

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [REDACTED] [...\(HOME\)](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED] [...\(HOME\)](#); [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Follow-up ECLAG documents  
**Date:** Thursday 4 September 2025 14:48:11  
**Attachments:** [image005.png](#)  
[image006.png](#)  
[2025.07\\_ECLAG\\_Reaction\\_DK\\_Proposal\\_\(1\).pdf](#)  
[Joint\\_ECLAG\\_DOT\\_Position\\_Paper\\_AI-CSAM.pdf](#)  
[ECPAT\\_Konzept\\_Parlamentarisches\\_Frühstück.pdf](#)

---

Dear [REDACTED]

It was great to see you this morning! As promised, here are some of the documents we have prepared in ECLAG in the past months that might be relevant:

- Advocacy paper – [Balancing cybersecurity, privacy and child protection in detecting child sexual abuse in end-to-end encrypted spaces](#) (this has been sent to all perm reps and was presented by a cybersecurity expert during a briefing some weeks ago)
- ECLAG reaction to the Danish proposal
- Joint ECLAG – DOT Europe Position paper on AIG CSAM
- Concept note for the event in the Bundestag in Berlin (sorry, it's in German!!)

Please let me know if I am missing anything from what you heard this morning – including of the Directive. I will send you the invitation and details for the 13 October week of action next week.

P.S.: We are discussing internally whether to apply to the Network for the Prevention of Child Sexual Abuse, our management has doubts whether we can assume it with our very limited capacity. I am trying to convince them of the added value of joining, especially looking ahead into the implementation of the Regulation and the EU Centre. The application outlines the expectation of two meetings a year, with ad hoc working groups if necessary. Do you have any clearer indication of capacity commitment that could help us make the decision? Thanks in advance!

Best regards,

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Eurochild  
[REDACTED] Brussels  
EU Transparency Register: 79360311166-84

[REDACTED] [@eurochild.org](#)

[www.eurochild.org](#)

