data in particular names, email addresses and phone numbers of persons who form part of the Commission staff and their HUAWEI counterparts. Pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, access to a document has to be refused if its disclosure would undermine the protection of privacy and the integrity of the individual, in particular in accordance with European Union legislation regarding the protection of personal data.

The applicable legislation in this field is Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC<sup>3</sup> ('Regulation 2018/1725').

Indeed, Article 3(1) of Regulation 2018/1725 provides that personal data 'means any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person [...]'. The Court of Justice has specified that any information, which by reason of its content, purpose or effect, is linked to a particular person is to be considered as personal data.<sup>4</sup>

In its judgment in Case C-28/08 P (*Bavarian Lager*)<sup>5</sup>, the Court of Justice ruled that when a request is made for access to documents containing personal data, the Data Protection Regulation becomes fully applicable.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As regards the third-party document, Memorandum regarding cybersecurity advice on government access requests – Huawei representatives agreed to disclose the document.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Regarding the reference to a possible meeting on 4 March 2019, there are no documents available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Official Journal L 205 of 21.11.2018, p. 39.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 20 December 2017 in Case C-434/16, *Peter Nowak v Data Protection Commissioner*, request for a preliminary ruling, paragraphs 33-35, <u>ECLL:FU:C:2017:994</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Judgment of 29 June 2010 in Case C-28/08 P, *European Commission v The Bavarian Lager Co. Ltd*, EU:C:2010:378, paragraph 59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Whereas this judgment specifically related to Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data, the principles set out therein are also applicable under the new data protection regime established by Regulation 2018/1725.

Pursuant to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, 'personal data shall only be transmitted to recipients established in the Union other than Union institutions and bodies if '[t]he recipient establishes that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest and the controller, where there is any reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced, establishes that it is proportionate to transmit the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests'.

Only if these conditions are fulfilled and the processing constitutes lawful processing in accordance with the requirements of Article 5 of Regulation 2018/1725, can the transmission of personal data occur.

According to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, the European Commission has to examine the further conditions for a lawful processing of personal data only if the first condition is fulfilled, namely if the recipient has established that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. It is only in this case that the European Commission has to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced and, in the affirmative, establish the proportionality of the transmission of the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests.

In your request, you do not put forward any arguments to establish the necessity to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. Therefore, the European Commission does not have to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced.

Notwithstanding the above, please note that there are reasons to assume that the legitimate interests of the data subjects concerned would be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data reflected in the documents, as there is a real and non-hypothetical risk that such public disclosure would harm their privacy and subject them to unsolicited external contacts.

Consequently, I conclude that, pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, access cannot be granted to the personal data, as the need to obtain access thereto for a purpose in the public interest has not been substantiated and there is no reason to think that the legitimate interests of the individuals concerned would not be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data concerned.

In case you would disagree with the assessment that the redacted data are personal data which can only be disclosed if such disclosure is legitimate under the applicable rules on the protection of personal data, you are entitled, in accordance with Article 7(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, to submit a confirmatory application requesting the Commission to review this position.

Such a confirmatory application should be addressed within 15 working days upon receipt of this letter to the Secretariat-General of the Commission at the following address:

**European Commission** 

Secretariat-General

Unit C.1. 'Transparency, Document Management and Access to Documents'

**BERL 7/076** 

B-1049 Bruxelles, or by email to: sg-acc-doc@ec.europa.eu

Yours faithfully,

Michael GREMMINGE

Head of Uni