



EUROPEAN COMMISSION
DIRECTORATE-GENERAL FOR TRADE

The Director-General

Brussels,
TRADE/SW/E3 (2021)2014534

***By registered letter with
acknowledgment of receipt***

Mr Kenneth Haar
Overgaden oven Vandet 12
1415 Copenhagen K
Denmark

Advance copy by email:
ask+request-9002-2ae2dd3f@asktheeu.org

Subject: Your application for access to documents – Ref GestDem 2021/0576

Dear Mr Haar,

I refer to your request of 4 February 2021 for access to documents under Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001¹ ("Regulation 1049/2001") and registered as GestDem 2021/0099.

Please accept our apologies for the delay in preparing the reply to your request, which is mainly due to a high number of access to documents requests being processed at the same time by DG Trade.

1. SCOPE OF YOUR REQUEST

In your application, you request access to:

(i) a list of meetings of DG Trade officials and/or representatives (including the Commissioner and his Cabinet) and representatives of EFPIA (including Vaccines Europe) since 1 September 2020;

(ii) minutes and other reports of these meetings;

(iii) all correspondence (including emails) between DG Trade officials and/or representatives (including the Commissioner and Cabinet) and representatives of EFPIA

¹ Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2001 regarding public access to European Parliament, Council and Commission documents, OJ L 145, 31.5.2001, p. 43.

(including Vaccines Europe) regarding vaccines against COVID, including questions concerning patents, since 1 September 2020.

I consider your request to cover documents held up to the date of your initial application, i.e. 4 February 2021. We have identified **13 documents** corresponding to the scope of your request. A list of these documents providing a description and indicating their level of disclosure is attached to this letter jointly with copies of the accessible documents.

2. ASSESSMENT AND CONCLUSIONS UNDER REGULATION 1049/2001

In accordance with settled case law², when an institution is asked to disclose a document, it must assess, in each individual case, whether that document falls within the exceptions to the right of public access to documents set out in Article 4 of Regulation 1049/2001. Such assessment is carried out in a multi-step approach. First, the institution must satisfy itself that the document relates to one of the exceptions, and if so, decide which parts of it are covered by that exception. Second, it must examine whether disclosure of the parts of the document in question poses a “*reasonably foreseeable and not purely hypothetical*” risk of undermining the protection of the interest covered by the exception. Third, if the institution takes the view that disclosure would undermine the protection of any of the interests defined under Articles 4(2) and 4(3) of Regulation 1049/2001, the institution is required “*to ascertain whether there is any overriding public interest justifying disclosure*”³.

In view of the objectives pursued by Regulation 1049/2001, notably to give the public the widest possible right of access to documents⁴, “*the exceptions to that right [...] must be interpreted and applied strictly*”⁵.

Having examined the documents requested under the provisions of Regulation 1049/2001 regarding public access to documents, I have come to the conclusion that **documents 1-13** may be partially disclosed, and **attachment 1 to document 9** may be fully disclosed.

Please note that these documents originating from third parties are disclosed to you based on Regulation 1049/2001. However, this disclosure is without prejudice to the rules on intellectual property, which may limit your right to reproduce or exploit the released documents without the agreement of the originator, who may hold an intellectual property right on them. The European Commission does not assume any responsibility from their reuse.

In **documents 1-13** only names and other personal data have been redacted pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation 1049/2001 and in accordance with Regulation (EC) No 2018/1725. Hence, the main content of these documents is accessible.

² Judgment in *Sweden and Maurizio Turco v Council*, Joined cases C-39/05 P and C-52/05 P, EU:C:2008:374, paragraph 35.

³ *Id.*, paragraphs 37-43. See also judgment in *Council v Sophie in 't Veld*, C-350/12 P, EU:C:2014:2039, paragraphs 52 and 64.

⁴ Regulation (EC) No 1049/2001, recital (4).

⁵ Judgment in *Sweden v Commission*, C-64/05 P, EU:C:2007:802, paragraph 66.

2.1 Protection of privacy and integrity of the individual

Pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation 1049/2001, access to a document has to be refused if its disclosure would undermine the protection of privacy and the integrity of the individual, in particular in accordance with European Union legislation regarding the protection of personal data.

The applicable legislation in this field is Regulation (EC) No 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC⁶ ('Regulation 2018/1725').

All the documents partially released contain personal information, such as names, e-mail addresses, job titles, and/ or telephone numbers that allow the identification of natural persons, as well as other personal information like signatures.

Indeed, Article 3(1) of Regulation 2018/1725 provides that personal data "*means any information relating to an identified or identifiable natural person [...]*". The Court of Justice has specified that any information, which by reason of its content, purpose or effect, is linked to a particular person is to be considered as personal data.⁷ Please note in this respect that the names, signatures, functions, telephone numbers and/or initials pertaining to staff members of an institution are to be considered personal data.⁸

In its judgment in Case C-28/08 P (*Bavarian Lager*)⁹, the Court of Justice ruled that when a request is made for access to documents containing personal data, the Data Protection Regulation becomes fully applicable.¹⁰

Pursuant to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, personal data shall only be transmitted to recipients established in the Union other than Union institutions and bodies if "*[t]he recipient establishes that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest and the controller, where there is any reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced, establishes that it*

⁶ Official Journal L 205 of 21.11.2018, p. 39.

⁷ Judgment of the Court of Justice of the European Union of 20 December 2017 in Case C-434/16, *Peter Novak v Data Protection Commissioner*, request for a preliminary ruling, paragraphs 33-35, ECLI:EU:T:2018:560.

⁸ Judgment of the General Court of 19 September 2018 in case T-39/17, *Port de Brest v Commission*, paragraphs 43-44, ECLI:EU:T:2018:560.

⁹ Judgment of 29 June 2010 in Case C-28/08 P, *European Commission v The Bavarian Lager Co. Ltd*, EU:C:2010:378, paragraph 59.

¹⁰ Whereas this judgment specifically related to Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 18 December 2000 on the protection of individuals with regard to the processing of personal data by the Community institutions and bodies and on the free movement of such data, the principles set out therein are also applicable under the new data protection regime established by Regulation 2018/1725.

is proportionate to transmit the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests". Only if these conditions are fulfilled and the processing constitutes lawful processing in accordance with the requirements of Article 5 of Regulation 2018/1725, can the transmission of personal data occur.

According to Article 9(1)(b) of Regulation 2018/1725, the European Commission has to examine the further conditions for a lawful processing of personal data only if the first condition is fulfilled, namely if the recipient has established that it is necessary to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. It is only in this case that the European Commission has to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced and, in the affirmative, establish the proportionality of the transmission of the personal data for that specific purpose after having demonstrably weighed the various competing interests.

In your application, you do not put forward any arguments to establish the necessity to have the data transmitted for a specific purpose in the public interest. Therefore, the European Commission does not have to examine whether there is a reason to assume that the data subject's legitimate interests might be prejudiced.

Notwithstanding the above, please note that there are reasons to assume that the legitimate interests of the data subjects concerned would be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data reflected in the documents, as there is a real and non-hypothetical risk that such public disclosure would harm their privacy and subject them to unsolicited external contacts.

Consequently, I conclude that, pursuant to Article 4(1)(b) of Regulation 1049/2001, access cannot be granted to the personal data, as the need to obtain access thereto for a purpose in the public interest has not been substantiated and there is no reason to think that the legitimate interests of the individuals concerned would not be prejudiced by disclosure of the personal data concerned.

Documents 1-13 contain personal information, such as names, e-mail addresses, job titles and telephone numbers that allow the identification of natural persons. This information has been redacted according to Art. 4(1)(b) of Regulation 1049/2001.

3. OVERRIDING PUBLIC INTEREST IN DISCLOSURE

Please note that article 4(1)(b) of Regulation 1049/2001 does not include the possibility for the exception defined therein to be set aside by an overriding public interest.

4. MEANS OF REVIEW


In accordance with Article 7(2) of Regulation 1049/2001, you are entitled to make a confirmatory application requesting the Commission to review this position.

Such a confirmatory application should be addressed within 15 working days upon receipt of this letter to the Secretary-General of the Commission at the following address:

European Commission
Secretariat-General
Transparency, Document Management & Access to Documents (SG.C.1)
BERL 7/076
B-1049 Brussels

or by email to: sg-acc-doc@ec.europa.eu

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'S. Weyand', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Sabine WEYAND

Enclosures: List of documents
Documents (partially) released