



TO: Vice President Frans Timmermans, European Commission

Brussels, 5 February 2015

Dear Vice President Timmermans,

Open letter: Dissenting Opinion of HLG on Administrative Burden

We have note	d with interest your announcement on December :	18 to appoint	as
	on 'Better Regulation'. The public interests that o	ur four organizations represent	t (health,
environment,	labour and consumers) were members of the High	Level Group on Administrative	Burdens
(HLG) that was	s chaired by		
Our represent	atives on this group disagreed strongly with a num	ber of the Group's final	
recommendat	ions and we are deeply concerned that	appointment means that thes	e final,
highly controversial, recommendations will be given undue importance in your deliberations on how to			
take the 'Better Regulation' agenda forward. We ask you to reassure us that you will also seek the			
advice of civil society representatives on an ongoing basis. The dissenting opinion of the four civil			
society memb	ers of the HLG is annexed to this letter.		
If the Commis	sion were to adopt a net target to reduce regulator	y costs or to offset new 'burde	ns' by
removing exist	ting ones (also called one-in-one-out) or to create i	new, permanent technocratic b	odies
with potential	ly far-reaching powers, it would mean that 'Better	Regulation' would simply mear	ı 'less

The cost of non-regulation to our society would be staggering, not only through an increase in health costs, environmental degradation, loss of consumer confidence and protection of workers' rights but also politically, it would signal to European voters and citizens that primary goal of the EU 'Better Regulation' agenda is to benefit Europe's businesses thereby further eroding citizens' trust in the EU.

regulation in the public interest' - something that, in your hearing in front of the European Parliament,

you said yourself would mean a failure of the better regulation agenda.

We therefore ask you for a meeting to allow for an in-depth discussion of the concerns raised in the dissenting opinion to the HLG report and to explore ways in which the Commission's 'Better Regulation' can be reformed to better serve the public interest.

Yours sincerely,

