

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Fw: Transatlantic data flows agreement
Date: lundi 28 février 2022 09:21:06
Attachments: [image003.png](#)
[Outlook-signature .png](#)

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for getting back to us.

The best time would be
10th March at 12h-12h30

I am happy to send you a Zoom dial in or would you prefer to send dial in details?

Let me know,

Thanks,

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Executive Assistant to
[REDACTED] Head of EU Affairs
[REDACTED] Director, Public Policy, Southern Europe
[REDACTED] Director, Public Policy Stakeholder Engagement, EU Affairs
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]@ec.europa.eu>
Sent: 25 February 2022 17:08
To: [REDACTED]@fb.com>
Subject: RE: Transatlantic data flows agreement

Dear Mrs [REDACTED]

On behalf of Mr Werner Stengg, I would like to thank you for the information shared with him and for the meeting request to discuss upon the mentioned topics. It is my pleasure to transmit his availability for a virtual meeting on the following possible dates:

09/03 – 11:30-12:00

10:03 – 12:00-12:30

17/03 – 17:00-17:30

Please communicate your preference for a suitable date and also for a video platform you would like to use.

For transparency purposes, this meeting will be published in the Transparency Register of the European Commission. Please make sure your organisation is duly registered.

The Cabinet does not intend to communicate actively on the content of this meeting. However, in line with Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, minutes can be made accessible to the public upon request (without any disclosure of protected interests).

Looking forward to hearing from you.

With kind regards,
[REDACTED]

Assistant



European Commission

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2022 6:14 PM

[Redacted]

Subject: Transatlantic data flows agreement

Dear Mr Stengg,

I am reaching out to urgently discuss the stalled progress on reaching a new transatlantic data flows agreement. It has been nearly two years since the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) invalidated the EU-US Privacy Shield Adequacy Decision. For nearly two years businesses in the EU and U.S. have faced growing legal uncertainty. Recent regulatory activity across the EU, including from our supervisory authority, has only increased the level of uncertainty. We fear a significant disruption to EU-U.S. data flows may soon become a reality. The increased risk of a sudden disruption to data flows are having a major impact on our ability to do business and offer innovative services to customers. It is becoming increasingly clear that any disruption will not be isolated to Meta or a limited set of companies. Recent regulatory activity suggests that without an Adequacy Decision, companies may be left without any available legal basis on which to transfer data to the US. This market stress needs to urgently be relieved.

We continue to commend the European Commission for the work it has done to date on pushing for a replacement transatlantic data transfer regime. However, there is an acute need to finalise negotiations in the coming weeks. We fear that without a new agreement, existing transfer mechanisms will become increasingly restrictive and in many cases may be rendered impossible. This includes Europe's public sector as showcased by the recent decision against the European Parliament itself. Disruptions to personal data flows, be it via Court decisions or data protection supervisory authority actions, are not isolated incidents. These decisions do not stay in a vacuum, but have a ripple effect across different policy issues and sectors.

I hope we could have this discussion at your earliest convenience, perhaps still via video call, where I could provide you with more details of the recent regulatory activity of supervisory authorities and its impact on Meta and others? I look forward to hearing from you.

Best regards,

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Managing Public Policy Director and Head of EU Affairs

[Redacted]