











Copa and Cogeca recognise the importance of the Front of Pack (FOP) nutritional labelling topic in the current discussions taking place in the European institutions, as do European consumers.

Many Member States have adopted some voluntary FOP nutritional labelling systems within their territory, and the topic is in the spotlight as one of the priorities of the Farm to Fork strategy¹. Therefore, Copa and Cogeca would like to reiterate the following key principles that should underpin any future FOP labelling system:

Positive & non-discriminatory colourcoding systems

The colour-coding systems that are currently used discriminate against certain categories of food products because they divide foodstuffs into those that are good or bad in a questionable manner. While any labelling system should be informative and easy to understand, it should not be overly simplistic. Using a system that categorises food products as either good or bad risks high-quality and nutritious products, such as olive oil, being shunned. Such products have beneficial consequences for human health and are recommended in many diets that have been carefully studied from a nutritional point of view. This type of contradictory FOP labelling also implies a

stigmatisation of specific products that are historically part of our gastronomy and rural traditions, and that, eaten in the appropriate amounts, can play a key role in a balanced diet. Therefore, we support a FOP labelling scheme that does not stigmatise any specific food products. In particular, an EU system should not endanger, neither directly nor indirectly, EU quality food products - e.g. PDOs, PGIs and TSGs - that, unlike highly processed products, cannot be reformulated and have to follow strict and traditional production disciplinary codes. Moreover, jeopardising EU quality schemes would mean nullifying the European Commission's efforts to promote these products both within the European Union and abroad.

Science-based & independent

Nutrition is indeed a scientific discipline, thus it should be addressed as an individual topic, separately from other factors (e.g. personal beliefs). Copa and Cogeca is convinced that the role that the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) plays in the field of nutrition is of the utmost importance in order to provide EU policy-makers with solid, independent and trustworthy input necessary to develop EU-wide dietary guidelines. We cannot accept FOP systems developed by private actors within the food chain. Independence is

pivotal, as proper nutrition is the baseline for preserving human health.

Harmonised & EU-wide

We are currently witnessing the emergence of country-specific FOP labelling systems and as a result a proliferation of different national algorithms that are disrupting the internal market. This situation is creating several problems for the free movement of goods, as each label has to be adapted to each Member State in which the product is expected to be sold. The lack of harmonisation is also a clear sign of weakness of the FOP labelling schemes currently in place. Any European FOP labelling system must be harmonised across the entire EU territory and developed according to the science-based instructions laid out by EFSA.

Based on dietary guidelines

Although national and international dietary guidelines may differ slightly as regards specific products, quantities and consumption patterns, there is broad consensus on the food groups considered essential for a proper diet. It is worth mentioning that the science of nutrition has increasingly expanded the knowledge and scientific literature available on the topic, which should therefore be properly assessed and taken into account. We are currently witnessing a proliferation of positive labels on food and drink products that are not even included in the dietary recommendations. It is clear that some food and drink categories are recognised as necessary to sustain human health and as irreplaceable, whereas others are not. A positive label should only be allowed on food and drink products that fall within sciencebased dietary guidelines.

Based on a complete assessment of the "food matrix"

Each food and drink product contains different macronutrients and micronutrients. When establishing nutritional labelling, a complete evaluation of the food should be carried out, without being based exclusively on certain nutrients. Notably, recent studies show that

the individual ingested nutrients alone cannot determine the health benefits of a product, which are rather the result of synergies and connections within the overall "food matrix" of the product itself.

Portion-based

People consume portions, the size of which varies from one product category to another. Therefore, any FOP labelling scheme should address the portion concretely recommended by dietary guidelines for each product category and in a way that is harmonised across the whole European Union, clearly stating that the label is referring to a specific amount of the product (e.g. "X" grammes). portions should be established by a scientific and independent agency, such as EFSA, taking into account dietary guidelines and consumers' eating habits. Assessing a product based on the standard 100 grammes, when it is consumed in much smaller quantities, is misleading and does not allow for a clear understanding of the dietary guidelines. Therefore, the nutritional assessment of a product should be based on portions. Moreover, if the 100 grammes reference for nutrient content is still useful for calculations related to cooking purposes or very specific diets, it will still be provided on the back of the packaging as required by Regulation (EU) No 1169/2011.

Voluntary

Any additional label may increase costs and heighten administrative burdens for the operators in the food chain. In addition, some products may vary slightly from one batch to another (given e.g. their nature or the farming conditions) making it difficult to apply the same FOP label to all the products within that category. Therefore, it should be left to the operator in the food chain to establish if it is relevant and coherent to apply any FOP label or not, according to the added value that is returned to the food chain.

Copa and Cogeca would like to conclude by underlying that consumers should not rely exclusively on labels in order to purchase products. Taking care of their own diet is a

^{2 - &}quot;The food matrix may be viewed as a physical domain that contains and/or interacts with specific constituents of a food (e.g. a nutrient) providing functionalities and behaviors which are different from those exhibited by the components in isolation or a free state" (Aguilera, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1 080/10408398.2018.1502743)

conditio sine qua non for taking care of their own health.

Therefore, we reiterate that educating consumers is pivotal to properly understand the benefits of eating agricultural products, when integrated into diets according to the patterns and quantities specified by internationally recognised guidelines, and with the correct interpretation of any future FOP labelling scheme. No label will ever be able to overcome the lack of understanding, knowledge and motivation needed to follow dietary guidelines necessarily developed by EFSA in the future. If we want consumers to adopt healthy diets and eating habits, we need large-scale nutrition education and awareness-raising campaigns starting from the earliest age possible.

Such campaigns should also underline that a healthy diet is only one of several key factors needed to enjoy good health. A balanced diet should always be coupled with physical activity and good general life-style habits.

Key points:

- 1. A colour-coded nutrition labelling system would end up presenting an oversimplistic classification of food products between those that are "good", in green, and those that are "bad", in red. Such a dichotomy will stigmatise highly nutritious products which are praised for their nutritional value by nutritionists all over the world, such as olive oil.
- 2. A colour-coded system would be catastrophic for Geographical Indications products as the only solution for them not to be discriminated against and to benefit from a better "colour" would be reformulation. However, in order to benefit from Geographical Indications or other quality schemes, those products have to respect very strict criteria. This means that any reformulation would be very complicated or simply impossible for them. Having in mind that those products represent an economical value of more than 77,15 billion euros per year, and 7% of the total sales value of EU food and drink products, this is highly concerning.
- 3. The FOPNL system chosen should be science based and designed by an independent and scientific organisation such as EFSA, following dietary guidelines established in the same way. Moreover, it must be based on recommended portions harmonised at EU level If we start to base nutritional information and the dietary guidelines supporting it solely on plant-based diets or environmental sustainability concerns without taking health into account, we might be putting people's health at risk.
- 4. A colour-coded FOPNL does not take into account the complexity of food products when establishing their nutritional contribution. Indeed, each food and drink product contains different macronutrients and micronutrients. When establishing nutritional labelling, a complete evaluation of the food should be carried out, without being based exclusively on certain nutrients. By focusing solely on a very limited number of nutrients (e.g. sugar, fat and salt) and the energy intake,

- we end up setting aside nutritiously valuable food products. Do we really want to end up with people disregarding honey, but feeling good about consuming aspartame based diet soft drinks?
- 5. Farmers' products are crafted and they may vary slightly from one batch to another and over seasons, especially those containing natural ingredients like dairy products, meat or fish, making it difficult to apply the same FOP label to all the products within that category. Only industrial processed products will have the same exact nutrient content every time. A mandatory FOPNL would penalise those small actors who are in any case not those producing the highly processed and unhealthy products, but rather the ones offering consumers the best of the EU terroirs.





Copa and Cogeca are the united voice of farmers and agri-cooperatives in the EU.

Together, they ensure that EU agriculture is sustainable, innovative and competitive, guaranteeing food security to half a billion people throughout Europe. Copa represents over 23 million farmers and their families whilst Cogeca represents the interests of 22,000 agricultural cooperatives. They have 66 member organisations from the EU member states. Together, they are one of the biggest and most active lobbying organisations in Brussels.